

## **Schedule of Responses – Appendix H.1**

### ***On-Site Accommodation Campus Theme***

When reading this schedule, it is useful to have read the following complementary documents:

- **Chapter 5 of the Consultation Report** – the main chapter which describes how EDF Energy has analysed the consultation responses and details how the schedule of responses works
- **Schedule of Responses Framework** from Appendix H – the categorisation framework used by EDF Energy when analysing the consultation responses
- **Consultee Comment Key** from Appendix H – to allow consultees who returned a response to consultation to identify which topics contain their comments

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 1091	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? A 700 accommodation campus seems unnecessary. To place such high numbers on site so close to small villages like Shurton and Burton will affect their daily lives so implicitly. Dust, noise and light pollution will be a factor for a great many resident. The onsite accom. & leisure facilities are to be taken down, causing disruption going up and again coming down. A waste of supplies, time and money. Local roads, facilities and villages are not equipped to deal with this amount of people. Extra traffic will be generated as over half will have a parking space .Is there really a need for 700 workers in case of an emergency? We are assured it is all safe! If there must be accommodation, why not for 50 workers housed in the new Paddons Farm development in Stogursey with a 5 minute travel time to the HPC site. Sports facilities could then be made available in the village, for the Stogursey Parish with priority to the local school.	9849-1487-10453			/	Comments with regard to potential air quality impacts in relation to the Hinkley Point C (HPC) accommodation campus were provided at Stage 2 consultation. The comments received expressed their concern about the potential dust impacts during both the construction and the removal/reinstatement of the accommodation campus.  In response to these comments, the assessment of potential air quality impacts as a result of the construction, operation and the removal/reinstatement of the HPC accommodation campus, as presented in the <b>Air Quality Chapter (Chapter 12 of Volume 2) of the Environmental Statement</b> , has determined all associated air quality impacts to be of an acceptable level and deemed as not significant.
Tractivity 1195	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? This is totally unacceptable. We have not been given any justification of this campus. There is no possibility of 700 people integrating into the local community. There are very limited facilities in the area and the building of amenities on site will only increase noise, light pollution, smells and local traffic servicing the camp. There is every likelihood that antisocial behaviour and crime will increase as proven in Dover when the tunnel was built. The funding of two extra police officers is inadequate. At the recent demonstration outside the existing site there were 5 police officers to control 40 demonstrators	9953-1487-7363			/	The inclusion of an HPC accommodation campus for construction staff will not significantly increase the air quality impacts that would have resulted from construction of the HPC development site alone; it will in fact help reduce potential air quality impacts associated, in particular, with the reduction in vehicle movements that would otherwise be required to transport the workers to the site from other locations.
Tractivity 62508	Public	Stage 2	Levels of noise, light, and dust pollution have not been significantly described, and as a result, only minor mitigation measures are being considered.	10098-1487-18802	/			
Tractivity 62582	Public	Stage 2	The local people here will already be suffering enough distress from the construction of Hinkley C itself, which will mean lots of construction noise from diggers bleeping, hammering, piling, blasting, day and sometimes at night, traffic noise from lorries, movement of construction materials, noise from the new jetty, cars, diggers etc day and night, dirt and dust most of the time, destruction of our lovely countryside and views; we do not understand why EDF wish to inflict the campus on us as well as all this, making our lives here a real misery for the next ten years.	10133-1487-7291			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 62508	Public	Stage 2	Levels of noise, light, and dust pollution have not been significantly described, and as a result, only minor mitigation measures are being considered.	10098-1489-18802	/			<p>Comments on air quality mitigation in relation to the on-site accommodation campus were received from Stogursey Parish Council and another consultee at the Stage 2 consultation and primarily related to clarification of the mitigation measures that would be committed to in order to mitigate any potential air quality impacts, primarily as a result of dust and small particulates (PM<sub>10</sub>).</p> <p>Proposed management measures that would be employed during the construction phase of the Hinkley Point C (HPC) main site works (of which the construction and operation of the on-site accommodation campus form a component) are outlined in the <b>Air Quality Chapter (Chapter 12 of Volume 2) of the Environmental Statement (ES)</b>. A more thorough description of these management measures (along with details of roles and responsibilities, environmental audit reporting and dust complaint investigation procedures), is provided within the supporting <b>Air Quality Management Plan (AQMP)</b>.</p>
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	[6. 3. 4] Bp 1: Stated aim to avoid impacts on air quality by siting development and construction activities away from sensitive receptors. Residents of Shurton, Wick and Burton are sensitive receptors by virtue of the close proximity of the construction site and campus to their homes. How do EDF plan to mitigate for this?	89293-1489-4749	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Health Protection Agency	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	We note that for the associated builds the receptors are quite a distance from the proposed work sites and therefore, impact on human health is likely to be small. However, any health effect cannot be discounted completely on the basis that any contaminated land at the work site has the potential to be transported to the receptor. This can occur through uncontrolled movement of dust or gas/vapours by wind or through foot and/or vehicle traffic carrying contaminated soil out of the work site. You have not undertaken specific chemical analysis at the sites where associated builds are taking place and it is not known whether any land contamination exists. Even if there have been no historical land contamination issues reported/found, it is worth noting that not all land contamination is due to human use, there is the potential for naturally elevated levels of soil contaminants that may cause adverse effects on human health. We recommend that you carry out site soil analysis of the associated developments and then conduct a toxicological risk assessment.	89166-1505-16268	/			<p>Intrusive investigations, gas and groundwater monitoring have been conducted on the area of the proposed on-site accommodation campus. No significant soil, gas or groundwater contamination has been found. A summary of the results is presented in <b>Chapter 14, Volume 2 of the Environmental Statement (ES)</b>, which has been submitted with this application for development consent, and in the associated supporting reports.</p> <p>Intrusive investigations, gas and groundwater monitoring have also been undertaken on each of the Associated Development sites and the findings of these investigations are summarised in the relevant chapters of the <b>ES</b> and supporting appendices for each of the associated development sites.</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	<p>2.2.7 In terms of flood risk, the on-site accommodation campus is proposed to be located in a Flood Zone 1 area, a low risk flood area.</p> <p>2.2.8 The proposed changes reduce the size of the campus from 700 to 510 bed spaces. Car parking has been relocated to reduce the impact of noise.</p>	89865-1566-4972			/	<p>In the Stage 1 consultation an on-site accommodation campus for 700 construction workers was proposed in the south eastern section of the site. The campus was proposed in an area of the site classified by the Environment Agency as Flood Zone 1, a low flood risk area.</p> <p>The proposals for the campus were amended in EDF Energy's Stage 2 Update consultation with the number of bed spaces reduced from 700 to 510.</p> <p>In terms of the baseline environment for the application for Development Consent Order, the flood risk issues remain relatively unchanged since the Stage 1 consultation, with the campus located entirely in Flood Zone 1. Further information on the proposed drainage strategy and how this would link into the construction phase drainage system is included in the <b>Flood Risk Assessment (FRA)</b> and <b>Volume 2, Chapter 16 of the Environmental Statement</b></p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	In the case of the three campus sites and J23 Park and Ride facility, more detail is required about how surface water will be managed now that the proposals for these sites have changed.	89864-1571-1913	/			<p>In response to the Stage 2 Update Consultation Somerset County Council commented that more detail was required on how surface water will be managed following the alterations to the proposals.</p> <p>At the Stage 1 Consultation an on-site accommodation campus for 700 construction workers was proposed in the south eastern section of the site. As the proposals were under preparation, the proposed drainage was not considered in the Stage 1 consultation.</p> <p>A conceptual drainage strategy for the proposed campus was provided in the Flood Risk Study (FRS) which accompanied the Stage 2 consultation.</p> <p>The proposals for the campus were amended in the Stage 2 Update consultation with the number of bed spaces reduced from 700 to 510.</p> <p>The conceptual drainage strategy for the campus has now been progressed as part of this application for Development Consent Order and additional detail as to how this section of the site would link into the wider construction phase drainage system has been included in the <b>Flood Risk Assessment (FRA)</b> and <b>Volume 2, Chapter 16 of the Environmental Statement</b>.</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	2.2.9 The updated proposals do not indicate how surface water runoff from the campus development will be managed to prevent an increased risk of flooding in the area. The site-specific Flood Risk Study indicates that surface water management will control the volume and peak discharge of surface water runoff to existing runoff rates, which is commensurate with current best practice. Again, this was undertaken for the previous proposed scheme. More detail is therefore required about how surface water will be managed now that the proposal for this site has changed.	89865-1569-5261	/			<p>As part of the Stage 1 consultation, an on-site accommodation campus for 700 construction workers was proposed in the south eastern section of the site.</p> <p>A preliminary drainage strategy for the proposed campus was provided in the Flood Risk Study (FRS) which accompanied the Stage 2 consultation.</p> <p>Following this the proposals for the campus were amended in EDF Energy's Stage 2 Update Consultation on the Proposed Changes to Preferred Proposals with the number of bed spaces reduced from 700 to 510. An amended drainage strategy was not provided with the Stage 2 Update consultation and therefore in response Somerset County Council advised that further information would be required to demonstrate how the development would control surface water volumes and peak runoff to existing rates, in line with the statements included in the previous FRS.</p> <p>This information has now been included in the <b>Flood Risk Assessment (FRA)</b> as part of this application for Development Consent Order and includes a conceptual drainage strategy for the on-site campus and details how this section of the site would link into the wider construction phase drainage system.</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The provision and retention of the landscaping bund (or alternative landscape treatment) is supported	89333-1513-11029			/	During the Stage 2 Consultation Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council requested a greater level of detail within the Southern Construction Phase Area (SCPA) and Built Development Area East (BDAE).  In response to this comment and following the Stage 2 consultation, a groundwater quality programme has been undertaken on the SCPA and BDAE following an intrusive site investigation. The investigations are summarised within the <b>Groundwater Chapter (Chapter 15, Volume 2) of the Environmental Statement</b> . As part of the investigations, samples of groundwater were taken for analysis. The results informed the assessment of existing groundwater contamination on the proposed on-site campus site and the impacts to groundwater quality. Further details of the intrusive investigations are provided in the factual intrusive investigation reports provided as Appendices to the <b>Environmental Statement</b> .
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The lack of investigation within SCPA and BDAE provides a major information gap in terms of groundwater quality and aquifer characteristics. The assessment has not been completed and the impacts of the dewatering, construction, operational and decommissioning have not been fully considered. Until the investigations have been completed the assessment is based only on assumptions.	89350-1513-11457	/			The Baseline Section of the <b>Groundwater Chapter (Chapter 15, Volume 2) of the Environmental Statement</b> provides an overview of the investigations and assessments undertaken. The chapter also assesses the impact to groundwater as a result of construction, operation and decommissioning works as well as dewatering.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Without the investigations of the two areas (SCPA & BDAE) there is uncertainty as to the quality of the groundwater, the concentration and type of contamination and the depth of any contamination.	89350-1513-11839	/			



Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	Bp 15: Stated aim to avoid impacts on the setting and quality of the built heritage, architecture and historic landscapes. How is this aim to be reconciled with the fact that (a) the proposed bus route from the Williton park and ride is to be routed through the settlements of Strington and Stogursey where there is a total of 27 listed buildings within 50m of the proposed route and where Stogursey itself is a conservation area, when the original route on the A39 will pass only 3 listed buildings (b) The proposed location of the campus adjacent to Shurton is on a ridge and will impact on the setting not only of Shurton's listed buildings but also be clearly visible from the Stogursey conservation area?	89293-1550-4035			/	The potential impacts of the onsite associated development including the accommodation campus on the historic environment were assessed using methodology described in the historic environment Environmental Statement (ES) chapter <b>(Volume 2 Chapter 23)</b> . As described there, it has been assessed that there would be temporary impacts on the settings of the listed buildings in Shurton. Although it is anticipated that there may be minor visual impacts to Stogursey Conservation Area, our assessment showed that there would be no direct impact on designated historic environment assets within Stogursey arising from the accommodation campus.

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Quantock Hills AONB Service	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	- The AONB Service is very concerned that it has not been consulted in respect of LVIA for any of the ADS. This is inadequate given the proximity and visibility of locations from the AONB (including at night).	89122-1547-1798	/			<p>Hinkley Point C (HPC) on-site accommodation campus is a temporary Associated Development which would be located entirely within the HPC development site and all related landscape and visual impacts would occur during the construction phase of the main site development. HPC on-site accommodation campus has been consulted on as part of consultation on the HPC Main Site and the assessment of its landscape and visual impact is included in <b>Chapter 22, Volume 2 of the Environment Statement</b>, which has been submitted with this application for development consent.</p> <p>EDF Energy has considered the impact of the on-site accommodation campus in the context of the Stage 2 and Stage 2A consultation responses and enhanced the mitigation measures within the HPC development site (including phasing of the proposed landform and vegetation screening) to minimise impacts on the residents of Shurton and other residential receptors.</p> <p>Further direct consultation with the Quantock Hills AONB service was not considered necessary due to the scale and distance from the AONB. Views from the AONB were obtained and assessed within <b>Chapter 22, Volume 2 of the ES</b>.</p>
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	[13.3.6] States that EDF have undertaken extensive consultation with regard to landscape impacts and potential receptors, which has influenced the layout and design of the off-site associated developments. Why have EDF not applied the same criteria to on-site developments e.g. the site campus which by virtue of scale and proximity will impact the receptors in the hamlet of Shurton?	89293-1547-9008	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 866	Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments? More concerned about the site for the campuses on site being built high (3-4 storeys) on top of a ridge.	9624-1541-1492	/			<p>The assessment of impacts in the <b>Chapter 22, Volume 2 of the ES</b> includes a consideration of impacts on on-site accommodation campus and has been further developed since the Stage 1 and Stage 2 consultations. In response to the consultation, further landscape and lighting proposals have been developed to help mitigate residual impacts of the proposals.</p> <p>The scale and location of the on-site accommodation campus have been reduced since the Stage 2 consultation. The landscape proposals (including early landscaping and advance planting) would provide visual mitigation for the nearby residents. Although part of the campus development would be visible over the proposed ridge, the assessment showed that the on-site campus would not dominate Shurton.</p> <p>The visibility of the on-site accommodation campus from the Quantock Hills Area of Natural Beauty (AONB) would be minimal due to the relatively small scale of the on-site campus buildings in the context of long distance views from the AONB.</p>
Tractivity 868	Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments? NO CAMPSITE AT HINKLEY. Hinkley Point C site would mean light and noise pollution 24 hours a day for local population.	9626-1541-1668			/	
Tractivity 991	Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments? No justification provided for an on-site hostel for 700. Close proximity to Shurton plus its location on a ridge result the campus visually dominating the surrounding area. On-site hostel should be moved to the North of the site away from Shurton and reduced in size and number of workers.	9749-1541-2606		/		
Tractivity 1091	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? A 700 accommodation campus seems unnecessary. To place such high numbers on site so close to small villages like Shurton and Burton will affect their daily lives so implicitly. Dust, noise and light pollution will be a factor for a great many resident. The onsite accom. & leisure facilities are to be taken down, causing disruption going up and again coming down. A waste of supplies, time and money. Local roads, facilities and villages are not equipped to deal with this amount of people. Extra traffic will be generated as over half will have a parking space. Is there really a need for 700 workers in case of an emergency? We are assured it is all safe! If there must be accommodation, why not for 50 workers housed in the new Paddons Farm development in Stogursey with a 5 minute travel time to the HPC site. Sports facilities could then be made available in the village, for the Stogursey Parish with priority to the local school.	9849-1541-10453			/	
Tractivity 1099	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? Totally unsuitable both in location and size. The location on a ridge would totally dominate the landscape and the hamlet of Shurton. 700 workers would swamp a small rural community as was experienced historically during previous constructions. No justification has been given for such a large number. It could be located in the North of the site.	9857-1541-6085		/		
Tractivity 1120	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? No justification so far given for a campus of this size within 50m of the nearest residential property. A campus of this size, located on a ridge would totally dominate the hamlet of Shurton and an influx of 700 workers would swamp this small rural community.	9878-1541-6136			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 1170	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? It will have a huge impact on Shurton, it is not even a village! You cannot soak up 700 people in such a small place. The lanes are busy enough already. What about security, funding for 2 extra policemen is a joke. The area most of the time is absolutely silent, how come you are going to keep the noise down? Why do they need a football pitch, they are meant to be working. It is pitch black outside any lighting is going to be seen. We do not want them coming around the lanes they should be locked in the campus with no lighting outside, no playing field and everyone inside by 7.30pm in winter and summer. They need to be placed in Bridgwater where they already have facilities.	9928-1541-5695			/	
Tractivity 1173	Public	Stage 2	1. Any other ideas or comments? The overseeing of the landscaping of the site seem to have taken on board some of the concerns of local residents by proposing to move the workers accomodation a little further away from properties in Shurton but I was dismayed to hear the new proposed site is on top of the ridge nearby, therefore it will be much more visible to Shurton, Burton and all surrounding areas. You are planning to put a band of trees to the south of the site to minimise the eyesore of the boundary fence but I fear there will only be a small line of trees on the outside of the west boundary fence therefore the view from Burton, other hamlets and some public footpaths will have adequate screening.	9931-1541-125	/			
Tractivity 1175	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? The onsite campus is far too large, bioth in height (3 Storeys) and in area - accomodation for 700 people proposed. It will be impossible to screen this building and noise levels will be unacceptable to the local residents. Its too late to complain after this is built as we know already from EDFs lack of attention, so far!	9933-1541-6437			/	
Tractivity 1195	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? This is totally unacceptable. We have not been given any justification of this campus. There is no possibility of 700 people integrating into the local community. There are very limited facilities in the area and the building of amenities on site will only increse noise, light pollution, smells and local traffic servicing the camp. There is every liklihood that antisocial behaviour and crime will increase as proven in Dover when the tunnel was built. The funding of two extra police officers is inadequate. At the recent demonstration outside the existing site there were 5 police officers to control 40 demonstrators	9953-1541-7363			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 62366	Public	Stage 2	It has been made known during the Stage 2 Consultation that three storey temporary accommodation blocks are proposed. This is not the town. It is a rural area with mostly two storey cottages and houses and a considerable number of bungalows, so buildings of such a height are out of scale. Moreover, the Quantock Hills are an Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. The view from the hills out to sea would include not only the permanent nuclear power stations, which we apparently have to live with, if we are to satisfy our energy demands, but also three storey eyesores for many years.	10040-1541-4948			/	
Tractivity 62430	Public	Stage 2	The presence of the campus itself, especially in the proposed position, would cause noise and light pollution, which is unacceptable in this quiet rural community, quite apart from the increased traffic and construction noise while it is built.	10064-1541-2253			/	
Tractivity 62431	Public	Stage 2	Should the Campus be built on the proposed ridge, it is recognised by EdF that it would not be effectively screened by the landscaping even when mature.	10065-1541-1297	/			
Tractivity 62431	Public	Stage 2	After the build, the noise and light pollution from the Campus would still be unacceptable in this peaceful area,	10065-1541-1568			/	
Tractivity 62437	Public	Stage 2	The positioning of the camp on high ground, with outside sports facilities, will lead visual intrusion and to noise and light pollution.	10069-1541-1254			/	
Tractivity 62508	Public	Stage 2	The On-Site campus pitches appear to have been located in an area of the site most likely to cause the greatest visual impact, and the largest noise and light pollution	10098-1541-4541	/			
Tractivity 62577	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	They take no account of the noise and light intrusion on the established community of local residents - a mere 300 yards away - which will be constant, 24/7, for years.	10128-1541-5450			/	
Tractivity 62577	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	- The company itself admits that it will have difficulty reducing light pollution from the proposed campus. It has not acknowledged that there will also be noise nuisance, visual intrusion and evening and weekend recreational nuisance which will affect all local traffic and the private lives of the local hamlet residents.	10128-1541-9779			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 62582	Public	Stage 2	The local people here will already be suffering enough distress from the construction of Hinkley C itself, which will mean lots of construction noise from diggers bleeping, hammering, piling, blasting, day and sometimes at night, traffic noise from lorries, movement of construction materials, noise from the new jetty, cars, diggers etc day and night, dirt and dust most of the time, destruction of our lovely countryside and views; we do not understand why EDF wish to inflict the campus on us as well as all this, making our lives here a real misery for the next ten years.	10133-1541-7291			/	
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	The visual impact of 12 metre high flat roof barrack blocks in such a rural setting will be horrendous, and the proposed screening planting will be entirely inadequate.	89288-1541-10740	/			
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	[4.3.11] Visibility from Shurton. First bullet. The impact of the three storey high buildings will be horrendous. Why build the campus three storeys high?	89290-1541-1531			/	
Tractivity 63240	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	Further information relating to the proposed building heights, appearance and duration is required to assess the potential impacts likely to arise from the temporary on-site accommodation which is likely to have an adverse effect on views from rural areas to the south and west of the site.	89439-1541-4501	/			
Tractivity 63240	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	4.13 Chapter 21 of Volume 2 contains no reference to the potential significance of landscape or visual impacts associated with the proposed on-site temporary accommodation. As the proposals may have adverse visual impacts and delay completion of the on-site landscape works the Estate requests EDF to undertake an additional assessment of the predicted effects.	89441-1541-6513	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Council officers during informal pre-application advice between Stage 1 and Stage 2 described the proposed accommodation as being "an engineer-led solution' to the wider planning of the site" with the officer's view being that the form and location of the development outlined within the plans as being unacceptable. This is in part due to the fact that the proposed development would cause significant harm to the character and environmental quality of the area, and residential amenity of the surrounding properties	89333-1541-9109			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Officers at West Somerset Council have previously expressed concern that the anticipated noise and light levels associated with the construction and operation of 700 worker space and 545 car parking spaces being accommodated so close to a rural hamlet may be unacceptable, resulting in significant harm that will be difficult to mitigate.	89334-1541-2964			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 62949	Public	Stage 2 Update	If the need for a few workers on site can be justified, I support the WSCC suggestion of a maximum of 100. Further, if these are resident for short periods only for a specific job, there is not a need for extra facilities, which will add to the concerns over noise and light pollution.	89680-1541-1137		/		
Tractivity 62953	Public	Stage 2 Update	Of course the proposed Campus would generate disturbance to local residents in terms of noise, light pollution and additional traffic, as well as concerns about the behaviour of the workers.	89682-1541-548			/	
Tractivity 62955	Public	Stage 2 Update	It would mean more noise, light, disruption, isn't having the new plant built here enough for us to live with?	89683-1541-422			/	
English Heritage	statutory consultee	Stage 2 Update	<p>Key Changes to Accommodation Proposals.</p> <p>We are pleased to see that the area for the accommodation campus on the main site at Hinkley will now be more tightly drawn and therefore confined to a smaller part of the development site. This together with the proposed landscaping should help to mitigate against the impact of development to the south of the site within this rural location. We would query whether there has been any thought given to provide some mitigation to the north of this campus. The previous iteration indicated a tree lined perimeter to the campus on the north side. There does not appear to be anything proposed on this side according to the latest indicative plan.</p>	89718-1541-1193		/		
West Hinkley Action Group	Non-statutory consultee	Stage 2 Update	The location of the campus at a lower level is welcomed. However the 3-storey accommodation blocks will still be some 3-4 metres above screening level and as such will dominate the skyline of the hamlet of Shurton.	89771-1541-1924	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
17	Comments received under the EIR from the IPC	Stage 2	<p>1Hinkely C Site</p> <p>Satisfactory Totally Unsatisfactory No Opinion Don't know</p> <p>- I find it impossible to believe that a civilised human being imagined this development on this site.</p> <p>- What deluded model of social interaction persuades the company that siting a 700 bed- hostel with buildings three-storeys high, recreational facilities indoors and out and on-site parking for residents' cars and service personnel, on high ground above the nearest community - a hamlet centuries old with several listed buildings lying a mere 300 yards distant - represents the actions of the 'good neighbour' which EdF claims that it wishes to be?</p> <p>- The company itself admits that it will have difficulty reducing light pollution from the proposed campus. It has not acknowledged that there will also be noise nuisance, visual intrusion and evening and weekend recreational nuisance which will affect all local traffic and the private lives of the local hamlet residents. There will be no benefit to the local communities during operation and no legacy use,</p>	89806-1541-9767			/	
18	Comments received under the EIR from the IPC	Stage 2	<p>It has been made known during the stage 2 consultation that three storey temporary accommodation blocks are proposed. This is not the town. It is a rural area with mostly two storey cottages and houses and a considerable number of bungalows, so buildings of such a height are out of scale. Moreover, the Quantock Hills are an Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. The view from the hills out to sea would include not only the permanent nuclear power stations, which we apparently have to live with, if we are to satisfy our energy demands, but also three storey eyesores for many years.</p>	89807-1541-4968			/	
Stogursey Parish Council	statutory consultee	Stage 2 Update	<p>The lowering of the land level will provide some relief from the views of the barrack blocks, but as the photomontage shows they still remain very visible due to their large size and rectangular form.</p>	89871-1541-5500	/			
Stogursey Parish Council	statutory consultee	Stage 2 Update	<p>2.2.10 [6.2.2] All of the stated benefits are for EDF, with local residents suffering the noise, light, potential law and order problems and the traffic required to support the campus.</p>	89872-1541-9156			/	



Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 62508	Public	Stage 2	Noise and light pollution measurements are subjective, and measurements have not been taken in all areas likely to be affected. Mitigation for noise, in the form of a soil "bund" fails to take into account the ability for soil to absorb the noise, against any other substance.	10098-1540-18957	/			<p>The Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (LVIA) and supporting studies and surveys for the on-site accommodation campus were conducted for all phases of the proposed development, in accordance with the principles set out by the Landscape Institute (LI) and Institute of Environmental Management Assessment (IEMA) in the Guidelines for LVIA (GLVIA) and guidance on Landscape Character Assessment from the Countryside Agency (now Natural England) and Scottish Natural Heritage.</p> <p>The methodology for, and presentation of, the assessment of impacts in <b>Chapter 22, Volume 2 of the Environmental Statement (ES)</b> has been further developed since the Stage 2 consultation to address combined comments on the Hinkley Point C (HPC) development and the on-site accommodation campus.</p> <p>The description of lighting of the on-site accommodation campus has been provided in the HPC Construction Lighting Strategy and the impact it could have on key lighting receptors (local residents and rights of way users) has been appraised. The significance of lighting impacts has been assessed in <b>Chapter 22, Volume 2 of the ES</b>.</p> <p>As part of the refinement of the landscape and visual assessment baseline extra viewpoints were added in the local area to better represent visual receptors (local residents and rights of way users that would be potentially affected by the on-site accommodation campus).</p> <p>In response to concerns noted by consultees in relation to the height of vegetation shown on illustrative sections, the HPC Landscape Strategy provides clarification in this matter by showing estimated growth of planting during various phases of the development. Area to the south of the on-site accommodation campus would be landscaped during the first phase of the HPC construction. All vegetation planted as part of HPC landscape design and mitigation would be monitored and any unsuccessful plants would be replaced by EDF. Further details are available in the Environmental Monitoring and Management Plan.</p> <p>Visual screening would be achieved predominantly due to the proposed bund and planting would add to screening proposals and continue to grow in the later stages of the construction phase.</p> <p>The selection of materials that would be used for the on-site accommodation campus has been reviewed since Stage 2 consultation and is described in the on-site accommodation campus Design and Access Statement which supports the DCO application.</p>
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	[Figure 20] Proposed sections. This shows screening trees that are at least 10 metres high. This is not achievable in practice as it is not feasible to transplant trees that big. What does EDF propose for the landscaping and screening that is actually achievable?	89290-1540-4118	/			
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	[4.5.14] States 'The landscaping proposals will limit any temporary effects during the construction and operational phases of the campus' What precisely does this statement mean, and how are EDF planning to deliver against it, given that the proposed tree planting will be entirely unsatisfactory in achieving it?	89290-1540-6840	/			
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	[4.4.74 and Figure 27]. Is EDF really proposing to use corrugated iron, galvanised steel or cheap timber board as the external cladding of these buildings? This gives the lie to the good words, and makes it clear that the camp will be constructed as cheaply as possible with no thought given to its surroundings or sustainability.	89290-1540-7157	/			
Tractivity 63240	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	15.6 The suggested planning requirement at paragraph 8.3 requires the replacement of any trees comprised in the approved landscaping scheme which die within two years of planting. As previously noted in the landscape section of this response, the site is in a coastal location where tree planting will be difficult to establish and it is recommended that this is extended to include trees, shrubs and hedgerows that die or fail to thrive within five years of planting.	89446-1540-2138	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 1321	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2 Update	Any use made of land released by current minor adaptation of hostel should be rigorously controlled and mitigated to reduce visual & aural impact of nearest residences.	89587-1543-1894	/			Concerns over lighting and the effectiveness of landscape proposals (bund and vegetation), were raised by consultees at the Stage 2 consultation. Since the Stage 2 consultation, the HPC Construction Lighting Strategy has been prepared and landscape proposals have been further developed to ensure visual screening of the on-site accommodation campus from Shurton and other nearby residential receptors.
Tractivity 62577	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	- If there is to be an on-site hostel then it should be at the northern boundary of the site and the light/noise/social/traffic impact on the hamlets of Wick and Shurton should be proven to be controlled and mitigated to the maximum achievable and agreed with residents.	10128-1543-10351		/		Proposed lighting mitigation for the on-site accommodation campus is described in the Hinkley Point C (HPC) Construction Lighting Strategy and light pollution is minimised by mitigation measures proposed within the strategy, including use of modern lighting technology designed to minimise light spill.
Exmoor National Park Authority	Local Authority	Stage 2	Once constructed, an extensive landscaping scheme is proposed on the western and southern sides of the site; however, it is unlikely that the planting will grow to such an extent to obscure distant views of the site. While the buildings themselves might not dominate the National Park, Exmoor is renowned for its dark night skies and any light source outside the National Park that causes a glow in the night sky could affect that special quality. Lighting will be needed on the site to ensure health and safety but that lighting both during construction including the accommodation campus, and with the subsequent operation of the nuclear reactor, should be designed so that glow and spillage from the site is minimised.	10209-1543-13344	/			The on-site campus is required to provide accommodation for part of the construction workforce. The proposed ground level is at +20m above ordnance datum. The on-site accommodation campus would reduce the number of vehicles travelling to and from HPC development site.  Visual screening would be achieved predominantly by the proposed bund and planting would add to the screening proposals as it develops. The area to the south of the on-site campus would be landscaped during the first phase of the HPC construction phase. Landscape mitigation measures proposed would screen the majority of the buildings and site activities when viewed from the south.
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	[4.3.11] Fourth bullet states 'the new development will affect lighting levels and the potential for light pollution.' What does EDF plan to eliminate these problems?	89290-1543-1929	/			The HPC Landscape Strategy provides details of the proposed mitigation measures, including landform and planting. All land to the south of the on-site campus made available as a result of changes to the proposed Stage 2 campus layout has been used for mitigation of impacts on the local residents.
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	[4.4.62] States 'the planted bund is unlikely to reduce views of the development completely, however it will screen the living accommodation to a large degree.' This assumes that the trees are fully mature at 10m high, which would be fine if we were talking about planting them ten to twenty years in advance - but we are not. How does EDF intend to effectively screen these buildings from the commencement of works?	89290-1543-6007	/			The landscape proposals around Wick Barrow have been redesigned since Stage 2 consultation and agreed with Natural England and English Heritage, and they would minimise the impact of the on-site accommodation campus on Wick Barrow.
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	[4.7.33] Bearing in mind the proximity of local residents, 'typical residential road lighting' will not be good enough. Will EDF confirm that they will provide well designed lighting that minimises light pollution in the surrounding area?  [4.7.34] The floodlighting for the outdoor pitches is a particular concern. Lighting from a pitch in Burnham on Sea, several miles away, is clearly visible in this area. Will EDF reconsider the location of these pitches to minimise light pollution?	89290-1543-8838	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	<p>Bp 15: Stated aim to avoid impacts on the setting and quality of the built heritage, architecture and historic landscapes. How is this aim to be reconciled with the fact that (a) the proposed bus route from the Williton park and ride is to be routed through the settlements of Stringston and Stogursey where there is a total of 27 listed buildings within 50m of the proposed route and where Stogursey itself is a conservation area, when the original route on the A39 will pass only 3 listed buildings (b) The proposed location of the campus adjacent to Shurton is on a ridge and will impact on the setting not only of Shurton's listed buildings but also be clearly visible from the Stogursey conservation area?</p> <p>[6. 3. 4] Bp 1: Stated aim to avoid impacts on air quality by siting development and construction activities away from sensitive receptors. Residents of Shurton, Wick and Burton are sensitive receptors by virtue of the close proximity of the construction site and campus to their homes. How do EDF plan to mitigate for this?</p>	89293-1543-4035	/			
Tractivity 63240	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	2.12 Given that EDF has reduced the land use needed for the southern part of the site, the Estate is concerned that the amount of land available for use with the accommodation and landscaped mounds might also therefore be reduced.	89440-1543-5621			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The provision of landscaping between the construction campus and nearby settlements is welcomed and viewed by the councils as an essential mitigation measure. It should also be noted that landscaping in this location is considered to be mitigation, and not a compensation or legacy benefit.	89334-1543-3601			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<p>Table 21.5.2 (EnvApp Volume 2) provides a summary of the value and quality in addition to the sensitivity of the existing landscape, ascribing an overall level of landscape sensitivity of Medium to the Hinkley Point C (HPC) on-site development site.</p> <p>The line of argument on the capacity of the landscape to accommodate such a development continues through the table where, under Compatibility, EDF Energy state that "the presence of the adjacent Existing Hinkley Point Power Station Complex increases the compatibility of the site with similar proposals". In turn under Scope for Mitigation it describes that " The proposed development gives the opportunity for an effective landscape and ecological strategy to be implemented".</p>	89354-1543-12693	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	the details and potential mitigation provided by a landscaping bund have not been identified.	89423-1543-18128	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
English Heritage	statutory consultee	Stage 2 Update	Whilst it is recognised that views from Wick Barrow to the south-west, towards the open landscape and beyond to the Quantocks, will be marred by the presence of new pylons, we would wish to try and mitigate against the impact of the campus upon those middle distance views if possible.	89718-1543-1882	/			
English Heritage	statutory consultee	Stage 2 Update	We therefore ask for more consideration of planting on the northern perimeter of this campus area.	89718-1543-2171		/		
Stogursey Parish Council	statutory consultee	Stage 2 Update	2.2.11 [6.4.7] Figures 4.1-4.4 show the campus being at +18m AOD, rather than +20 as proposed here. This additional 2m would have a substantially beneficial effect on reducing the visibility of the camp and would also have it at the same level as the southern site access which would seem to be operationally sensible. Will EDF confirm that they will use +18?	89872-1543-9344		/		
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	In terms of siting and layout, measures to reduce the visual impact of the scheme are welcomed in principle, although the illustrative photomontage from the 'Pumping Station' in Shurton reveals that the campus would still be a prominent feature.	89873-1543-17818	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 868	Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments? NO CAMPSITE AT HINKLEY. Hinkley Point C site would mean light and noise pollution 24 hours a day for local population.	9626-1478-1668			/	<p>Concerns were raised formally at the Stage 2 consultation by the local Parish Councils and others regarding the potential adverse impact of noise from the proposed on-site accommodation campus. Changes had already been made following the Stage 1 consultation to move the southern limit of the construction area further to the north, away from residential properties, and to enhance the landscaping.</p> <p>Following Stage 2 consultation, the size and layout of the proposed on-site accommodation was further revised. This included reducing the number of site workers to be accommodated on-site from 700 to 510. The design and layout of the campus has also been revised to reduce the amount of land required and to minimise the disturbance from noise to local residents through relocating the car parking area and sports pitches.</p> <p>The impact assessment is contained within the <b>Noise and Vibration Chapter (Chapter 11 of Volume 2) of the Environmental Statement</b>. This details the potential noise and vibration impacts associated with the construction phase of the Hinkley Point C Project.</p>
Tractivity 980	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? Totally unsatisfactory. 700 workers with nothing to do and nowhere to go is a recipe for disaster. Can you imagine the traffic noise and congestion into Bridgwater on their nights off? Every village on their route will suffer noise of traffic and the wildlife in this area will be decimated. Road traffic accidents on this road cause chaos as it is.	9738-1478-7200	/			
Tractivity 62604	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	30/7/10 -1 am writing to voice my concern about the fact that EDF does not have any clear plans about redirecting of footpaths around the Southern Boundary. As a resident of Shurton when EDF start their Preliminary Works I will be losing a number of facilities that I treasure greatly: Darkness, peace and pleasant walks. Within EDF's proposals there does not appear to be any indication of where the footpaths are to be diverted- if at all. I have been told by various people who have previous experience of these developments that the campus will be akin to the 'Wild West'. I feel that living and walking close to such an area will be frightening and intimidating when exercising my dogs, especially when it is dark. Worse still living in such close proximity to such a development will make me a virtual prisoner within my home, unable to walk the paths or along the Shurton Lane as it will be too dangerous due to the increase in traffic. EDF has not addressed the concern of the residents of Shurton with reference to the Campus and the influx of 700 migrant workers living in such close proximity. There seems to be little point in the meetings with the residents when EDF show an inflexible approach with regards to changing any of their proposals following our responses to their "proposals". I look forward to voicing my opinion and fears to my MP this weekend	10151-275-0			/	
Tractivity 1091	Public	Stage 2	2. Any other ideas or comments? You have conceded 200m, a token gesture when your border finishes 0.5km from local residents. The proposed on site accommodation is going to be 3 storeys/12m high, with a 13m high Sports Centre. Will this 200m really stop light, noise, air pollution affecting the local residents? Unlikely!	9849-1478-1136	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 1091	Public	Stage 2	<p>9c. Any other ideas or comments?</p> <p>A 700 accommodation campus seems unnecessary. To place such high numbers on site so close to small villages like Shurton and Burton will affect their daily lives so implicitly. Dust, noise and light pollution will be a factor for a great many resident. The onsite accom. &amp; leisure facilities are to be taken down, causing disruption going up and again coming down. A waste of supplies, time and money. Local roads, facilities and villages are not equipped to deal with this amount of people. Extra traffic will be generated as over half will have a parking space .Is there really a need for 700 workers in case of an emergency? We are assured it is all safe! If there must be accommodation, why not for 50 workers housed in the new Paddons Farm development in Stogursey with a 5 minute travel time to the HPC site. Sports facilities could then be made available in the village, for the Stogursey Parish with priority to the local school.</p>	9849-1478-10453	/			
Tractivity 973	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	<p>1. Any other ideas or comments?</p> <p>500 acres of land will be devastated by the building and development of Hinkley C. EDF do not appear to be trying to minimise the environmental impact - there will be huge car parks, 420 spaces for the hostel alone. The light pollution from the three storey high hostel so close to the village will be terrible. The hostel has been sited on high ground, close to the southern boundary and will tower over the village. Not only will local residents have to cope with unbearable traffic, light pollution and noise from the preliminary works. EDF have not given any clear indication or plans as to how they will minimise the noise. I feel that the planting that is planned needs to include mature trees - 30-40 years old, the cost would be insignificant to such a large, profitable company and small improvements could make a huge difference to local residents.</p>	9731-275-129	/			
Tractivity 980	Public	Stage 2	<p>9c. Any other ideas or comments?</p> <p>Totally unsatisfactory. 700 workers with nothing to do and nowhere to go is a recipe for disaster. Can you imagine the traffic noise and congestion into Bridgwater on their nights off? Every village on their route will suffer noise of traffic and the wildlife in this area will be decimated . Road traffic accidents on this road cause chaos as it is.</p>	9738-275-7200			/	
Tractivity 1050	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	<p>6. Any other ideas or comments?</p> <p>Disagree strongly. The bypass is too close to houses, school footpaths etc. to be called a bypass. It will affect our property. Noise, light, pollution and impact on the environment will be catastrophic. The Northern route is the only option.</p>	9808-275-2947			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 1170	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? It will have a huge impact on Shurton, it is not even a village! You cannot soak up 700 people in such a small place. The lanes are busy enough already. What about security, funding for 2 extra policemen is a joke. The area most of the time is absolutely silent, how come you are going to keep the noise down? Why do they need a football pitch, they are meant to be working. It is pitch black outside any lighting is going to be seen. We do not want them coming around the lanes they should be locked in the campus with no lighting outside, no playing field and everyone inside by 7.30pm in winter and summer. They need to be placed in Bridgwater where they already have facilities.	9928-1478-5695			/	
Tractivity 1172	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? Why so many? Local people (many retired here for a quiet lifestyle) are worried about hostellers? out of hours activities and noise.	9930-1478-5090			/	
Tractivity 1175	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? The onsite campus is far too large, both in height (3 Storeys) and in area - accommodation for 700 people proposed. It will be impossible to screen this building and noise levels will be unacceptable to the local residents. Its too late to complain after this is built as we know already from EDFs lack of attention, so far!	9933-1478-6437	/			
Tractivity 1195	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? This is totally unacceptable. We have not been given any justification of this campus. There is no possibility of 700 people integrating into the local community. There are very limited facilities in the area and the building of amenities on site will only increase noise, light pollution, smells and local traffic servicing the camp. There is every likelihood that antisocial behaviour and crime will increase as proven in Dover when the tunnel was built. The funding of two extra police officers is inadequate. At the recent demonstration outside the existing site there were 5 police officers to control 40 demonstrators	9953-1478-7363	/			
Tractivity 1257	Public	Stage 2 Update	We are slightly apprehensive about on - site leisure facilities for construction workers and the possibility of noise and disturbance in surrounding villages during ?off-duty? periods.	89523-1478-652			/	
Tractivity 62430	Public	Stage 2	The presence of the campus itself, especially in the proposed position, would cause noise and light pollution, which is unacceptable in this quiet rural community, quite apart from the increased traffic and construction noise while it is built.	10064-1478-2253	/			
Tractivity 62431	Public	Stage 2	After the build, the noise and light pollution from the Campus would still be unacceptable in this peaceful area,	10065-1478-1568			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 62437	Public	Stage 2	The positioning of the camp on high ground, with outside sports facilities, will lead visual intrusion and to noise and light pollution.	10069-1478-1254			/	
Tractivity 62577	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	They take no account of the noise and light intrusion on the established community of local residents - a mere 300 yards away - which will be constant, 2417, for years.	10128-1478-5450			/	
Tractivity 62577	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	- The company itself admits that it will have difficulty reducing light pollution from the proposed campus. It has not acknowledged that there will also be noise nuisance, visual intrusion and evening and weekend recreational nuisance which will affect all local traffic and the private lives of the local hamlet residents.	10128-1478-9779			/	
Tractivity 62582	Public	Stage 2	The local people here will already be suffering enough distress from the construction of Hinkley C itself, which will mean lots of construction noise from diggers bleeping, hammering, piling, blasting, day and sometimes at night, traffic noise from lorries, movement of construction materials, noise from the new jetty, cars, diggers etc day and night, dirt and dust most of the time, destruction of our lovely countryside and views; we do not understand why EDF wish to inflict the campus on us as well as all this, making our lives here a real misery for the next ten years.	10133-1478-7291			/	
Stringston Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	Other concerns raised regard the on-site accommodation at Shurton. - Firstly, whether it is essential, as it will affect the lives of residents so negatively, with its close proximity, meaning light/dust/noise/air pollution. The buildings will be extremely large, up to 13m for the Sports Hall and three storeys high for the living accommodation.	10233-1478-7111	/			
Stringston Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	Furthermore we are concerned about the possibility of noise and light pollution and would therefore like tests to ensure our hamlet is not adversely affected, particularly during night time hours.	10233-1478-8064			/	
Stringston Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	We request further research to be carried out with regard to noise levels and light filtration to the village, during the construction phase.	10233-1478-8706			/	
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	On-site Accommodation Campus: The case for having an on-site campus for 700 workers has not been made by EDF. Due to the proposed location, there is no possibility of legacy use; therefore the community will be subjected to both noise and visual impact resulting from the close-proximity of the campus to their homes, the potential for law and order issues, and with no future prospect of obtaining any benefit from it.	89288-1478-6127	/			



Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	There are major concerns for local residents from noise and light pollution	89288-1478-10908			/	
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	[4.7.42] Commuter traffic noise. It is likely, due to the prevailing wind, that commuter traffic noise will carry south of Shurton. On what does EDF base their statement that it is unlikely to affect this area?	89290-1478-9765			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Council officers during informal pre-application advice between Stage 1 and Stage 2 described the proposed accommodation as being "an engineer-led solution' to the wider planning of the site" with the officer's view being that the form and location of the development outlined within the plans as being unacceptable. This is in part due to the fact that the proposed development would cause significant harm to the character and environmental quality of the area, and residential amenity of the surrounding properties	89333-1478-9109			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Officers at West Somerset Council have previously expressed concern that the anticipated noise and light levels associated with the construction and operation of 700 worker space and 545 car parking spaces being accommodated so close to a rural hamlet may be unacceptable, resulting in significant harm that will be difficult to mitigate.	89334-1478-2964	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The assessment for occupation of the construction on-site accommodation campus has been carried out in accordance with BS4142. Whilst it is agreed that this is the right approach, it is contradictory to the earlier statement about operational noise that BS4142 is not suitable (para. 10.6.54).	89346-1478-9880	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The assessment is carried out for noise from plant associated with the campus and from activity noise within the campus. There is no information on the design of the building or plant and therefore the impacts cannot be stated with certainty.	89346-1478-10177	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	No assessment has been carried out for other activities associated with the accommodation campus, such as vehicle movements or noise from external activities.	89346-1478-10569	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	It is noted that the assessment does not include noise from external activities and vehicle movements associated with the accommodation campus and therefore impacts may be higher.	89346-1478-18287	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	- Mitigation measures for noise, such as the landscaping bund and site hoardings, have not been quantified in a number of locations resulting in uncertainty in the assessment of residual effects.	89430-1478-4164	/			
Tractivity 62949	Public	Stage 2 Update	If the need for a few workers on site can be justified, I support the WSCC suggestion of a maximum of 100. Further, if these are resident for short periods only for a specific job, there is not a need for extra facilities, which will add to the concerns over noise and light pollution.	89680-1478-1137		/		
Tractivity 62953	Public	Stage 2 Update	Of course the proposed Campus would generate disturbance to local residents in terms of noise, light pollution and additional traffic, as well as concerns about the behaviour of the workers.	89682-1478-548			/	
Tractivity 62955	Public	Stage 2 Update	It would mean more noise, light, disruption, isn't having the new plant built here enough for us to live with?	89683-1478-422			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
17	Comments received under the EIR from the IPC	Stage 2	<p>1Hinkely C Site</p> <p>Satisfactory Totally Unsatisfactory No Opinion Don't know</p> <p>- I find it impossible to believe that a civilised human being imagined this development on this site.</p> <p>- What deluded model of social interaction persuades the company that siting a 700 bed- hostel with buildings three-storeys high, recreational facilities indoors and out and on-site parking for residents' cars and service personnel, on high ground above the nearest community - a hamlet centuries old with several listed buildings lying a mere 300 yards distant - represents the actions of the 'good neighbour' which EdF claims that it wishes to be?</p> <p>- The company itself admits that it will have difficulty reducing light pollution from the proposed campus. It has not acknowledged that there will also be noise nuisance, visual intrusion and evening and weekend recreational nuisance which will affect all local traffic and the private lives of the local hamlet residents. There will be no benefit to the local communities during operation and no legacy use,</p>	89806-1478-9767			/	
Stogursey Parish Council	statutory consultee	Stage 2 Update	2.2.10 [6.2.2] All of the stated benefits are for EDF, with local residents suffering the noise, light, potential law and order problems and the traffic required to support the campus.	89872-1478-9156			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 62508	Public	Stage 2	Levels of noise, light, and dust pollution have not been significantly described, and as a result, only minor mitigation measures are being considered.	10098-1477-18802	/			Comments were made at Stage 2 of the consultation on the locations and results of baseline noise monitoring.
Tractivity 62508	Public	Stage 2	Noise and light pollution measurements are subjective, and measurements have not been taken in all areas likely to be affected. Mitigation for noise, in the form of a soil "bund" fails to take into account the ability for soil to absorb the noise, against any other substance.	10098-1477-18957	/			<p>The methodology for noise assessment has been discussed with and agreed by the local authorities. approach taken to assess potential noise and vibration impacts from the proposed development are detailed in the <b>Noise and Vibration Chapter (Chapter 11 of Volume 2) of the Environmental Statement</b>. Details of measurement locations and results are given in the supporting references. The effects of the proposed on-site accommodation campus have been assessed as part of the overall construction phase of the proposed main Hinkley Point C power station site. This includes both the construction and operation of the proposed accommodation campus.</p> <p>The assessment has considered the potential noise impact from all aspects of the campus being occupied, including mechanical service units for amenity buildings and use of outdoor recreational facilities.</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 62508	Public	Stage 2	What mitigation measures will EdF put in place for the increase in noise levels from the e/tra vehicle movements and campus generated sources?	10098-1480-6343		/		<p>Comments were made at Stage 2 of the consultation seeking further information about proposed noise mitigation measures. The local Parish Council expressed concern that noise levels could be very significant. The Somerset NHS Trust noted that strict working hours controls may be needed to avoid sleep disturbance.</p> <p>The mitigation measures proposed to reduce, offset or minimise the impact of noise and vibration from the proposed on-site accommodation campus are outlined in <b>Chapter 2 of Volume 2 of the Environmental Statement (ES)</b>. Following Stage 2 consultation, the size and layout of the proposed on-site accommodation campus was amended. The number of construction workers to be accommodated on-site was reduced to 500 and the layout was revised, relocating potential noise sources, such as the five-a-side football pitches, to the north away from the residents of Shurton and Wick.</p> <p>The landscaping of the area south of the on-site accommodation campus has been designed to reduce as far as is practicable potential noise impact from the on-site accommodation campus and the residential properties of Shurton and Wick. A voluntary scheme to provide sound insulation (through double-glazing) for properties in the hamlets closest to the site is proposed.</p> <p>Since Stage 2 consultation, and in conjunction with the planning application for site preparation works, a noise and vibration management plan will be implemented before construction starts. This will ensure compliance with the agreed construction limit values for noise, and will also cover activities at the accommodation campus (during construction and operation). Noise monitoring will be carried out and this will help in investigations of complaints received or events where limit values may be exceeded.</p>
Tractivity 62508	Public	Stage 2	Levels of noise, light, and dust pollution have not been significantly described, and as a result, only minor mitigation measures are being considered.	10098-1480-18802	/			
Tractivity 62508	Public	Stage 2	Noise and light pollution measurements are subjective, and measurements have not been taken in all areas likely to be affected. Mitigation for noise, in the form of a soil "bund" fails to take into account the ability for soil to absorb the noise, against any other substance.	10098-1480-18957	/			
Tractivity 62577	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	- If there is to be an on-site hostel then it should be at the northern boundary of the site and the light/noise/social/traffic impact on the hamlets of Wick and Shurton should be proven to be controlled and mitigated to the maximum achievable and agreed with residents.	10128-1480-10351		/		
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	[4.3.11] Effect on existing views. Third bullet states 'the new development will increase noise levels, both from increase in vehicular movements and from campus-generated sources. What does EDF plan to do to eliminate this increase?	89290-1480-1691	/			
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	[4.4.56] Campus location. The proposed location will increase noise nuisance for local residents. Why can't EDF build the campus closer to construction site and increase sound proofing so workers will not be disturbed?	89290-1480-5273		/		
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	[4.7.41] States that 'construction noise impacts may be significant, but comparable to that from other parts of the power station site.' This contradicts statements elsewhere that the construction noise from the overall site will not be significant. SPC believe that this noise will be very significant, particularly given the proximity to local residents of the camp site. Will EDF say what they plan to do to mitigate this noise?	89290-1480-9332		/		
NHS Somerset Primary Care Trust	Non-Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	It is likely that strict controls of hours of operation generally, and of especially noisy activities in particular, will be required to avoid sleep disturbance both of nearby residents and workers in the campus accommodation, during construction.	89460-1480-6217			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
<b>Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response</b>	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Officers at West Somerset Council have previously e/pressed concern that the anticipated noise and light levels associated with the construction and operation of 700 worker space and 545 car parking spaces being accommodated so close to a rural hamlet may be unacceptable, resulting in significant harm that will be difficult to mitigate. The development as shown on the plans submitted is not accompanied by any information to make this assessment possible and, therefore, cannot be justified at this stage.	89334-1480-2435	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - Local Authority, Statutory Consultee and Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 1	f) The need for the workers accommodation on the main site itself has not been clearly established in the consultation report. The location of workers accommodation on site is a matter of significant public concern. The document does not provide a robust evidence base that establishes the grounds upon which this development is required. Furthermore, if workers accommodation is considered to be necessary, it is suggested that EDF should clearly state how the potential social issues of locating a significant number of workers will not have a negative impact upon the local communities in close proximity to the site.	87920-1456-0		/		EDF Energy began informal engagement with key statutory consultees, landowners, other interested parties and the local community at the beginning of 2008, supported by a formal consultation process since 2009. The consultation process has provided EDF Energy with valuable feedback on its proposals, highlighted key issues and options to be considered and has helped refine its proposals, including those in connection with the On-Site Accommodation Campus (the proposed development).
West Hinkley Action Group	Non-Statutory Consultee	Stage 1	- The residents do not wish a hostel to be located on site, but if there is no alternative then they wish the numbers to be limited to 700 beds. The campus should be located as far to the north-east of the site and as far away from Shurton as possible. There is concern about workers leaving local public houses and causing a nuisance to local householders and there should be effective measures to prevent unofficial short-cuts from the entire site to Shurton and Stogursey village. If a campus is built there should be consultation with the local community about after-use of the site; use as an outage car-park is considered to be susceptible to misuse and abuse, a potential source of noise and light pollution, and likely to be unsuitable for the proposed legitimate use unless it is much closer to the main development.	8755-1456-4312		/		The Stage 1 consultation was launched on EDF Energy's 'Initial Proposals and Options' between November 2009 and January 2010. At that stage the proposals were necessarily broad, in order to provide consultees with an opportunity to influence the proposals. Respondents at the Stage 1 consultation mainly commented on the need, suitability and operation of the accommodation campus with comments divided as to whether the proposed development was appropriate or not. The Stage 1 consultation also included meetings with West Somerset Council, relevant stakeholders and local residents to discuss the provision of landscaping and other mitigation measures which could limit the possible impacts of the proposed development on local residents.  The Stage 2 consultation took place between July 2010 and October 2010 and gave statutory consultees, other relevant stakeholders, the local community and the general public the opportunity to comment on the more specific and detailed proposals. At that stage EDF Energy presented their 'Preferred Proposals' and produced an environmental appraisal which drew upon the design and environmental baseline and assessment work undertaken. Some of this information formed the basis of the <b>Environmental Statement</b> , submitted with this application for Development Consent Order.
Tractivity 816	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? Not really sure about this one - but it could result in similar to above	9574-1456-5598		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 986	Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments? Strategy not thought about properly by EDF. you don't listen to us and act on our views and wishes. i do not want a campus at Hinkley C because the Parish is far too small to cope. Campus should be in Bridgwater - all the works should be housed there where they have nearby shops, etc and other facilities already. This would be good for much larger population of Bridgwater, who would benefit from swimming pools, sports facilities etc that were provided by EDF. What a good legacy this could be. I am worried that having a campus at Hinkley C will cause crime, burglary, drunkenness and other antisocial behaviour plus traffic noise/light pollution and noisy sports being played at night all year round. This would be for ten years- I am 78 years old so this would ruin my life. We came here because it is peaceful. Very upset about this proposal.	9744-1456-2426		/		EDF Energy received the majority of comments during the Stage 2 consultation and as a result of the concerns raised by local residents, the details of the proposed development were further refined. These changes were published at the Stage 2 Update consultation to give the local community, statutory consultees, other relevant stakeholders and the general public another opportunity to comment on the proposals.  The amendments made to the proposals at Stage 2 Update consultation were in response to the comments EDF Energy received at Stage 2 including:
Tractivity 986	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? I am fearful at the thought of having a workers campus at hinkley C overlooking Shurton. I do NOT want a campus here at all. It is not necessary. this parish is far too small to cope with 700 more people; I am afraid we will have crime, drunkenness, antisocial behaviour, noise, light pollution, traffic everywhere day and night. Not enough police provided by EDF. This would be for 10 years! and would make our lives a misery. local people DO NOT WANT A CAMPUS, recently voted unanimously against this proposal. We would like EDF to listen to us and act on what we think. Very worried about this.	9744-1456-7300		/		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>reducing the number of bedspaces from 700 to 510;</li> <li>relocating the external sports pitches to the north-eastern corner of the site, to facilitate opportunities for public access;</li> <li>rationalising the site area and layout by reducing the number of accommodation buildings;</li> <li>providing a single amenity building rather than multiple buildings;</li> <li>lowering the ground level of the site to a maximum of 20m Above Ordnance Datum (AOD) and the embankment to south to a maximum of 26m AOD;</li> </ul>
Tractivity 1059	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? We know that the locals are worried about the impact of the size of this accommodation but we feel that you are doing your best to screen the site and it would be best for the majority of the workers to be on site - better for them than having to face an hour or more a day travelling and better for the local roads.	9817-1456-5292		/		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>reducing the visual impact of the proposed development from surrounding villages, by moving the location further to the north-east and increasing the bund and surrounding landscaping; and</li> <li>relocating the car park to the north-west of the site to reduce potential noise impacts.</li> </ul>
Tractivity 1089	Public	Stage 2	Too close to residences. I do not agree with a campus being so close to the houses .This is not what we were told in consultation 1 !!	9847-1456-430			/	In addition to the formal consultation process, informal engagement was progressed with parties including: Sedgemoor District Council, West Somerset Council, the Highways Authority (Somerset County Council) and the Environment Agency. Further details on the informal consultation process are provided in <b>Chapter 4 of this Consultation Report.</b>
Tractivity 1111	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? though i believe higher numbers are possible	9869-1456-4953			/	In principle, local residents expressed concerns about the possible impact of having a significant number of construction workers living near small, rural hamlets, and concern about their segregation from local people. EDF Energy is confident that the accommodation campus would be well-managed, such that its residents do not cause disturbance in the
Tractivity 1142	Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments? Not enough detailed information about this strategy to make an informed judgement or comment.	9900-1456-1665			/	



Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 1169	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? Try to put more at Hinkley point	9927-1456-5030		/		local area. A strict code of conduct would be applied to all workers, both on and off-site, and antisocial behaviour would not be tolerated.
Tractivity 1175	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? The onsite campus is far too large, biot in height (3 Storeys) and in area - accommodation for 700 people proposed. It will be impossible to screen this building and noise levels will be unacceptable to the local residents. Its too late to complain after this is built as we know already from EDFs lack of attention, so far!	9933-1456-6437	/			EDF Energy believes that an on-site accommodation campus is the best way of managing the large construction workforce to minimise any potential disruption to local residents. Nonetheless, EDF Energy was sensitive to the strongly expressed views of local residents and the local authorities and reduced the size of the proposed development from 700 to 510 bedspaces, as explained above.
Tractivity 1183	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? As question 4	9941-1456-5239		/		While it is recognised that there are those consultees who would like to see an even smaller on-site accommodation campus, it is considered that any further reduction in the size of the proposed development would materially reduce the operational efficiency of the accommodation campus, which could have knock-on consequences for delivery of the construction programme. It would also increase the transport impacts on the local road network.
Tractivity 1186	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? Although it is the convention to have worker campuses adjacent to the site, detained proposals should be agreed with Parish Council District Councils and the local residents.	9944-1456-5714		/		Furthermore it would be increasingly difficult to justify the economic provision of good quality on-site facilities and supporting amenities for construction workers. Please refer to the <b>Accommodation Strategy</b> for further details.
Tractivity 1205	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? Possibly. Need more information to make an informed decision on 700 places (Do you mean 700 car park spaces?)	9963-1456-5065	/			EDF Energy considers that sufficient information has been provided for consultees to determine the key impacts of the HPC Project for the purposes of their consultation responses. A detailed summary of the final design of the proposed HPC accommodation campus can be found within the <b>HPC Accommodation Campus Design and Access Statement</b> .
Tractivity 242	Public	Stage 1	12. Do you have any other comments about EDF Energy's initial proposals for the development of a new nuclear power station at Hinkley Point? We moved to the area 5 years ago on the understanding that Hinkley C would be built, we are in support of this , however we feel very strongly about the amount of workers being kept on site , right opposite our home. So would very much like some personal involvement from the Management team to help overcome this immense problem.	8938-1456-5210		/		
Tractivity 62308	Public	Stage 2	In considering our response to EDF's preferred proposals, the West Hinkley Action Group is seeking further information on two areas of major concern to the residents of Burton and Shurton. On site workers campus: We note that, in the earlier plan for Hinkley C. the Central Electricity Generating Board considered accommodation on site for 400 workers would meet its requirements. Can EDF, rather than merely latching on to the figure suggested by Michael Barnes QC, demonstrate to us the operational need to house up to 700 workers on site?	9997-1456-0	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 62332	Public	Stage 2	3) Why did the EdF French Engineers inform us all at the Public Meeting in June that they did not need a permanent work force on site? They are surely the ones who know what is required to build the reactors. We are well aware that at Flammanville, the workers do not live on site. Why then, is it necessary at Hinkley?	10014-1456-1368		/		
Tractivity 62373	Public	Stage 2	We are very puzzled as to why, when the French Engineers who attended one of the meetings told us all that they did not want or need workers living on site, that you are still determined to press ahead with this dreadful and life-changing idea.  As to the number quoted, of 700 workers, nobody in EdF has yet been able to justify this arbitrary figure, which appears to have been plucked from the Barnes Enquiry of some 20 years ago. Challenging your staff to justify the number and to produce an essential 'core' figure has brought no response at all. It cannot have been thought through if no justification is possible at this stage.	10044-1456-850	/			
Tractivity 62430	Public	Stage 2	No justification for the figure of 700 units is given, which itself is against all normal planning procedure.	10064-1456-2499	/			
Tractivity 62431	Public	Stage 2	Not only is there no justification given for the arbitrary figure of '700 workers', but the stated aims of keeping the Campus as far north from Shurton and to maintain the rurality of the area are negated by this proposal.	10065-1456-1074	/			
Tractivity 62431	Public	Stage 2	A further reason for housing workers on the Hinkley site is stated as 'having workers close to their place of work'. This does not happen at Flammanville, and the French engineers stated at a public meeting of residents that they saw no reason for workers to be housed on site.	10065-1456-2587			/	
Tractivity 62572	Public	Stage 2	There is no justification in the Stage 2 documentation for the 700 beds.	10123-1456-832	/			
Tractivity 62604	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	EDF has not addressed the concern of the residents of Shurton with reference to the Campus and the influx of 700 migrant workers living in such close proximity. There seems to be little point in the meetings with the residents when EDF show an inflexible approach with regards to changing any of their proposals following our responses to their "proposals". I look forward to voicing my opinion and fears to my MP this weekend	10151-1456-948	/			
CABE	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	We have not commented on the relationship of the site to the auxiliary infrastructure development, for example park and ride sites and temporary housing, and would welcome the opportunity to review these aspects separately, before the planning application is submitted, either at CABE or the affiliated South-West panel.	10185-1456-8667			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
British Telecommunications (BT)	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	<p>Openreach apparatus will be affected within your areas of interest. Openreach records indicate that a substantial amount of our apparatus exists near to the areas of your proposed works, which will need to be diverted.</p> <p>Please note that no site survey's have yet been carried out at this stage and will be chargeable, and therefore can you please contact us directly so that we can provide you with the necessary estimate of costs to provide survey's and any subsequent alteration/diversion. Plans of at least 1:500 will be required.</p>	10200-1456-180			/	
Sedgemoor District Council, West Somerset Council and Somerset County Council Joint Councils Response	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee (Somerset) and consultee with an interest in land (Somerset and Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<p>we wish to draw your attention to a number of documents which we believe are missing from the Stage 2 consultation. Specifically, the documents that are missing are:</p> <p>Thematic Vision Next Steps Document</p> <p>Freight Management Strategy Updated Saturn Forecasting Report</p> <p>Supporting Traffic Flow data</p> <p>Paramics Forecasting Report</p> <p>Local Model Validation Report (Saturn and Paramics)</p> <p>Draft Transport Assessment</p> <p>Legacy plans for both the proposed M5 Park &amp; Ride sites Visitor Management Strategy Site Waste Management Plan</p> <p>Integrated Waste Strategy Construction Management Plan Environmental Management and Monitoring Plan Detailed 1:500 drawings of Masterplans</p> <p>Overarching Accommodation Strategy including location of temporary accommodation, permanent and affordable housing, housing sector mitigation and details of management systems to be employed Community Safety and Wellbeing Plan</p> <p>Procurement Strategy and Contract Implementation Strategy</p> <p>Operations Workforce Development Strategy</p> <p>Lighting Strategy</p> <p>Delivery Plan for the Low Carbon Business Cluster</p> <p>Fire and Rescue Resourcing Strategy</p> <p>Ambulance Resourcing Strategy</p> <p>Security Management Strategy</p> <p>Incident Management Plan</p> <p>Archaeology - Written Scheme of Investigation,</p> <p>Amec 2009 'Cultural Heritage Desk-Based Assessment, Hinkley Point</p> <p>Hinkley Point Foreshore Survey, Gloucester CC Archaeology Service</p> <p>Intertidal and offshore Archaeology at Hinkley Point</p> <p>Cannington Bypass - Geophysical Survey</p> <p>Junction 24 P and R - Geophysical Survey</p>	10275-1456-836			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
			<p>Junction 23 P and R - Geophysical Survey</p> <p>Wiliiton - Geophysical Survey</p> <p>Combwich - Geophysical Survey</p> <p>Integrated Land Management Plan</p> <p>Site Drainage Management Scheme</p> <p>Soil Management Plan</p> <p>Ecology Surveys Findings</p> <p>BEEMS 2010. Impact of new nuclear build at Hinkley Point on intertidal food availability for birds.</p> <p>BEEMS 2009. The combined effects of Hinkley B + C and refuelling scenarios.</p> <p>BEEMS 2010. Coralline aldae thermal sensitivity report.</p> <p>BEEMS 2010 Hinkley Jetty Scour Assessment</p> <p>Amec 2010 Environmental Impact Assessment. Technical Note Radiological (CIDEN-002). Issue 04 - Preliminary. March 2010</p> <p>Details of the Contractor's Charitable Trust</p>					
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Landscape planting details</li> <li>- Restoration details of temporary sites</li> <li>- Lighting Strategy</li> <li>- Recreational/open space mitigation/compensation information (relating to Bridgwater accommodation campuses)</li> <li>- Full details on impacts on the historic environment (or clarity if all the expected data has been provided)</li> </ul>	89200-1456-3822			/	
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	[3.3.2] There is no mention under the Stage 1 consultation of the concern expressed by SPC and local residents about the on-site camp. Will EDF accept that this concern was raised, and will they now say what they plan to do about it?	89289-1456-5675	/			
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	[4.4.54] States 'In addition, measures will be introduced that seek to mitigate against the impact of the development'. What will these measures be? It is not possible to comment authoritatively on these camp proposals without fully understanding what is proposed.	89290-1456-5002			/	
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	[4.9.2] States 'The detailed design will also take into account the relevant responses to the Stage 2 consultation.' Many respondees stated they did not want the camp at all, and others wanted the camp as far north and east as possible. It would appear that EDF consider these responses not to be relevant. Can EDF say why these responses have been ignored?	89290-1456-9981			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	[2.2.3] Chair of the IPC has stated that there should be extensive consultation with local communities and that this is not only a means of ensuring that views of local people are heard but they are also ACTED upon. How can EDF demonstrate that they have adhered to these guidelines when they have ignored local requests for the removal of the proposed on-site campus and the need for road improvements and flood mitigation measures in the immediate vicinity of the site?	89291-1456-660	/			
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	[4. 2. 2] Bullet point 3 states that there was widespread scepticism and opposition to EDF's proposals for workers accommodation.  Bp.12 states that Stogursey Parish Council and residents oppose the on-site campus. Bp 14 notes general support for worker's accommodation in Bridgwater.  [4. 3. 20] States that over 40% of objections to the on-site campus were from people living in close proximity to the site.  Why has EDF chosen to ignore the express wishes of those closest to the on-site hostel , when they have both listened to and acted upon the views of residents of Williton and Cannington with regard to their proposed hostel accommodation?	89291-1456-1866	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Modular and sprung construction technologies may provide the means for a proportion of buildings to be relocated post-construction to a settlement where they would provide a valuable and sustainable legacy use. Any such proposals would however clearly require careful consideration and consultation with the authorities and the communities involved.	89333-1456-11673		/		
Tractivity 62927	Public	Stage 2 Update	- Workforce Numbers. Do the workforce numbers on site that we have quoted (5600 at peak et al) include the administrative and support personnel that will be required to service the on site campus, for example?	89672-1456-355			/	
Tractivity 62955	Public	Stage 2 Update	It would mean more noise, light, disruption, isn't having the new plant built here enough for us to live with? Does EDF seriously think this is acceptable? Please act upon what we ask for.	89683-1456-422	/			
Williton Parish Council	statutory consultee	Stage 2 Update	- Clarification was required regarding the number of bed spaces resulting from the reduction of campus bed spaces	89759-1456-947	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
17	Comments received under the EIR from the IPC	Stage 2	<p>1Hinkely C Site</p> <p>Satisfactory Totally Unsatisfactory No Opinion Don't know</p> <p>- I find it impossible to believe that a civilised human being imagined this development on this site.</p> <p>- What deluded model of social interaction persuades the company that siting a 700 bed- hostel with buildings three-storeys high, recreational facilities indoors and out and on-site parking for residents' cars and service personnel, on high ground above the nearest community - a hamlet centuries old with several listed buildings lying a mere 300 yards distant - represents the actions of the 'good neighbour' which EdF claims that it wishes to be?</p>	89806-1456-9767			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 62437	Public	Stage 2	The construction of such a large camp, which will subsequently be dismantled, is not a sustainable option.	10069-1457-1480			/	<p>A member of the public at Stage 2 consultation argued that the construction of a large camp that will be dismantled is not sustainable. However, at peak times, existing local accommodation may be insufficient to accommodate all workers therefore it is necessary to provide purpose-built accommodation to facilitate the construction of Hinkley Point C (HPC).</p> <p>Operationally this is the best location for a campus because it will enable workers to get to site quickly and easily and it has transport benefits by reducing congestion on the road network. As a response to concerns expressed by local people at Stage 2, the number of workers accommodated on-site has been reduced. EDF Energy has reduced the number of bed spaces from 700 to 510. The temporary nature of the on site accommodation campus is mitigated with a Building Research Establishment Environmental Assessment Method (BREEAM) strategy to ensure sustainable design and construction and a re-use hierarchy which aims to ensure the most sustainable reuse option is implemented upon deconstruction. Further information can be found in the <b>Main Site Design and Access Statement (DAS)</b> and the <b>Waste Management Implementation Strategy</b>.</p> <p>Energy efficiency has also been considered for the scheme. This is detailed within the Energy Strategy and Main Site DAS.</p> <p>Whilst it is recognised that there will inevitably be air quality impacts during the construction of Hinkley Point C (HPC), EDF Energy has endeavoured to reduce this impact with strict requirements on contractors including a requirement to sign up to the Considerate Constructors Scheme (CCS). Further to construction standards, air quality impacts will be mitigated by reducing individual car journeys by construction workers through the use of park and rides and encouraging sustainable modes of transport. Further information can be found in the Travel Plan.</p> <p>Leisure facilities on the campus would be made available to local people.</p>
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	[3.2.9] Energy efficiency. Where is the detail as to the specifications for the buildings to ensure they are energy efficient? Elsewhere BREEAM 'Good' is specified which is not sufficiently challenging.	89289-1457-13119	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	- The ability for campus-based accommodation and the segregation associated with it, to contribute to a sustainable community is questioned. Recognising the commitment to well serviced campus accommodation, ambiguity remains associated with the accessibility to leisure and health services provided by campus accommodation,	89412-1558-4273	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual – Local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	- The ability for campus-based accommodation and the segregation associated with it, to contribute to a sustainable community is questioned. Recognising the commitment to well serviced campus accommodation, ambiguity remains associated with the accessibility to leisure and health services provided by campus accommodation,	89412-1457-4273	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	The Hinkley Point C Accommodation Campus site is greenfield land outside of any settlement. In principle therefore provision of accommodation on this site would not be acceptable to the local authority. Development of this scale, alongside the construction areas will cause direct harm to the character and environmental quality of the area as well as the residential amenity of the surrounding areas.	89886-1454-1221		/		<p>Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council identified a number of different policies and documents to be considered as part of the development of Hinkley Point C (HPC) Project. The <b>Planning Statement</b> details how the HPC Project, to which the On-Site accommodation campus forms part, has been informed by and responds to planning policy and other relevant guidance.</p> <p>EDF Energy considers that that there is a clear requirement to provide campus accommodation on or adjacent to the HPC development site. The proposed development is necessary development associated with the Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project (i.e. the construction of the HPC power station). The proposed development would allow workers to either walk directly to site or take a very short bus journey within the site compound. This would materially reduce the amount of trips made through Cannington and other local villages and would be particularly beneficial for those personnel working night shifts or irregular unsocial hours. Having personnel close to the HPC development site would also ensure a rapid and effective response to any on-site issues or incidents.</p> <p>EDF Energy is sensitive to the policy concerns of the consultees and it is important to reiterate that the proposed development would only be temporary. Once it is no longer required for operational purposes, it is EDF Energy's intention to restore the site to greenfield/countryside. This would include the removal of all services and associated infrastructure and, along with new landscaping, would help to return the area to its original character. Please refer to the <b>HPC Accommodation Campus Design and Access Statement</b> for further details.</p>



Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Nether Stowey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 1	At the public meeting held in Nether Stowey on 14 January 2010 the EDF Energy representative stated that it had been estimated that 12% of the incoming workers would be in family units, rather than unaccompanied. The Parish Council believes that any development of workers accommodation in Nether Stowey should be designed to cater for this segment of the workforce. It is considered that such family units (with or without dependant children) are more likely to contribute to village life, support local businesses and facilities and less likely to cause law and order problems.	8717-1455-11205		/		Throughout the pre-application consultation phase for the Hinkley Point C (HPC) Project, the design and scope of the HPC On-Site Accommodation Campus (the proposed development) has been refined, albeit the principle of the proposed development has remained the same. EDF Energy intends to locate a 510-bed accommodation campus to include living and sleeping accommodation, two all weather 5-a-side football pitches, an amenity building and ancillary development. The proposed development would house non-home-based workers of all grades during the construction phase of the HPC Project. In developing its proposals EDF Energy has had due regard to consultation feedback.
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 1	6. The Parish Council voted unanimously against a hostel for workers being built on site at Hinkley	8723-1455-1307			/	
Highways Agency	Statutory Consultee	Stage 1	For workers' accommodation, the Agency supports the provision of accommodation on- site for up to 700 workers which would reduce the need to travel. The Agency would welcome a further increase in the provision of worker accommodation on-site.	88860-1455-6541		/		A number of statutory consultees opposed the development of an accommodation campus on-site at HPC and strong opposition was expressed by some local residents. However, other statutory consultees and members of the public from further afield supported the proposed development with some arguing that all non-home-based workers should be accommodated on-site. Several statutory consultees also noted that locating workers on-site could potentially reduce traffic movements.
Kilve Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 1	4.1.1 Campus accommodation for construction workers; This should not be considered as permanent, or as a legacy for the future. The assumption is that the campus will be for single construction workers. To consider this for affordable housing once the site is complete would be totally unacceptable. Firstly, it would ruin the rurality of the area even further, and secondly, as residential accommodation, it would be much to close to a nuclear installation.	88930-1455-4630		/		As explained in the <b>Accommodation Strategy</b> , EDF Energy considers that there is a clear requirement to provide campus accommodation on or adjacent to the HPC development site during the construction phase, to accommodate non-home-based workers. An on-site accommodation campus would allow workers to take a very short journey within the site compound to the construction site. This would materially reduce the amount of trips made through Cannington and other local villages on the local road network and would be particularly beneficial for those personal working night shifts or irregular unsocial hours. Having personnel close to the HPC development site would ensure a rapid and effective response to any on-site issues or incidents.
Tractivity 823	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? Totally unsatisfactory and TOTALLY rejected, reasons given before. Think again!	9581-1455-5470			/	
Tractivity 846	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments? We have enough stress in Shurton, we want no camp here putting pressure on local lives. In France you do not need a camp on site, so why here? Put all the workers in B/W. We live in a ?pleasant rural location? and that should be considered and respected. As (Personal details removed) has quoted for Cannington and de-camped for them. NO CAMP AT HINKLEY POINT.	9604-1455-1929			/	Comments received principally raised the potential for anti-social behaviour of occupants of the proposed development and the potential impact of having a significant number of construction workers living near small, rural hamlets, and concern about their segregation from local people. To address these concerns EDF Energy developed a Worker Code of Conduct (see <b>Annex 6 to the Environmental</b>
Tractivity 846	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? No camp at Hinkley Point. Too much pressure on Shurton. We already have enough to put up with. You don?t need on site in France so why here!?	9604-1455-5281			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 846	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	<p>leave Benhole lane alone. you dont own it and have no right to change it in any way. So keep out. Hope p. permission is refused for everything!</p> <p>1) individual compensation for residents of Shurton for the stress and anxiety already caused by this long drawn out consultation.</p> <p>2) property devaluation</p> <p>3)loss of human rights, to enjoy the peace and privacy of our homes; and to continue our lives, as we wish.</p> <p>4) Having to live with the threat of nuclear waste stored on site</p> <p>5) excess traffic a huge threat to local horse riders and dog walkers</p> <p>6) ruining our special part of Somerset and forcing us to rethink our life plans. Totally unfair</p>	9604-1455-7101			/	<p><b>Statement) to:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>communicate the behaviour expected of workers and outline the means by which the Code will be communicated to all occupants of the proposed accommodation campuses;</li> <li>outline the role of employers;</li> <li>outline the monitoring mechanism; and</li> <li>inform the community of the standard of behaviour they should expect.</li> </ul> <p>EDF Energy initiated a similar Code of Conduct at Sizewell and West Burton power stations and they proved to be highly effective.</p>
Tractivity 868	Public	Stage 2	<p>4. Any other ideas or comments?</p> <p>NO CAMPSITE AT HINKLEY. Hinkley Point C site would mean light and noise pollution 24 hours a day for local population.</p>	9626-1455-1668			/	<p>It is also proposed that a Community Liaison Officer would be appointed whose responsibility (amongst other things) would be to monitor comments and complaints, take necessary action, and give feedback to the person who made initial contact. For more information on the Worker Code of Conduct and additional mitigation measures, please refer to the <b>Accommodation Strategy</b>.</p> <p>EDF Energy believes that an on-site accommodation campus is the best way of managing the large construction workforce to minimise potential disruption to local residents. Nonetheless, EDF Energy reduced the size of the on-site accommodation campus from 700 to 510 bedspaces to respond to the strongly expressed views of local residents and the local authorities. In addition, EDF Energy has attempted to address concerns by proposing a number of mitigation methods and amendments to the masterplan to help prevent, reduce or offset any potential impacts of the proposed development. These amendments include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the creation of a planted landscape bund (raised area) to screen the proposed development at the time of construction, providing visual mitigation and some noise attenuation both for Doggetts and for the residents of Shurton;</li> <li>the lighting design, together with the landscape strategy, has also been designed to minimise light spill to the residential properties to the south;</li> <li>the ground level of the proposed development has been lowered to a maximum of 20m and the embankment to the south will be constructed to reach 26m. This arrangement will therefore reduce the visibility of the accommodation</li> </ul>
Tractivity 868	Public	Stage 2	<p>9c. Any other ideas or comments?</p> <p>NO CAMPSITE AT HINKLEY. How will you keep 700 men on site in their free time? Will they be there at weekends?</p>	9626-1455-4890			/	
Tractivity 879	Public	Stage 2	<p>9c. Any other ideas or comments?</p> <p>Why not more?</p>	9637-1455-4656		/		
Tractivity 912	Public	Stage 2	<p>4. Any other ideas or comments?</p> <p>Very good</p>	9670-1455-1520			/	
Tractivity 912	Public	Stage 2	<p>9c. Any other ideas or comments?</p> <p>Good</p>	9670-1455-4186			/	
Tractivity 916	Public	Stage 2	<p>9c. Any other ideas or comments?</p> <p>Absolutely NO</p>	9674-1455-4686			/	
Tractivity 936	Public	Stage 2	<p>1. Any other ideas or comments?</p> <p>Any screening of the site is preferable to none at all. However I am totally opposed to the erection of an accomodation block for 700 on site workers whether screened or not!!</p>	9694-1455-125			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 940	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? I don't think it is a good idea to accommodate workers on site. What is there to entertain them outside working hours! Bridgwater? Fishing? Sailing? (Some of them could join our local Patchwork group in the village hall!)	9698-1455-5666			/	<p>buildings as viewed from the south;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>re-location of the external sports pitches and the associated car parking to facilitate opportunities for public access; and</li> <li>introduction of a central car parking area to minimise any potential noise and visual impacts for those residents living in close proximity to the site.</li> </ul> <p>Based on concerns raised by consultees, EDF Energy has provided sufficient amenity and recreational facilities to occupy workers during leisure periods. The bus stop, located to the east of the site, would also shuttle workers to other accommodation campus sites or into Bridgwater town centre for leisure purposes.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Several consultees also queried EDF Energy's approach to funding increased policing and community safety. Through the Section 106 Agreement in connection with EDF Energy's Site Preparation Works application, EDF Energy has already committed to make substantial contributions to the local authorities and to the emergency services to be spent on a range of initiatives in relation to community safety and emergency services.</li> </ul> <p>At part of this application for Development Consent Order, EDF Energy proposes to continue similar initiatives with additional funding, again spread between the local authorities and the emergency services over the construction period. Please refer to the draft Section 106 Agreement appended to the <b>Planning Statement</b> for greater detail.</p> <p>As part of the Stage 2 Update consultation, EDF Energy explained that it would provide additional support for housing in the local area by establishing a Housing Fund. This Housing Fund would provide £5m of financial support to a range of initiatives to boost the housing market, for example by improving the existing housing stock, bringing vacant properties back into use and facilitating the delivery of new housing, including affordable housing.</p> <p>Some consultees have suggested that either permanent housing should be provided instead of campuses, or that the proposed development should be built for the percentage of construction workers who are predicted to bring their families with them to</p>
Tractivity 942	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? This is where all workers should be!	9700-1455-5481			/	
Tractivity 980	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? Totally unsatisfactory. 700 workers with nothing to do and nowhere to go is a recipe for disaster. Can you imagine the traffic noise and congestion into Bridgwater on their nights off? Every village on their route will suffer noise of traffic and the wildlife in this area will be decimated. Road traffic accidents on this road cause chaos as it is.	9738-1455-7200			/	
Tractivity 986	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? I am fearful at the thought of having a workers campus at hinkley C overlooking Shurton. I do NOT want a campus here at all. It is not necessary. this parish is far too small to cope with 700 more people; I am afraid we will have crime, drunkenness, antisocial behaviour, noise, light pollution, traffic everywhere day and night. Not enough police provided by EDF. This would be for 10 years! and would make our lives a misery. local people DO NOT WANT A CAMPUS, recently voted unanimously against this proposal. We would like EDF to listen to us and act on what we think. Very worried about this.	9744-1455-7300			/	
Tractivity 991	Public	Stage 2	2. Any other ideas or comments? Residents do not want an on-site campus to be located in this Southern area. It should be moved to the North away from Shurton and substantially reduced in size. EDF have been unable to justify the figure of 700 workers to be housed in this campus. If permitted this would swamp the parish of Stogursey and the hamlet of Shurton which lies within 70m of the campus boundary.	9749-1455-852			/	
Tractivity 1016	Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments? The on site accommodation is too big and in the wrong location being on a high ridge. The need for it has not been proven.	9774-1455-1804	/			
Tractivity 1017	Public	Stage 2	Maximise local workforce, minimise need for accommodation. No on site hostel.	9775-1455-1658			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 1027	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? by this do you mean combwich / cannington?? we do not need any further housing, perm or temp.	9785-1455-5131			/	Somerset. EDF Energy, working in partnership with the local authorities, investigated whether it might be possible to construct family-style housing that could be used to accommodate its workers and left as a legacy for the local area. However, that work reinforced that the accommodation campuses are not suitable for conversion into housing and, in particular, the proposed development is not a suitable location for permanent, family accommodation due to its proximity to the Hinkley Point power station complex.  Finally comments were received from statutory consultees which suggested that the proposed development should not be a permanent development. In agreement with this it is EDF Energy's intention to restore the land to greenfield/countryside after cessation of its use. This will include the removal of all services and associated infrastructure. Please refer to the <b>HPC Accommodation Campus Design and Access Statement</b> for further details.  In summary, EDF Energy considers that there is a clear requirement to provide an on-site accommodation campus at HPC. The proposed development would not only mitigate against the risks of negative local impacts, for instance the potential displacement of local residents or tourists and increased traffic on the local road network, but
Tractivity 1031	Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments? Do not want workers camp on site. Not enough local imenities. Many workers will leave site with vehicles. Local road system not suitable for a lot more traffic.	9789-1455-1909			/	
Tractivity 1031	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? Not wanted as local area will not be able to cater for the increase of presonnel and they will not stay on dite all the time.	9789-1455-5547			/	
Tractivity 1059	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? We know that the locals are worried about the impact of the size of this accomodation but we feel that you are doing your best to screen the site and it would be best for the majority of the workers to be on site - better for them than having to face an hour or more a day travelling and better for the local roads.	9817-1455-5292		/		
Tractivity 1076	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? This seems a better option	9834-1455-6548		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 1087	Public	Stage 2	<p>1. What are your views on the proposed arrangement and landscaping of the Hinkley Point C site?</p> <p>Box ticked: Unsatisfactory</p> <p>1. Any other ideas or comments?</p> <p>The landscaping near the village could be done now.</p> <p>Especially the planting of trees to enable growth.</p> <p>2. We have reduced the amount of land to be used during construction in the southern part of the site in response to concerns from local residents. What are your views on this proposal?</p> <p>Box ticked: Satisfactory</p> <p>2. Any other ideas or comments?</p> <p>No comment</p> <p>3. In order to speed up the process of building the new power station, and enable us to finish work earlier, we intend to apply this summer to undertake preliminary works to prepare the main site and build a temporary jetty for the delivery of bulk materials. If permission for the power station is not obtained, we will be required to reinstate this land.</p> <p>What are your views on our plans for Preliminary Works?</p> <p>Box ticked: Unsatisfactory</p> <p>3. Any other ideas or comments?</p> <p>Reinstatement would be impossible as regards any trees and hedges.</p>	9845-1455-0		/		would also deliver significant operational benefits for EDF Energy in terms of the delivery of the construction programme and management of the workforce.
Tractivity 1091	Public	Stage 2	<p>9c. Any other ideas or comments?</p> <p>A 700 accommodation campus seems unnecessary. To place such high numbers on site so close to small villages like Shurton and Burton will affect their daily lives so implicitly. Dust, noise and light pollution will be a factor for a great many resident. The onsite accom. &amp; leisure facilities are to be taken down, causing disruption going up and again coming down. A waste of supplies, time and money. Local roads, facilities and villages are not equipped to deal with this amount of people. Extra traffic will be generated as over half will have a parking space .Is there really a need for 700 workers in case of an emergency? We are assured it is all safe! If there must be accommodation, why not for 50 workers housed in the new Paddons Farm development in Stogursey with a 5 minute travel time to the HPC site. Sports facilities could then be made available in the village, for the Stogursey Parish with priority to the local school.</p>	9849-1455-10453			/	
Tractivity 1098	Public	Stage 2	<p>4. Any other ideas or comments?</p> <p>Not happy about accommodating construction workers on-site</p>	9856-1455-1573			/	
Tractivity 1098	Public	Stage 2	<p>9c. Any other ideas or comments?</p> <p>No need to accommodate on site</p>	9856-1455-4602			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 1099	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? Totally unsuitable both in location and size. The location on a ridge would totally dominate the landscape and the hamlet of Shurton. 700 workers would swamp a small rural community as was experienced historically during previous constructions.No justification has been given for such a large number. It could be located in the North of the site.	9857-1455-6085			/	
Tractivity 1120	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? No justification so far given for acampus of this size within 50m of the nearest residential property. Acampus of this size, located on a rigde would totally dominate the hamlet of Shurton and an influx of 700 workers would swamp this small rural community.	9878-1455-6136			/	
Tractivity 1142	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? Best place for them - no travelling involved	9900-1455-6040		/		
Tractivity 1146	Public	Stage 2	2. Any other ideas or comments? The accommodation campus is excessive. Insufficient attention has been given to employing local labour instead of bringing people in. The environmental, social and economic impact of the campus has not been assessed. The same rigour should be applied as if this were a new town being proposed by a housing developer. I have concerns that the housing will never be removed and will instead be sold on as ?affordable? housing once the reactor development is completed.	9904-1455-436		/		
Tractivity 1166	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	2. Any other ideas or comments? In our opinion, we see no need for a campus to be built on the proposed site. There is no justification for a campus at Hinkley Point C. This would be unacceptable to us, as we live directly opposite the proposed site.	9924-1455-568			/	
Tractivity 1170	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? It will have a huge impact on Shurton, it is not even a village! You cannot soak up 700 people in such a small place. The lanes are busy enough already. What about security, funding for 2 extra policemen is a joke. The area most of the time is absolutely silent, how come you are going to keep the noise down? Why do they need a football pitch, they are meant to be working. It is pitch black outside any lighting is going to be seen. We do not want them coming around the lanes they should be locked in the campus with no lighting outside, no playing field and everyone inside by 7.30pm in winter and summer. They need to be placed in Bridgwater where they already have facilities.	9928-1455-5695			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 1190	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? The best of the three locations AS LONG AS the accomodation is temporary, taken away when finished with the land treturned to the original owners in as far as possible - its original state.	9948-1455-6082		/		
Tractivity 1195	Public	Stage 2	2. Any other ideas or comments? This move of boundary I believe was planned well in advance to give the impression of compliance to the wishes of the local community. The totla area of land being destroyed would reduce the area required. Also the 700 bed campus is not needed on site feeing up more land.	9953-1455-801			/	
Tractivity 1195	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? This is totally unacceptable. We have not been given any justification of this campus. There is no possibility of 700 people integrating into the local community. There are very limited facilities in the area and the building of amenities on site will only increse noise, light pollution, smells and local traffic servicing the camp. There is every liklihood that antisocial behaviour and crime will increase as proven in Dover when the tunnel was built. The funding of two extra police officers is inadequate. At the recent demonstration outside the existing site there were 5 police officers to control 40 demonstrators	9953-1455-7363			/	
Tractivity 1196	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? I really doubt the sense of any planer who forces a large number of mainly male workers to be accomodated on site at the power station.	9954-1455-7142			/	
Tractivity 1199	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? Thats a lot of guys in an isolated spot!	9957-1455-4978			/	
Tractivity 1217	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? For a village of 1000 inhabitants, the close proximity of a further 700 is a recipe for disaster. We have seen it before - fights, violence, theft etc. How can you keep workers on site unless you make it a concentration camp? A town is btter able to cope with a large number of single men looking for entertainment.	9975-1455-5603			/	
Tractivity 1247	Public	Stage 2 Update	Q2 Do you have any comments on our updated accommodation proposals? On site still a disaster witing to happen	89513-1455-132			/	
Tractivity 1338	Public	Stage 2 Update	On site campus too large	89604-1455-203		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 1372	Public	Stage 2 Update	Recreation facilities should be removed and the campus limited to essential security shifts of no more than 50 people	89638-1455-1086		/		
Tractivity 62313	Public	Stage 2	A seven hundred bed campus will increase the population of the parish by more than half and nearly double that of Stogursey. It will create mayhem in the parish and is a crazy idea. The argument of traffic reduction does not hold water as workers will leave the campus for recreation and to visit home. The obvious location is a centre of population such as Bridgwater. You must not expect us to accept a campus, you can still easily build a power station and we are the people carrying the most disruption from that activity.	10000-1455-1102			/	
Tractivity 62319	Public	Stage 2	The proposal causing the most upset, anxiety and even anger is your insistence to have a 700 bed worker campus. This will create chaos with more local traffic with noise, antisocial behaviour, light pollution, and increased crime as proven in the building of the Channel Tunnel link in Dover. This influx of 700 unattached male workers away from home will increase the population of the parish by more than half. This part of the proposal is blatantly absurd. This disruption may go on for ten years and will ruin people's lives: the worry of this is already making some of us ill. This and the attitude of EDF have turned the mood of most of the local community from partially accepting to negative. It would seem that many are now going to fight your proposals and some probably support the STOP HINKLEY GROUP. It would appear that your resiting of the southern boundary was expected to appease the residents of Shurton, Burton, Knighton, Wick, and the surrounding area, thus allowing you to put forward unacceptable and wholly unsuitable proposals without consideration or help for the local community.	10005-1455-548			/	
Tractivity 62366	Public	Stage 2	Accommodation I think this location is unsuitable for the siting of such a large campus. Adding 700 people to a village of around 1300 is disproportionate. There will be little for the hostel residents to do in their spare time in this rural area so they will be adding to the traffic on local roads as they travel in search of recreation. Also to be taken into account are the vehicles delivering goods and services to the hostel, at all time of day. The larger the hostel, the more commercial traffic is likely to be generated. It has been made known during the Stage 2 Consultation that three storey temporary accommodation blocks are proposed. This is not the town. It is a rural area with mostly two storey cottages and houses and a considerable number of bungalows, so buildings of such a height are out of scale. Moreover, the Quantock Hills are an Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. The view from the hills out to sea would include not only the permanent nuclear power stations, which we apparently have to live with, if we are to satisfy our energy demands, but also three storey eyesores for many years. In short I think that EdF needs to rethink its onsite accommodation plans.	10040-1455-4416			/	



Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 62425	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	a) no camp for 700 men at Hinkley	10062-1455-881			/	
Tractivity 62431	Public	Stage 2	This proposal is completely unacceptable.	10065-1455-1032			/	
Tractivity 62451	Public	Stage 2	I am opposed to the number of workers proposed for the on-site campus. 700 workers represent more than half the adult population of the Parish of Stogursey. We are a parish with 1200 people on the electoral register. Little wonder that the residents of the parish have great concerns over the 700 workers on the proposed campus. I think the number should be greatly reduced to a more realistic figure. Stogursey does not have the facilities to cope with that huge number of workforce who may wish to use the village during their leisure time. I think by reducing the number of the campus will help to alleviate many of the residence concerns.	10077-1455-1949			/	
Tractivity 62456	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? Good idea to house workers onsite providing recreation facilities are provided. Eg. Sports hall, football pitch, snooker hall, golf course (at Cannington) etc.	10080-1455-5245		/		
Tractivity 62460	Public	Stage 2	We, who live in West Somerset, shudder at the prospect of the installation of accommodation blocks housing 700 site workers at Hinkley C. It cannot and must not be an option.	10083-1455-1624			/	
Tractivity 62517	Public	Stage 2	a. When I met (Personal details removed) and (Personal details removed) for the first time (Personal details removed) in 2008 I told them that an on-site campus was unacceptable. I have never wavered from this position and still maintain it. There is no justification for the on-site campus, the numbers to be housed there, its location on a hill overlooking Shurton and Burton or that it is three stories high in the consultation.	10099-1455-468			/	
Tractivity 62572	Public	Stage 2	I am opposed to the On Site Accommodation Campus, the size of it will overwhelm the hamlet of Shurton and increase the adult population of the Parish of Stogursey by half. The location, on a high ridge, compounds this further.	10123-1455-606			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 62577	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	Hinkley C Site Totally Unsatisfactory - I find it impossible to believe that a civilised human being imagined this development on this site. - What deluded model of social interaction persuades the company that siting a 700 bed-hostel with buildings three-storeys high, recreational facilities indoors and out and on-site parking for residents' cars and service personnel, on high ground above the nearest community - a hamlet centuries old with several listed buildings lying a mere 300 yards distant - represents the actions of the 'good neighbour' which EdF claims that it wishes to be?	10128-1455-9179			/	
Tractivity 62577	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	Psychologically there will be no escape from sight or sound of the development. EdF might just as well bulldoze the hamlet and have done with the difficulty.	10128-1455-10190			/	
Tractivity 62581	Public	Stage 2	1- The proposed on-site campus for 700 workers is not acceptable.	10132-1455-582			/	
Tractivity 62582	Public	Stage 2	Q2 My feeling is that you used the southern boundary as a tactic and you always intended to have it further back. I believe EDF thought to appease the local residents with this and now intend to do whatever they like afterwards with no regard for the residents of our Parish. If there was no campus EdF would have more land so I believe you should scrap the plan for the campus completely as it is unnecessary and nobody here wants it; in fact many of us are ill with worry at the thought of having an extra 700 people here, right at the bottom of people's garden.	10133-1455-2211		/		
Tractivity 62582	Public	Stage 2	iii We do not want or need a workers' accommodation campus at Hinkley C.	10133-1455-7217			/	
Tractivity 62608	Public	Stage 2	2) Imposing an on-site campus of 700 workers on the tiny hamlets of Burton, Shurton and Wick which have a total population seriously inferior to this number.	10155-1455-697			/	
Tractivity 62938	Public	Stage 2	Better than in Bridgwater and less of a problem socially.	10177-1455-6336		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Stringston Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	Other concerns raised regard the on-site accommodation at Shurton. - Firstly, whether it is essential, as it will affect the lives of residents so negatively, with its close proximity, meaning light/dust/noise/air pollution.	10233-1455-7111			/	
West Hinkley Action Group	Non-Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	- The proposed hostel for 700 on-site at the southern boundary is wholly out-of-proportion, out-of-keeping and overwhelming to the hamlets in particular and the parish in general. The social and environmental impact will be devastating and the landscape mitigation proposed is utterly inadequate. The residents absolutely reject any defence of this proposal made by EdF.	10253-1455-738			/	
Hinkley Point Site Stakeholder Group (A+B) Sites	Non-Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	4) Accommodation on site, quite a few of the responses received raised concerns about the effect this will have on the surrounding villages and their fragile infrastructure. There could be some short time gain but long term devastation. It is unanimously agreed that there should be accommodation in Bridgwater only for the transient workforce where the entertainment facilities exist to accommodate this number of people and a Police force to deal with any transgressions,	10255-1455-1728			/	
Stogursey and District Parish Plan Steering Committee	Non-Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	9.111) Onsite hostel Unsatisfactory; see forgoing.	10259-1455-4623			/	
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	SPC remain resolutely opposed to the On-Site Accommodation Campus, due to its size and location and the deleterious effect that it will have on the locality.	89288-1455-2393			/	
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	Due to the proposed location, there is no possibility of legacy use; therefore the community will be subjected to both noise and visual impact resulting from the close-proximity of the campus to their homes, the potential for law and order issues, and with no future prospect of obtaining any benefit from it. There are many unanswered questions of design detail in the masterplan. EDF must make a robust case to support the need for the camp, and reconsider the size, location and design of the camp before SPC can accept this part of the proposal.	89288-1455-6237			/	
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	On-site Accommodation Campus: SPC believe that there is no justification for a camp for 700 workers on-site, and that the proposed location of the site on the high ground in close proximity to Shurton and Wick is completely unacceptable.	89288-1455-10502			/	
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	On-site Accommodation: There is no proven need for this accommodation camp. If sufficient effort is applied to employing people living within daily travelling distance, the proposed camps in Bridgwater will provide adequate space. SPC remains opposed to this facility.	89289-1455-1553			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	[4.2.9] The size of camp at 700 is judged appropriate - yet nowhere has any evidence been put forward as to how this figure has been arrived at. Indeed some of the EDF French engineers have said in open meetings that they do not want nor need an on-site camp. Will EDF provide some evidence to justify the need for and size of the camp?	89289-1455-6644			/	
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	2.4. Masterplan: On-site Accommodation Campus EDF should note that SPC is opposed to the on-site accommodation in principle	89289-1455-11972			/	
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	3.3. SPC remain fundamentally opposed to the on-site accommodation campus. The detailed reasons behind this are given above, but in summary there is concern about the visual and noise impact, the social impact on the local community, and the fact that it will not reduce traffic impact. SPC urge EDF to reconsider the need for an on-site campus and the scale and position of it if they can show that some facility is essential. Providing some legacy benefit should also be part of the consideration.	89294-1455-7553			/	
Tractivity 63240	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	The principle of an on-site campus is not accepted by the Estate	89440-1455-4761			/	
Tractivity 63240	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	the Estate is opposed to on-site accommodation being provided for the workforce other than that which is essential for security or for emergency cover.	89441-1455-960			/	
Tractivity 63240	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	the Estate has already expressed concern regarding the establishment of a campus on-site at Hinkley C. The provision of facilities in this location will not provide a benefit to the local community. Such facilities should be provided within existing settlements where the provision of enhanced facilities will result in a legacy benefit to the local community.	89446-1455-396			/	
Tractivity 63240	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	the provision of an On-Site Campus to accommodate any more than the small complement of workers necessary to ensure the security of the facility would be inappropriate.	89446-1455-5293			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Similarly, the provision of a temporary campus for 700 workers on-site at Hinkley is considered unacceptable given the rural setting and proximity to existing rural settlements.	89307-1455-4154			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The scale of the proposed temporary accommodation at Hinkley Point C has not been effectively justified.	89325-1455-1942	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<p>Authorities position May 2010: Permanent housing on site at Hinkley Point was not supported. However the Councils considered that there may be potential for some buildings to be relocated to provide affordable housing and community facilities for nearby villages.</p> <p>Update September 2010: No proposals have been made. The authorities continue to maintain that alternative more permanent solutions should be allowed for.</p>	89327-1455-1079			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<p>Authorities position May 2010: Councils raised concerns about the scale of the campus and noted that the campus will only be acceptable if the need for it is demonstrated as part of a robust transport and accommodation strategy, supported by a comprehensive framework of measures for ensuring community well-being</p> <p>Update September 2010: This continues to be the view of the Councils.</p>	89327-1455-5978	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	the proposed accommodation campus on site in the form and location outlined on the plans, is currently unacceptable and will cause significant harm to the character and environmental quality of the area, and residential amenity of the surrounding properties.	89333-1455-4098	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The principle of permanent development associated with the temporary accommodation campus is not supported, and the current position of West Somerset Council is that the site would need to be restored for agricultural or suitable community use immediately following the cessation of use as a construction site.	89333-1455-10716			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	- The number of construction worker bedspaces provided in a temporary campus at Hinkley Point C should not exceed 300 bedspaces. The construction of a large, temporary campus is considered to represent unsustainable development, with no regeneration benefits, that will impact on the amenity of the existing rural communities.	89416-1455-3709		/		
Tractivity 63031	Public	Stage 2 Update	The reduction to 510 beds for the on site campus is still totally unacceptable, even if this is regarded by EDF as the smallest viable number, no further justification for the need for that many workers on site has been forthcoming. The proposed three storey blocks are at odds with the peaceful rural setting, as are the occupants.	89704-1455-2704		/		
West Hinkley Action Group	Non-statutory consultee	Stage 2 Update	WHAG regrets that EDF still retain an on-site campus. Although slightly reduced in size to 510 beds it will still swamp the hamlet of Shurton by both proximity and population ratio.	89771-1455-1742			/	
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	The Hinkley Point C Accommodation Campus site is greenfield land outside of any settlement. In principle therefore provision of accommodation on this site would not be acceptable to the local authority. Development of this scale, alongside the construction areas will cause direct harm to the character and environmental quality of the area as well as the residential amenity of the surrounding areas.	89886-1455-1221			/	
Tractivity 62582	Public	Stage 2	I don't believe there is a need for a campus here; there was no need for one in Flammanville. The plans I have seen for the campus show a 9 hectare site, with approx. 400 car parking spaces, football pitches and it is overlooking our hamlet of Shurton and this is not acceptable. My view is that EDF have not thought this through properly and have not considered the extra volume of traffic that the campus will create.	10133-1565-8115			/	
Tractivity 62582	Public	Stage 2	I don't believe there is a need for a campus here; there was no need for one in Flammanville. The plans I have seen for the campus show a 9 hectare site, with approx. 400 car parking spaces, football pitches and it is overlooking our hamlet of Shurton and this is not acceptable. My view is that EDF have not thought this through properly and have not considered the extra volume of traffic that the campus will create.	10133-1455-8115	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 62431	Public	Stage 2	The building phases of this enormous Campus in itself would be noisy, dusty, and cause light pollution to Shurton.	10065-1452-1450	/			<p>Following Stage 2 of the consultation, EDF Energy revised its <b>Accommodation Strategy</b> and this led to the campus being reduced in size to accommodate 510 workers and the footprint of the campus significantly reduced to minimise impact on the surrounding area. Initially, EDF Energy proposed to build the on-site campus in two phases. However due to the reduction in the size of the campus, EDF Energy now proposes to construct the campus in a single phase.</p> <p>The majority of the accommodation buildings on the site would be constructed using modular techniques, where much of the fabrication would be undertaken at off-site production lines and then transported to site for final assembly. This form of construction limits on-site construction time, and reduces noise and dust generated by the construction process (see the <b>Environmental Statement, Volume 2, Chapter 5</b> for further details).</p> <p>The external lighting strategy adopted for the campus utilises 'dark skies' principles in order to limit light spill to the surrounding area. LUX levels around the perimeter of the site have been limited to the minimum required for security purposes.</p> <p>A landscape bund would also be constructed to the south of the campus that would help mitigate noise and light pollution during the operation of the campus (see <b>HPC Accommodation Campus Design &amp; Access Statement</b> for further details).</p>
Stogursey Parish Council	statutory consultee	Stage 2 Update	2.1.14 [4.3.16-22] Is it now proposed to build the accommodation Campus in one operation, rather than phased as was proposed in Stage 2?	89872-1452-5296	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 1091	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? A 700 accommodation campus seems unnecessary. To place such high numbers on site so close to small villages like Shurton and Burton will affect their daily lives so implicitly. Dust, noise and light pollution will be a factor for a great many resident. The onsite accom. & leisure facilities are to be taken down, causing disruption going up and again coming down. A waste of supplies, time and money. Local roads, facilities and villages are not equipped to deal with this amount of people. Extra traffic will be generated as over half will have a parking space .Is there really a need for 700 workers in case of an emergency? We are assured it is all safe! If there must be accommodation, why not for 50 workers housed in the new Paddons Farm development in Stogursey with a 5 minute travel time to the HPC site. Sports facilities could then be made available in the village, for the Stogursey Parish with priority to the local school.	9849-1448-10453	/			A number of consultees questioned whether the design of the campus was suitable for the site, and whether the size of campus could be reduced. Comments were also made about whether the modular units proposed could be relocated after use by EDF Energy to the benefit of local villages.  EDF Energy has identified the need to provide a proposed on-site accommodation campus for construction workers adjacent to the main Hinkley Point C (HPC) development site, in addition to two further accommodation campuses located within Bridgwater. EDF Energy has reconsidered and reduced the number of proposed workers that would be accommodated at the HPC accommodation campus following consultation with statutory consultees, the local community and the general public. EDF Energy proposes to locate 510 workers instead of the 700 workers that were initially proposed for this campus.  The HPC accommodation campus would be a discrete, secure campus and a safe environment for workers. EDF Energy would provide facilities at the HPC accommodation campus to ensure that workers would be well catered for, thereby avoiding the need for EDF Energy workers to use facilities in the local village community.
Tractivity 1166	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? Make the other campus sites larger to accomodate the proposed 700 construction workers at Hinkley Point C or find another site away from Shurton. As mentioned in item 2 there is no justification for an on-site campus. This proposed campus would have an enormous effect on our lives, living directly opposite the proposed site. We cannot make this any clearer. We do not want this campus built in our small hamlet!	9924-1448-5278	/			The HPC accommodation campus design and operation would minimise any disruption upon local communities and would incorporate features to mitigate, so far as is reasonably possible, the visual and other impacts that might arise from the siting and construction of the campus.  The form and layout of the HPC accommodation campus and the structures are largely determined by the number of workers that would need to be accommodated to facilitate the construction of the HPC power station. The form and layout of the HPC accommodation campus and buildings would not be suitable for incorporation within the villages adjacent to the site; neither would it be possible for EDF Energy to apply to build housing for workers under an application to the Infrastructure Planning Commission.
Tractivity 1210	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? One large campsite at the Innovia site with a bypass from Dunball to Combwich	9968-1448-4519	/			The design of the proposed HPC accommodation campus would ensure that the structures could be constructed with as little disruption as possible and then removed once EDF Energy no longer require the HPC accommodation campus, enabling the site to be restored. The buildings would be designed to promote, where possible, the reuse of elements of the structures following removal from the proposed
Tractivity 62313	Public	Stage 2	A seven hundred bed campus will increase the population of the parish by more than half and nearly double that of Stogursey. It will create mayhem in the parish and is a crazy idea. The argument of traffic reduction does not hold water as workers will leave the campus for recreation and to visit home. The obvious location is a centre of population such as Bridgwater. You must not expect us to accept a campus, you can still easily build a power station and we are the people carrying the most disruption from that activity.	10000-1448-1102	/			
Tractivity 62508	Public	Stage 2	The hostels will be of modular design, and three stories high. They will be ready for use by 2013, and will be removed at the end of the construction of the two main reactors. [1.1.4] How do three storey high building forming the accommodation campuses fit in with EdFs design principles?	10098-1448-4713		/		
Tractivity 62577	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	If the justification for siting the hostel so far to the south of the site is to secure the tranquillity of the workers, then the company should prove its' own claims and take steps to insulate, double-glaze and tread gently around them on a site further north, rather than pretend to be able to mitigate the impact on the fifty households next to the proposed location	10128-1448-5790		/		



Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Stringston Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	It is incredibly wasteful to build and then dismantle such a large accommodation campus. Why not add to local Parishes by building affordable/housing which can be used after the build, and will spread workers across the population. Leisure facilities can then be built locally to again add a positive legacy to the area.	10233-1448-7739			/	campus site. Further details of the proposed on-site campus can be found within the <b>Hinkley Point C Campus Design and Access Statement</b> .
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	While permanent development of the construction site is not supported, the Councils consider there may be potential for some of the buildings to be relocated to provide affordable housing and community facilities in nearby villages.	89333-1448-11440		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Homes and Communities Agency	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	Much of the area proposed for the landscape buffer towards the southern part of the site, will actually be the location for the temporary accommodation throughout the construction phases. Due to these phasing issues, there will therefore be a greater loss of amenity during construction than the landscape masterplan initially implies. There will continue to be a need to implement a landscape buffer on the southern boundary and visual and noise intrusion should be kept to a minimum to reduce the impact on the rural landscape both during and after construction.	10191-1449-4076	/			<p>During construction of Hinkley Point C (HPC) the land south of Green Lane would be used to create construction and storage platforms along with an on-site accommodation campus for workers. Many consultees, especially local residents, commented on the proximity of construction works and the on-site accommodation campus, and the adequacy of screening and loss of amenity during construction. As a result, it was agreed that the land south of grid reference 144750 N which was originally proposed to be used as part of the construction site would be excluded from major construction works. Further comments recommended more effective use of this land as a buffer between the villages and the construction site and on site campus.</p> <p>The revised proposals in Stage 2 Update included a reduced size for the on-site accommodation campus, and the early implementation of the final restoration scheme in the southern area to provide increased screening and a wider landscape buffer during construction.</p> <p><b>HPC Accommodation Campus layout</b></p> <p>At stage 2 consultation the on-site Accommodation Campus was a larger development to the south of the construction site with 700 bed spaces. During the process of consultation many consultees commented on the location of the on-site campus and its proximity to the local residential areas. Following these comments the on-site campus was reduced in overall size and located to the eastern section of the site as far away as possible from the local villages of Shurton, Knighton and Burton.</p> <p>The on-site campus has an efficient layout to minimise land take with the residential blocks located in two rows to the south and the busier amenity building, sports facilities and main car parking area located to the north. At stage 2 consultation the local authorities raised concerns that the proposed linear buildings layout would appear stark and needed to be broken up with more planting between accommodation blocks and carparking. This has been addressed in the application drawings with additional tree and shrub planting proposed between the blocks and carparking.</p>
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	no consideration or illustrations of how the site landscaping and Public Rights of Way diversions will relate to and integrate with the wider area, including the Steart managed realignment and habitat creation proposals led by the Environment Agency and The Bristol Port Company.	89334-1449-813	/			
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	- The new linear buildings layout provides for a reduced amount of landscaping between the accommodation blocks reducing the potential to create quality accommodation for workers and increasing the starkness of the solution compared with the existing character and appearance of the area;	89886-1449-587	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Kilve Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 1	4.1.1 Campus accommodation for construction workers; This should not be considered as permanent, or as a legacy for the future. The assumption is that the campus will be for single construction workers. To consider this for affordable housing once the site is complete would be totally unacceptable. Firstly, it would ruin the rurality of the area even further, and secondly, as residential accommodation, it would be much to close to a nuclear installation.	88930-1450-4630		/		<p>Throughout the pre-application consultation period, EDF Energy has received many comments from consultees about the post-operational use of the Hinkley Point C (HPC) On-Site Accommodation Campus (the proposed development) following EDF Energy's cessation of its use. During the Stage 1 consultation in particular, consultees commented that the proposed on-site accommodation campus development should only be temporary and that the post-operational priority should be to return the land to open space. Other respondents stated in the Stage 2 consultation that the accommodation campus would offer very limited legacy gains to the local community.</p> <p>EDF Energy has maintained that there is a clear requirement to provide campus accommodation on, or adjacent to, the HPC development site and that the proposed development is an important element of the <b>Accommodation Strategy</b>. Nevertheless, once the proposed development is no longer required for operational purposes, it is EDF Energy's intention to restore the land to greenfield/countryside, similar to its pre-development condition. This will include the removal of all associated infrastructure and services such as the sports pitches. This approach reflects the views received in response to consultation. The land would be restored to a landscape structure of field boundary hedgerows, woodland blocks and areas of pasture in keeping with the agricultural landscape character of the land, visible from local villages and settlements to the south. It is also proposed to retain the landscape bund along the southern boundary of the site, providing a buffer/screen between the villages and the HPC development site during the restoration process. Further information on EDF Energy's approach to post-operational uses and the mechanisms for achieving this are detailed in the <b>HPC Accommodation Campus Design and Access Statement</b>.</p> <p>Within their joint response, Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council suggested that modular construction could provide the means for a proportion of buildings to be relocated post-construction to settlements where they would provide sustainable legacy use. EDF Energy has looked to promote a lightweight, demountable modular construction for the accommodation buildings. This provides for a fast construction time and also one which can be easily dismantled. Opportunities for the sustainable re-use of buildings and plant would be explored at an appropriate time nearing the site's reinstatement.</p> <p>A consultee suggested that any on-site health care facilities should be limited to those necessary for the</p>
Kilve Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 1	Unlike other suggested areas for Workers accommodation block, Hinkley would not be a permanent building. (We have been promised that it will be temporary, and re-used at other sites around the country.) Having endured the influx of 700 workers living within close proximity to the hamlets of Shurton and Burton for seven years, quite rightly, it will be removed. The only legacy from the site will be open space. What plans are there for this space? Creation of new woodland would certainly off set the initial losses of a large area of woodland.	88930-1450-25111		/		
Tractivity 720	Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments? The sports and recreational facilities preposed for the on-site campus may well exceed off-site facilities in the locality. Will it be possible for local residents to use the facilities, either during construction period, or afterwards? There is only reference to Bridgwater retaining legacy benefit	9478-1450-1826	/			
Tractivity 991	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? Totally unsuitable in a small rural community. This is totally out of character and would swamp the hamlet of Shurton. There would be no legacy outcome. All accommodation should be in Bridgwater As an alternative the campus could be moved to the North of the site and scaled down in size and number of workforce.	9749-1450-6043			/	
Tractivity 1062	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? It is inevitable that these facilities will be needed. Build them last and transfer the facilities to the station so that they can be used for local meetings etc. or decommissioning of the B site.	9820-1450-5154		/		
Tractivity 1190	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? The best of the three locations AS LONG AS the accomodation is temporary, taken away when finished with the land treturned to the original owners in as far as possible - its original state.	9948-1450-6082		/		
Tractivity 585	Public	Stage 1	9. What are your views on EDF Energy's general approach to community benefits and do you have any specific suggestions about what should be included in the package?  The most lasting legacy that EDF can leave for local residents and the tourists that visit the area or pass through it, would be to return all the land (apart from the power station compound and the small accommodation facility for Cannington College) to its former landuse.	9364-1450-4126		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 62431	Public	Stage 2	After the build, the noise and light pollution from the Campus would still be unacceptable in this peaceful area,	10065-1450-1568			/	viable operation of the proposed development and that off-site health care facilities should be provided that act as a benefit to the local area. It is proposed that the development would include an on-site medical centre, consisting of an office/treatment room and sick bay/recovery room, giving access to a GP at specified times throughout the week. This facility would operate while the accommodation campus is in use but would be removed along with the accommodation campus following cessation of use by EDF Energy. The residual impact of NHS referrals has been modelled and the approach outlined and discussed with the Somerset Primary Care Trust. The subsequent financial healthcare contribution considers both primary and acute care, emergency services and prescriptions. This would remove any additional burden and associated cost from the National Health Service (NHS), helping to maintain local services. However, the residual impact on NHS services is not of a magnitude to require a capital contribution for health infrastructure. Further information can be found within Chapter 7 of the <b>Health Impact Assessment</b> .  Whilst EDF Energy's overriding objective is to deliver accommodation temporarily for non-home-based workers in an efficient and effective manner, EDF Energy has also sought to identify ways to meet broader aspirations for legacy development outside of the application for Development Consent Order. As part of the Stage 2 Update consultation, EDF Energy explained that it would provide additional support for housing in the local area by establishing a Housing Fund. This Housing Fund would provide £5m of financial support to a range of initiatives to boost the housing market, for example by improving the existing housing stock, bringing vacant properties back into use and facilitating the delivery of new housing, including affordable housing.
Tractivity 62577	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	- None of the on-site development will be of legacy benefit to the host parish and may even work to the detriment of local business and established successful community habit.	10128-1450-6163			/	
Tractivity 62577	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	There will be no benefit to the local communities during operation and no legacy use.	10128-1450-10103			/	
Stringston Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	It is incredibly wasteful to build and then dismantle such a large accommodation campus. Why not add to local Parishes by building affordable/housing which can be used after the build, and will spread workers across the population. Leisure facilities can then be built locally to again add a positive legacy to the area.	10233-1450-7739		/		
Stogursey and District Parish Plan Steering Committee	Non-Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	There is no apparent legacy use from the hostel and the scale and design of the buildings is utterly inappropriate for the location.	10259-1450-3254			/	
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	9. The proposed Legacy Plans for the accommodation and park & ride/freight sites should set out, prior to the DCO submission, how they will provide long term economic/tourism mitigation, compensation and legacy for Somerset communities through entrepreneurial approaches, such as joint ventures, and contribute to the low carbon Unique Selling Proposition of Somerset.	89211-1450-4136		/		
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	On-site Accommodation Campus: The case for having an on-site campus for 700 workers has not been made by EDF. Due to the proposed location, there is no possibility of legacy use; therefore the community will be subjected to both noise and visual impact resulting from the close-proximity of the campus to their homes, the potential for law and order issues, and with no future prospect of obtaining any benefit from it.	89288-1450-6127			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	[4.7.25] States that there is no gas supply to the site. Has EDF considered installing a gas supply, which would also provide a legacy benefit to local residents who could be connected?	89290-1450-8279		/		
Tractivity 63240	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	Similarly, the provision of on-site health and welfare facilities should be limited to those necessary for the viable operation of the facility. Any more extensive health and welfare facilities or leisure facilities should be constructed close to the campuses proposed within existing settlements. This will enable the facilities to be made available for public use during and following the completion of the construction period as a legacy for the development.	89446-1450-5462		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	No legacy strategy has yet been provided and the approach of EDF Energy is that legacy uses can be determined and agreed post submission and determination of a DCO application. The local authorities disagree with this approach and believe that agreement to potential legacy uses for the associated development sites should be the starting point for considering the design and layout of the sites.	89325-1450-2240		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	It is intended that the on-site accommodation campus will be removed, including all services and other infrastructure  However, clarification on how it will be restored is not provided in the Masterplan for the accommodation campuses document	89327-1450-831	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	the current position of West Somerset Council is that the site would need to be restored for agricultural or suitable community use immediately following the cessation of use as a construction site.	89333-1450-10830		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	the Council will wish to consult the local community on appropriate legacy uses of the campus site that are appropriate to the rural setting. These might include the establishment of Community woodland due to the initial planting of semi-mature trees being as a part of the campus landscape proposals.	89333-1450-11135			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Modular and sprung construction technologies may provide the means for a proportion of buildings to be relocated post-construction to a settlement where they would provide a valuable and sustainable legacy use.	89333-1450-11673		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Creating a sustainable future for local villages is a priority, particularly if they bear significant impact long term. This will require a comprehensive approach to the surrounding area rather than just a focus on the site and the campus.	89334-1450-2190			/	
West Hinkley Action Group	Non-statutory consultee	Stage 2 Update	The lack of any form of legacy benefit to the local community is regrettable.	89771-1450-2404		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
17	Comments received under the EIR from the IPC	Stage 2	<p>4. We intend to maximize the use of local workers to build the power stations but we expect between 3,000 and 3,500 workers at peak to require short-term accommodation because they currently do not live locally. These workers will be accommodated in a mixture of rented and owner-occupied accommodation, bed and breakfast and caravan parks. We are also proposing purpose built accommodation campuses; two in Bridgwater and on the Hinkley Point C site. What are your views on our overall accommodation strategy?</p> <p>Satisfactory Unsatisfactory No Opinion Don't know</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Where are the figures to show exactly what proportion of the work-force will be local and how it will be recruited?</li> <li>- Where is the admission that the terms 'peak' and 'short-term' represent several years?</li> <li>- Where in all the company's claims to be 'low-carbon' are the figures to show the traffic generated by the service personnel for the hostels and the recreational use of vehicles by the hostel inhabitants?</li> <li>- The hostels should all be sited in Bridgwater, which already has suitable facilities. The site hostel outnumbered the local community by 7:1 and the population of the host parish by 2:1. EDF's claims that their behaviour policies are effective is unproven; they do not acknowledge recreational activity, nor service traffic, nor even the historic evidence of those residents who endured the building of 'B' station. They take no account of the noise and light intrusion on the established community of local residents - a mere 300 yards away - which will be constant, day in day out, week in week out, for years.</li> <li>- If the justification for the on-site hostel is the avoidance of traffic delay then clearly the company's traffic plans are not adequate for the scale of the project. If the justification for siting the hostel so far to the south of the site is to secure the tranquility of the workers, then the company should prove its' own claims and take steps to insulate, double-glaze and tread gently around them on a site further north, rather than pretend to be able to mitigate the impact on the fifty households next to the proposed location.</li> <li>- None of the on-site development will be of legacy benefit to the. host parish and may even work to the detriment of local business and established successful community habit.</li> </ul>	89806-1450-4474		/		
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	<p>The Councils' HPC Project SPD sets out a range of recommendations for legacy uses that EDFE is encouraged to properly consider including for including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Flexible use buildings that could be used by both EDFE and the local community for example an enhanced community hall/education centre. A suitable solution may be possible within the local village and should be explored with local residents;</li> <li>- Investment in sports facilities should be maximised by providing facilities that would be suitable for local communities as well as workers and which could be retained and effectively maintained as permanent legacy, for example in Stogursey; and</li> </ul>	89886-1450-4751		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	- Finally, where temporary buildings are being used, the Councils would welcome EDFE considering how they might be reused for the benefit of local communities, provided solutions reflect needs and aspirations as suggested by policy and local residents and are viable long term from a financial perspective.	89887-1450-0		/		



Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 838	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? 700 is too many to add onto/tack onto village of about 1400. 3-4 storey partacbins eysore for nyears. Too much traffic generated locally when workers have free time.	9596-1451-5393	/			<p>At Stage 2 of the consultation a number of consultees felt that proposals for an on-site accommodation campus of the size proposed would have a detrimental effect on the local villages, and cause excessive increase in traffic. Some consultees felt that the campus should be located further from the hamlet of Shurton.</p> <p>EDF Energy has identified the need to provide a proposed Hinkley Point C (HPC) accommodation campus for construction workers adjacent to the main HPC development site.</p> <p>In addition there are two other proposed worker campuses located in Bridgwater. Details of these campuses can be found in the application documents. The campuses would be required to appropriately distribute the total number of workers required by EDF Energy, in order to reduce the impacts upon the community.</p> <p>The HPC accommodation campus would be located to the south-east corner of the proposed HPC development site adjoining the C182. In this location the proposed campus would provide effective access both to the C182 and to the adjacent HPC development site without compromising the welfare of the workers who would be located at the campus.</p> <p>It would not be possible to move the proposed location of the HPC accommodation campus further north, given the constraints of the proposed construction operations to the north and west of the on-site campus.</p> <p>EDF Energy has reconsidered and reduced the number of proposed workers that would be accommodated at the HPC accommodation campus following consultation with statutory consultees, the local community and the general public. EDF Energy would locate 510 workers instead of the 700 workers that were considered during early stages of the design. The layout and extent of the proposed HPC accommodation campus would reflect this proposed</p>
Tractivity 876	Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments? Provision of onsite facilites essential.	9634-1451-1548	/			
Tractivity 991	Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments? No justification provided for an on-site hostel for 700.Close proximity to Shurton plus it?s location on a ridge result the campus visuallydominating the surrounding area.On-site hostel should be moved to the North of the site away from Shurton and reduced in size and number of workers.	9749-1451-2606	/			
Tractivity 1016	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? Too big, too near Shurton.	9774-1451-4915	/			
Tractivity 1059	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? We know that the locals are worried about the impact of the size of this accomodation but we feel that you are doing your best to screen the site and it would be best for the majority of the workers to be on site - better for them than having to face an hour or more a day travelling and better for the local roads.	9817-1451-5292	/			
Tractivity 1081	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? Workers lodged at Hinkley Point will gravitate towards Bridgwater and Taunton for entertainment. This could lead to traffic problems on the Hinkley Point road due to speeding and alcohol. I suggest that less people are stationed at the site and that those who are are provided with evening transport to Bridgwater and Taunton.  The housing of workers at Hinkley Point will add significantly to the Detailed emegency planning zone (DEPZ) making evacuation more difficult in a nuclear emergency.	9839-1451-6536		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 1091	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? A 700 accommodation campus seems unnecessary. To place such high numbers on site so close to small villages like Shurton and Burton will affect their daily lives so implicitly. Dust, noise and light pollution will be a factor for a great many resident. The onsite accom. & leisure facilities are to be taken down, causing disruption going up and again coming down. A waste of supplies, time and money. Local roads, facilities and villages are not equipped to deal with this amount of people. Extra traffic will be generated as over half will have a parking space .Is there really a need for 700 workers in case of an emergency? We are assured it is all safe! If there must be accommodation, why not for 50 workers housed in the new Paddons Farm development in Stogursey with a 5 minute travel time to the HPC site. Sports facilities could then be made available in the village, for the Stogursey Parish with priority to the local school.	9849-1451-10453	/			reduction in capacity. The smaller HPC accommodation campus site area and modified internal campus layouts would therefore help reduce the visual impacts of the proposed campus on nearby residences.  EDF Energy would require the HPC accommodation campus to be a secure site where access to and from the site is carefully controlled. It is intended that the workers who would be located at the campus would be effectively managed and good quality facilities provided to avoid the need to use facilities within the local village communities. The HPC accommodation campus would not be generally accessible for either workers' families or other members of the public on account of operational and security factors. However, following consultation with statutory consultees, the local community and the general public, the proposed campus arrangement has been modified to enable members of the public, as well as workers, to use the external recreational facilities that would sit outside the campus secure perimeter adjacent to the C182.
Tractivity 1104	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments? The purpose built accommodation proposed for the build is excessive and would completely drown the small hamlet of Shurton. The siting is totally unsatisfactory and would impinge on the quality of life of local residents.	9862-1451-1907	/			The campus facilities would include worker accommodation designed to a high standard, including bedrooms with en-suite bathrooms grouped together within discrete accommodation blocks, and catering, amenity and welfare facilities located within an amenity building. There would also be medical treatment provisions on the campus.
Tractivity 1143	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? No additional places	9901-1451-4296		/		Travel to and from the campus would be carefully managed. Occasional bus shuttles for workers would be provided to ensure car trips for those wishing to travel to Bridgwater would be minimised.
Tractivity 1167	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? Should be increased to reduce traffic flow through Bridgwater or build on the Minehead side of Hinkley.	9925-1451-6014			/	The HPC accommodation campus would require a sewage treatment compound located to the north of the campus, well away from the worker accommodation within the site and any residences within the local community. Campus waste would be managed as part of the proposed HPC development site water management scheme.
Tractivity 1174	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? For the reasons stated in Q4 plus the height of the cabins placed on the highest part of the ground on the construction site. Far too close to the hamlet of Shurton.	9932-1451-5231	/			Details of all of the facilities that would be provided at the HPC accommodation campus can be found within the <b>Hinkley Point C Campus Design and Access Statement</b> .  The HPC accommodation campus would be located within the existing rural area and would form a small
Tractivity 1175	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments? The proposed on-site campus is far too large, bth in size of the buildings and number of staff proposed, therein.	9933-1451-1900	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 1175	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? The onsite campus is far too large, bioth in height (3 Storeys) and in area - accommodation for 700 people proposed. It will be impossible to screen this building and noise levels will be unacceptable to the local residents. Its too late to complain after this is built as we know already from EDFs lack of attention, so far!	9933-1451-6437	/			part of the proposed HPC development site. As such the proposed HPC accommodation campus design would respond not only to the operational requirements of EDF Energy but also the existing rural context and its relationship as part of a proposed major construction site.
Tractivity 1182	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? Traditionally this is the BEST place for a site labour force. However, such a site will require its own first aid facility, its own recreation facilities and its own policing. Local views must be taken into account.	9940-1451-5780	/			The campus would have good access and compact internal layouts not only to ensure efficient, effective and safe movement of campus workers and vehicles but also to maintain the campus site area to a minimum. Additionally the layouts would be arranged to reduce, as far as possible, the overall visual impact of the campus on the surroundings. Following consultations with statutory consultees, the local community and the general public the proposed ground level of the campus has been reduced significantly to minimise the visual impact of the proposed HPC accommodation campus buildings. In addition there would be a planted earth bund located to the south between the proposed campus and the existing villages, to provide screening in order to reduce visual and acoustic impacts from the operations of the HPC accommodation campus. There would be landscaping planted to enhance the boundary appearance and fencing.
Tractivity 1183	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments? You appear to be insisting on the absolute necessity of a campus at Hinkley point for 700 - you should know your requirements but given all the land you now own how can you justify putting it on the southern boundary so close to local residents?	9941-1451-2080	/			Further, the HPC accommodation campus buildings would be three domestic storeys in height, with a flat roof so that the building height is kept to a practical minimum. A pitched roof would increase the overall building height and mass, and as such would be less desirable with regards visual impact.
Tractivity 1183	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? As question 4	9941-1451-5239			/	Consideration has been given to the proposed HPC accommodation campus layout so that the worker accommodation blocks consisting of bedrooms, would be located to the south of the proposed campus site whilst the amenity building would be located to the north, furthest away from the residences in Shurton. The worker accommodation blocks would enable the proposed 510 workers to be provided for within a smaller area than if a more typical residential building were to be used. The latter would require a much greater campus site area and consequently have a greater visual impact within the locality.
Tractivity 1259	Public	Stage 2 Update	In the event that an accommodation facility of any size is permitted, it should be sited much further north and not on the ridge overlooking Shurton and Burton.	89525-1451-1029		/		The HPC accommodation campus buildings, parking areas, roads and services would all be removed following cessation of operational use by EDF Energy. The building structures and site elements would be designed therefore to facilitate both construction and deconstruction and would be capable of some re-use.
Tractivity 1321	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2 Update	Any use made of land released by current minor adaptation of hostel should be rigorously controlled and mitigated to reduce visual 7 aural impact of nearest residences.	89587-1451-1894	/			
Tractivity 1372	Public	Stage 2 Update	Recreation facilities should be removed and the campus limitedto essential security shifts of no more than 50 people	89638-1451-1086		/		
Tractivity 62317	Public	Stage 2	2- What height will the HPC site accommodation campus be, when completed?	10004-1451-278		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 62319	Public	Stage 2	The proposal causing the most upset, anxiety and even anger is your insistence to have a 700 bed worker campus. This will create chaos with more local traffic with noise, antisocial behaviour, light pollution, and increased crime as proven in the building of the Channel Tunnel link in Dover. This influx of 700 unattached male workers away from home will increase the population of the parish by more than half . This part of the proposal is blatantly absurd. This disruption may go on for ten years and will ruin people's lives: the worry of this is already making some of us ill. This and the attitude of EDF have turned the mood of most of the local community from partially accepting to negative. It would seem that many are now going to fight your proposals and some probably support the STOP HINKLEY GROUP. It would appear that your resiting of the southern boundary was expected to appease the residents of Shurton, Burton, Knighton, Wick, and the surrounding area, thus allowing you to put forward unacceptable and wholly unsuitable proposals without consideration or help for the local community.	10005-1451-548	/			The campus site would be restored following the removal of the campus.  Details of the design concept and approach can be found within the <b>Hinkley Point C Campus Design and Access Statement</b> .
Federation of Bridgwater Practices	Non-Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	Both Hinkley Point and the former Innovia site will have on site medical facilities. There is no indication if these facilities are limited to a statutory appointed first aider or if EDF are providing the full level of service offered by an NHS General Practice. There is also no indication if the facilities will offer an MIU, out of hours and midwifery services to EDF families or if this burden will fall to already over stretched NHS Services. There is a strong case for additional services as previously mentioned.  5.8.7 EDF should provide more detail on what they identify as a high quality on site medical centre, including what services and hours they will operate. The facility should achieve the minimum standard of a GP surgery. A detailed investigation and break down of services and costs may prove it cheaper to deliver health care to the influx of workers in the community, amongst existing GP providers or in a community hospital/GP walk in centre.	10271-1451-16734		/		
Avon and Somerset Constabulary	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	Has consideration been given to utilising the extra land for accommodation, this would allow a greater focus in one area rather than spread over three.	89054-1451-793	/			
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	[1.1.4] 'The design of the proposals is a response to the context.' Can EDF explain how 12m high flat roof buildings constructed on high ground, some 9.5m higher than the lowest part of the site, is an acceptable 'response' to green fields and mature hedgerows?  [1.4.1] How does a three storey accommodation campus in a rural location fit in with any of these design principles?	89289-1451-12175	/			
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	[1.5.3] Many of these challenges are not relevant to the on-site camp. Where is the evidence that the specific issues of the on-site camp have been considered?	89289-1451-12782	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	[4.1.4 & .5] Specification and scope of facilities. This is inadequate at this stage, and makes SPC consideration of the proposed layout and facilities redundant as it is apparently likely to change. When will EDF be able to state what facilities will be provided, and to what specification? What about enhancing the quality of life for neighbours of the campus and keeping them happy?	89289-1451-13490	/			
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	[4.2.1] Stage 1 public consultation. Sixth bullet states 'ensuring that any development in this location would not have a detrimental impact on the local community or ruin the rurality of the local area' Can EDF explain how they have achieved this, given the huge scale of this urban development on high ground very close to and overlooking Shurton?	89290-1451-991	/			
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	[4.4.23] Food supply. This accepts that it is unlikely that employees will purchase or consume all of their food on site. Since the sketch plan at figure 19 does not appear to show cooking facilities within the rooms, the only other alternative is for camp residents to travel out from the camp, adding car journeys and potentially swamping local facilities. Will EDF accept that this will be the case?  [Figure 19] Accommodation layout. No scale is provided, but by extrapolating from a typical bed size, these rooms appear to be about 10ft x 15ft. This seems very small, and would lead the occupant to seek greater space elsewhere, including off site. This is the worry of SPC relating to possible swamping of local facilities as the workers seek relief from living in a shoebox. Will EDF reconsider the size of these rooms and their facilities?	89290-1451-3265		/		
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	[4.4.57] States 'will have a secure perimeter.' SPC are concerned that the camp will resemble a second world war POW camp with flat roof buildings in serried ranks surrounded by a high wire fence with floodlighting all around. Will EDF provide details of the proposed fencing?  [4.4.60] States 'the desire to keep the building heights to a reasonable minimum to reduce their visual impact.' Can EDF explain how putting 12m high flat roof buildings on the top of a hill in a rural environment achieves this?	89290-1451-5495	/			
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	[4.4.66] One of the influences on the design of the buildings should be to blend in with their surroundings. Will EDF consider this aspect when selecting the form of the buildings and the exterior cladding?	89290-1451-6427			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	[4.4.77] States 'Construction sites are synonymous with the use of cheap, modular cabins that provide little quality or comfort. The challenge is to provide comfortable, efficient and sustainable buildings delivered with imagination to avoid repeating stereotypical notions of campus developments.' There is little evidence in this document about how this is to be achieved, as many of the key design issues have not be tackled, and the paragraph above shows how low EDF are setting the bar. When will EDF come forward with proposals that meet this aspiration?  [4.7.16] Rainwater recycling. This should be more than an aspiration; it should be an absolute requirement. EDF are always promoting their green credentials, so will they commit to including this as a design requirement?	89290-1451-7491	/			
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	[4.7.29] There is no mains drainage in the area. It will therefore be necessary to provide a sewage treatment works for the camp (and the HPC site as a whole). This will be a substantial size, and no space is allocated on the plans for it. There is an inevitable concern about smell from this facility. Where will EDF put this plant, and where will the discharge go?	89290-1451-8468	/			
Tractivity 63240	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	EDF are asked to confirm the proposed maximum height and number of storeys being proposed for the accommodation, and the comparable height being considered for landscaped mounds.	89440-1451-6065		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The authorities would expect to see further clarification on how facilities could be provided such that they may offer wider community benefits either during the construction phase or afterwards.	89327-1451-2814	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Council officers during informal pre-application advice between Stage 1 and Stage 2 described the proposed accommodation as being "an engineer-led solution' to the wider planning of the site" with the officer's view being that the form and location of the development outlined within the plans as being unacceptable.	89333-1451-9109		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The modern, modular design of the buildings is no doubt desirable in terms of use on other sites which EDF Energy wish to develop (or potential relocation for community legacy uses), however, the proposals create an extremely difficult and unbalanced relationship between the rural character around the site and the proposed campus.	89334-1451-404		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The level of integration between the construction campus and wider community is a priority subject for discussion with EDF Energy, and in particular, whether campus facilities should be accessible to residents of local villages. If social, sports and leisure and facilities were accessible to the public, this decision may prompt revisions to the site layout, with relevant uses located closer to the main site access.	89334-1451-1288	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	West Somerset Council have requested in previous meetings with Canaway Fleming Architects that consideration is given to the potential of allowing access to parts of the site for local residents. It would be beneficial to have some justification from the design team as to why this option may have been discounted. We also wish to understand why this approach is different from the French advice, to integrate facilities into existing rural communities to leave them as legacy uses.	89334-1451-1707	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Clarification is requested over whether car parking will be allocated or on a first come first served basis. If the latter, it is considered that 'search paths' through the campus are poor;	89334-1451-5586	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The residents of Shurton and its surrounding hamlets have previously expressed great concern about the construction of a perimeter security fence.	89334-1451-5916		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Ideally the built environment professions should liaise closely over not only the design and finishes of the HPC and Campus site but boundaries, entrances, highways and wider landscape infrastructure.	89354-1451-21514	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 62940	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2 Update	- From your recent consultation update proposal document it appears that even though the accommodation campus site area has been reduced and the ground level lowered, it still appears that it will overlook our property? Can you please advise.	89675-1451-266	/			
Tractivity 62998	Public	Stage 2 Update	20m depth for campus block could encounter water problems.	89692-1451-1651		/		
English Heritage	statutory consultee	Stage 2 Update	Key Changes to Accommodation Proposals. We are pleased to see that the area for the accommodation campus on the main site at Hinkley will now be more tightly drawn and therefore confined to a smaller part of the development site. This together with the proposed landscaping should help to mitigate against the impact of development to the south of the site within this rural location.	89718-1451-1193			/	
Stogursey Parish Council	statutory consultee	Stage 2 Update	2.2.11 [6.4.7] Figures 4.1-4.4 show the campus being at +18m AOD, rather than +20 as proposed here. This additional 2m would have a substantially beneficial effect on reducing the visibility of the camp and would also have it at the same level as the southern site access which would seem to be operationally sensible. Will EDF confirm that they will use +18?	89872-1451-9344		/		
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	Given the reduced resolution of masterplan images it is not entirely possible to be certain about the detail of changes between the Stage 2 proposals and what is presented now.	89886-1451-54	/			
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	It is noted in EDFE's proposals that ground levels will be lowered to a maximum of 20m AOD and will be screened to the south by an embankment that will be built to reach 26m to reduce visibility. However, it is not at this stage clear whether this design solution has been informed by a landscape assessment, as recommended to EDFE by CABE and the South West Design Review Panel in December 2010. Further to this, their advice in relation to pursuing a more creative approach, considering the legacy of the earthworks on this site has not been addressed.	89886-1451-2286	/			



Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	[4.4.43] External pitches. These appear to have been sighted to maximise the visual impact, noise and light pollution for the local residents. Will EDF reconsider the site layout to put these pitches in a position that minimises their impact? Putting them the other side of the 13m high sports hall would be a start.	89290-1561-4385	/			
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	[4.4.43] External pitches. These appear to have been sighted to maximise the visual impact, noise and light pollution for the local residents. Will EDF reconsider the site layout to put these pitches in a position that minimises their impact? Putting them the other side of the 13m high sports hall would be a start.	89290-1451-4385	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - Local Authority, Statutory Consultee and Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 1	f) The need for the workers accommodation on the main site itself has not been clearly established in the consultation report. The location of workers accommodation on site is a matter of significant public concern. The document does not provide a robust evidence base that establishes the grounds upon which this development is required. Furthermore, if workers accommodation is considered to be necessary, it is suggested that EDF should clearly state how the potential social issues of locating a significant number of workers will not have a negative impact upon the local communities in close proximity to the site.	87920-1453-0		/		A variety of comments were received during the Stage 1 and Stage 2 consultation regarding the general siting of the Hinkley Point C (HPC) On-Site Accommodation Campus (the proposed development). Many public consultees believed that the proposed development was too large and, in particular, that it was located too close to Stogursey and Shurton. Many comments suggested that the proposed development should be moved further north-east and away from the nearest local residents. Other consultees believed that the proposed development should not be located on a ridge and should be hidden from public view. Many stakeholders and members of the public from further afield strongly supported the proposed development with some arguing that all non-home-based workers should be accommodated on-site. Several statutory consultees noted that locating workers on-site could potentially reduce traffic movements.
Somerset County Council	Dual - Local Authority, Statutory Consultee and Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 1	In planning terms, the sites should ideally be considered through the development plan process and be included as appropriate sites for development. EDF need to fully consider how the development of these sites will be brought forward effectively and how it links to the planning process.	87920-1453-4929			/	
Tractivity 866	Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments? More concerned about the site for the campuses on site being built high (3-4 storeys) on top of a ridge.	9624-1453-1492	/			At the Stage 1 Consultation, EDF Energy identified land within the southern part of the HPC development site as a potentially suitable location for a temporary accommodation campus to house up to 700 construction workers with associated facilities. At Stage 2 consultation, and in response to comments received from statutory consultees, other relevant stakeholders and the local community, EDF Energy proposed to locate the HPC accommodation campus and associated facilities on agricultural land within the south-eastern corner of the HPC development site, north of Bum Brook and west of the C182 (Wick Moor Drove) that leads to the existing Hinkley Point A and B power stations (HPA and HPB respectively).  In considering the siting of the HPC accommodation campus, EDF Energy looked at alternative locations in close proximity to the HPC development site. Alternative locations explored include:  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>land to the north of Green Lane, adjacent to the existing Hinkley Point A (HPA) power station; and</li> <li>land to the south of the existing Hinkley Point A and Hinkley Point B (HPB) power stations.</li> </ul> Land north of Green Lane, adjacent to the existing HPA power station, is to be used for the nuclear installation itself and land south of the existing HPA and HPB power stations contains a Scheduled Monument, Pixies Mound, and is designated as part of the Severn Estuary Special Protection Area (SPA), as a Ramsar Site and as part of the Bridgwater Bay Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI). Therefore, these areas were considered to be unsuitable for development.
Tractivity 870	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? The village of Stogursey does not have the facilities to accomodat 700 extra people.	9628-1453-4465			/	
Tractivity 871	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? Surrounding villages and hamlets do not have the infrastructure to accomodate this number of people in the immediate area.	9629-1453-4345			/	
Tractivity 925	Public	Stage 2	10. Any other ideas or comments? Most facilities / lodgings / freight movement should be by Junction 23 and Junction 24 of M5 - there should be a bridge over Parrett at Dunball	9683-1453-6221			/	
Tractivity 984	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? We are opposed to a campus ?on site?. Many of the workers will probably be non-British and therefore not go home during the holidays and days off. With few local amenities (and not being allowed private transport on site) how will they occupy their free time??	9742-1453-5075			/	
Tractivity 991	Public	Stage 2	2. Any other ideas or comments? Residents do not want an on-site campus to be located in this Southern area. It should be moved to the North away from Shurton and substantially reduced in size . EDF have been unable to justify the figure of 700 workers to be housed in this campus. If permitted this would swamp the parish of Stogursey andthe hamlet of Shurton which lies within 70m of the campus boundary.	9749-1453-852			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 991	Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments? No justification provided for an on-site hostel for 700. Close proximity to Shurton plus its location on a ridge result the campus visually dominating the surrounding area. On-site hostel should be moved to the North of the site away from Shurton and reduced in size and number of workers.	9749-1453-2606	/			<p>As a result, the most suitable location for an on-site accommodation campus is within the area proposed, south of Green Lane. However, in response to the need of the local residents, the campus accommodation has been located as far north as possible, away from Shurton and in close proximity to the highway for efficient use of construction land. The land further north of the chosen site forms part of an area allocated as common land and is not in the ownership or control of EDF Energy. Further details are located within the <b>Accommodation Strategy</b>.</p> <p>EDF Energy believes that a separate campus accommodation on-site is the best way of managing the large construction workforce to minimise any potential disruption to local residents. Nonetheless, EDF Energy was sensitive to the strongly expressed views of local residents and the local authorities and amended the Masterplan to accommodate views expressed throughout the consultation process.</p> <p>To start with, at the Stage 2 Update consultation, the initial proposal was scaled down from 700 to 510 bedspaces in order to minimise the intrusion into the local area. Careful consideration has been given to the public feedback with regards to the size of the proposed development and while it is recognised that there are those who would like to see an even smaller accommodation campus on-site, it is considered that any further reduction in the size would materially reduce the operational efficiency of construction.</p> <p>EDF Energy has also proposed a number of mitigation methods and amendments to the HPC accommodation campus Masterplan in an attempt to address the concerns of the local community and consultees. These amendments include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the creation of a planted landscape bund to screen the proposed development at the time of construction, providing visual mitigation and some noise attenuation both for Doggetts and for the residents of Shurton;</li> <li>the lighting design, together with the landscape strategy, has also been designed to minimise light spill to the residential properties to the south;</li> <li>the ground level of the accommodation campus has been lowered to a maximum of 20m and the embankment to the south will be constructed to reach 26m. This arrangement will therefore reduce the visibility of the accommodation buildings as viewed from the south;</li> </ul>
Tractivity 991	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? Totally unsuitable in a small rural community. This is totally out of character and would swamp the hamlet of Shurton. There would be no legacy outcome. All accommodation should be in Bridgwater. As an alternative the campus could be moved to the North of the site and scaled down in size and number of workforce.	9749-1453-6043			/	
Tractivity 1001	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? Environment and village services not suitable for such a large number of people.	9759-1453-4780			/	
Tractivity 1016	Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments? The on site accommodation is too big and in the wrong location being on a high ridge. The need for it has not been proven.	9774-1453-1804	/			
Tractivity 1029	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? It would be completely inappropriate to build 700 units at Hinckley.	9787-1453-4317			/	
Tractivity 1089	Public	Stage 2	Too close to residences. I do not agree with a campus being so close to the houses	9847-1453-430			/	
Tractivity 1091	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? A 700 accommodation campus seems unnecessary. To place such high numbers on site so close to small villages like Shurton and Burton will affect their daily lives so implicitly. Dust, noise and light pollution will be a factor for a great many resident. The onsite accom. & leisure facilities are to be taken down, causing disruption going up and again coming down. A waste of supplies, time and money. Local roads, facilities and villages are not equipped to deal with this amount of people. Extra traffic will be generated as over half will have a parking space. Is there really a need for 700 workers in case of an emergency? We are assured it is all safe! If there must be accommodation, why not for 50 workers housed in the new Paddons Farm development in Stogursey with a 5 minute travel time to the HPC site. Sports facilities could then be made available in the village, for the Stogursey Parish with priority to the local school.	9849-1453-10453			/	
Tractivity 1104	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments? The purpose built accommodation proposed for the build is excessive and would completely drown the small hamlet of Shurton. The siting is totally unsatisfactory and would impinge on the quality of life of local residents.	9862-1453-1907			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 1146	Public	Stage 2	8. Any other ideas or comments? The lack of a local workforce, as evidenced by the need for the associated development sites, is indicative of the fact that this is the wrong location for this development.	9904-1453-4898		/		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>re-location of the external sports pitches and the associated car parking to facilitate opportunities for public access; and</li> <li>introduction of a central car parking area to minimise any potential noise and visual impacts for those residents living in close proximity to the site.</li> </ul> <p>These amendments were set out in the Stage 2 Update consultation and the number of negative responses received at this stage was greatly reduced.</p>
Tractivity 1146	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? The lack of a local workforce, as evidenced by the need for the associated development sites, is indicative of the fact that this is the wrong location for this development.	9904-1453-6110		/		
Tractivity 1158	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? The development should be further away from community areas.	9916-1453-4835	/			
Tractivity 1164	Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments? If there is a real need for a ?camp? on site for workers it must be as close to site as possible. Who would police these workers? on time off ie Drugs and Alcohol abuse - bad behaviour in the local villages.	9922-1453-1492	/			
Tractivity 1166	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? Make the other campus sites larger to accommodate the proposed 700 construction workers at Hinkley Point C or find another site away from Shurton. As mentioned in item 2 there is no justification for an on-site campus. This proposed campus would have an enormous effect on our lives, living directly opposite the proposed site. We cannot make this any clearer. We do not want this campus built in our small hamlet!	9924-1453-5278		/		
Tractivity 1174	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? For the reasons stated in Q4 plus the height of the cabins placed on the highest part of the ground on the construction site. Far too close to the hamlet of Shurton.	9932-1453-5231	/			
Tractivity 1183	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments? You appear to be insisting on the absolute necessity of a campus at Hinkley point for 700 - you should know your requirements but given all the land you now own how can you justify putting it on the southern boundary so close to local residents?	9941-1453-2080		/		
Tractivity 1183	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? As question 4	9941-1453-5239		/		
Tractivity 1259	Public	Stage 2 Update	In terms of the main site, the location is poor and the numbers are still far too high.	89525-1453-313		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 1321	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2 Update	Any on-site accomodation - for no more than one hundred - should be on the northern boundary.	89587-1453-1800		/		
Tractivity 1349	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2 Update	We do not want extra people in the village it has no facilities and not enough room, it is a nice quite village and no to yet more permanent housing in the area.	89615-1453-299			/	
Tractivity 62319	Public	Stage 2	The proposal causing the most upset, anxiety and even anger is your insistence to have a 700 bed worker campus. This will create chaos with more local traffic with noise, antisocial behaviour, light pollution, and increased crime as proven in the building of the Channel Tunnel link in Dover. This influx of 700 unattached male workers away from home will increase the population of the parish by more than half . This part of the proposal is blatantly absurd. This disruption may go on for ten years and will ruin people's lives: the worry of this is already making some of us ill. This and the attitude of EDF have turned the mood of most of the local community from partially accepting to negative. It would seem that many are now going to fight your proposals and some probably support the STOP HINKLEY GROUP. It would appear that your resiting of the southern boundary was expected to appease the residents of Shurton, Burton, Knighton, Wick, and the surrounding area, thus allowing you to put forward unacceptable and wholly unsuitable proposals without consideration or help for the local community.	10005-1453-548			/	
Tractivity 62373	Public	Stage 2	A further point which we would like you to consider, should there be a genuine need to house key workers on site - and we cannot accept this on the evidence shown so far - is that on this huge area of land, we are certain that a scaled-down Campus could be placed elsewhere on the Hinkley site - perhaps an area of spoil could be moved from the North-western area to make room, for example - which would at least mitigate the noise and disruption to our lives. EdF's mantra is that the company wishes to be a 'good neighbour' - well, prove it!	10044-1453-3085			/	
Tractivity 62431	Public	Stage 2	Not only is there no justification given for the arbitrary figure of '700 workers', but the stated aims of keeping the Campus as far north from Shurton and to maintain the rurality of the area are negated by this proposal.	10065-1453-1074	/			
Tractivity 62437	Public	Stage 2	I look to EDF to accommodate these workers elsewhere, where use can be made of existing infrastructure or where new infrastructure will provide a legacy. If EDF can justify having a small number of workers on site, then the camp should be put further north on the site away from local residents.	10069-1453-1586			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 62508	Public	Stage 2	The "On-Site" hostel will stand on one of the highest points in the area, overlooking the Hamlet of Shurton, and approximately 100 yards from the nearest property. [4.2.1] stage 1 consultation states that any development in this location be sited as far north-east of the site, and as far from Shurton as possible. It also states [4.4.56] a location as far away from high levels of construction noise as possible. This would suggest that more consideration is given to the construction workforce, than that of the local community.	10098-1453-5005			/	
Tractivity 62508	Public	Stage 2	On-site accommodation proposals are wholly unacceptable, not only because of the lack of justification, but also due to the position it will hold within the site itself and the effect that will have on neighbouring properties.	10098-1453-5919			/	
Tractivity 62572	Public	Stage 2	The campus should be relocated to a more suitable position if EDF can prove the requirement for it.	10123-1453-1047			/	
Tractivity 62577	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	- If there is to be an on-site hostel then it should be at the northern boundary of the site	10128-1453-10351			/	
Stringston Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	We object to the onsite accommodation unit for 700 workers - due to its close proximity to established villages and increase in traffic movements.	10233-1453-8556			/	
Stogursey and District Parish Plan Steering Committee	Non-Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	The site proposed is far, far too close to local residences.	10259-1453-3387			/	
Tractivity 846	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	Too close to Shurton. No camp	10278-1453-165			/	
Tractivity 846	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	EDF should never, ever have considered buying land so close to Shurton. You've given us back 200m that you shouldn't have taken in the first place, if you consider 'your neighbours' as you keep saying, we should put your boundary back to the woods at least.	10278-1453-448			/	
Tractivity 846	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	We have enough stress in Shurton. we want no camp here putting pressure on local lives. In France you do not need a camp on site, so why here? Put all the workers in B/W. We live in a 'pleasant rural location' and that should be considered and respected. As Richard Mayson has quoted for Cannington and de-camped for them. No camp at Hinkley Point..	10278-1453-1964			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 846	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	No camp at Hinkley Point. Too much pressure on Shurton. We already have enough to put up with. You don't need on site in France so why here!?	10278-1453-5456			/	
Tractivity 846	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	Leave Benhole lane alone, you don't own it and have no right to change it in any way. So keep out. Hope p. permission is refused for everything!	10278-1453-7206			/	
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	SPC believes that the case for the accommodation being on site has not been made, and looks to EDF to relocate the facility to a more suitable location.	89288-1453-2551		/		
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	On site accommodation. One of the stated benefits is that workers can walk to work. Yet EDF has located the camp so far away from the worksite that they are planning to provide a bus service. Will EDF reconsider locating the onsite camp, if there is to be one, further north so that workers can walk to work, and the camp is further away from local residents?	89289-1453-6209			/	
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	[4.10.1] States that one of the objectives of the camps is to provide accommodation in appropriate centres where there are adequate services and facilities. Can EDF explain how a greenfield rural site within a short distance of local residents meets this objective?	89289-1453-7207			/	
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	[4.2.1] Stage 1 public consultation. First bullet states 'ensuring that any development in this location is located as far north-east of the site and as far away from Shurton as possible' Can EDF explain why they have therefore placed the camp as close to Shurton as it can be? Paragraph 4.4.56 gives a hint. It states 'a location as far away from the high levels of construction noise as possible' So are EDF far more concerned about the welfare of their workforce than they are about the effect on local residents of the visual impact and noise and light pollution from the camp?	89290-1453-0			/	
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	[4.3.4] Location. Why is the campus located as far south as possible when Stage 1 responses asked for it to be North and East? Why not build campus on lower ground to lessen the impact?	89290-1453-1344	/			
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	[4.3.27] States that, amongst other things, the fact that 'Shurton is located at a lower level than the site' will influence the design. Can EDF explain how the design - building three storeys tall on high ground above Shurton - has taken this aspect into account? It seems to be diametrically opposed to the obvious solution, which is to build elsewhere.	89290-1453-2481	/			
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	[4.4.52] This accepts that the height, scale and mass of buildings will not be similar to the adjoining villages. This is precisely the point that SPC and local residents have been making all along. This is not the right location for this camp. Will EDF reconsider locating the camp elsewhere?	89290-1453-4705		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	[1.6.41] If 700 bed onsite campus goes ahead it will increase Stogursey Parish by half and the majority will be single male. This is bound to have a significant adverse impact on local people, some of whose health is already suffering due to the stress and worry caused by EDF proposals, lack of clarity, failure to answer questions etc. Will EDF reconsider their plans for on-site accommodation?	89291-1453-4108			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	the provision of a temporary campus for 700 workers on-site at Hinkley is considered unacceptable given the rural setting and proximity to existing rural settlements.	89298-1453-475			/	
Tractivity 62953	Public	Stage 2 Update	There is no genuine robust justification for any workers to be housed on site. This does not happen at any other building projects and to insist on a Campus on site is particularly inappropriate on a greenfield site so close to settlements.	89682-1453-239	/			
17	Comments received under the EIR from the IPC	Stage 2	<p>4. We intend to maximize the use of local workers to build the power stations but we expect between 3,000 and 3,500 workers at peak to require short-term accommodation because they currently do not live locally. These workers will be accommodated in a mixture of rented and owner-occupied accommodation, bed and breakfast and caravan parks. We are also proposing purpose built accommodation campuses; two in Bridgwater and on the Hinkley Point C site. What are your views on our overall accommodation strategy?</p> <p>Satisfactory Unsatisfactory No Opinion Don't know</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Where are the figures to show exactly what proportion of the work-force will be local and how it will be recruited?</li> <li>- Where is the admission that the terms 'peak' and 'short-term' represent several years?</li> <li>- Where in all the company's claims to be 'low-carbon' are the figures to show the traffic generated by the service personnel for the hostels and the recreational use of vehicles by the hostel inhabitants?</li> <li>- The hostels should all be sited in Bridgwater, which already has suitable facilities. The site hostel outnumbers the local community by 7:1 and the population of the host parish by 2:1. EdF's claims that their behaviour policies are effective is unspecific and unproven; they do not acknowledge recreational activity, nor service traffic, nor even the historic evidence of those residents who endured the building of 'B' station. They take no account of the noise and light intrusion on the established community of local residents - a mere 300 yards away - which will be constant, day in day out, week in week out, for years.</li> <li>- If the justification for the on-site hostel is the avoidance of traffic delay then clearly the company's traffic plans are not adequate for the scale of the project. If the justification for siting the hostel so far to the south of the site is to secure the tranquility of the workers, then the company should prove its' own claims and take steps to insulate, double-glaze and tread gently around them on a site further north, rather than pretend to be able to mitigate the impact on the fifty households next to the proposed location.</li> </ul>	89806-1453-4474	/			



Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
17	Comments received under the EIR from the IPC	Stage 2	<p>1Hinkley C Site</p> <p>Satisfactory Totally Unsatisfactory No Opinion Don't know</p> <p>- I find it impossible to believe that a civilised human being imagined this development on this site.</p> <p>- What deluded model of social interaction persuades the company that siting a 700 bed- hostel with buildings three-storeys high, recreational facilities indoors and out and on-site parking for residents' cars and service personnel, on high ground above the nearest community - a hamlet centuries old with several listed buildings lying a mere 300 yards distant - represents the actions of the 'good neighbour' which EdF claims that it wishes to be?</p> <p>- The company itself admits that it will have difficulty reducing light pollution from the proposed campus. It has not acknowledged that there will also be noise nuisance, visual intrusion and evening and weekend recreational nuisance which will affect all local traffic and the private lives of the local hamlet residents. There will be no benefit to the local communities during operation and no legacy use,</p> <p>- Psychologically there will be no escape from sight or sound of the development. EdF might just as well bulldoze the hamlet and have done with the difficulty.</p> <p>- If there is to be an on-site hostel then it should be at the northern boundary of the site and the light/noise/social/traffic impact on the hamlets of Wick and Shurton should be proven to be controlled and mitigated to the maximum achievable and agreed with residents.</p>	89806-1453-9767			/	
17	Comments received under the EIR from the IPC	Stage 2	<p>1Hinkley C Ste</p> <p>Satisfactory Totally Unsatisfactory No Opinion Don't know</p> <p>- I find it impossible to believe that a civilised human being imagined this development on this site.</p>	89806-1465-9767a			/	
17	Comments received under the EIR from the IPC	Stage 2	<p>- What deluded model of social interaction persuades the company that siting a 700 bed- hostel with buildings three-storeys high, recreational facilities indoors and out and on-site parking for residents' cars and service personnel, on high ground above the nearest community - a hamlet centuries old with several listed buildings lying a mere 300 yards distant - represents the actions of the 'good neighbour' which EdF claims that it wishes to be?</p>	89806-1465-9767b			/	
17	Comments received under the EIR from the IPC	Stage 2	<p>- The company itself admits that it will have difficulty reducing light pollution from the proposed campus. It has not acknowledged that there will also be noise nuisance, visual intrusion and evening and weekend recreational nuisance which will affect all local traffic and the private lives of the local hamlet residents. There will be no benefit to the local communities during operation and no legacy use,</p>	89806-1465-9767c			/	
Tractivity 940	Public	Stage 2	<p>9c. Any other ideas or comments?</p> <p>I don't think it is a good idea to accommodate workers on site. What is there to entertain them outside working hours! Bridwatching? Fishing? Sailing? (Some of them could join our local Patchwork group in the village hall!)</p>	9698-1559-5666			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 940	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? I don't think it is a good idea to accomodate workers on site. What is there to entertain them outside working hours! Bridwatching? Fishing? Sailing? (Some of them could join our local Patchwork group in the village hall!)	9698-1453-5666			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The EnvApp incorporates a useful map showing PRow, areas of Common Land in the vicinity of the site, with those directly affected by the proposal highlighted in tables in the text. It would be helpful if the map also included the red line site boundary, to aid interpretation.	89357-1564-1107			/	A request was made by Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council during Stage 2 consultation that figures should include the site and red line boundaries in relation to the amenity and recreation receptors. The figures within the chapter have been revised to show red-line boundary in relation to amenity and recreation assets within the <b>Volume 2 Chapter 25 of the Environmental Statement.</b>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	A general point raised is that the EnvApp does not consider the potential effects, positive or negative, of the use of recreation facilities by the construction workforce. This is of particular importance for the area around sites where accommodation campuses are proposed.	89357-1559-635	/			Response to consultation at Stage 2 and Stage 2 Update included comments regarding the assessment of impacts, and concerns that campus residents may not make use of recreational facilities provided.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•Will construction workers wish to use existing facilities and, if so, what are the potential impacts;</li> <li>•If leisure and recreation facilities are provided specifically for construction workers, how could this affect provision in the area in the long term. There is potential for positive effects to be demonstrated if facilities can be retained for legacy use by the community in an appropriate location.</li> </ul>	89357-1559-4812	/			A concern was raised regarding inconsistency between the assessment of impact significance on Public Rights of Way (PRoW), at the construction phase and the assessment at the operation phase. It should be noted that in any eventuality a diversion would be required under current planning legislation for any PRoW that is subject to closure. However, in this case the apparent inconsistency arises from the permanence and duration of any footpath obstruction/closure in the operation phase when compared to the 'duration' of obstruction/closure during the construction phase. Hence the permanent obstruction/closure of PRoW in operation is of greater

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It is viewed as inconsistent that a Minor Adverse impact has been assigned for all PRow at the construction stage before mitigation, when a Moderate Adverse impact is recorded at the operation stage for those PRow that will be permanently obstructed.</li> <li>Assigning low/local importance to the majority of footpaths is viewed as appropriate, however it is considered that the visual impact and scale of change in the environment for each of the PRow identified is so great that a high magnitude impact should be assigned. A minimum of a Moderate Adverse effect should therefore be applied for all PRow during construction and operation.</li> <li>The Marine and Coastal Access Act 2009 gives the Secretary of State and Natural England a duty to secure a long distance walking trail around the open coast of England. On the basis that the West Somerset Coast Path forms part of this national footpath, the authorities consider it should assume a high/national status rather than regional/medium status. A medium or high magnitude impact on the path should therefore result in a Major Adverse effect, not Moderate adverse as recorded in the EA.</li> <li>Mitigation measures at the construction stage comprise footpath diversion and the implementation of an Environmental Mitigation and Monitoring Plan (EMMP). The authorities consider these to be the minimum steps that should be undertaken to safeguard the rights of PRow users. They will not secure the 'good views', 'peace and quiet' and 'mix of countryside and coast' most highly valued by users, as recorded in the Recreational Access Survey. It is therefore considered that the Moderate to Major Adverse impacts on PRow will persist throughout the construction stage.</li> <li>Mitigation measures at the operation stage comprise rationalisation of the PRow network, the upgrading of three sections of footpath to bridleway, the installation of interpretation boards and kissing gates. While these proposed measures are welcomed, it has not been demonstrated that they will deliver wider connectivity and enhanced quality of the PRow network in this part of Sedgemoor and West Somerset. In addition, they will not secure the 'good views', 'peace and quiet' and 'mix of countryside and coast' most highly valued by users, as recorded in the Recreational Access Survey. It is therefore considered that the stated Minor Beneficial effect is misleading and that Moderate to Major Adverse impacts on the PRow will persist throughout the operation stage.</li> <li>There is no assessment of impacts at the decommissioning stage in the EnvApp. This should be addressed by the DCO application.</li> <li>The EnvApp does not assign a significance rating to the effect of construction or operation on nearby Common Land. This should be addressed by the DCO application.</li> <li>The EnvApp does not assign a significance rating to the effect of construction, operation or decommissioning on other recreation and amenity facilities and resources in the area. An exception is the consideration of water-based activities such as sailing and fishing, where a Negligible effect is assigned on the basis of relatively low usage and a number of alternative venues. This conclusion does not take account of impact interactions, such as visual effects.</li> </ul>	89357-1559-5712			/	<p>significance than the temporary (albeit extended) obstruction/closure of PRow in the construction phase.</p> <p>A concern was raised that the magnitude of disturbance impacts on PRow users could be high. A consistent methodology has been used throughout the Environmental Statement. See the <b>Noise and Vibration Chapter (Chapter 11 of Volume 2)</b>, and the <b>Landscape and Visual Impact Chapter (Chapter 22 of Volume 2) of the Environmental Statement (ES)</b> for further information on the assessment.</p> <p>It was noted in consultation that it should be assumed that the West Somerset Coast Path is of high / national importance. This has been revised in the <b>ES</b> and considered as such.</p> <p>A concern was raised that a significance rating was not assigned for the construction or operation impacts on the nearby Common Land. The revised <b>ES</b> provides a significance rating in respect of the nearby Common Land.</p> <p>A concern was raised that a significance rating to the effect of construction and operation on other recreation and amenity facilities in the area. The <b>ES</b> now examines and assesses the significance of the direct and indirect effects (where relevant) on all amenity and recreation assets identified in the baseline.</p> <p>A concern was raised that the conclusions of disturbance effects do not take account of impact interactions. The cumulative direct effects on recreation and amenity assets, along with indirect noise, air, visual, and traffic related disturbance effects are complex and cannot be assessed as one overarching impact. People would respond to all these potential disturbances in many different ways, to varying degrees, which are subject to personal perception and valuation of the recreation and amenity resource being used.</p> <p>A concern was raised that the residual impacts afforded a higher weighting to physical interventions when compared to disturbance factors. The specific mitigation measures in the <b>amenity and recreation chapter</b> are focused on measures to counteract and minimise/offset the physical (direct) impacts on amenity and recreation receptors where possible. However, the measures to mitigate for the indirect (disturbance) effects are identified in the relevant chapters related to the disturbance (e.g. <b>noise and</b></p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The EnvApp concludes that, as a result of mitigation measures, the residual effects are Minor Beneficial. This appears to be a qualitative judgement which affords a high weighting to physical interventions such as diversions and style replacement, when compared to disturbance factors such as visual impact, and air and noise pollution. The Minor Beneficial residual effect identified by EDF Energy is contested by the authorities for the reasons set out in sections above.	89357-1559-11211			/	<b>vibration, air quality, landscape and visual).</b> Further information regarding the assumptions on needs for and use of recreational facilities by users of the on-site campus is included in the <b>Accommodation Strategy.</b>
Tractivity 63031	Public	Stage 2 Update	The smaller campus has reduced recreation facilities therefore inmates will seek enjoyment elsewhere; this is a rural area with few social facilities to cater for the local residents let alone 510 construction workers.	89704-1559-3040			/	
18	Comments received under the EIR from the IPC	Stage 2	There will be little for the hostel residents to do in their spare time in this rural area so they will be adding to the traffic on local roads as they travel in search of recreation.	89807-1559-4592			/	
Stogursey Parish Council	statutory consultee	Stage 2 Update	SPC notes that the reduced campus has fewer recreational facilities than the Stage 2 design. This raises even more concern about campus residents swamping local facilities than at Stage 2.	89871-1559-7961			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	For the campuses, consideration should be made to workers using cycles for leisure purposes, and the proposed cycle pool is a positive enabler of casual cycle use for workers who have not brought a cycle with them.	89233-1558-6528			/	<p>The overall approach to methodology of impact assessment is set out in <b>Chapter 7 Volume 1 of the Environmental Statement</b>.</p> <p>The magnitude of disturbance effects is determined based on the methodology for the relevant parameter, which is presented in each relevant subject chapter within the Volume 2 of the <b>Environment Statement</b>, e.g. <b>noise and vibration (Chapter 11)</b>, <b>air quality (Chapter 12)</b>, <b>landscape and visual (Chapter 22)</b>. Those methodologies are determined either through specific or general guidance and criteria relevant to those parameters. However, the significance of the impact is a factor of the magnitude of the effect. It should be noted that overall numbers of Public Rights of Way users in the area are generally low. The Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response refers to a survey of PRow users and suggests this should have been used to determine rankings. EDF Energy understands that the sample size was small, and not sufficient large to be reliable for quantitative analysis.</p>
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<p>The methodology therefore considers a range of factors, although it is considered that these are not always been consistently applied in terms of gauging the significance of impacts at different projects stages.</p> <p>Conclusions on the magnitude of disturbance impacts appear to result from qualitative judgements. In many cases these are considered reasonable, but it is considered that the assessments of disturbance impacts should be cross-referenced with relevant sections of EnvApp (landscape and visual, noise, air quality etc.).</p> <p>It is also the Council's view that the qualitative judgements are not always consistent with other arguments developed in the text. For instance, the PRow users questioned during the Recreational Access Survey identified the most desirable characteristics of a footpath as: (i) good views - 80%; (ii) peace and quiet - 65%; (iii) mix of countryside and coast - 50%; (iv) good conditions and footpaths - 25%; (v) connections to other footpaths - 30%; (vi) well marked footpaths - 25%; (vii) and far from the road - 20%. This ranking does not appear to have informed the qualitative judgements made in assigning impact significance.</p>	89357-1558-3507	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	It is acknowledged that the obstructions to the PRow network will be relatively localised, providing suitable diversions are implemented, but it is considered that a broader analysis of opportunities should be undertaken so that real improvements can be achieved that compensate impacts such as visual impact and disturbance by construction activity.	89357-1558-12742	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 751	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? Not sure about this. If sufficient entertainment provided then ok, but if not, could cause probs for the local area.	9509-1561-4157			/	<p>Responses to Stage 2 of the consultation included comments on the adequacy proposed mitigation measures for loss of Public Rights of Way (footpaths) as a result of the development. Some comments were also made regarding the value of public access to the proposed sports facilities on the on-site campus.</p> <p>With respect to the direct effects on amenity and recreation, the Public Rights of Way (PRoW) network is considered to be the asset most affected directly and indirectly. Discussions have been carried out with the Somerset County Council (SCC) Rights of Way Team, as well as Stogursey Parish Council, equestrians and the public. Through the discussions with SCC, wider enhancement measures have been identified and included within the mitigation measures for the relevant stage of works, with an intent to minimise and offset the loss of PRoW during construction and operation (with the loss being very small in scale in the long-term operational phase), and improving accessibility for all users (both walkers and cyclists and equestrians) through measures across Stogursey Parish, as well as actions related to the improvement of access along the Coast Path.</p> <p>The concern above was noted specifically in relation to the construction phase and provision of steps to minimise indirect (disturbance effects). Specific measures in relation to noise and vibration, and landscape and visual impact are presented in <b>Chapters 11 and 22 of Volume 2 of the Environment Statement (ES)</b>. Design aspects such as early tree planting and other landscaping help to reduce the scale of disturbance effects in the construction phase. With specific regard to the PRoW network, mitigation measures have been identified which provide improvements to accessibility along PRoW further away from the main site in order to provide users with alternative routes, improve access to the coast at an alternative location, provide a significant area of permissive access land for local residents, and create a new bridleway also occurring away from the site as well as the provision of an equestrian crossing.</p>
Tractivity 1069	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? Assuming leisure facilities will be provided on site	9827-1561-5324		/		
Tractivity 1187	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? Subject to answers in 4 above	9945-1561-4911			/	
Tractivity 1297	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2 Update	The campus is too big for a hamlet to cope with. I believe that the local, mostly elderly, population will not want to use the facilities and indeed many people would feel uncomfortable entering an area with unfamiliar workers, especially as it will almost certainly be wild and lively.	89563-1561-3961			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Taking account of the overall scale and nature of changes to the landscape and longevity of the proposals, it is considered that there are significant residual effects and that mitigation and compensation is inadequate.	89357-1561-9412	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	While the upgrade to this section is welcomed, it is not clear the proposed multi-user routes connect with the wider network to provide an attractive circular route. It is considered by the Councils that further mitigation and compensation will be required to address residual effects, which could include:  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•Improvements to the connectivity and quality of the wider PRoW network in the area around Hinkley Point, addressing wider ambitions for the Steart Peninsula as a visitor attraction linked by bridleways and footpaths to the Quantocks and Cannington.</li> <li>•Alternative compensation for the loss of amenity experienced by PRoW users.</li> </ul>	89357-1561-10156	/			



Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<p>Hinkley Point C Public Information Centre (PIC) - There is no reference in the Environmental Appraisal to whether the proposed PIC would generate additional use of the PRow network. EDF Energy anticipate that there will be significant numbers of visitors to the site during the construction stage, based on experience at Sizewell B where there were approximately 30,000 visitors per year. It is reasonable to assume that the PIC would generate additional use of the PRow network as users seek to combine trips with visits to the coastline or other nearby attractions, such as the Quantocks and the inter-tidal habitat creation project proposed for Steart.</p> <p>Steart Coastal Management Project - Certain sections of the Environmental Appraisal make reference to the Steart proposals. For instance, reference is made to the potential for "...habitat creation proposals within the Hinkley Point C site, to be linked with management of water levels at Wick Moor and habitat creation on the Steart peninsula to deliver a wider biodiversity benefit to the Hinkley Point - Steart area. In line with this, the Councils consider there is potential for the wider PRow network to be improved simultaneously, providing support for rural tourism in the area.</p>	89357-1561-13123	/			<p>Specific measures in relation to visual disturbance are also presented in <b>Chapter 22 of the ES</b>, and include extensive planting and landscaping by the end of construction, as well as the provision of a significant area of permissive access land that is planted and landscaped to provide ecological and amenity enhancement.</p> <p>An assessment of leisure facilities in the local area is included in the baseline socioeconomic assessment in <b>Chapter 7 of Volume 2 of the Environmental Statement</b>. Public access to the facilities proposed for the on-site campus is being made in response to feedback from consultation.</p>
West Hinkley Action Group	Non-statutory consultee	Stage 2 Update	Whilst it is noted that local residents will be permitted to use the 5-a side pitches (with no changing facilities) most people will prefer to use the superior all-weather facilities already available in Stogursey which do offer both changing and shower facilities.	89771-1561-2139			/	
Stogursey Parish Council	statutory consultee	Stage 2 Update	SPC note the plan to allow local access to the two 5-a-side pitches, though on the plan there do not appear to be any changing facilities adjacent, which will limit usage.	89871-1561-5701			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	It is noted that a series of sports and leisure facilities are proposed as part of the main site construction campus, but it is not clear how the type and level of provision has been assessed by EDF Energy.	89357-1557-5224	/			
Tractivity 1130	Public	Stage 2	<p>9c. Any other ideas or comments?</p> <p>What additional leisure facilities will be provided for these workers. In general, medical and local services are fully stretched at present. What has EDF planned to overcome this situation and provide a duty of care to their huge increase in employees?</p>	9888-1565-6084	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual – Local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It is noted that a series of sports and leisure facilities are proposed as part of the main site construction campus, but it is not clear how the type and level of provision has been assessed by EDF Energy.</li> </ul>	89357-1561-5224	/			
Tractivity 130	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? What additional leisure facilities will be provided for these workers. In general, medical and local services are fully stretched at present. What has EDF planned to overcome this situation and provide a duty of care to their huge increase in employees?	9888-1561-6084	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 720	Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments? The sports and recreational facilities preposed for the on-site campus may well exceed off-site facilities in the locality. Will it be possible for local residents to use the facilities, either during construction period, or afterwards? There is only reference to Bridgwater retaining legacy benefit	9478-1465-1826	/			One consultee asked if any sports/recreation facilities at the on-site campus could be used by the local community.  EDF Energy’s proposals for an on-site accommodation campus include sports facilities that will be accessible to members of the local community (subject to availability). Changes have been made to the site layout to facilitate this.

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 299	Public	Stage 1	6. Please give comments on your preferences and any suggestions about the future use of these facilities.  Please consult with Cannington Health Centre who are likely to be the main health care providers. Also likely that workers livings on site might register at Cannington health centre or Quantock medical centre in Nether Stowey.	8987-1459-2238			/	At Stage 1 consultation, a consultee recommended that EDF Energy consult with the local health clinic over service provision.  One consultee asked in the Stage 2 Update consultation if views had been sought on whether there would be local demand to use the sports facilities of the on-site campus.
Tractivity 62953	Public	Stage 2 Update	Did you consult the community regarding your 'offer' for local people to use the Sports Facilities? How many of us do you seriously think will wish to avail ourselves of this offer?	89682-1459-740			/	EDF Energy has had extensive discussions over the impact on local health provisions, and is proposing to provide on-site medical facilities for workers.  Public access to any amenities provided at the on-site campus has been raised in public meetings and in meetings with local authorities, and EDF Energy believes that such measures can provide community benefit and promote good relations.

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - Local Authority, Statutory Consultee and Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 1	f) The need for the workers accommodation on the main site itself has not been clearly established in the consultation report. The location of workers accommodation on site is a matter of significant public concern. The document does not provide a robust evidence base that establishes the grounds upon which this development is required. Furthermore, if workers accommodation is considered to be necessary, it is suggested that EDF should clearly state how the potential social issues of locating a significant number of workers will not have a negative impact upon the local communities in close proximity to the site.	87920-1461-0			/	At Stage 1 it was highlighted that the need for on-site accommodation had not been fully established, and that EDF Energy should highlight how the potential social issues of locating a significant number of workers would not have a negative impact upon the local communities in close proximity to the site. Between Stage 2 and Stage 2 Update of the consultation process, the number of bedspaces was reduced from 700 to 510.
Kilve Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 1	3.17.16 Accommodation, again it must be repeated that there are concerns regarding large numbers of migrant workers moving onto the site. The idea that these workers will have all their needs catered for is misplaced. As was the case with the construction workers on the channel tunnel site, a great many of them sought company "off site". It must be remembered that West Somerset, and Sedgemoor in particular, are not areas of wealth, and there are several sites of deprivation (financial / social / educational) within these areas. The idea of migrant workers spending time in the main towns, with considerable spending power, is bound to lead to conflict. What measures are being looked at to avoid this kind of situation? Is there likely to be extra funding from EDF to the Avon & Somerset Constabulary for dedicated police officers?	88930-1461-15624			/	Many residents local to the site expressed their concern at Stage 2 of the consultation that the on-site campus could have detrimental impact on the character of the local area. Concern was also raised at Stage 2 in regard to the pressure on public services. The <b>Socio-economic Chapter (Chapter 9, Volume 2) of the Environmental Statement</b> includes an assessment of the impact on community facilities and public services caused by non-home-based workers, and outlines mitigation measures where needed. A <b>Community Safety Management Plan</b> is provided in <b>Annex 6 of the Environmental Statement</b> .
Kilve Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 1	Although the idea of an accommodation block "on site" reducing traffic flow and vehicle movement is laudable, the effect on the local area is potentially damaging.	88930-1461-19445			/	Additionally, a Worker Code of Conduct would be put in place to ensure workers adhere to high standards of conduct in the local area. EDF Energy will appoint a community liaison officer to monitor behaviour, act as a point of contact for the local community and to take appropriate action if problems arise.
Tractivity 751	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? Not sure about this. If sufficient entertainment provided then ok, but if not, could cause probs for the local area.	9509-1461-4157			/	In terms of public services, EDF Energy is committed to providing an on-site health centre in order to mitigate the potential pressure on the local Health Centres. The on-site facilities would comprise an amenity building providing administration, canteen, laundry, recreational facilities and sports pitches.
Tractivity 751	Public	Stage 2	13. Please let us have your overall views on our proposals and any other general comments in the box below  I think it's all good and will be good for the local economy. Some care needs to be taken over the site at Hinkley and what those in the accommodation do, but otherwise, all good! I am very much in favour.	9509-1461-5837			/	EDF Energy is proposing to make substantial contributions to local services and other community provision to ensure that there is no detrimental impact from workers on the wider area. The proposed Community Fund to be established by EDF Energy, was increased from to £20 million following Consultation at Stage 2, part of which is likely to be spent on projects in the parishes nearest to the on-site accommodation campus. Details of planning obligations are appended to the <b>Planning Statement</b> .
Tractivity 766	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? This site is even more remote, what the hell would someone do in such a rural area.	9524-1461-4901			/	
Tractivity 868	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? NO CAMPSITE AT HINKLEY. How will you keep 700 men on site in their free time? Will they be there at weekends?	9626-1461-4890			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 883	Public	Stage 2	13. Please let us have your overall views on our proposals and any other general comments in the box below  We are insulted that you still seem to think that it is alright to site so many people near the villages of Shurton, Burton and Stogursey in particular is of great historic importance.	9641-1461-6021		/		
Tractivity 984	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments?  We are opposed to a campus ?on site?. Many of the workers will probably be non-British and therefore not go home during the holidays and days off. With few local amenities (and not being allowed private transport on site) how will they occupy their free time??	9742-1461-5075			/	
Tractivity 986	Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments?  Strategy not thought about properly by EDF. you don?t listen to us and act on our views and wishes. i do not want a campus at Hinkley C because the Parish is far too small to cope. Campus should be in Bridgwater - all the workrs should be housed there where they have nearby shops, etc and other facilities already. This would be good for much larger population of Bridgwater, who would benefit from swimming pools, sports facilities etc that were provided by EDF. What a good legacy this could be. I am worried that having a campus at Hinkley C will cause crime, burglary, drunkenness and other antisocial behaviour plus traffic noise/light pollution and noisy sports being played at night all year round. This would be for ten years- I am 78 years old so this would ruin my life. We came here because it is peaceful. Very upset about this proposal.	9744-1461-2426	/			
Tractivity 986	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments?  I am fearful at the thought of having a workers campus at hinkley C overlooking Shurton. I do NOT want a campus here at all. It is not necessary. this parish is far too small to cope with 700 more people; I am afraid we will have crime, drunkenness, antisocial behaviour, noise, light pollution, traffic everywhere day and night. Not enough police provided by EDF. This would be for 10 years! and would make our lives a misery. local people DO NOT WANT A CAMPUS, recently voted unanimously against this proposal. We would like EDF to listen to us and act on what we think. Very worried about this.	9744-1461-7300			/	
Tractivity 1001	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments?  Environment and village services not suitable for such a large number of people.	9759-1461-4780			/	
Tractivity 1031	Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments?  Do not want workers camp on site. Not enough local imenities. Many workers will leave site with vehicles. Local road system not suitable for a lot more traffic.	9789-1461-1909			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 1052	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? No adjacent infrastructure to support this influx, potential for antisocial behaviour.	9810-1461-4978			/	
Tractivity 1053	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? would be detrimental to local villages with the yobo culture that exists with contractors. This is still evident in Bridgwater during outages at HPB	9811-1461-4905			/	
Tractivity 1059	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? We know that the locals are worried about the impact of the size of this accomodation but we feel that you are doing your best to screen the site and it would be best for the majority of the workers to be on site - better for them than having to face an hour or more a day travelling and better for the local roads.	9817-1461-5292			/	
Tractivity 1081	Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments? Workers lodged at Hinkley Point will gravitate towards Bridgwater and Taunton for entertainment. This could lead to traffic problems on the Hinkley Point road due to speeding and alcohol. I suggest that less people are stationed at the site and that those who are are provided with evening transport to Bridgwater and Taunton.  The housing of workers at Hinkley Point will add significantly to the Detailed emegency planning zone (DEPZ) making evacuation more difficult in a nuclear emergency.	9839-1461-2323			/	
Tractivity 1087	Public	Stage 2	4. An other ideas or comments? The 700 workers living on the campsite at Hinkley Point will overwhelm our small community.  Bridgwater would be much more able to cope with a campsite as proposed in stage 2.	9845-1461-1644			/	
Tractivity 1091	Public	Stage 2	2. Any other ideas or comments? You have conceded 200m, a token gesture when your border finishes 0.5km from local residents. The proposed on site accommodation is going to be 3 storeys/12m high, with a 13m high Sports Centre. Will this 200m really stop light, noise, air pollution affecting the local residents? Unlikely!	9849-1461-1136	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 1091	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? A 700 accommodation campus seems unnecessary. To place such high numbers on site so close to small villages like Shurton and Burton will affect their daily lives so implicitly. Dust, noise and light pollution will be a factor for a great many resident. The onsite accom. & leisure facilities are to be taken down, causing disruption going up and again coming down. A waste of supplies, time and money. Local roads, facilities and villages are not equipped to deal with this amount of people. Extra traffic will be generated as over half will have a parking space .Is there really a need for 700 workers in case of an emergency? We are assured it is all safe! If there must be accommodation, why not for 50 workers housed in the new Paddons Farm development in Stogursey with a 5 minute travel time to the HPC site. Sports facilities could then be made available in the village, for the Stogursey Parish with priority to the local school.	9849-1461-10453			/	
Tractivity 1104	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments? The purpose built accommodation proposed for the build is excessive and would completely drown the small hamlet of Shurton. The siting is totally unsatisfactory and would impinge on the quality of life of local residents.	9862-1461-1907			/	
Tractivity 1105	Public	Stage 2	To import such a large workforce into such a rural community can only be detrimental just the proposed accommodation at the reactor site would bring in half the population of stogursey again, instantly, the public service infrastructure here would be put under huge pressure.	9863-1461-10202			/	
Tractivity 1118	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? CONCERNED FOR LOCAL PEOPLE & OF THE EFFECT ON TRANSPORT IN THE AREA	9876-1461-5015			/	
Tractivity 1120	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? No justification so far given for acampus of this size within 50m of the nearest residential property. Acampus of this size, located on a rigde would totally dominate the hamlet of Shurton and an influx of 700 workers would swamp this small rural community.	9878-1461-6136	/			
Tractivity 1126	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? A hostel on-site would have a huge detrimental effect upon the local communities. For a start it is to be built a mile away. I believe that going out locally on a Friday or Saturday night will become unpleasant as it was when Hinkley A and B were built. Young men with nothing to do and alcohol do not make a good mix.	9884-1461-5029			/	



Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 1145	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? Bridgewater has many social problems and this number of itinerant workers living so close by would have a huge and detrimental impact on the night life and crime of the town.	9903-1461-5946	/			
Tractivity 1146	Public	Stage 2	The environmental, social and economic impact of the campus has not been assessed	9904-1461-606	/			
Tractivity 1148	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? I am not sure of the sense of locating 700 mainly male workers on site at Hinkley. I feel sure they will want to come out into the villages to drink and maybe eat. Local pubs will find it hard to cope with large numbers. During the previous build there were terrible problems for our villages related to drink and itinerant workers.	9906-1461-6508			/	
Tractivity 1155	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? As above as residents will come into Bridgewater at night	9913-1461-4596			/	
Tractivity 1166	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? Make the other campus sites larger to accommodate the proposed 700 construction workers at Hinkley Point C or find another site away from Shurton. As mentioned in item 2 there is no justification for an on-site campus. This proposed campus would have an enormous effect on our lives, living directly opposite the proposed site. We cannot make this any clearer. We do not want this campus built in our small hamlet!	9924-1461-5278			/	
Tractivity 1171	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? Can you imagine the upheaval locally?!	9929-1461-4664			/	
Tractivity 1172	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? Why so many? Local people (many retired here for a quiet lifestyle) are worried about hostellers? out of hours activities and noise.	9930-1461-5090	/			
Tractivity 1174	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments? The population of Shurton (the adjacent village) is approx. 50 residents. The proposed on site campus for 700 workers will overwhelm the local services and amenities. The local roads are unsuitable for transport arrangements as proposed by EDF.	9932-1461-1639	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 1179	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? Any campus on site would be catastrophic for the local community.	9937-1461-4572			/	
Tractivity 1194	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? Research with demographics of the area would show that Stogursey, Burton and Shurton have not the wherewithall to cope with all these extra numbers as things stand at the moment. Help is needed with all necessary services.	9952-1461-6075	/			
Tractivity 1195	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? This is totally unacceptable. We have not been given any justification of this campus. There is no possibility of 700 people integrating into the local community. There are very limited facilities in the area and the building of amenities on site will only increase noise, light pollution, smells and local traffic servicing the camp. There is every likelihood that antisocial behaviour and crime will increase as proven in Dover when the tunnel was built. The funding of two extra police officers is inadequate. At the recent demonstration outside the existing site there were 5 police officers to control 40 demonstrators	9953-1461-7363	/			
Tractivity 1221	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? A massive impact on Bridgwater and Shurton who will already be heavily affected by the construction.	9979-1461-5882			/	
Tractivity 1349	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2 Update	We do not want extra people in the village it has no facilities and not enough room, it is a nice quiet village and no to yet more permanent housing in the area.	89615-1461-299			/	
Tractivity 1374	Public	Stage 2 Update	Any ?campus facility? AT ALL will be seriously detrimental to the hamlet of Shurton and environs. all workers should live off site.	89640-1461-71			/	
Tractivity 299	Public	Stage 1	6. Please give comments on your preferences and any suggestions about the future use of these facilities.  Please consult with Cannington Health Centre who are likely to be the main health care providers. Also likely that workers livings on site might register at Cannington health centre or Quantock medical centre in Nether Stowey.	8987-1461-2238	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 62313	Public	Stage 2	A seven hundred bed campus will increase the population of the parish by more than half and nearly double that of Stogursey. It will create mayhem in the parish and is a crazy idea. The argument of traffic reduction does not hold water as workers will leave the campus for recreation and to visit home. The obvious location is a centre of population such as Bridgwater. You must not expect us to accept a campus, you can still easily build a power station and we are the people carrying the most disruption from that activity.	10000-1461-1102			/	
Tractivity 62319	Public	Stage 2	The proposal causing the most upset, anxiety and even anger is your insistence to have a 700 bed worker campus. This will create chaos with more local traffic with noise, antisocial behaviour, light pollution, and increased crime as proven in the building of the Channel Tunnel link in Dover. This influx of 700 unattached male workers away from home will increase the population of the parish by more than half . This part of the proposal is blatantly absurd. This disruption may go on for ten years and will ruin people's lives: the worry of this is already making some of us ill. This and the attitude of EDF have turned the mood of most of the local community from partially accepting to negative. It would seem that many are now going to fight your proposals and some probably support the STOP HINKLEY GROUP. It would appear that your resiting of the southern boundary was expected to appease the residents of Shurton, Burton, Knighton, Wick, and the surrounding area, thus allowing you to put forward unacceptable and wholly unsuitable proposals without consideration or help for the local community.	10005-1461-548			/	
Tractivity 62425	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	Please support the residents of Shurton. We are losing our quality of life, value of our homes, and access to the countryside we love.	10062-1461-702			/	
Tractivity 62437	Public	Stage 2	The proximity to a small rural community of such a large number of unattached migrant construction workers will inevitably cause problems.	10069-1461-1115			/	
Tractivity 62451	Public	Stage 2	I am opposed to the number of workers proposed for the on-site campus. 700 workers represent more than half the adult population of the Parish of Stogursey. We are a parish with 1200 people on the electoral register. Little wonder that the residents of the parish have great concerns over the 700 workers on the proposed campus. I think the number should be greatly reduced to a more realistic figure. Stogursey does not have the facilities to cope with that huge number of workforce who may wish to use the village during their leisure time. I think by reducing the number of the campus will help to alleviate many of the residence concerns.	10077-1461-1949	/			
Tractivity 62508	Public	Stage 2	Increases in traffic will cause anxiety within the aging population, although this is not recognised by EdF, nor are there any plans to compensate for the harm caused.	10098-1461-18631			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 62573	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9iii. Comment Will these workers have facilities on site to be entertained when not at work? Boredom creates trouble for the locals.	10124-1461-5966	/			
Tractivity 62577	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	The site hostel outnumberes the local community by 7:1 and the population of the host parish by 2:1. EdF's claims that their behaviour policies are effective is unspecific and unproven; they do not acknowledge recreational activity, nor service traffic, nor even the historic evidence of those residents who endured the building of 'B' station.	10128-1461-5106	/			
Tractivity 62577	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	- None of the on-site development will be of legacy benefit to the host parish and may even work to the detriment of local business and established successful community habit.	10128-1461-6163	/		/	
Tractivity 62581	Public	Stage 2	Shurton's fragile infrastructure will not survive the injection of so many temporary employees who have no connection with, or interest in, the area. That EDF might want a skeleton staff in residence for the construction period is arguably a reasonable proposal. The erection of a campus to accommodate construction workers is not reasonable at all. I am sure that local people would prefer 10 buses of 70 workers on the road every day than 700 people living in the parish for the duration.	10132-1461-648			/	
Tractivity 62582	Public	Stage 2	The local people here will already be suffering enough distress from the construction of Hinkley C itself, which will mean lots of construction noise from diggers bleeping, hammering, piling, blasting, day and sometimes at night, traffic noise from lorries, movement of construction materials, noise from the new jetty, cars, diggers etc day and night, dirt and dust most of the time, destruction of our lovely countryside and views; we do not understand why EDF wish to inflict the campus on us as well as all this, making our lives here a real misery for the next ten years.	10133-1461-7291			/	
Tractivity 62582	Public	Stage 2	The Parish is not large enough to cope with 700 people, which is half as many again as the current population. We don't have enough facilities here for them, we don't want Shurton and Stogursey turned into a town it is quite all right as it is, I don't believe there is a need for a campus here; there was no need for one in Flammanville. The plans I have seen for the campus show a 9 hectare site, with approx. 400 car parking spaces, football pitches and it is overlooking our hamlet of Shurton and this is not acceptable. My view is that EDF have not thought this through properly and have not considered the extra volume of traffic that the campus will create.	10133-1461-7871	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 62604	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	EDF hai/e not addressed the concern of the residents of Shurton with reference to the Campus and the influx of 700 migrant workers living in such close proximity.	10151-1461-948	/			
Tractivity 62938	Public	Stage 2	Better than in Bridgwater and less of a problem socially.	10177-1461-6336			/	
Stockland Bristol Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	a 700 bed accommodation block just behind Shurton! Who's idea was that? Aii you will do is destroy the village communities these workers will seek out the local pubs of the surrounding area and drive the locals away, we have had this before. We are not here to look after your migrant workforce and clear up after them pure and simple. This is your responsibility not ours.	10232-1461-2256			/	
Stogursey and District Parish Plan Steering Committee	Non-Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	The community remembers the impact of locally-housed workers on previous nuclear development with horror and is absolutely opposed to the proposal to build a hostel for 700 workers on site. These numbers represent a 50% increase in the local population, with the attendant demands on resources and facilities.	10259-1461-2589			/	
Tractivity 62469	Public	Stage 2	The isolated dwellings and the villages surrounding Hinkley Point will be affected far more adversely than you claim. Your inadequate recognition of the devastation to the residents at Doggett's is shameful. Their lives will be hell no matter what efforts at mitigation you propose.	89470-1461-163			/	
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	- Community segregation and potential negative impact within the NE part of Bridgwater due to the accommodation campuses if not properly integrated into the community through transport corridors and provision of shared use of on-and off- site facilities.	89200-1461-4414			/	
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	- Adverse effect on the economic viability and vitality of parts of the locality around the accommodation campuses due to the possible provision of services and facilities.	89200-1461-4672	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	- Community segregation in the NE part of Bridgwater as a result of the accommodation campuses could happen if they are not properly integrated into the community through transport corridors, provision and shared use of on- and off-site facilities.	89203-1461-8957			/	
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	On-site Accommodation Campus: The case for having an on-site campus for 700 workers has not been made by EDF. Due to the proposed location, there is no possibility of legacy use; therefore the community will be subjected to both noise and visual impact resulting from the close-proximity of the campus to their homes, the potential for law and order issues, and with no future prospect of obtaining any benefit from it.	89288-1461-6127	/			
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	There are major concerns for local residents from noise and light pollution, and from the effect on the local infrastructure of such a large number of unaccompanied male workers, who will inevitably not stay on the camp all the time. History of the camps for HPA and HPB and other similar camps elsewhere shows that there is an increase in law and order issues and the proposed EDF funding for additional law enforcement is entirely inadequate.	89288-1461-10909			/	
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	[1.6.41] If 700 bed onsite campus goes ahead it will increase Stogursey Parish by half and the majority will be single male.	89291-1461-4108			/	
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	[Table 5.1] Bullet point 8: Stated aim to avoid adverse impacts on property and land values and avoid planning blight. How will EDF reconcile these aims with regard to the close proximity of the preliminary site works plus the proposed campus to the hamlet of Shurton?	89293-1461-2521			/	
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	Bp 10: Stated aim is to avoid adverse impacts to basic services and infrastructure. How will this aim be achieved if a campus of 700 beds is located adjacent to a hamlet of approximately 50 persons? Traffic issues on the C182 will also adversely affect local routes abutting this road-no account has been taken of this. The issue of water supply to the site has not been addressed-the provision of new water mains to the site will certainly cause major disruption to the area.	89293-1461-2793	/			
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	[9.3.7] Bp1: Potentially reducing the social effects on Bridgwater of migrant workers, by locating 700 of them on site is fine for Bridgwater, but comes with a massive down-side on the social effects on the Stogursey area. Will EDF recognise that Bridgwater is far more capable of absorbing these impacts than the rural area around the site?	89293-1461-6188			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	[5.7.21 & 22]'The accommodation campuses represent potential for social disruption' Accommodation campuses also represent a significant opportunity to increase local expenditure.' The former statement is certainly true, but the latter statement is only relevant to Bridgwater. The on-site campus therefore only offers the potential for problems with no corresponding benefit to the immediate area. Will EDF reconsider the on-site campus?	89293-1461-13721			/	
Tractivity 63240	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	In social terms, the presence of such a large workforce on site at Hinkley Point presents a real threat to small local communities. This was the experience when the present Hinkley B power station was constructed. Restricting the movement of the workforce resident on site during their leisure time is unlikely to be successful. The impact on small local facilities (eg pubs, social clubs, etc) being visited by relatively large number of people who are not part of the local community is of real concern and given the scale of the development proposed and the consequent time it will take to build, such threats can only be regarded as intolerable and a potential geographical strain upon the local policing resource.	89441-1461-2629			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	West Somerset Council remains concerned about the impact on local villages and hamlets of such a significant increase in population.	89333-1461-4360			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Council officers during informal pre-application advice between Stage 1 and Stage 2 described the proposed accommodation as being "an engineer-led solution' to the wider planning of the site" with the officer's view being that the form and location of the development outlined within the plans as being unacceptable. This is in part due to the fact that the proposed development would cause significant harm to the character and environmental quality of the area, and residential amenity of the surrounding properties	89333-1461-9109	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<p>The appraisal of the Hinkley Point accommodation campus appears to assume that the 700- strong workforce will not interact significantly with communities in the local villages and that impacts will be limited to increased utilisation of facilities in Bridgwater, accessed via the construction worker bus service. This appraisal is considered to be insubstantial and fails to address a number of potential effects including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Use of local village services and facilities including shops and pubs (e.g. Shurton Inn) - positive effects on increased expenditure, potential adverse effects of community disruption;</li> <li>- Fear of crime and anti-social behaviour; and</li> <li>- Discrepancy between the level of services and facilities (restaurant, bar, gym, health centre etc) available to construction workers compared with the facilities available to local village communities.</li> </ul>	89414-1461-14979	/			
Tractivity 62953	Public	Stage 2 Update	Of course the proposed Campus would generate disturbance to local residents in terms of noise, light pollution and additional traffic, as well as concerns about the behaviour of the workers.	89682-1461-548			/	
Tractivity 62955	Public	Stage 2 Update	It would mean more noise, light, disruption, isn't having the new plant built here enough for us to live with?	89683-1461-422			/	



Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
17	Comments received under the EIR from the IPC	Stage 2	<p>4. We intend to maximize the use of local workers to build the power stations but we expect between 3,000 and 3,500 workers at peak to require short-term accommodation because they currently do not live locally. These workers will be accommodated in a mixture of rented and owner-occupied accommodation, bed and breakfast and caravan parks. We are also proposing purpose built accommodation campuses; two in Bridgwater and on the Hinkley Point C site. What are your views on our overall accommodation strategy?</p> <p>Satisfactory Unsatisfactory No Opinion Don't know</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Where are the figures to show exactly what proportion of the work-force will be local and how it will be recruited?</li> <li>- Where is the admission that the terms 'peak' and 'short-term' represent several years?</li> <li>- Where in all the company's claims to be 'low-carbon' are the figures to show the traffic generated by the service personnel for the hostels and the recreational use of vehicles by the hostel inhabitants?</li> <li>- The hostels should all be sited in Bridgwater, which already has suitable facilities. The site hostel outnumbers the local community by 7:1 and the population of the host parish by 2:1. EdF's claims that their behaviour policies are effective is unspecific and unproven; they do not acknowledge recreational activity, nor service traffic, nor even the historic evidence of those residents who endured the building of 'B' station. They take no account of the noise and light intrusion on the established community of local residents - a mere 300 yards away - which will be constant, day in day out, week in week out, for years.</li> <li>- If the justification for the on-site hostel is the avoidance of traffic delay then clearly the company's traffic plans are not adequate for the scale of the project. If the justification for siting the hostel so far to the south of the site is to secure the tranquility of the workers, then the company should prove its' own claims and take steps to insulate, double-glaze and tread gently around them on a site further north, rather than pretend to be able to mitigate the impact on the fifty households next to the proposed location.</li> <li>- None of the on-site development will be of legacy benefit to the. host parish and may even work to the detriment of local business and established successful community habit.</li> </ul>	89806-1461-4474			/	
17	Comments received under the EIR from the IPC	Stage 2	<p>1Hinkely C Site</p> <p>Satisfactory Totally Unsatisfactory No Opinion Don't know</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I find it impossible to believe that a civilised human being imagined this development on this site.</li> <li>- What deluded model of social interaction persuades the company that siting a 700 bed- hostel with buildings three-storeys high, recreational facilities indoors and out and on-site parking for residents' cars and service personnel, on high ground above the nearest community - a hamlet centuries old with several listed buildings lying a mere 300 yards distant - represents the actions of the 'good neighbour' which EdF claims that it wishes to be?</li> </ul>	89806-1461-9767			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Stogursey Parish Council	statutory consultee	Stage 2 Update	On-site Accommodation Campus: The reduction in the size of the camp and the smaller numbers to be accommodated do not in any way address SPC's primary objections around such a large number of unattached workers swamping the area, and the physical impact of the campus in a rural location.	89871-1461-5208			/	
Stogursey Parish Council	statutory consultee	Stage 2 Update	2.2.10 [6.2.2] All of the stated benefits are for EDF, with local residents suffering the noise, light, potential law and order problems and the traffic required to support the campus.	89872-1461-9156			/	
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	Relocation of sports and leisure facilities to the north-east of the site to enable community access is acknowledged as a measure to address previous comments, however, this does not adequately deal with the social cohesion issues set out by the Council in the Stage 2 response.	89873-1461-18064			/	
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	Overall the Council continues to have major concerns regarding the unacceptable impact on communities closest to the site resulting from the size and location of the on-site campus, both as an individual issue and in cumulative terms.	89873-1461-18605			/	
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	A key concern for the Councils is the impact of a worker campus of this scale on the local population. Accommodating up to 510 workers in a single rural location is likely to generate a number of problems which need to be properly explored and mitigated.	89886-1461-3578	/			
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	- Bringing the potential for protestor activity much closer to the villages of Shurton and Burton;	89886-1461-3954			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	- Prolonged impact on local residents who will have to endure the lengthy construction phase and who have grave concerns about the temporary major expansion of their population without the opportunity to establish any lasting community legacy. It is a serious concern of the Council that this may have direct health impacts on residents in terms of stress related illnesses.	89886-1461-4353			/	
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	With regards to the proposed on-site campus, the reduction in bedspaces from 700 to 510 (a reduction of 190 bedspaces) is considered a minor improvement, but WSC and SDC remain concerned about the impact such a significant increase in population could have on local villages and hamlets. A response on the campus proposal is provided in Chapter 6.	89897-1461-2070			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	In terms of assessing the demand for leisure and recreation facilities of the construction workforce, and the adequacy of existing facilities to service this need, the identification of facilities within a 1km radius search area is inadequate. Similarly, the commentary within the socio-economic assessment, which includes limited reference to sports centre provision in Bridgwater, is considered inadequate.	89357-1557-2166	/			
Sedgemoor District Council & West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - Local Authority and Consultee with an Interest in Land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 1	2.2.5 Workers' Accommodation Campus With respect to the provision of construction workers accommodation on-site, initial concern is expressed over the number of workers that are proposed to be housed on site (700 bed spaces) on the basis that the site lies in a isolated position well away from amenities which would be able to support such a drastic increase in the population in this area. A much greater understanding of the facilities and operation of the campus is required before the authorities can confirm whether or not it considers this level of on-site accommodation to be suitable. Whilst it is appreciated that a level of facilities could be provided on site to occupy workers before and after a normal working day, a clear understanding of what can be expected on 'non' work days will also be required for this isolated area.	88110-1559-1214			/	
Tractivity 1257	Public	Stage 2 Update	We are slightly apprehensive about on - site leisure facilities for construction workers and the possibility of noise and disturbance in surrounding villages during ?off-duty? periods.	89523-1559-652			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 62577	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	- The company itself admits that it will have difficulty reducing light pollution from the proposed campus. It has not acknowledged that there will also be noise nuisance, visual intrusion and evening and weekend recreational nuisance which will affect all local traffic and the private lives of the local hamlet residents.	10128-1559-9779			/	
Tractivity 62577	Dual – Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	- The company itself admits that it will have difficulty reducing light pollution from the proposed campus. It has not acknowledged that there will also be noise nuisance, visual intrusion and evening and weekend recreational nuisance which will affect all local traffic and the private lives of the local hamlet residents.	10128-1461-9779			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual – Local Authority and Consultee with an interest in Land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 1	2.2.5 Workers' Accommodation Campus With respect to the provision of construction workers accommodation on-site, initial concern is expressed over the number of workers that are proposed to be housed on site (700 bed spaces) on the basis that the site lies in a isolated position well away from amenities which would be able to support such a drastic increase in the population in this area. A much greater understanding of the facilities and operation of the campus is required before the authorities can confirm whether or not it considers this level of on-site accommodation to be suitable. Whilst it is appreciated that a level of facilities could be provided on site to occupy workers before and after a normal working day, a clear understanding of what can be expected on 'non' work days will also be required for this isolated area.	88110-1461-1214	/			
Tractivity 1257	Public	Stage 2 Update	We are slightly apprehensive about on - site leisure facilities for construction workers and the possibility of noise and disturbance in surrounding villages during off-duty periods.	89523-1461-652		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual – Local Authority and Consultee with an interest in Land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2	In terms of assessing the demand for leisure and recreation facilities of the construction workforce, and the adequacy of existing facilities to service this need, the identification of facilities within a 1km radius search area is inadequate. Similarly, the commentary within the socio-economic assessment, which includes limited reference to sports centre provision in Bridgwater, is considered inadequate.	89357-1461-2166	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The temporary development of the site for a construction campus will therefore only be acceptable if the need for it is demonstrated as part of a robust transport and accommodation strategy, supported by a comprehensive framework of measures for ensuring community well-being through mitigation, and also compensation for any impacts or harm.	89333-1462-4493	/			<p>Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council required a detailed accommodation and transport strategy to be provided to justify the on-site campus proposals. Other consultees sought further clarification of the length of time which the campus would be in use, and on the extent of local recruitment expected.</p> <p>Considerable work has been done to develop an integrated approach to transport and workers' accommodation. This is set out in the <b>Accommodation Strategy and Transport Assessment (Annex 7 to the Environmental Statement)</b>. The on-site campus will be operational in a phased way, to reflect the expected profile of the site workforce. Details are provided <b>Chapter 3 Volume 2 of the Environmental Statement</b>. EDF Energy is working with local education providers to establish appropriate training courses to facilitate local recruitment, and committing to significant investment in facilities. Further details are given in the <b>Economic Strategy</b> which accompanies the application for development consent.</p>
17	Comments received under the EIR from the IPC	Stage 2	- Where are the figures to show exactly what proportion of the work-force will be local and how it will be recruited?	89806-1459-4474a	/			
17	Comments received under the EIR from the IPC	Stage 2	- Where is the admission that the terms 'peak' and 'short-term' represent several years?	89806-1459-4474b			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 737	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? this would provide an unacceptable distortion of the local demography. The surrounding area would not be able to cope with this influx. You have not been able to provide convincing arguments in support of this campus or indeed on measures to mitigate or compensate the local population for the substantial loss of amenity and quality of life.	9495-1463-5665	/			<p>Concerns have been raised during the Stage 2 consultation that there would be intrusive levels of noise, light spill and adverse impacts on the local community as a result of an influx of workers using the on-site accommodation campus.</p> <p>An assessment of the effects on public services and community cohesion has been incorporated into the <b>socio-economic assessment (chapter 7 volume 10) in the Environmental Statement (ES)</b>.</p> <p>In order to address these concerns, EDF Energy proposes a range of measures to mitigate potential impacts. These include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Introduction of a worker Code of Conduct to set out standards and expectations for behaviour on and off site, and management measures to ensure this is complied with.</li> <li>• A voluntary scheme to provide noise insulation (double glazing) for properties in the settlements nearest to the campus.</li> <li>• Contributions to local emergency services to provide funding for additional community policing.</li> <li>• Appointment of a Community Liaison Officer to act as a point of contact for the local community, and to identify any issues in relation to operation of the campus.</li> <li>• Establishment of a Community Fund to provide support for local projects.</li> <li>• The design of the accommodation campus will include security measures including external lighting and CCTV.</li> </ul> <p>Further details of proposed obligations are appended to the <b>Planning Statement</b>.</p>
Tractivity 738	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? I can't see any objection to this, however some recreational facilities should be considered in the operatives leisure times. Perhaps the same hovercraft might be utilised for visits to say Weston, Burnham and even Wales?!! (See para 8 comments)	9496-1463-5584	/			
Tractivity 880	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? Subject to comments at 4 above	9638-1463-4902			/	
Tractivity 1170	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? It will have a huge impact on Shurton, it is not even a village! You cannot soak up 700 people in such a small place. The lanes are busy enough already. What about security, funding for 2 extra policemen is a joke. The area most of the time is absolutely silent, how come you are going to keep the noise down? Why do they need a football pitch, they are meant to be working. It is pitch black outside any lighting is going to be seen. We do not want them coming around the lanes they should be locked in the campus with no lighting outside, no playing field and everyone inside by 7.30pm in winter and summer. They need to be placed in Bridgwater where they already have facilities.	9928-1463-5695	/			
Tractivity 1187	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? Subject to answers in 4 above	9945-1463-4911			/	
Tractivity 1194	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? Research with demographics of the area would show that Stogursey, Burton and Shurton have not the wherewithall to cope with all these extra numbers as things stand at the moment. Help is needed with all necessary services.	9952-1463-6075	/			
Tractivity 62508	Public	Stage 2	Increases in traffic will cause anxiety within the aging population, although this is not recognised by EdF, nor are there any plans to compensate for the harm caused.	10098-1463-18631	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 62577	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	- If there is to be an on-site hostel then it should be at the northern boundary of the site and the light/noise/social/traffic impact on the hamlets of Wick and Shurton should be proven to be controlled and mitigated to the maximum achievable and agreed with residents.	10128-1463-10351		/		
Stringston Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	There have been no facts given regarding the extra policing which will be required in local villages.	10233-1463-7635	/			
Tractivity 62469	Public	Stage 2	Your inadequate recognition of the devastation to the residents at Doggett's is shameful. Their lives will be hell no matter what efforts at mitigation you propose.	89470-1463-282	/			
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	There are major concerns for local residents from noise and light pollution, and from the effect on the local infrastructure of such a large number of unaccompanied male workers, who will inevitably not stay on the camp all the time. History of the camps for HPA and HPB and other similar camps elsewhere shows that there is an increase in law and order issues and the proposed EDF funding for additional law enforcement is entirely inadequate.	89288-1463-10909	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The temporary development of the site for a construction campus will therefore only be acceptable if the need for it is demonstrated as part of a robust transport and accommodation strategy, supported by a comprehensive framework of measures for ensuring community well-being through mitigation, and also compensation for any impacts or harm.	89333-1463-4493	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	the temporary development of a construction campus would only be acceptable where it can be demonstrated that the need is supported by a robust transport and accommodation strategy. These would, in turn, be based on a comprehensive framework of measures for ensuring community well-being through mitigation and compensation for any impacts or harm	89333-1463-9667	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
17	Comments received under the EIR from the IPC	Stage 2	<p>4. We intend to maximize the use of local workers to build the power stations but we expect between 3,000 and 3,500 workers at peak to require short-term accommodation because they currently do not live locally. These workers will be accommodated in a mixture of rented and owner-occupied accommodation, bed and breakfast and caravan parks. We are also proposing purpose built accommodation campuses; two in Bridgwater and on the Hinkley Point C site. What are your views on our overall accommodation strategy?</p> <p>Satisfactory Unsatisfactory No Opinion Don't know</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Where are the figures to show exactly what proportion of the work-force will be local and how it will be recruited?</li> <li>- Where is the admission that the terms 'peak' and 'short-term' represent several years?</li> <li>- Where in all the company's claims to be 'low-carbon' are the figures to show the traffic generated by the service personnel for the hostels and the recreational use of vehicles by the hostel inhabitants?</li> <li>- The hostels should all be sited in Bridgwater, which already has suitable facilities. The site hostel outnumbered the local community by 7:1 and the population of the host parish by 2:1. EDF's claims that their behaviour policies are effective is unproven; they do not acknowledge recreational activity, nor service traffic, nor even the historic evidence of those residents who endured the building of 'B' station. They take no account of the noise and light intrusion on the established community of local residents - a mere 300 yards away - which will be constant, day in day out, week in week out, for years.</li> <li>- If the justification for the on-site hostel is the avoidance of traffic delay then clearly the company's traffic plans are not adequate for the scale of the project. If the justification for siting the hostel so far to the south of the site is to secure the tranquility of the workers, then the company should prove its' own claims and take steps to insulate, double-glaze and tread gently around them on a site further north, rather than pretend to be able to mitigate the impact on the fifty households next to the proposed location.</li> </ul>	89806-1463-4474			/	



Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	- Extending the loss of existing rural environment further than would otherwise be necessary;	89886-1496-3857			/	<p>In response to the comment made by Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council during the Stage 2 Update Consultation relating to the potential loss of existing rural environment, the loss of soil and land use for the accommodation campus has been assessed as part of the main HPC assessment.</p> <p>Also of importance, the Landscape Restoration Strategy indicates that the land will be restored to woodland and neutral grassland habitats which will re-create this area of rural environment.</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 63240	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	15.7 It is recommended that a further requirement is made in respect of all land restored for agriculture to ensure that a five year programme of aftercare works is undertaken to ensure land is gradually brought back to full productivity.	89446-1498-2610			/	<p>In response to the Stage 2 Consultation a consultee recommended that all land restored to agriculture is effectively brought back to full productivity.</p> <p>The key mitigation for soils and land use is the adoption of correct methods for excavation, handling, transport, stockpiling and reinstatement of agricultural soils, where present. An outline of these methods is provided in the Mitigation and Impacts section of the Soils and Land Use chapter (<b>Chapter 13, Volume 2 of the Environmental Statement</b>).</p> <p>Wider issues regarding the legacy use of the site are addressed elsewhere in the <b>Environmental Statement, including Chapter 9, Volume 2 entitled Socio-Economics</b>, and the Post Operational Strategy appended to the <b>Planning Statement</b>.</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Parrett Internal Drainage Board	statutory consultee	Stage 2 Update	3. On-site Campus - located outside Board area but will need to identify and control surface water discharge if a discharge is proposed into the Board area.	89717-1529-4284			/	The drainage associated with the on-site campus has been subject to flood risk assessment and environmental impact assessment. Drainage from the on-site campus would be directed to a Water Management Zone (WMZ), prior to any discharge elsewhere. The measures adopted within the WMZ, as presented in the <b>Environmental Management and Monitoring Plan (Annex 3 to the Environmental Statement)</b> would ensure effective protection of the downstream water quality status and drainage characteristics.

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Environment Agency	Dual - Statutory Consultee and Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 1	Water Quality Sewerage infrastructure must be in place to deal with the maximum potential volume created from the campus buildings before the accommodation is used.	88830-1525-104			/	A comment was received from the Environment Agency following issue of the Stage 1 Consultation Document in relation to management of sanitary waste. A package grey and blackwater treatment plant is being developed for the site. Effluent from this plant would be discharged into the western Water Management Zone (WMZ) associated with Holford Stream and would be subject to an Environmental Permit. A description of the proposed treatment plant is provided in <b>Chapter 16, Volume 2 of the Environmental Statement.</b>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset Wildlife Trust	Non-Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	c) Hinkley Point C Insufficient ecological information has been provided against which to appraise these plans, and so we must object.	10263-1538-17447	/			At the time of the Stage 2 consultation, some ecological survey work relating to this site was still ongoing. However, the full results of the baseline survey programme, including the 2010 bat and invertebrate surveys, have been incorporated into the updated <b>Terrestrial Ecology and Ornithology Chapter (Volume 2, Chapter 20) of the Environmental Statement (ES)</b> , which now presents a full and robust baseline on which to draw conclusions in the assessment. Further details regarding the technical specifications of the proposed development have also become available over the course of the consultation process, which have provided a greater level of clarity as to the likely impacts of the scheme and which are therefore reflected in <b>Volume 2, Chapter 20 of the ES</b> . The methodology for, and presentation of, the impact assessment has also been updated.

