

Schedule of Responses – Appendix H.1

Bridgwater A Theme

When reading this schedule, it is useful to have read the following complementary documents:

- **Chapter 5 of the Consultation Report** – the main chapter which describes how EDF Energy has analysed the consultation responses and details how the schedule of responses works
- **Schedule of Responses Framework** from Appendix H – the categorisation framework used by EDF Energy when analysing the consultation responses
- **Consultee Comment Key** from Appendix H – to allow consultees who returned a response to consultation to identify which topics contain their comments

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Baseline has been adequately defined but sampling undertaken represents the minimum acceptable. Additional monitoring (during construction and operation) should be undertaken in the study area to determine whether impacts have been adequately assessed and proposed mitigation is effective. A monitoring campaign should be designed taking into account all potential impacts of the development.	89361-981-12788			/	Comments received in relation to baseline air quality for the Bridgwater A Associated Development site were received at Stage 2. The local authorities noted that the baseline had been adequately defined, and that the sampling undertaken represented the minimum acceptable. The background air quality monitoring programme, undertaken to support the air quality impact assessment, commenced 25 February 2009 and finished 15 September 2009. This exceeds the minimum recommendation as set out in DEFRA guidance, which states that, as a minimum, monitoring is undertaken over a consecutive six-month period in order to determine the baseline air quality. NO ₂ and SO ₂ monitoring was also undertaken at a roadside location in order to allow for verification of vehicular exhaust emissions dispersion model output. Details of the baseline monitoring campaign are provided in the Air Quality Chapter (Chapter 10 of Volume 3) of the Environmental Statement and supporting references.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Present air quality does not comply with air quality objectives at some receptors in the Bridgwater Model. Current air quality is well above the long term annual mean NO ₂ objective and is predicted to decrease by 2016 but not enough to comply with the objective. The construction traffic is predicted to worsen air quality at some receptors in this area.	89361-981-15203			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council & West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - Local Authority and Consultee with an Interest in Land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 1	Further Air Quality assessments are to be undertaken by EDF Energy. The methodologies will need to be consistent with current UK guidance and the methods and results will need to be approved by Sedgemoor District Council.	88440-989-2316			/	<p>Some comments relating to the Bridgwater A Associated Development site were received at Stage 1 from the local authorities and primarily related to the need for further air quality assessments to be undertaken, and methodologies to be approved by SDC.</p> <p>At the Stage 1 consultation stage, an initial air quality consultation meeting had been held with the local authorities on 9 December 2008. Two further air quality consultation meetings have been subsequently held with the local authorities, on 1 October 2009 and 22 February 2011. The methodologies applied to the air quality impact assessment were discussed and agreed with the local authorities during these consultation meetings. A summary of the key outcomes of these consultation meetings is provided in the Air Quality Chapter (Chapter 10 of Volume 3) of the Environmental Statement.</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Cumulative impacts are inherently assessed where the traffic data includes all elements of the development. There is no cumulative assessment or discussion of other potential cumulative effects (e.g. operational traffic plus demolition/ redevelopment of construction worker sites plus operational emissions from the Main Site).	89361-984-14736		/		<p>Comments on cumulative air quality impacts in respect of the Bridgwater A Associated Development (AD) site were received from Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council at Stage 2 consultation and related to the need to consider potential cumulative effects other than those from road traffic.</p> <p>No cumulative impacts were included within the air quality assessment at Stage 2. The approach to assessing the cumulative air quality impacts associated with the Hinkley Point C (HPC) Project has evolved following Stage 2 consultation. The cumulative impacts of the proposed HPC Project with other committed and proposed development are considered in the Volume 11 of the Environmental Statement (ES) submitted with this application for development consent. Interactive cumulative air quality impacts with other environmental topics (e.g. noise, landscape) associated with the HPC Project are also considered in the Volume 11 of the ES.</p> <p>The vehicular air quality impacts on the wider highway network, associated with the operation of the Bridgwater A site, have been assessed for all traffic generated by the HPC Project. Therefore the assessment of operational vehicular emissions is a cumulative assessment. No further significant cumulative effects are considered to arise during the operation of the Bridgwater A site.</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Whilst it is noted that the location of the bus terminus has been chosen to keep it far away from living accommodation, it would still appear to be adjacent to residential properties and is therefore a cause for concern in terms of noise and air quality impacts on residents in those blocks.	89359-983-14099	/			Comments on air quality impact in relation to of the Bridgwater A Associated Development site were provided at Stage 2 consultation by Sedgemoor District Council (SDC) and West Somerset Council (WSC) in a joint response, with one comment made by a member of the public at the Stage 2 update consultation. The comments focused on: the need to include non-work related construction worker trips and operational traffic within the assessment of vehicular emissions; the perceived inappropriate downgrading of construction dust impacts due to their temporary nature and the perceived underestimation of fugitive dust risk from the Bridgwater A construction site; and what was considered to be poor assessment of vehicular exhaust residual impacts, with only 24-hour traffic flows being presented. SDC and WSC also expressed concern over the appropriateness of the proposed Bridgwater A site for housing. The Air Quality Chapter (Chapter 10 of Volume 3) of the Environmental Statement (ES) submitted with this application for development consent includes an assessment of operational vehicular emissions. Non-work related trips of construction workers have also been considered, and the trips generated have been included in the traffic flows used to inform the assessment of vehicular emissions during the construction and operational phase. Within the Air Quality Chapter (Chapter 10 of Volume 3) of Volume 3 of the ES, the fugitive dust and small particulate (PM ₁₀) impacts during the construction phase have all been assessed in line with current published guidelines and best practice guidance, in addition to the professional experience of the air quality assessor. Impacts have therefore been assessed on the basis of the risk posed by the Bridgwater A construction site and the proximity of sensitive receptors (i.e. local residents and road users). Whilst qualitative comments have been made regarding the temporary and likely infrequent nature of these construction (non-vehicular) impacts, the magnitude of these impacts has not been downgraded based upon their temporary nature. Best practice and mitigation measures have been proposed to minimise potential impacts to an acceptable level. EDF Energy does not consider it necessary to undertake a full 24-hour assessment of vehicular
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	No assessment of the non-work related construction worker trips (i.e. people in the worker accommodation travelling around when not travelling to or from work) or operational traffic has been undertaken but a commitment to include it in the submission to the IPC is made.	89361-983-13470	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The construction traffic is predicted to worsen air quality at some receptors in this area.	89361-983-15466			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The modelling predicts air quality would exceed objectives at locations in Bridgwater in the base year 2008. This is supported by the monitoring data	89361-983-16444			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Impacts on other receptors is predicted to be from "small" to "large" and yet are attributed a significance of "slight adverse" without explanation but possibly because the impacts are considered temporary.	89361-983-16596	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	A receptor four metres from the construction site is assessed as having "medium" risk and "moderate" impact but that dust nuisance would be "unlikely", this conclusion is not supported by the assessment.	89361-983-17214	/			emissions, although the short-term air quality impacts from vehicular exhaust emissions to air are presented within the Air Quality Chapter of Volume 3 of the ES. A standardised approach to the Air Dispersion Modelling System (ADMS) Roads dispersion modelling has been undertaken, with annual mean pollutant concentrations predicted; compliance with relevant short-term air quality objectives is based upon accepted empirical relationships between the long-term and short-term pollutant concentrations. Full details of these relationships and the assessment methodology adopted for the assessment of vehicular emissions to air is provided within the supporting Air Quality Modelling Report appended to Chapter 10, Volume 3 of the ES. Additional receptors have been included within the ADMS Roads model at the proposed locations of the Bridgwater A accommodation campus and pollutant concentrations determined at these locations. No air quality objectives were exceeded at these locations and therefore it has been concluded that the proposed location is appropriate for housing based on air quality criteria. Full details are provided in the Air Quality Chapter of Volume 3 of the ES and its supporting references.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Dust nuisance from this site would be very likely, risk should be high and impact major according to the methodology proposed.	89361-983-17421	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Air quality is predicted not to comply with air quality objectives at some receptors in the Bridgwater Model.	89361-983-18122			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Current air quality is well above the long term annual mean NO2 objective and is predicted to decrease by 2016 but not enough to comply with the objective. The construction traffic is predicted to worsen air quality at some receptors in this area. Non-work trip traffic which has not been assessed will add to this effect and increase the predicted impact.	89361-983-18235			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The assessment of residual impacts is poor, with only 24 hour flows being presented. This means that highway and environmental impacts cannot be correctly assessed.	89425-983-1510		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Impacts from construction activities (dust, off-road vehicles) is qualitative and identifies a medium risk of impact requiring mitigation. Given the proximity of the nearest receptors dust impacts are high not medium risk and at BRI-A and have therefore been underestimated.	89425-983-3435	/			
Tractivity 62998	Public	Stage 2 Update	Taunton Road and Bristol Road will be further overloaded and traffic jams will be more frequent and time consuming pumping carbon monoxide into Bridgwater 24/7.	89692-983-4742			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council & West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - Local Authority and Consultee with an Interest in Land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 1	Further Air Quality assessments are to be undertaken by EDF Energy. The methodologies will need to be consistent with current UK guidance and the methods and results will need to be approved by Sedgemoor District Council.	88440-982-2316	/			Comments regarding the air quality methodology in relation to the Bridgwater A Associated Development site were received from the local authorities at the Stage 2 consultation and related to the need to include the pollutant PM _{2.5} , car park emissions, non-work related construction worker trips and operational traffic within the assessment of vehicular emissions along with the recommendation to review assumptions made on traffic flows. Use of updated air quality impact significance criteria published following Stage 2 was also recommended. The local authorities referred to the perceived inappropriate downgrading of construction dust impacts due to their temporary nature and requested that consideration be given within the air quality assessment to the observed lack of decrease in ambient nitrogen dioxide (NO ₂) concentrations over the past few years. Comment was also made to consider the impacts of on-site sources or air pollution along with assessing the appropriateness of the proposed AD site for housing. Emissions of PM _{2.5} from vehicle exhausts have been considered within the Air Quality Chapter (Chapter 10 of Volume 3) of the Environmental Statement (ES) , and their impacts have been determined. Non-work related trips of construction workers have also been considered, and the trips generated have been included in the traffic flows used to inform the assessment of vehicular emissions during the construction and operational phases. Car parks have not been included within the model on the basis of their size and intended usage, but the modelling does take account of emissions from the development related traffic as they approach and leave the site. The operational profile of the car park would not be comparable to that of, for example, a supermarket car park whereby numerous drivers may use each space several times per day, and they are unlikely to be a significant source of emissions to air. The traffic flows and surrounding assumptions have been significantly revised following the Stage 2 consultation in order to take account of the latest development plans and proposals. The lack of observed decreases in ambient NO ₂ concentrations over recent years in some locations has been discussed in the Air Quality Chapter (Chapter 10 of Volume 3) of the ES . In order to take
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Some of the assumptions for traffic flows, for the proposed Bridgwater developments, applied for air quality modelling may need review or updating.	89240-982-11000	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The methodology used is commonly used for this type of assessment but has been updated (July 2010) since the EnvApp. The update should be used for future work.	89361-982-13186	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	No assessment of very fine particles (PM _{2.5}) has been included beyond the identification of assessment criteria	89361-982-13351	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	No assessment of the non-work related construction worker trips (i.e. people in the worker accommodation travelling around when not travelling to or from work) or operational traffic has been undertaken but a commitment to include it in the submission to the IPC is made.	89361-982-13470	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The assessment of construction dust downgrades the potential for impacts because they are temporary. This approach cannot be supported as mitigation may be required regardless of the duration of the activity and residual impacts may still be significant.	89361-982-13747	/			account of uncertainties regarding trends in NO ₂ concentrations over time, the approach taken within the ES has been to undertake a worst-case sensitivity test whereby no reduction in vehicle emission rates or background concentrations over time has been assumed. This is in addition to the standard assessment methodology. The significance criteria applied to the assessment of air quality impacts has also been updated to take account of the latest published guidance as described in the Air Quality Chapter (Chapter 10 of Volume 3) of the ES.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Future changes in air quality are estimated using Government guidance and assumes that concentrations will decrease with time as reductions in vehicle emissions take effect. This assumption is not supported by air quality measurements in most locations and this potential fault in the method is not discussed. Additional monitoring would assist in this matter.	89361-982-14007	/			Within the Air Quality Chapter of Volume 3 of the ES , the construction impacts on air quality are all assessed in line with current published guidelines, in addition to the professional experience of the air quality assessor. Impacts have therefore been assessed on the basis of the risk posed by the construction site and the proximity of sensitive receptors. The magnitude of these impacts has not been downgraded based upon their temporary nature, rather, best practice and mitigation measures have been proposed to minimise potential impacts to an acceptable level. Given the intended operational usage, on-site emissions to air associated with the operation of the Bridgwater A site will be so low that any air quality impacts would not be significant. Operational (non-vehicular) impacts have therefore been scoped out from further detailed assessment within the Air Quality Chapter of Volume 3 of the ES.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The impact on receptors near to the site is assessed qualitatively and with little or no justification or explanation of how impact magnitude or significance has been derived.	89361-982-15666		/		An assessment of the impact on people using the Bridgwater A accommodation campus has been made and pollutant concentrations determined. No Air Quality Objectives (AQOs) were exceeded and therefore it has been concluded that the proposed locations is appropriate for housing. Full details are provided in the Air Quality Chapter of Volume 3 of the ES.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The location of the housing within the proposed site needs to be assessed as appropriate for housing. Although the whole site already has planning permission, the location of the actual accommodation and the air quality in that part of the site should be assessed.	89361-982-15845	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Assumptions about the number of car parking spaces, recreational traffic etc is unclear.	89361-982-16113	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	There is no assessment of the potential beneficial effects of the proposed development.	89361-982-16353		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Impacts on other receptors is predicted to be from "small" to "large" and yet are attributed a significance of "slight adverse" without explanation but possibly because the impacts are considered temporary.	89361-982-16596		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	There is no assessment of emissions from on-site source or air pollution (e.g. boilers, kitchen odours).	89361-982-16806		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The temporary nature of construction impacts has been used to justify downgrading of impacts, an approach which is not supported.	89361-982-17060	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	There is no assessment of emissions from on-site source or air pollution.	89425-982-3955		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	There is no assessment of very fine particulate matter (PM25) or non-work related use of the site (car parking etc) or trips made by the occupants. Potential beneficial impacts are not identified, assessed or enhanced.	89425-982-4032	/			
Sedgemoor District Council & West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - Local Authority and Consultee with an Interest in Land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 1	Further Air Quality assessments are to be undertaken by EDF Energy. The methodologies will need to be consistent with current UK guidance and the methods and results will need to be approved by Sedgemoor District Council.	88440-943-2316	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
NHS Somerset Primary Care Trust	Non-Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	Nevertheless the developer may wish to consider specifying the use of low emission vehicles where appropriate, for example, park and ride buses for the Bridgwater campus.	89460-985-5245		/		<p>Comments with regard to air quality mitigation for the Bridgwater A Associated Development site were received from the local authorities at Stage 2 and primarily related to clarification of the mitigation measures that would be applied in order to mitigate any potential air quality impacts. A further comment was made at Stage 2 by NHS Somerset Primary Care Trust requesting that consideration be given to the use of low emission vehicles where appropriate, for example buses servicing the Bridgwater accommodation campus.</p> <p>An air quality monitoring programme will be implemented at all of the HPC offsite associated development sites. The monitoring plan will be implemented throughout the duration of work activities that have the potential to produce emissions or dust that could negatively impact upon the air quality and amenity value of sensitive receptors in the vicinity of the site.</p> <p>Significant consideration has been given to the use of low emission vehicles and in particular the use of hybrid buses to provide transport to the HPC main site from the Park and Ride and accommodation campus sites. However, it has been decided that this would not be a practicable or economic option for this route and type of use.</p>
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	There is no commitment to mitigation during construction, only a list of possible measures. Hence it is not possible to establish if the impacts predicted during construction will occur.	89361-985-14373	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Shipping emissions are not quantified and so no mitigation is proposed.	89361-985-14565		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Residual effects from construction are identified as Minor. This is contingent on adequate mitigation to which there is no commitment in the EnvApp; mitigation relies on the EMMP and its adequate implementation.	89361-985-17800	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	There is no commitment to any mitigation so the impacts could be greater than that predicted. The temporary (1.5 years) duration of the construction activities is relied upon to downgrade the potential impact; this approach is not supported.	89425-985-3710	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	- At the accommodation campuses in Bridgwater the impacts from construction activities (dust, off-road vehicles etc) are assessed on a qualitative basis only with no commitment given to any mitigation measures, it identifies for both sites a medium risk of impact. Given the close proximity of receptors to both sites we believe dust impacts are underestimated.	89430-985-5194	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Future changes in air quality are estimated using Government guidance and assumes that concentrations will decrease with time as reductions in vehicle emissions take effect. This assumption is not supported by air quality measurements in most locations and this potential fault in the method is not discussed. Additional monitoring would assist in this matter.	89361-986-14007		/		Comments with regard to air quality monitoring received from the local authorities for the Bridgwater A Associated Development Site were received at Stage 2 of the consultation and related to the monitoring of residual air quality effects and the suggestion for additional air quality monitoring in order to help verify if nitrogen dioxide (NO ₂) concentrations decrease in future years.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Residual effects should be monitored in some cases. This is not discussed in the EnvAp	89361-986-14642	/			<p>An air quality monitoring programme will be implemented at all of the HPC offsite associated development sites. The monitoring plan will be implemented throughout the duration of work activities that have the potential to produce emissions or dust that could negatively impact upon the air quality and amenity value of sensitive receptors in the vicinity of the site.</p> <p>Regarding the verification of whether NO₂ concentrations decrease in future years as overall reductions in vehicle emissions take effect. It should be noted that, this matter is not specific to the HPC study area as it is a nationwide issue that is currently being investigated and is a topic of much debate. However, in order to take account of uncertainties regarding trends in NO₂ concentrations over time, the approach taken within the ES has been to undertake a worst-case sensitivity test whereby no reduction in vehicle emission rates or background concentrations over time has been assumed. This is in addition to the standard assessment methodology, where the currently published guidelines have been followed (i.e. vehicle emission factors and background concentrations reduce in future years).