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 980	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? Totally unsatisfactory. 700 workers with nothing to do and nowhere to go is a recipe for disaster. Can you imagine the traffic noise and congestion into Bridgwater on their nights off? Every village on their route will suffer noise of traffic and the wildlife in this area will be decimated . Road traffic accidents on this road cause chaos as it is.	9738-1532-7200			/	<p>A small number of comments were made in relation to the impact of the on-site accommodation campus on terrestrial ecology and ornithology. Somerset Wildlife Trust felt that more information was essential for assessment to be done.</p> <p>At the time of the Stage 2 consultation the programme of detailed survey work was still ongoing and, consequently, the full results could not be incorporated in the Stage 2 consultation documentation. Since then, the full results of the survey programme have been incorporated into the <b>Terrestrial Ecology and Ornithology Chapter (Chapter 20, Volume 2) of the Environmental Statement (ES)</b>, which presents a robust baseline on which to draw conclusions in the impact assessment, including the valuation of receptors that may be affected by the development proposals. The methodology for, and presentation of, the assessment of impacts has also been further developed since the Stage 2 consultation and, as the developer's ecologists have played an integral role in every stage of the iterative scheme design process, potential adverse impacts have been avoided through design wherever this has been achievable.</p>
Somerset Wildlife Trust	Non-Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	Due to the dearth of information pertaining specifically to the terrestrial and marine ecology at the ancillary infrastructure development sites, the suite of potential impacts of the entire project upon species, habitats, and protected sites remains unquantified in the Environmental Appraisal. Whilst ecological information for the HPC site itself is comprehensive, survey data for off-site locations has not been presented to consultees; rather, unsubstantiated estimates of potential ecological impacts and mitigation requirements at these ancillary sites have been presented through the Environmental Appraisal. These considerations of impact, based purely upon the opinion of consultants, are unconvincing, and deeply worry the Trust on three fronts. Firstly, in the case of protected and priority species and sites, there is a need for all direct and indirect, mitigated and residual impacts to be quantified and understood before informed comment can be passed by consultees such as Natural England and the local authorities, who have a statutory responsibility for protecting important wildlife. At this stage in the process a "best guess" on ecological impacts is simply unacceptable.	10263-1532-10285	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The assessment has not taken account of the large-scale movement of spoil, temporary storage of topsoil and the on-site campus land requirement during the construction phase and has therefore underestimated the scale of the temporary effects.	89352-1532-15632	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Coastal Birds In general the assessment is sound, however the consideration of incidental disturbance caused by members of the workforce, particularly but not exclusively those living within the on-site campus during their non-working hours is not considered.	89352-1532-23813	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset Wildlife Trust	Non-Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	Thirdly, given the timescale for submitting an application to the IPC, the Trust would expect all ecological data to have been gathered, assessed, and interpreted at this stage in order that the sequential principles of avoidance, mitigation and compensation for impacts can be applied to the project design process, to ensure true sustainability. Ecological information should be informing the finalisation of project plans for submission to the IPC, rather than being treated as a separate set of "issues" to be tacked on and addressed at a later date. As ecological issues have not been integrated into the development of this project, nor efforts made to generate a net gain for biodiversity, it is hard to see how the proposed associated developments meet the criteria of "sustainable development". It is going to be hard for EDF to convince stakeholders that this development will generate benefits to local wildlife if they are not seen to be having due regard for ecology.	10263-1531-12944			/	<p>At the time of the Stage 2 consultation the programme of detailed survey work was still ongoing and, consequently, the full results could not be incorporated in the Stage 2 consultation documentation. Since then, the full results of the survey programme have been incorporated into the <b>Terrestrial Ecology and Ornithology Chapter (Chapter 20, Volume 2) of the Environmental Statement (ES)</b>, which now presents a robust baseline on which to draw conclusions in the impact assessment.</p> <p>The methodology for, and presentation of, the assessment of impacts has also been further developed since the Stage 2 consultation and, as the developer's ecologists have played an integral role in the iterative scheme design process, potential adverse impacts on wildlife have been avoided through design wherever this has been achievable</p>
Somerset Wildlife Trust	Non-Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	Insufficient ecological information has been provided against which to appraise these plans, and so we must object.	10263-1531-16660			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	It is clear though that Green Lane and the boundary hedgerows are key features (which are retained in the design). It is less clear whether the survey effort was sufficient to evaluate the usage made of the Holford Stream valley bottom and the area that could be affected by the on-site campus.	89352-1531-13404	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	It is not clear if survey effort was sufficient on the southern third of the site to accurately evaluate the potential effects of the on-site campus on bats. The invertebrate population on the site is not adequately characterised.	89352-1531-14035	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Environment Agency	Dual - Statutory Consultee and Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 1	Within each development enhanced biodiversity measures/ green infrastructure should be incorporated where practicable. Among other benefits this will enhance the environment in which the local community live in and provide a valuable resource to local residence. This is also in line with Sedgemoors Core Strategy preferred option Policy DW12 which includes the requirements for developers to protect and enhance the natural environment.	88830-1534-26395	/			Since the Stage 2 consultation an outline ecological mitigation and habitat management plan has been prepared and included with the <b>Terrestrial Ecology and Ornithology Chapter (Chapter 20, Volume 2) of the Environmental Statement (ES)</b> . The detailed mitigation plan would form an integral part of the site Environmental Management and Monitoring Plan (EMMP). Mitigation measures for the site include consideration of the impact of loss of hedgerow, landscaping and early planting to enhance biodiversity to the south of the Hinkley Point C development site, and when the land is reinstated at the end of the construction phase.
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	[4.3.15] 'surrounded by species-rich hedgerows'. This contradicts statements made elsewhere in the consultation documents that the existing site is of limited ecological value, but more accurately reflects the nature of the site. There is no information as to how these hedgerows are to be treated. What does EDF propose in relation to the retention of these important habitats?	89290-1534-2099	/			



Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 1174	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments?  The population of Shurton (the adjacent village) is approx. 50 residents. The proposed on site campus for 700 workers will overwhelm the local services and amenities. The local roads are unsuitable for transport arrangements as proposed by EDF.	9932-1467-1639	/			<p>Consultees requested further clarification on the justification for and scale of the proposed on-site accommodation.</p> <p>The construction of Hinkley Point C will employ up to 5,600 staff. Socio-economic studies undertaken by EDF Energy examined the capacity of the local labour market to meet the construction demands. These studies concluded that 66% (3,700) of the workforce are expected to be non-home based; i.e. they will move to the area for the period of their employment on the HPC Project and will require temporary accommodation in the local area.</p>
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	1.14 We have concerns that the proposed level of car parking at the HPC site and at AD sites is too high and has not been justified in terms of demand and sustainable transport objectives.	89220-1467-5056		/		<p>The <b>Accommodation Strategy</b> highlighted that while the local accommodation sector has significant capacity to provide accommodation for the temporary construction workforce, it would not be possible to accommodate all non-home based workers without the risk of some adverse consequences on the local accommodation market. As such a strategy of providing campus accommodation was developed based upon best practice from Sizewell B.</p> <p>At the Stage 1 and Stage 2 consultations the possibility of on-site campus based accommodation for up to 700 employees was developed. Concerns were raised following consultation on these proposals that the scale of the development was too large in relation to the local communities. Equally some consultees wished to see an increase in on-site worker accommodation to reduce the demand for accommodation in Bridgwater and surrounding areas.</p> <p>Considering these representations EDF Energy amended the proposals, as presented at the Stage 2 Update consultation, to reduce the size of the accommodation to a 510 space campus. It is considered that an on-site accommodation campus of this scale represents a reasonable balance between the requirements of the project and local considerations.</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Highways Agency	Statutory Consultee	Stage 1	It is also noted that an additional permanent outage car park is proposed further south on land that would be occupied in part by the workers' accommodation campus during construction. The Agency requests clarification on the use of the outage car park, for example, will access be restricted to the car park during regular operational phases but opened for outages, how will access be restricted, how many spaces will be provided and will there be allocated spaces for car sharers?	88860-1475-4100	/			Since the stage 2 consultation, EDF Energy has completed further modelling of traffic flows, including the impact of traffic providing services to the on-site campus accommodation. The results of the transport assessment are reported in <b>Annex 4 to the Environmental Statement</b> . This also includes consideration of cycle and walking routes, which were raised in responses to consultation.
Tractivity 1106	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? This should be expanded to allow for more places for parking.	9864-1475-4992			/	
Tractivity 62373	Public	Stage 2	One of the 'reasons' given in your Stage 2 consultation document for housing workers on site is to 'save traffic movements' to and from the site. There has been no 'factoring in' of the many and varied additional daily traffic movements which will be caused by the Campus. We list some of them below:  Domestic staff such as cleaners Caterers Food deliveries Laundry services Rubbish collections Newspaper deliveries Postal deliveries Other deliveries to workers via mail order Tradesmen Repairers  This non-exhaustive list, plus the car movements to and fro negates your statement that you would be cutting back on traffic. Coaches bringing workers in for their shifts would mean fewer movements, and take away the need for the Campus altogether.	10044-1475-2321			/	
Tractivity 62577	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	- If the justification for the on-site hostel is the avoidance of traffic delay then clearly the company's traffic plans are not adequate for the scale of the project.	10128-1475-5622			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	It is understood through recent discussions with EDF that they are currently undertaking a pedestrian and cycle audit surrounding the accommodation campus sites, which will identify where improvements may be needed. The County Council welcomes this and would ask to be consulted on the findings, when available. We also request that walking and cycle route provision is considered not only for campus accommodation but also to the HPC Site itself, to P&R sites and links between accommodation campuses and town centres.	89221-1475-8385	/			
17	Comments received under the EIR from the IPC	Stage 2	<p>4. We intend to maximize the use of local workers to build the power stations but we expect between 3,000 and 3,500 workers at peak to require short-term accommodation because they currently do not live locally. These workers will be accommodated in a mixture of rented and owner-occupied accommodation, bed and breakfast and caravan parks. We are also proposing purpose built accommodation campuses; two in Bridgwater and on the Hinkley Point C site. What are your views on our overall accommodation strategy?</p> <p>Satisfactory Unsatisfactory No Opinion Don't know</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Where are the figures to show exactly what proportion of the work-force will be local and how it will be recruited?</li> <li>- Where is the admission that the terms 'peak' and 'short-term' represent several years?</li> <li>- Where in all the company's claims to be 'low-carbon' are the figures to show the traffic generated by the service personnel for the hostels and the recreational use of vehicles by the hostel inhabitants?</li> <li>- The hostels should all be sited in Bridgwater, which already has suitable facilities. The site hostel outnumbers the local community by 7:1 and the population of the host parish by 2:1. EdF's claims that their behaviour policies are effective is unspecific and unproven; they do not acknowledge recreational activity, nor service traffic, nor even the historic evidence of those residents who endured the building of 'B' station. They take no account of the noise and light intrusion on the established community of local residents - a mere 300 yards away - which will be constant, day in day out, week in week out, for years.</li> <li>- If the justification for the on-site hostel is the avoidance of traffic delay then clearly the company's traffic plans are not adequate for the scale of the project. If the justification for siting the hostel so far to the south of the site is to secure the tranquility of the workers, then the company should prove its' own claims and take steps to insulate, double-glaze and tread gently around them on a site further north, rather than pretend to be able to mitigate the impact on the fifty households next to the proposed location.</li> </ul>	89806-1475-4474	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 62425	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	b) Keep our country lanes free from more traffic	10062-1470-916			/	<p>Comments raised under this heading related to specific transport issues which are addressed under the appropriate topic headings within the <b>On-site Accommodation Campus</b> theme.</p> <p>The <b>Transport – Transport Strategy – Cumulative Impacts</b> topic response addresses consultee comments raised about the transport related cumulative impacts of the Hinkley Point C Project.</p>
Tractivity 62431	Public	Stage 2	<p>One of the justifications for the Campus is that it would limit traffic movements. This is not borne out by the additional traffic which would be generated by the needs of the workers:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- A shuttle bus to take workers to and from Bridgwater</li> <li>- Daily traffic movements to provide services such as catering supplies, laundry, newspaper deliveries, domestic staff, shop and cafe supplies, refuse collection, postal services, repairers etc etc.</li> <li>- Workers use of their own cars, for which over 300 parking spaces are proposed, would also cause more traffic, not less. These journeys could well be via the narrow lanes as well as along the CI82.</li> </ul>	10065-1470-1941			/	
Tractivity 62460	Public	Stage 2	We, who live in West Somerset, shudder at the prospect of the installation of accommodation blocks housing 700 site workers at Hinkley C. It cannot and must not be an option. Traffic flow through the village of Stogursey has increased markedly already starting at 5 am every morning. The thought of convoys of buses and more and more cars and vans speeding through our narrow lanes, villages and hamlets at all hours of the day and night, instils a feeling of dread in many of us.	10083-1470-1624		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 837	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? An increase in capacity on site would help to reduce traffic movements.	9595-1469-4471		/		<p>Consultees expressed concerns that the 510 employees and the movements associated with workers leisure time and the servicing arrangements for the facilities could lead to a detrimental impact upon the highway network both in capacity and maintenance terms and wished to see that the impact of all the trips were assessed.</p> <p>The impact of the on-site campus travel demand has been included within the assessment of all Hinkley Point C (HPC) and associated development traffic and the impact and mitigation are considered in the <b>Transport Assessment</b>, which has been submitted with this application for development consent.</p> <p>Locating up to 510 employees on site has significant positive traffic benefits arising from a reduction in the daily impact of an additional 510 employees travelling to and from work as the on-site campus residents will be able to walk or travel by shuttle bus entirely within the internal site confines. Moreover the provision of onsite sports facilities, a canteen, shop, bar, laundrette and games room will reduce the need for employees to travel off site - however it is recognised that there will still be some off site travel. In addition EDF Energy will provide a regular bus service to and from Bridgwater and the HPC campus to facilitate any desired off-site journeys.</p> <p>Car based travel by on-site campus residents will also be constrained through limiting the provision of parking spaces to 1 space per 1.6 beds (a total of 319 spaces). The majority of trips off site by employees will be recreational with employees generally only returning home and back to work at weekends.</p>
Tractivity 838	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? 700 is too many to add onto/tack onto village of about 1400. 3-4 storey partacbins eysore for nyears. Too much traffic generated locally when workers have free time.	9596-1469-5393	/			
Tractivity 980	Public	Stage 2	c. Any othe9r ideas or comments? Totally unsatisfactory. 700 workers with nothing to do and nowhere to go is a recipe for disaster. Can you imagine the traffic noise and congestion into Bridgwater on their nights off? Every village on their route will suffer noise of traffic and the wildlife in this area will be decimated . Road traffic accidents on this road cause chaos as it is.	9738-1469-7200	/			
Tractivity 1031	Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments? Do not want workers camp on site. Not enough local imenities. Many workers will leave site with vehicles. Local road system not suitable for a lot more traffic.	9789-1469-1909		/		
Tractivity 1044	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? Reduces traffic.	9802-1469-4828			/	
Tractivity 1070	Public	Stage 2	The problem of access for the workforce and construction upplies will result in major traffic problems in Bridgwater and the surrounding area.	9828-1469-5913			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 1081	Public	Stage 2	<p>9c. Any other ideas or comments?</p> <p>Workers lodged at Hinkley Point will gravitate towards Bridgwater and Taunton for entertainment. This could lead to traffic problems on the Hinkley Point road due to speeding and alcohol. I suggest that less people are stationed at the site and that those who are are provided with evening transport to Bridgwater and Taunton.</p> <p>The housing of workers at Hinkley Point will add significantly to the Detailed emergency planning zone (DEPZ) making evacuation more difficult in a nuclear emergency.</p>	9839-1469-6536		/		
Tractivity 1091	Public	Stage 2	<p>9c. Any other ideas or comments?</p> <p>A 700 accommodation campus seems unnecessary. To place such high numbers on site so close to small villages like Shurton and Burton will affect their daily lives so implicitly. Dust, noise and light pollution will be a factor for a great many resident. The onsite accom. &amp; leisure facilities are to be taken down, causing disruption going up and again coming down. A waste of supplies, time and money. Local roads, facilities and villages are not equipped to deal with this amount of people. Extra traffic will be generated as over half will have a parking space. Is there really a need for 700 workers in case of an emergency? We are assured it is all safe! If there must be accommodation, why not for 50 workers housed in the new Paddons Farm development in Stogursey with a 5 minute travel time to the HPC site. Sports facilities could then be made available in the village, for the Stogursey Parish with priority to the local school.</p>	9849-1469-10453	/			
Tractivity 1118	Public	Stage 2	<p>9c. Any other ideas or comments?</p> <p>CONCERNED FOR LOCAL PEOPLE &amp; OF THE EFFECT ON TRANSPORT IN THE AREA</p>	9876-1469-5015			/	
Tractivity 1349	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2 Update	<p>Your putting yet more traffic on the road opening up the sporting facilities, which many feel is an absolute joke.</p>	89615-1469-183		/		
Tractivity 62307	Public	Stage 2	<p>WHAG are going to campaign against the Campus, and for positive steps on your behalf to tackle the increase in traffic that is bound to occur on our local roads.</p>	9996-1469-958			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 62313	Public	Stage 2	A seven hundred bed campus will increase the population of the parish by more than half and nearly double that of Stogursey. It will create mayhem in the parish and is a crazy idea. The argument of traffic reduction does not hold water as workers will leave the campus for recreation and to visit home. The obvious location is a centre of population such as Bridgwater. You must not expect us to accept a campus, you can still easily build a power station and we are the people carrying the most disruption from that activity.	10000-1469-1102	/			
Tractivity 62366	Public	Stage 2	. There will be little for the hostel residents to do in their spare time in this rural area so they will be adding to the traffic on local roads as they travel in search of recreation. Also to be taken into account are the vehicles delivering goods and services to the hostel, at all time of day. The larger the hostel, the more commercial traffic is likely to be generated.	10040-1469-4571		/		
Tractivity 62430	Public	Stage 2	In spite of EdF assertions that the workers in the campus will have extensive facilities, I believe that a significant number will be inclined to visit either the local villages, and inevitably cause trouble, or travel further afield and increase the local traffic. It is unrealistic to expect all the workers to stay on the campus, regardless of the facilities, especially when off shift eg weekends.	10064-1469-1848		/		
Tractivity 62437	Public	Stage 2	Vehicle movements required to service such a large camp will add to traffic congestion.	10069-1469-1392		/		
Tractivity 62508	Public	Stage 2	Other than the number of parking spaces within the On-Site campus, no account has been made for the number of vehicles that will be required to service the site.	10098-1469-6146		/		
Tractivity 62508	Public	Stage 2	Increases in traffic will cause anxiety within the aging population, although this is not recognised by EdF, nor are there any plans to compensate for the harm caused.	10098-1469-18631		/		
Tractivity 62572	Public	Stage 2	No account has been made in the transport appraisal of vehicle movements to service the campus which will increase the pressure on the C182.	10123-1469-906		/		
Tractivity 62573	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	What transport will be provided for them to access the local towns? More car movements so the Park and Ride won't help this.	10124-1469-6104		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 62582	Public	Stage 2	<p>Some examples of extra traffic that will be created by the campus are as follows:</p> <p>The workers' cars as they travel around the lanes and villages for leisure activities when they are not on duty at the construction site, especially as there is no provision for cycle tracks.</p> <p>Services to the campus such as:</p> <p>Laundry services.</p> <p>Fresh food and drink delivery.</p> <p>Cleaning supplies.</p> <p>Cleaning operatives.</p> <p>Maintenance of all electrical equipment within the campus.</p> <p>Removal of sewage.</p> <p>Removal of domestic rubbish.</p> <p>Postal deliveries daily.</p> <p>Courier and parcel deliveries from workers' families and mail order firms.</p> <p>Service of computer facilities.</p> <p>Newspaper and magazine delivery.</p> <p>Maintenance of the football and sports pitches.</p> <p>Chefs and cooks.</p> <p>Bar staff.</p>	10133-1469-8539		/		
Stringston Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	Over 400 parking spaces are expected to be given to these workers meaning more cars added to the already heavily burdened roads, adding to local traffic unnecessarily.	10233-1469-7464		/		
Stogursey and District Parish Plan Steering Committee	Non-Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	Whatever might be supplied on site, it is inevitable that the workers will go out into the surrounding countryside during their leisure-time, with consequent traffic. Services to the hostel will generate additional traffic and, should facilities at the hostel be available to local communities, yet more traffic through the hamlets of Shurton and Burton.	10259-1469-2899		/		



Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Additional residual effects may be possible due to external activities and vehicle movements associated with the on-site accommodation campus, which have not been assessed.	89423-1469-18226		/		
Tractivity 62953	Public	Stage 2 Update	Of course the proposed Campus would generate disturbance to local residents in terms of noise, light pollution and additional traffic, as well as concerns about the behaviour of the workers.	89682-1469-548		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
17	Comments received under the EIR from the IPC	Stage 2	<p>4. We intend to maximize the use of local workers to build the power stations but we expect between 3,000 and 3,500 workers at peak to require short-term accommodation because they currently do not live locally. These workers will be accommodated in a mixture of rented and owner-occupied accommodation, bed and breakfast and caravan parks. We are also proposing purpose built accommodation campuses; two in Bridgwater and on the Hinkley Point C site. What are your views on our overall accommodation strategy?</p> <p>Satisfactory Unsatisfactory No Opinion Don't know</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Where are the figures to show exactly what proportion of the work-force will be local and how it will be recruited?</li> <li>- Where is the admission that the terms 'peak' and 'short-term' represent several years?</li> <li>- Where in all the company's claims to be 'low-carbon' are the figures to show the traffic generated by the service personnel for the hostels and the recreational use of vehicles by the hostel inhabitants?</li> <li>- The hostels should all be sited in Bridgwater, which already has suitable facilities. The site hostel outnumbered the local community by 7:1 and the population of the host parish by 2:1. EDF's claims that their behaviour policies are effective is unproven; they do not acknowledge recreational activity, nor service traffic, nor even the historic evidence of those residents who endured the building of 'B' station. They take no account of the noise and light intrusion on the established community of local residents - a mere 300 yards away - which will be constant, day in day out, week in week out, for years.</li> </ul> <p>If the justification for the on-site hostel is the avoidance of traffic delay then clearly the company's traffic plans are not adequate for the scale of the project. If the justification for siting the hostel so far to the south of the site is to secure the tranquility of the workers, then the company should prove its' own claims and take steps to insulate, double-glaze and tread gently around them on a site further north, rather than pretend to be able to mitigate the impact on the fifty households next to the proposed location.</p>	89806-1469-4474	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
17	Comments received under the EIR from the IPC	Stage 2	<p>1Hinkely C Site</p> <p>Satisfactory Totally Unsatisfactory No Opinion Don't know</p> <p>- I find it impossible to believe that a civilised human being imagined this development on this site.</p> <p>- What deluded model of social interaction persuades the company that siting a 700 bed- hostel with buildings three-storeys high, recreational facilities indoors and out and on-site parking for residents' cars and service personnel, on high ground above the nearest community - a hamlet centuries old with several listed buildings lying a mere 300 yards distant - represents the actions of the 'good neighbour' which EdF claims that it wishes to be?</p> <p>- The company itself admits that it will have difficulty reducing light pollution from the proposed campus. It has not acknowledged that there will also be noise nuisance, visual intrusion and evening and weekend recreational nuisance which will affect all local traffic and the private lives of the local hamlet residents. There will be no benefit to the local communities during operation and no legacy use,</p>	89806-1469-9767			/	
18	Comments received under the EIR from the IPC	Stage 2	<p>Also to be taken into account are the vehicles delivering goods and services to the hostel, at all time of day. The larger the hostel, the more commercial traffic is likely to be generated.</p>	89807-1469-4777			/	
Stogursey Parish Council	statutory consultee	Stage 2 Update	<p>2.2.10 [6.2.2] All of the stated benefits are for EDF, with local residents suffering the noise, light, potential law and order problems and the traffic required to support the campus.</p>	89872-1469-9156			/	
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	<p>- Increasing the number of traffic movements between the campus and local villages and towns in the evenings. The main concern in relation to this is the possibility of risks associated with drink driving on a unlit and narrow route that will already be experiencing heavy traffic volumes; and</p>	89886-1469-4056		/		
Tractivity 1081	Public	Stage 2	<p>4. Any other ideas or comments?</p> <p>Workers lodged at Hinkley Point will gravitate towards Bridgwater and Taunton for entertainment. This could lead to traffic problems on the Hinkley Point road due to speeding and alcohol. I suggest that less people are stationed at the site and that those who are are provided with evening transport to Bridgwater and Taunton.</p> <p>The housing of workers at Hinkley Point will add significantly to the Detailed emergency planning zone (DEPZ) making evacuation more difficult in a nuclear emergency.</p>	9839-21-2323		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
17	Comments received under the EIR from the IPC	Stage 2	- Where in all the company's claims to be 'low-carbon' are the figures to show the traffic generated by the service personnel for the hostels and the recreational use of vehicles by the hostel inhabitants?	89806-1459-4474a	/			
17	Comments received under the EIR from the IPC	Stage 2	- The hostels should all be sited in Bridgwater, which already has suitable facilities. The site hostel outnumbered the local community by 7:1 and the population of the host parish by 2:1. EdF's claims that their behaviour policies are effective is unspecific and unproven; they do not acknowledge recreational activity, nor service traffic, nor even the historic evidence of those residents who endured the building of 'B' station. They take no account of the noise and light intrusion on the established community of local residents - a mere 300 yards away - which will be constant, day in day out, week in week out, for years.	89806-1459-4474b	/			
17	Comments received under the EIR from the IPC	Stage 2	If the justification for the on-site hostel is the avoidance of traffic delay then clearly the company's traffic plans are not adequate for the scale of the project. If the justification for siting the hostel so far to the south of the site is to secure the tranquility of the workers, then the company should prove its' own claims and take steps to insulate, double-glaze and tread gently around them on a site further north, rather than pretend to be able to mitigate the impact on the fifty households next to the proposed location.	89806-1459-4474c	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Royal Mail	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	- An additional 16 deliveries will be required to serve the proposed 8,232 residential dwellings programmed between 2010 and 2018, requiring up to 8 new delivery vehicles. C. 630 of these dwellings are associated with the Hinkley Point development. In addition, two deliveries would be required to serve the temporary Hinkley Point on-site accommodation during construction.	10198-1468-6102			/	Consultees queried whether the park and ride (P&R) facilities and rail network could be utilised by employees travelling to the on-site campus.  The use of the P&R sites was discounted for a number of reasons. In particular it is considered reasonable for residents of an on-site campus to have more straightforward access to their car during their leisure time than would be possible from a more distant P&R site.
Timberscombe Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	1. The influx of a great number of workers at the site and transportation of raw materials and these workers to the site has not been sufficiently recognized nor taken into account.	10234-1468-146	/			The use of rail for travel to and from Bridgwater station by residents of the on-site campus will be encouraged by EDF Energy via the provision of a regular bus service between Bridgwater and the Hinkley Point C (HPC) site.
Highways Agency	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	connectivity between rail services and HPC or the campus sites has not been addressed.	89173-1468-7262		/		Further to providing a dedicated bus service, EDF Energy are committed to encouraging walking and cycling for local trips. Walking and cycling will be promoted by EDF Energy through both the Framework and Site Specific Travel Plans and will include measures such as providing information on cycle routes, secure parking, etc.
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	No analysis has yet been undertaken for non-work related travel by workers living in the three campuses. There will need to be evening and weekend bus links from the on-site campus to Bridgwater for leisure purposes and for onward bus and rail connections for weekend trips to and from home. This is recognised in paragraph 6.3.9 and 6.3.10 of the Transport Appraisal, but no firm proposals are presented.	89227-1468-7037	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	the connectivity between rail services and HPC or the campus sites has not been addressed. This may be of particularly value to those workers who may not have, nor wish to retain a car, if living in the campus accommodation. More importantly, this provides an opportunity for workers living in accommodation to be provided with a rail warrant to travel to Bridgwater by rail and utilise the worker travel once there, removing the need to bring a car and therefore reducing the potential to use that car for trips if available.	89233-1468-4613	/			
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	[1.4.1] 'Sustainable modes of transport will be encouraged'. Where is the evidence of this encouragement? The best way to encourage other modes is to provide parking at the park and ride sites, rather than on the camp.	89289-1468-12560		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	[2.1.13] 'It is anticipated that employees will arrive primarily by car.' Why has EDF not considered using the park and ride facilities and bussing the camp residents in?	89289-1468-12945		/		
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	[4.2.1] Stage 1 public consultation. Second bullet states 'ensuring that any development includes effective measures that prevent unofficial shortcuts from the site to Shurton and Stogursey.' There will be a short walk from the camp entrance down the C182 to Doggets, then on the public footpath to Shurton. In all less than half a mile. Can EDF explain therefore how they have achieved this objective?	89290-1468-585		/		
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	[4.4.19-21] Car parking. Instead of providing extra car parking why don't the employees use the park and rides and then there would be more space to have two storey blocks instead of three to lessen the impact in this rural location?	89290-1468-3028		/		
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	How will the leisure use of cars by on-site camp residents be assessed in terms of timing and routes?	89292-1468-1261		/		
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	Where is the service traffic for the on-site camp, which will be 24/7, taken into account, and what will the volumes be?  Where is the traffic generated by single occupancy journeys by workers to and from the on-site accommodation for weekends considered?	89292-1468-1719		/		
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	The proposed accommodation of 700 workers on site will not reduce transport movements as this site has to be serviced.	89293-1468-7520	/			
Tractivity 63240	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	The justification for a resident on-site workforce appears to relate primarily to a desire to reduce travel movements. However, this justification merits careful evaluation and involves a number of considerations.	89441-1468-1112			/	
NHS Somerset Primary Care Trust	Non-Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	The NHS is keen to encourage active travel, and would expect the developer to work closely with the County Council to maximise opportunities to improve pedestrian and cycle facilities to enable workers to commute, for work and leisure, between their accommodation, park and ride sites and work sites including the main campus.	89460-1468-7545		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	During the main construction phase the on-site accommodation campus contains 306 car park spaces for resident workers (see para. 4.1.10 of Travel Plan). The gives 1 space per 2.3 workers. Car parking for campus staff will also be provided at the same ratio, but the number of staff is unknown. These ratios are not justified, nor is information provided on how space allocation will take place.	89345-1468-463	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Any change to the accommodation strategy will need to be reflected in changes to parking provision for the on-site accommodation and will also have knock-on effects for the park and ride site sizes and bus provision.	89345-1468-2675			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 886	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? If they can travel from Dunball to Hinkley	9644-1471-4910			/	<p>Consultees expressed concerns about the trip generation associated with non-work related activities.</p> <p>In order to minimise the need to travel off-site, the proposed on-site campus would be complemented with facilities such as sports facilities, canteen, shop, bar, laundrette and games room.</p> <p>However, it is recognised that the residents of the on-site campus will travel off-site hence the proposed provision of a regular bus service (demand dependent) between HPC and Bridgwater. Further details on non-work related trips are contained in the <b>Travel Plan</b>, which has been submitted with this application for development consent.</p>
Tractivity 1081	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? Workers lodged at Hinkley Point will gravitate towards Bridgwater and Taunton for entertainment. This could lead to traffic problems on the Hinkley Point road due to speeding and alcohol. I suggest that less people are stationed at the site and that those who are are provided with evening transport to Bridgwater and Taunton.  The housing of workers at Hinkley Point will add significantly to the Detailed emergency planning zone (DEPZ) making evacuation more difficult in a nuclear emergency.	9839-1471-6536		/		
Tractivity 1140	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? Encouraging people to drive	9898-1471-4373			/	
Tractivity 1142	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? Best place for them - no travelling involved	9900-1471-6040			/	
Tractivity 1185	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? Ideal for workers whose work patients would not be conducive to avoiding peak times.	9943-1471-4876			/	
Tractivity 62573	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9iii. Comment Will these workers have facilities on site to be entertained when not at work? Boredom creates trouble for the locals. What transport will be provided for them to access the local towns? More car movements so the Park and Ride won't help this.	10124-1471-5966		/		
Tractivity 62577	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	- If there is to be an on-site hostel then it should be at the northern boundary of the site and the light/noise/social/traffic impact on the hamlets of Wick and Shurton should be proven to be controlled and mitigated to the maximum achievable and agreed with residents.	10128-1471-10351		/		
Avon and Somerset Constabulary	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	the highway infrastructure to support such proposals should be robust, not introduce conflict with existing highway use and satisfy the requirements of the local highway authority in terms of mitigating congestion and addressing potential road safety issues.	89054-1471-5866			/	



Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	[4.4.1] Buses are likely to be waiting to fill up with passengers before setting off for site. What is EDF planning to do about the noise and air pollution from these waiting vehicles?	89290-1471-2840		/		
Stogursey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	[4.4.69] States 'The uncontrolled movement of people from within the campus to neighbouring villages is not acceptable' SPC agree. What measures are EDF proposing to control access to local villages?	89290-1471-6637		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The Council (WSC) acknowledge that the provision of some on-site construction accommodation is an effective means of accommodating workers close to where they are needed, thereby reducing traffic movements on the wider road network	89333-1471-8676			/	