</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Environment Agency	Dual - Statutory Consultee and Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 1	Works have been carried out within this area and to south of the proposed area. The boundary of this site is along two historic closed landfills (Bath Road and Bristol Road), ground investigations should be carried out to evaluate the levels of ground contamination before construction begins. Also the historic use of the industrial site itself will need to be investigated prior to development as there are records of numerous incidents regarding drainage failures and loss of chemical products to ground. Under PPS23 a full ground water and contaminated land assessment is required.	88830-999-15337	/			<p>Comments were received from landowners with an interest in the land and from Sedgemoor District Council and west Somerset Council regarding the potential ground contamination of the Bridgwater A site from its previous use, and the availability of survey data. At the time of the Stage 2 consultation, intrusive investigations had not been undertaken by EDF Energy at the proposed development site due to the ongoing demolition of the former cellophane factory which is being carried out by Innovia. EDF Energy are not aware of any current in-ground remediation works the Innovia may be undertaking (or have undertaken). All currently available information relating to remediation works has been used to define the baseline in the Geology, Land Contamination and Groundwater Chapter (Chapter 12 of Volume 3) of the Environmental Statement (ES).</p> <p>A previous intrusive investigation has been undertaken for the proposed development site and is available through the SDC website. The HLM/Brookbanks Ltd report detailing the findings of the previous Scott Wilson Group site investigation that incorporated the proposed development site has been reviewed as part of the Chapter 12 of Volume 3 of the ES.</p> <p>The findings of the Scott Wilson Group intrusive investigations have been utilised in the production of relevant risk assessments (human health, phytotoxic, ecotoxic, built environment, gas and controlled waters) and are presented within the Chapter 12 of Volume 3 of the ES and the HLM/Brookbank Ltd report is presented as an Appendix to the ES.</p> <p>The Stage 2 Environmental Appraisal identified all historical landfills within 500m of the proposed development and acknowledged the presence of the Bristol Road landfill to the south-west and Bath Road landfill to the south of the proposed development site. The Stage 2 baseline assessment also detailed the history of the proposed development site and included records on its use as a cellophane factory, records of enforcement notices and prosecutions within the study area.</p> <p>EDF Energy intends undertaking intrusive investigations at the proposed development site. Details of the scope of works, timing and duration of these additional investigations were not available to inform the ES. The baseline assessment will inform the intrusive investigations and will target historical contaminant sources (including the cellophane factory</p>
Landowner - (personal detail removed)	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	<p>9.5 When EDF's proposed schedule (below) for the construction is viewed in relation to the BRI-A campus, it can be seen that they aim to start construction by approximately the end of Q1 2013. This implies that a fully targeted and designed site investigation followed by an assessment and remediation, where needed, will need to be completed by say the end of Q4 2012.</p> <p>(Editor's note: see pdf attached. Not entered into database: chart)</p> <p>9.6 In the event that EDF wait until full planning permission for the main power plant is granted in mid-2012, before proceeding with the supporting developments, construction of BRI-A will need to be put back to allow site investigation and remediation to take place beforehand.</p>	89443-999-9551	/			
Landowner - (personal detail removed)	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	9.7 From information sourced elsewhere, the Estate understands that carbon disulphide will have almost certainly been associated with the cellophane manufacturing process since the start of operations during the 1930s. The Estate also understands that, due to the very nature of the hazardous substance concerned, the investigation and remediation of a site contaminated with carbon disulphide can be difficult and slow. The investigation and remediation schedule will be largely governed by the localised and general levels of contamination that may be encountered.	89443-999-10278	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<p>Section 2.7 does not, however, provide further details on consultation responses, or address how these have been addressed by the discussion.</p> <p>Consultation responses, in particular, details of any site investigations or reclamation schemes that the Environment Agency or local authorities are aware of should be included.</p>	89362-999-4500	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Sampling will be required if potential contamination is identified during the construction activities or if it is intended to re use soils during the construction work.	89362-999-5140	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	While basing the assessment on desk study information may be considered acceptable, incorporating the site investigation results would provide increased confidence in the findings	89362-999-5312	/			<p>and landfills close by). The report will be presented to the Local Authority and Environment Agency and will inform any remediation, if required. It should be possible to complete the investigations prior to a decision on development consent.</p> <p>Upon receipt of the contamination testing and gas monitoring data the appropriate risk assessments (human health, phytotoxic, ecotoxic, gas and controlled waters) will be revised. The outcomes of the additional investigations will be used to develop a remediation/reclamation strategy if necessary.</p>
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	An outline of the scope, timing and duration of intrusive investigation works are not provided.	89362-999-5493	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	It is understood that the site is currently being cleared and remediated by the current site owner Innovia. No further information is provided with regards to the approach to, or standards demanded by remediation.	89362-999-5845	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Comments on Baseline: Adequate: the local geology, topography and site history are summarised. For each site a summary of nearby licences, landfills and potentially contaminated sites is given.	89362-999-8811			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The baseline assessments for BRI-A and BRI-C are generally adequate, although outcomes of local authority and EA consultations are not documented.	89425-999-4789			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The conceptual site models which have been devised for the site are adequate but lacking in detail as they exclude consideration of the ground conditions;	89425-999-4936	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	There is uncertainty concerning the timing of the currently ongoing investigations and when results will be available.	89425-999-5955	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council & West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - Local Authority and Consultee with an Interest in Land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 1	<p>Observations on Technical Issues Land Contamination and Waste</p> <p>Further contaminated land assessments/surveys are to be undertaken by EDF Energy on relevant sites. These will need to be reviewed and approved by Sedgemoor DC when they are completed. The following sites have potential risks associated with them:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - BRI-A North East Bridgwater - BRI-B Cattle Market - BRI-C Bridgwater College, Bridgwater Rugby Club, Bridgwater Football Club Air Quality 	88440-1007-1847			/	<p>EDF Energy has noted the comments made by Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council during the Stage 2 Consultation.</p> <p>At Stage 1 three sites within Bridgwater were put forward for the potential location of accommodation campuses. The locations were finalised at Stage 2 as Bridgwater A and Bridgwater C. As demolition works are currently being undertaken at the Bridgwater A site no intrusive investigations have been undertaken to date by EDF Energy on this proposed development site. At Stage 2 Sedgemoor District Council was consulted regarding potential contamination issues and historical planning records.</p>
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<p>The report states that Sedgemoor District Council was consulted regarding potential contamination issues and that historical OS maps and planning records held by the Council were reviewed.</p> <p>The local planning office could also have been consulted with regard to recent planning applications at the sites.</p>	89362-1007-3905	/			<p>The HLM/Brookbanks Ltd report detailing the findings of a previous intrusive investigation undertaken by Scott Wilson Group has been obtained and the findings reviewed as part of the baseline assessment reported in the Geology, Land Contamination and Groundwater Chapter (Chapter 12, Volume 3) of the Environmental Statement (ES).</p> <p>Recent planning applications for the site have been obtained and reviewed but are not included as part of the submission as they are available through Sedgemoor District Council (SDC) and therefore are already available to stakeholders.</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<p>20.3 Bridgwater Accommodation Campuses</p> <p>20.3.1 Socio-economics</p> <p>EDF Energy's assessment has no residual adverse effect. The impact on local population during the operation phase of the accommodation is assessed as 'minor adverse' based on 1,225 construction workers (at peak) representing an increase in the population of Bridgwater of 3.3%. However, it is considered that the impact on immediate neighbouring communities could be significant during the construction phase.</p> <p>The impact on the labour market makes assumptions concerning a higher level of local labour participation without clear mitigation strategy.</p> <p>A site based assessment of the individual associated development sites discounts effects arising from cumulative impacts of all the associated development proposals whose timescales overlap.</p> <p>No mitigation measures are proposed for the removal stage. Beneficial impacts related to the re-use of the BRI-C accommodation have been identified. Limited information has been provided, however, in relation to proposed actions and partnership arrangements to ensure the accommodation is fit for purpose.</p> <p>No mitigation measures are assumed for accommodating workers although there is a reference to any spare capacity in the proposed campus accommodation being made available (paragraph 1.1.79)</p> <p>20.3.2 Transport</p> <p>The overall transport strategy is to minimise movements by car to the main site. The Bridgwater campuses will only be operational during the main works construction.</p> <p>The assessment of residual impacts is poor, with only 24 hour flows being presented. This means that highway and environmental impacts cannot be correctly assessed. The assessment of the need for the Cannington and Bridgwater Northern Bypasses is totally inadequate. The travel plan does not include SMART targets and the monitoring proposed is inadequate. No assessment is made of the legacy use of Bridgwater C as student accommodation. A number of transport related design issues have been identified including access design, car park design and linkages between the campuses and into central Bridgwater.</p> <p>Further mitigation is likely to be necessary in relation to the campuses, particularly within Bridgwater in line with the transport strategy for the town.</p> <p>20.3.3 Noise</p>	89425-1007-0			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The assessment presented in Section 2.7 provides no consideration of cumulative effects.	89362-1002-14063	/			The methodology used to assess cumulative impacts, and the cumulative impacts of identified individual impacts for geology, land contamination and groundwater are presented in Chapter 12 Volume 3 of the Environmental Statement (ES) . Full details of the overarching methodology for assessing cumulative impacts as part of the EIA are presented in Volume 1 Chapter 7 of the ES .

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Boundaries of this development area are not shown on the Figures or described in the report text.	89362-1006-6522	/			<p>Bridgwater A – Contaminated Land and Geology – Graphical Material</p> <p>A location plan, showing the proposed development site extents, is included in the plans appended to the Volume 3 of the Environmental Statement.</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 1091	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Bridgwater Innovia site is indeed a current problem and needs positive development which will leave a lasting legacy for Bridgwater. A smaller amount of workers in affordable housing seems a better solution for this site, so that the area can be used once building at HPC has been completed. However considerable work will need to be done to deal with the traffic problem, as Bath Rd is nearly always congested, already! Also is the land safe and healthy for people to live on? I am informed there will doubtless be serious toxicities in the soil, remnants from the previous activities on site.	9849-1001-9208			/	A number of comments were made by Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council and others during the Stage 2 Consultation relating to potential impacts arising from former use of the Bridgwater A site. English Heritage sought clarification of the construction methodology. The HLM/Brookbanks Ltd report detailing the findings of the Scott Wilson Group site investigation that incorporated the proposed development site has been reviewed as part of the baseline assessment in the Geology, Land Contamination and Groundwater Chapter (Chapter 12 of Volume 3) of the Environmental Statement (ES) . This information along with available development plans and design information has been used to undertake a full assessment of the significance of the potential impacts associated with geology and land contamination during the construction, operation and removal/reinstatement of the proposed development site has been undertaken as part of the impact section Intrusive investigations undertaken by EDF Energy are planned at the proposed development site. Upon receipt of the results the appropriate risk assessments (human health, phytotoxic, ecotoxic, gas and controlled waters) will be undertaken. The report will be presented to the Local Authority and Environment Agency and will inform any remediation, if required. It should be possible to complete the investigations prior to a decision on development consent.
Health Protection Agency	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	We note that for the associated builds the receptors are quite a distance from the proposed work sites and therefore, impact on human health is likely to be small. However, any health effect cannot be discounted completely on the basis that any contaminated land at the work site has the potential to be transported to the receptor. This can occur through uncontrolled movement of dust or gas/vapours by wind or through foot and/or vehicle traffic carrying contaminated soil out of the work site. You have not undertaken specific chemical analysis at the sites where associated builds are taking place and it is not known whether any land contamination exists. Even if there have been no historical land contamination issues reported/found, it is worth noting that not all land contamination is due to human use, there is the potential for naturally elevated levels of soil contaminants that may cause adverse effects on human health. We recommend that you carry out site soil analysis of the associated developments and then conduct a toxicological risk assessment.	89166-1001-16268	/			Demolition of the former cellophane factory is currently being undertaken at the proposed development site. It is therefore assumed that any contamination, e.g. asbestos will be removed as part of the demolition and site clearance works. Asbestos testing of soils will be included in the additional investigations and the results used to assess the potential risks and impacts. The construction methodology for the Bridgwater A site may be dependent on the results of site investigations, but is unlikely to impact on any deep alluvial deposits.
Landowner - (personal details removed)	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	the Estate is concerned that, if the investigation and remediation of the site were delayed, this could impact the construction and occupancy of the BRI-A. There is then a potential that 1,075 short-notice accommodation places will need to be found elsewhere.	89443-1001-10869	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	While legacy use of the BRI-C site is intended, confidence is not provided that this will be the case. If legacy use is not confirmed, and given that potential legacy may ultimately require removal, it may be arguable that the removal scenario should be subject also to assessment.	89362-1001-11908	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	While criteria are presented to qualify the importance and sensitivity of receptors, and also the magnitude of the impacts, there appears to be no link between these and the assessment of the significance of effects presented in paragraphs 2.7.123 to 2.7.198 presented in Section 2.7.	89362-1001-12193		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	A primary uncertainty associated with Section 2.7 may be that associated with absence of site investigation data relating specifically to the presence, but also the nature of contamination to be extant at the sites.	89362-1001-13321	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	While paragraph 2.7.206 describes a potential Minor Beneficial impact due to the removal of the hardstanding surface at BRI-A following its use, it is noted that the site is already earmarked for clearance and remediation. As such, removal of contamination may be considered to be part of the baseline conditions, and this impact would therefore be Neutral.	89362-1001-13673			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The assessment of this phase is considered appropriate, although it would make more sense if not removing hard standing were considered in the operational stage as a long term impact.	89362-1001-18205	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Given that BRI-A is a former industrial site and BRI-C is a former landfill, there is no consideration given to the impact of potentially hazardous building materials, e.g.asbestos.	89425-1001-5770	/			
English Heritage	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	Any development on the British Cellophane site may impact on the deep alluvial (silts and peats) Holocene sequence that underlies it by removal and / or de-watering. The potential of these deposits in the Severn Estuary Levels is well known and a series of investigative techniques will be required to understand this in order to offer suitable mitigation. What is the proposed construction methodology?	10190-362-14922	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Table 2.7.1 details the criteria used to assess the importance and sensitivity of the Geology and the Contaminated Soils and identifies four categories of sensitivity and importance from “High” to “Very Low”. These sensitivity criteria are generally considered adequate.	89362-1000-10036			/	<p>Stage 2 statutory consultee comments supported the criteria used but noted that, in the event of land contamination, it may be hard to allocate responsibility between the current and historic occupiers.</p> <p>At Stage 2, the assessment criteria for magnitude included discussion on the ‘responsible party’. As part of the production of the Geology, Land Contamination and Groundwater Chapter in Volume 3 of the ES the table and criterion have been reviewed and revised in line with topic specific requirements. Details of the methodology and tables detailing topic specific magnitude, value and sensitivity and site specific assessment criteria are presented in the Methodology Section of Geology, Land Contamination and Groundwater Chapter in Volume 3 of the ES.</p>
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Table 2.7.2 describes the criteria used to determine the magnitude of effect. In this instance, while the concept of change is used with regards to geology, it is not clear what ‘change’ to geology may represent. It is further noted that geological change is a natural phenomenon which may be accelerated in certain circumstances, for example, through erosion etc. For contaminated land one aspect of a high magnitude impact is described as “very significant change to the extent that UK legislation is contravened leading to prosecution of the responsible party”. In some instances, this may be possible, for example if, during the construction works a spillage were to occur from a Contractor’s fuel store. In many cases, however, contaminated land may arise as a result of historical legacy and it is difficult to determine who the responsible party would be.	89362-1000-10312	/			<p>Intrusive investigations undertaken by EDF Energy are planned at the proposed development site. The baseline assessment presented within the Baseline Section of Geology, Land Contamination and Groundwater Chapter in Volume 3 of the Environmental Statement (ES) will inform the intrusive investigations and will target historical contaminant sources (including the cellophane factory and landfills close by). The report will be presented to the Local Authority and Environment Agency and will inform any remediation, if required.</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	The former Innovia site is likely to have areas of ground contamination. A condition for requiring site contamination remediation at the various development locations should be applied.	89240-1003-11614	/			<p>Statutory consultee comments at Stage 2 identified the likelihood that Bridgwater A site would have suffered historic contamination, that remediation would be required, and that the effectiveness of remediation would depend on the EMMP for this site.</p> <p>Currently no intrusive investigations have been undertaken by EDF Energy at the proposed development site, however, these are planned. Upon receipt of the results the appropriate risk assessments (human health, phytotoxic, ecotoxic, gas and controlled waters) will be undertaken and the outcomes will inform the production of a detailed remediation/reclamation strategy. This remediation strategy, along with its validation plan will be submitted to the Local Authority for approval.</p>
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Mitigation measures to be employed during construction rely largely on the effectiveness of employment of the EMMP. The authorities have provided consideration of the EMMP framework in Section 4.3 of this response, and the potential effectiveness of mitigation should be reconsidered in the light of this.	89362-1003-12990	/			<p>EDF Energy is not aware of any current in ground remediation works that are being undertaken (or have undertaken); the Baseline Section of the Geology, Land Contamination and Groundwater Chapter of Volume 3 of the Environmental Statement (ES) summarises all information available at the point of application for DCO.</p>
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Remediation is currently underway at BRI-A, although the reclamation goals and programme are not described.	89425-1003-5662			/	<p>In accordance with standard good practice an Environmental Management and Monitoring Plan (EMMP) will be developed during works on the proposed development site and will be submitted as part of the DCO Application. The EMMP and its supporting topic-specific management plans outline the commitment to routine testing of soils for comparison with the appropriate thresholds/acceptability for re-use criteria, as well as tracking and recording of material placement and ensuring any identified unsuitable materials and/or contaminated soils will be removed and/or remediated and validated as appropriate. Details on how these measures will be implemented will be provided in the</p>
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	The Council further notes that the Bridgwater A site is likely to have areas of ground contamination. In its role as Minerals and Waste Planning Authority, the Council may seek planning conditions for remediation.	89859-1003-1258	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	The Council further notes that the Bridgwater A site is likely to have areas of ground contamination. In its role as Minerals and Waste Planning Authority, the Council may seek planning conditions for remediation.	89867-1003-2094	/			<p>site-specific management plans which will be adopted during the construction (e.g. Materials Management Plan, Construction Environmental Management Plan, Site Waste and Soil Management Plans).</p> <p>The EMMP and other documents will include validation and independent checks (e.g. audits) periodically to ensure that the stated management and monitoring requirements are adequately being undertaken.</p> <p>The adherence to legislative requirement and adoption of standard good practices has been assumed as part of the impact assessment and are not considered as formal mitigation within the context of the EIA. Given the adoption of these measures no significant impacts associated with geology, land contamination and groundwater have been identified during the construction, operation and removal/reinstatement phases of the proposed development and therefore no formal additional mitigation is considered to be required.</p> <p>During the operation of the proposed development site operational infrastructure (e.g. hardstanding cover, controlled sealed drainage systems and foul and surface water interceptors) will be incorporated into the design. This infrastructure will help prevent impact to the underlying soils, but again is not considered formal EIA mitigation.</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The assessment provides no consideration of monitoring	89362-1004-14176	/			<p>A statutory consultee comment at Stage 2 noted that information had not been provided on proposals for monitoring.</p> <p>The Environmental Management and Monitoring Plan (EMMP) details the potential environmental impacts and mitigation measures to be implemented and associated monitoring requirements.</p> <p>The EMMP and its supporting topic-specific management plans outline the commitment to routine testing of soils for comparison with the appropriate thresholds/acceptability for re-use criteria, as well as tracking and recording of material placement and ensuring any identified unsuitable materials and/or contaminated soils will be removed and/or remediated and validated as appropriate. In the event that further investigations and discussions with the regulators conclude the need for ongoing groundwater monitoring, this will be included in the management plans as well. Details on how these measures will be implemented will be provided in the site-specific management plans which will be adopted during the construction (e.g. Materials Management Plan, Construction Environmental Management Plan, Site Waste and Soil Management Plans).</p> <p>The EMMP and other documents will include validation and independent checks (e.g. audits) periodically to ensure that the stated management and monitoring requirements are adequately being undertaken.</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Comment: In 2007 the Environment Agency were consulted on a desk study of this site by Scott Wilson Group. Their 2007 desk study contains quite a bit of detail on incidents, chemical/fuel storage, manufacturing process etc. . We strongly advise the applicant to obtain a copy of this detailed report. . Scott Wilson proposed undertaking a targeted site investigation; we would expect a similar approach in this case. The potential for significant contamination resulting from previous activities is high. . Contaminants might include process chemicals, metals, solvents, fuel and organic breakdown products.	89082-1005-4554	/			<p>A statutory consultee at Stage 2 identified a Scott Wilson report undertaken in 2007 which would be highly material to the proposals.</p> <p>The HLM/Brookbanks Ltd report that details the findings of the Scott Wilson Group intrusive investigations (following on from their 2007 desk study) has been obtained and reviewed as part of the Baseline Section of the Geology, Land Contamination and Groundwater Chapter of Volume 3 of the Environmental Statement (ES). This report will be available for all stakeholders to review within an appendix to the ES.</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 1339	Public	Stage 2 Update	Unprecedented housing development has been enforced on the town by the previous government in an area where the potential flood risks render many developments unwise.	89605-1062-2429			/	Comments, received from the Environment Agency, councils and members of the public at the Stage 2 and Stage 2 Update consultations raised concerns over potential flood risks as well as noting that the Bridgwater A site is designated as Flood Zone 3.
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	The site is currently not in a flood warning area.	89082-1062-1536			/	The Environment Agency flood map shows that this site is located within Flood Zone 3 and has therefore been assessed as land having a 1 in 100 or greater annual probability of river flooding (>1%), or a 1 in 200 or greater annual probability of flooding from the sea (>0.5%) in any year. Since Stage 2, additional work was undertaken to fully assess the current baseline flood risks for the Bridgwater A site. This work included evaluation of existing flood risk models developed for the wider North East Bridgwater development (Brookbanks, 2009) and the Level 1 and 2 Sedgemoor Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) prepared by Sedgemoor District Council in 2008 and 2009. This model was approved by the Environment Agency and covers the Bridgwater A site, and included evaluation of the potential of flooding from overtopping and/or
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	- The reporting states that the River Parrett flood defences are 'satisfactory, but this status is not confirmed and the residual flood risk to the development unknown.	89408-1062-12528	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	The two campus sites in Bridgwater, the M5 Junction 23 Park and Ride site and the Combwich lay down storage site all lie within areas designated as Flood Zone 3, high risk flood areas, but are protected by existing flood defences. Special flood warning measures are likely to be required for these locations. EDF Energy should prepare and put in place a Flood Warning Plan at each of the sites located within Flood Zone 3 areas.	89864-1062-2273	/			<p>breaching of the existing primary flood defences during the proposed period of use of the site (until 2021). Furthermore, this assessment also considered the impact of more extreme long term flood risk events accounting for climate change influences upon sea levels. This assessment showed that there was a low probability of flooding from these or any other flood sources and this was reflected in Volume 3, Chapter 13 of the Environmental Statement (ES) and the FRA prepared for the Bridgwater A site, which have been submitted with this application for development consent.</p> <p>The consultation comments, received from the Environment Agency, Councils and members of the public at the Stage 2 and Stage 2 Update consultations also raised concerns that the Bridgwater A site had not been included in a warning plan and that a detailed flood emergency and evacuation plan had not been prepared for the site. These comments reflect the fact that only a basic emergency plan was included in the FRA document provided with the Stage 2 consultation material.</p> <p>The FRA that has been submitted with this application now includes a detailed flood warning and evacuation plan, which reflects the comments provided by consultees. This plan includes a variety of information including flood warning details, locations of key muster points, evacuation routes and emergency contact numbers for the site.</p> <p>Further information regarding the baseline flood risk situation at the Bridgwater A site is presented in Volume 3, Chapter 13 of the ES and the FRA.</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	The Hallam Land Management outline planning application for this area committed to a sustainable drainage scheme which requires widening and implementation of a rhyne network to provide sustainable drainage which can manage a large storm event (1 in 100 year plus climate change). As the infrastructure from the development will be left as legacy it is important the accommodation layout incorporates this agreed Sustainable Urban Drainage (SuDs) method and establishes the principle in this location. Action: Surface water drainage approach needs to be re- evaluated to incorporate a higher level of sustainability. We recommend that proposals are in line with the current outline planning proposals which exist for this site.	89082-1067-2723	/			<p>A number of consultation responses, received from the Environment Agency, councils and members of the public at the Stage 2 and Stage 2 Update consultations, requested further clarity and information regarding the proposed drainage arrangements for the Bridgwater A site. To address these points, a drainage strategy has been developed for the Bridgwater A site, supported by detailed drainage calculations. A summary of the strategy is presented in Volume 3, Chapter 13 of the Environmental Statement (ES), while the full strategy is included within the Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) prepared for the Bridgwater A site, both of which have been submitted with this application for development consent.</p> <p>In summary, the proposed strategy is as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> temporary discharges for phase 1 of the development (first 18 months) to the combined sewer near Bath Road; installation of a variety of Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) measures (new rhyne parallel to railway, swales, permeable paving and an underground tank) to control discharge rates to a greenfield run-off rates; and longer term/legacy - connection of the rhyne at north-east corner of site for gravity discharge into the North East Bridgwater improved rhyne system. <p>The possibility of wider use of SuDS measures was raised by a number of consultees and has been fully considered by EDF Energy throughout the development of the drainage strategy for the Bridgwater A site. However EDF Energy has concluded that the use of SuDS on this site is limited due to the following constraining factors:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> it is a brownfield site; the geology of the site - it is made ground over alluvium (grey/blue clays); and the presence of shallow ground water.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	- Surface water strategies for the two sites (including the approach to sustainable drainage) are very light on detail and not sufficient for PPS25 compliance. Detailed drainage designs are not included.	89408-1067-12873	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	In the case of the three campus sites and J23 Park and Ride facility, more detail is required about how surface water will be managed now that the proposals for these sites have changed.	89864-1067-1913	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	2.2.3 The updated proposals do not indicate how surface water runoff from the campus development will be managed to prevent an increased risk of flooding in the area. The site-specific Flood Risk Study for Bridgwater A Campus indicates that surface water management will control the volume and peak discharge of surface water runoff to existing runoff rates, which is commensurate with current best practice. This was undertaken for the previous, larger proposed scheme. More detail is therefore required about how surface water will be managed now that the proposal for this site has changed.	89865-1067-3298	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	3.2.1 EDF Energy should -provide additional information to indicate how surface water runoff will be managed to prevent an increased risk of flooding in the area of Bridgwater A ,C and on-site Campus's, and the J23 Park and Ride facility now that the proposals for these sites have changed;	89865-1067-15627	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Environment Agency	Dual - Statutory Consultee and Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 1	-Flood Risk All of these sites are within flood zone 3 - At risk from both tidal and fluvial flooding. We are aware that this site has been identified already by Sedgemoor District Council as a strategic housing site as part of their Local Development Framework (LDF), and much FRA work has already been completed, including the necessary mitigation to manage the residual flood risks.	88830-1065-14617	/			<p>A number of consultation comments, received from the Environment Agency and councils at the Stage 1 and Stage 2 consultations, with one comment from a member of the public received at the Stage 2 Update Consultation, were about the development of the Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) for the Bridgwater A site. These comments included: a request for EDF Energy to provide greater evidence to support the siting of a 'more vulnerable' development at this location; concerns regarding the methods (including modelling approaches) used in the FRA and also the need to provide additional information to address residual risk and emergency procedures for the site.</p> <p>The Overarching Flood Risk Assessment Report (OFRAR) covering all of the associated development sites has been updated to clarify the evaluation of each of the Associated Developments in relation to the requirements of Planning Policy Statement 25 (PPS25), which sets out the Government's national policy on Development and Flood Risk planning in England.</p> <p>The OFRAR also highlights the rationale for the siting of the two off-site accommodation campuses in Bridgwater (namely, the Bridgwater A site and the Bridgwater C site) and details the reasons for applying the PPS25 exception test to both the Bridgwater A and C sites. These requirements are also reflected in text covering the PPS25 sequential and exception test included in the Bridgwater A and C FRA reports, both of which have been submitted with this application for development consent.</p> <p>A number of comments were also received, at the Stage 2 Consultation, from the Environment Agency regarding the modelling approaches used in the preparation of the Stage 2 Bridgwater A flood risk study. These comments included a recommendation by the Environment Agency to use the results of the detailed flood risk models prepared for the North East Bridgwater FRA (Brookbanks, 2009) in assessing the risk at the Bridgwater A site. This model has been subject to detailed technical review by the Environment Agency and was considered the best source of flood risk information for the area covered by the Bridgwater A site. This advice was accepted by EDF Energy and the results of this external model were used to support the development of the FRA submitted with this application for development</p>
Sedgemoor District Council & West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - Local Authority and Consultee with an Interest in Land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 1	Full reference should be taken into account of the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) for Sedgemoor and the Local Development Framework (LDF), and the agreed strategic flood defence solution, the Parrett Barrier. The impact of any works to the Parrett will need to consider the impact on the barrier. Any development within the flood risk areas will trigger a contribution toward the delivery of the Barrier. Account should also be taken of the Shoreline Management Plan and Environment Agency studies on the Parrett, and notably the Steart Managed Realignment Project. As a strategic environmental project for the Severn Estuary there is an expectation from the Environment Agency, Sedgemoor District Council and other partners that EDF will work as part of the project to enhance and enable its delivery, given its proximity to the site and close relationship with Comwich and the Parrett.	88140-1065-2707	/			
Tractivity 1339	Public	Stage 2 Update	Taking into account the Jallam Land Management and other development plans; N.E. Bridgwater WILL be faced with 24 hour road management and transport problems. Unprecedented housing development has been enforced on the town by the previous government in an area where the potential flood risks render many developments unwise. Similarly the roads were NOT constructed for continuous excessive overloads and neither are the utilities	89605-1065-2270			/	
Parrett Internal Drainage Board	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	Any change to the drainage network in these areas will require Land Drainage Consent from the Board. Any work or features proposed within 9m of any watercourse will require Land Drainage Consent from the Board.	10189-1065-4010			/	
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Bridgwater A Accommodation Campus - Flood Risk Management: Further work is required on the assessment of flood risk to the site, the surface water drainage strategy and the mitigation proposed.	89069-1065-7508	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	- Modelling: The modelling requires further development the The data used to represent extreme tide levels and flood defences are not appropriate. The model extent is too small to assess the impacts of the development on third party assets and our flood defences. Various other data and methods used in the modelling require review. Further detail needs to be provided in the report to define data sources and methods used. Consequently this undermines the flood risk assessments.	89069-1065-7848	/			consent. Using this information, as well as other sources detailed within the Bridgwater A FRA, the updated FRA has reconsidered the residual floods risk on the site (including depth/duration of flooding). Although the risks of flooding are low for the period the site will be used, additional consideration has been given to management of residual risks on the site. This includes the raising of floor levels by 150mm for accommodation blocks and the implementation of a more detailed emergency plan for the site. Full details are provided in the FRA for the Bridgwater A site.
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Further work is required to make this proposal compliant with PPS 25. The assessment of risk needs to be reviewed.	89082-1065-91	/			The Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council joint response to the Stage 2 consultation also requested that the cumulative impacts of flood risk should be considered. A Flood Risk Assessment for Bridgwater A, and the potential hydrology and flood-related cumulative impacts have been considered subsequent to the Stage 2 consultation in Volume 3, Chapter 13 of the Environmental Statement (ES), submitted with this application for development consent.
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	We agree with the statement 5.4.2 that the site remains safe until 2030 (which covers the period of use until 2025) and would not be affected under normal overtopping scenarios due to the defences being of an adequate standard. We also agree that risk of breach is low but the site is at some flood risk should the defences fail. The FRA looks at how the residual risk of a breach in the defences will be managed on site. The conclusion that this is low risk and only provides 'hazard for some' is not accepted. The residual risk has been shown as a depth of maximum 0.49m and therefore we require that finished floor levels of all buildings with ground floor sleeping accommodation to be set at a minimum of 500mm above ground level. For other buildings a minimum of 300mm above ground level would be sufficient. Action: Residual risk needs to be reviewed.	89082-1065-620	/			In addition, wider cumulative impacts have been considered in Volume 11 (Cumulative Effects) of the ES. This document considers specifically the risks of cumulative surface water flows arising from the Bridgwater A and C sites, and the combination of this with discharges generated by other consented new developments and existing properties in Bridgwater.
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Action: We will need to have discussions regarding the possibility of extending the Environment Agency's flood warning scheme further. Once a flood warning has been secured the remainder of the plan appears robust. This should however be agreed with the local authority's emergency planners.	89082-1065-1590	/			
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Please note that these recommendations are subject to addition and change. Before final conditions are established the environment agency should be re- consulted. Please be aware we will have additional conditions as proposals are developed further. CONDITION: Prior to the commencement of development approved by this planning permission (or such other date or stage in development as may be agreed in writing with the Planning Authority), the following components of a scheme to deal with the risks associated with contamination of the site shall	89091-1065-2272	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
			<p>each be submitted to and approved, in writing, by the planning authority:</p> <p>A preliminary risk assessment which has identified:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> all previous uses potential contaminants associated with those uses a conceptual model of the site indicating sources, pathways and receptors potentially unacceptable risks arising from contamination at the site. <p>A site investigation scheme, based on (1) to provide information for a detailed assessment of the risk to all receptors that may be affected, including those off site.</p> <p>The site investigation results and the detailed risk assessment (2) and, based on these, an options appraisal and remediation strategy giving full details of the remediation measures required and how they are to be undertaken.</p> <p>A verification plan providing details of the data that will be collected in order to demonstrate that the works set out in (3) are complete and identifying any requirements for longer-term monitoring of pollutant linkages, maintenance and arrangements for contingency action.</p> <p>Any changes to these components require the express consent of the planning authority. The scheme shall be implemented as approved.</p> <p>REASON: To ensure that the proposed development does not cause pollution of Controlled Waters and that development complies with approved details in the interests of protection of Controlled Waters.</p> <p>CONDITION: No infiltration of surface water drainage into the ground is permitted other than with the express written consent of the Planning Authority, which may be given for those parts of the site where it has been demonstrated that there is no resultant unacceptable risk to controlled waters. The development shall be carried out in accordance with the approval details.</p> <p>REASON: To ensure that the proposed development does not cause pollution of Controlled Waters and that development complies with approved details in the interests of protection of Controlled Waters.</p> <p>CONDITION: Piling or any other foundation designs using penetrative methods shall not be permitted other than with the express written consent of the Planning Authority, which may be given for those parts of the site where it has been demonstrated that there is no resultant unacceptable risk to groundwater. The development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details.</p> <p>REASON: To ensure that the proposed development does not cause pollution of controlled waters.</p> <p>CONDITION: During construction No development approved by this permission shall be commenced until a scheme for prevention of pollution during the construction phase has been approved by the Local Planning Authority.</p> <p>REASON: to prevent pollution of the water environment.</p> <p>CONDITION: No development approved by this subsequent permissions shall be commenced until the Local Planning Authority is satisfied that</p>					

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
			adequate sewerage infrastructure will be in place to receive foul water discharges from the site. REASON: To prevent pollution of the water environment.					
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Authorities position May 2010: Requirement that the proposal satisfies the sequential test as set out in PPS25 and accompanying guidance Update August 2010: The reports generally state that the Sequential Test from PPS25 has been passed and in some instances this may be factual. The current approach to the sequential approach is to locate higher risk development on lower flood risk sites. However, particularly with respect to the residential developments, at a local level the Sequential Test should be applied to the whole planning area, as there may be other lower risk sites that are more satisfactory for development.	89328-1065-6502			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The conclusion from this review is that where one of the developments simply happened to be located in a Flood Zone 1, the report stated that the Sequential Test had been passed, as opposed to the site being specifically targeted to match a development's residual flood risk. There is no compelling evidence to conclude that flood risk vulnerability was matched to flood zone compatibility. Likewise, where the 'more vulnerable' accommodation development has been located in a higher flood risk zone, there is no evidence to conclude that other sites were considered but discounted for reasons of greater importance than residual flood risk.	89408-1065-3044	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	- There is a statement that the Sequential Test has been "implicitly passed" by the Environment Agency, but there is no corresponding record to validate this conclusion.	89408-1065-12700	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	- There is not enough detail or robust strategy for residual flood risk or emergency procedures. There is no mention of minimum finished floor levels, safe refuge provision and flood resilience.	89408-1065-13080	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	- Cumulative impacts have not been considered.	89408-1065-13278	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Residual fluvial/tidal and combined flood risk is not fully recognised or appreciated at the sites where this could impact the site (Combwich, Bridgwater A and C, Main Site (Southern Phase Construction Area) and Junction 23). This is of key concern at the main site where flooding of Holford stream is a regular occurrence yet it is proposed to store material within the streams floodplain.	89423-1065-7468	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The sites are in Flood Zone 3a of the River Parrett, albeit protected by existing flood defences up to the 1 in 200 year event. Residual flood risk and the impacts of flooding are not considered in the EnvApp	89425-1065-7903	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	-provide additional information to indicate how surface water runoff will be managed to prevent an increased risk of flooding in the area of Bridgwater A ,C and on-site Campus's, and the J23 Park and Ride facility now that the proposals for these sites have changed;	89865-1569-15654	/			
Environment Agency	Dual - Statutory Consultee and Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 1	In the context of the off-site associated development, the Environment Agency believes that section 4.22.10 of draft EN-01 makes it clear that the sequential (and exception test where appropriate) are required to be applied. In general, we endorse this approach so that the associated development is treated in the same consistent way as any other local development proposal submitted to the Local Planning Authority. We will require to see the evidence that the sequential test has been incorporated within the process. Any development site over a hectare or in food zone two/ three will require an appropriate site specific FRA.	88820-420-2075			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Environment Agency	Dual - Statutory Consultee and Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 1	-Flood Risk All of these sites are within flood zone 3 - At risk from both tidal and fluvial flooding. We are aware that this site has been identified already by Sedgemoor District Council as a strategic housing site as part of their Local Development Framework (LDF), and much FRA work has already been completed, including the necessary mitigation to manage the residual flood risks.	88830-1068-14617			/	A number of comments, received from the Environment Agency, councils and members of the public at the Stage 1, Stage 2 and Stage 2 Update consultations, requested additional information and/or clarification of the flood risk mitigation actions that would be taken to address residual flood risks for the Bridgwater A site if the overall proposals were approved.
Sedgemoor District Council	Dual - Local Authority and Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 1	River Parrett no protection from tidal surge - significant devastation Benefit - tidal surge barrier to protect area.	88900-1068-13107			/	The comments included concerns that the Bridgwater A site had not been included in a warning plan and that a detailed flood emergency and evacuation plan had not been prepared for the site. These comments reflect the fact that only a basic emergency plan was included in the Flood Risk Study document at Stage 2. The Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) for the Bridgwater A site , which has been submitted with this application for development consent, now includes a detailed flood warning and evacuation plan. This plan includes information including flood warning details, locations of key muster points, evacuation routes and emergency contact numbers for the site. A further comment by a consultee raised specific concerns regarding the business continuity arrangements which would be undertaken in the event of flooding or another emergency at the site. This is an operational matter for EDF Energy, and is duly noted.
Sedgemoor District Council & West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - Local Authority and Consultee with an Interest in Land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 1	- All four search areas are located in Flood Zone 3a. PPS25 states that, in this zone, developers and local authorities should seek opportunities to reduce the overall level of flooding in the area through the layout and form of the development and the appropriate application of sustainable drainage techniques;	88430-1068-1909	/			An additional mitigation comment, at the Stage 2 Consultation, requested clarification of the potential contribution by EDF Energy to the future River Parrett barrier. This request is being considered by EDF Energy in the context of discussions on planning obligations that will be put in place for the wider off-site associated development programme if the overall proposals are approved.
Tractivity 1341	Public	Stage 2 Update	I think that a new road to the north of Bridgwater to include a tidal barrage/road over would be a more simple solution to town congestion. it could also aid the flood prevention cause and leave a very positive legacy. You might even get the environment Agency and Sedgemoor to help pay for it!	89607-1068-679			/	
Tractivity 62304	Public	Stage 2	To compliment the new road, a flood barrier could be integrated, as one will be needed soon in any case.	9993-1068-4937			/	
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	We will need to have discussions regarding the possibility of extending the Environment Agency's flood warning scheme further. Once a flood warning has been secured the remainder of the plan appears robust. This should however be agreed with the local authority's emergency planners.	89082-1068-1598			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	45. With regard to the temporary accommodation proposed in Bridgwater, the proposals do not identify the business continuity arrangements in the event of flooding or other emergency causing a loss of temporary accommodation for workers. Moreover, there are no proposals identified to mitigate the effects of such an emergency, which would lead to the loss of use of the accommodation. Similarly, business continuity arrangements are not specified for other off-site developments.	89193-1068-4006			/	
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	1. Bridgwater Campus A. - Flooding. The facility is located on the Bridgwater Zone 3 & Zone 2 floodplain. In the event of a major flood incident there would be a requirement to evacuate/relocate the workers if the facility were flooded. What measures has EDF considered to improve the flood resilience of the accommodation? What arrangements will EDF make to evacuate workers and relocate them to other accommodation? Note: Bridgwater Campus C accommodation may also be affected by the same flood situation and therefore not available as temporary accommodation.	89243-1068-13953	/			
Tractivity 63240	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	15.23 Reference is made to the payment of a £100,000 contribution towards the Bridgwater Alleviation Scheme in respect of the Off-site Associated Development. The adequacy of this payment should be verified.	89446-1068-9667			/	
Hallam Land Management	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	It is not clear why the EDF proposals do not make firm commitments to: - contribute to the strategic flood defence solution in Bridgwater	89456-1068-2053			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	There is no reference to the Bridgwater Strategic Flood Defence SPD (September 2009), which sets out a funding mechanism to deliver strategic flood defences for Bridgwater. Specifically this sets out the mechanism to seek contributions from new development toward the capital costs of the "Parrett Barrier", a tidal surge barrier that is the preferred long term flood defence solution for the town;	89304-1068-3050			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Although paragraph 20.4 refers to a contribution towards the Bridgwater Alleviation Scheme there is no indication of how the sum of £100,000 has been calculated. The contribution should be calculated through application of the formula set out in the Bridgwater Strategic Flood Defence Tariff (adopted by Sedgemoor District Council as a Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) on the 16th September 2009).	89421-1068-7704			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	In summary a detailed and comprehensive set of flood risk management measures is required, that should be referred to through requirements and obligations that cross reference to the findings and recommendations of a comprehensive and robust Flood Risk Assessment as well as responding to the requirements of the Bridgwater Strategic Flood Defence Tariff.	89421-1068-9105	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	The Council notes that these facilities are located on the Bridgwater Flood Zone 3 & Flood Zone 2 floodplain. In the event of a major flood incident there would be a requirement to evacuate / relocate the workers if the facility were flooded and EDF will need to make arrangements for this. These issues were raised by the Council in its Stage 2 response and remain to be addressed to the Council's satisfaction.	89861-1068-1414	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	The two campus sites in Bridgwater, the M5 Junction 23 Park and Ride site and the Combwich lay down storage site all lie within areas designated as Flood Zone 3, high risk flood areas, but are protected by existing flood defences. Special flood warning measures are likely to be required for these locations. EDF Energy should prepare and put in place a Flood Warning Plan at each of the sites located within Flood Zone 3 areas.	89864-1068-2273	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	-prepare and put in place a Flood Warning Plan at each of the sites located within Flood Zone 3 areas;	89865-1068-16170	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	- Modelling: The modelling requires further development. The data used to represent extreme tide levels and flood defences are not appropriate. The model extent is too small to assess the impacts of the development on third party assets and our flood defences. Various other data and methods used in the modelling require review. Further detail needs to be provided in the report to define data sources and methods used. Consequently this undermines the flood risk assessments.	89069-1063-7848	/			A number of comments were received from the Environment Agency during the Stage 2 consultation regarding the modelling work that had been undertaken for the Stage 2 Bridgwater A site Flood Risk Study, which was provided with the Stage 2 consultation material. Following the close of the formal consultation process, meetings were held with the Environment Agency regarding the Bridgwater A site flood risk model and the technical comments made by the Environment Agency. These discussions resulted in a recommendation by the Environment Agency to use the results of the detailed flood risk models prepared for the North East Bridgwater development (Brookbanks, 2009). These models were subjected to detailed technical review by the Environment Agency and were considered the best source of flood risk information for the area covered by the Bridgwater A site. This advice was accepted by EDF Energy and the results of this external model were used to support the development of the Bridgwater A site Flood Risk Assessment (FRA). The majority of the technical comments on flood risk modelling and recommendations on the use of input data have been reconsidered and where appropriate incorporated in the revised Bridgwater A site FRA which has been submitted with this application for development consent.
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	The overall principles of flood risk are accepted. We agree that the key risk to this site is a breach in the tidal defences. This site is designed to be safe until 2025 and should not be in place any longer than this as it is not designed to be safe beyond 2030. Climate change has a significant impact on the flood risk at this site, therefore it is vital this site is only of a temporary nature.	89082-1063-218	/			
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	5.2.4 Page 28- This discusses the ground levels used within the model and the replacement to the assumptions made by LiDAR data by more specific information. A full topographic survey will be required with this document for us to be able to agree the level of 6.24m A.O.D as the ground level at this site. At present paragraph 2-2 states this has not been completed.	89096-1063-336	/			
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Before the review was started, it was queried why the results for 'Scenario 1' had not been supplied. Scenario 1 represents the baseline model, with overtopping and without breaching. A 0.5% AEP tidal event is applied in this model based on present day (2010) tide levels. The reason given for this scenario not being supplied is due to water levels not exceeding the defence crest. The water level stated in the report for the 0.5% AEP tidal event (2010) is 8.328m AOD. The FRA reports states that the topographic survey of the defence showed that the levels varied from 8.13m to 9.45m. Based on this information, and previous tidal defence studies, It would be expected that the defence would overtop for Scenario 1. Scenario 1 should be supplied for review. Model files for Scenarios 2-5 have been supplied.	89096-1063-725	/			
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	The source of the LIDAR data is unclear. The elevations for the model Z-Points are labelled with title 'Bridgwater_DTM_1'. Ideally this name should reflect the data source, i.e. the resolution of the LIDAR, the date collected. Comments should be made in the report about the Metadata for the LIDAR used in model development. The report mentions the use of 1m LIDAR to cross-check defence levels, but the supplied LIDAR has a resolution of 0.25m.	89096-1063-1555	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Tide levels have been estimated from a gauge at West Quay in Bridgwater. As the length of the model boundary along the River Parrett is quite long, approx 7km, it is recommended that a spatially varying boundary should be applied to the model.	89096-1063-2020	/			
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	<p>A single level-time boundary is applied at the downstream extent of the model across the River Parrett watercourse. This may not be the most appropriate location for the boundary. Consideration should be given to applying the boundary along the entire length of the River Parrett.</p> <p>- Further consideration should be given to the model schematisation and in particular the model extent. At present the floodplain on the right bank of the River Parrett has been modelled as this is the location of the proposed development. However, in addition a small segment of the left bank has also been included in the model. Overtopping of the flood defence on the left bank is observed, but then flows are stopped from spreading due to glass-walling against the model boundary. The left bank floodplain should be removed from the model or represented using 1D storage areas or in an extended 2D domain.</p> <p>- There is also glass-walling of model results against the boundary in all model runs towards the upstream extent of the model near Dunwear. Overtopping of the flood defence in this location does not have an impact on flood levels at the proposed development site. A comment should be provided regarding the level of protection provided by the defences upstream and downstream of the current model extent, i.e. if there is a significant amount of overtopping from close to the chosen model extents, would it be possible that a different overland flow route may impact on flood levels at the proposed development site?</p>	89096-1063-2312			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	<p>Some issues have been noted with the method used to define the flood defence within the model grid. Firstly, the defence has been digitised within the model to show that it is continuous, including at the intersection of roads. For example, at the intersection of Eastover and Salmon Parade, the flood defence is actually broken along the road. The ground levels are at an elevation of approximately 7.5m in this location. Due to the model showing the defence being extended across the road, the banks of the River Parrett have been raised to 8.4m. This could have a significant impact on the extent of flooding in this area. It is recommended that further checking is done to ensure that defences aren't extended across areas of low ground artificially blocking potential flow routes.</p> <p>- NFCDD crest level survey data should not be used for the hydraulic modelling for an FRA. A detailed defence crest level survey such as the Environment Agency's defence crest level survey should be used in the modelling.</p> <p>- The other issue with the model representation of the flood defences is that it is read into the model using a MAX line. This approach only raises the elevation of a cell if the elevation is greater than the current cell value. In some locations, the initial Z-Point values are greater than the crest of the defence. The levels along the defence are not reduced to the surveyed defence level. This should be modified to ensure all cells are assigned the correct elevation.</p> <p>- A variable Z-Shape has been used to define a breach in the flood defence. This has been triggered to start at the maximum water level on the tide cycle. The breach width is 50m as specified in the report. The model should be run for 7 days from the time of the breach. However, the model is only run for 1.25 days (30 hours) from the time of the breach. The physical reason for only running the model for this shorter duration of time should be explained in the report for review.</p>	89096-1063-3846			/	
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	<p>In this model, no hydraulic structures have been represented. There are seven crossing points over the River Parrett in the reach modelled (six road bridges, one rail bridge) and these have not been included in the model. Further comment should be made on why these structures are not included in the model.</p> <p>- Further information should be provided as to whether flow can pass beneath the railway line through any drains as this has the potential to affect flood risk</p>	89096-1063-5838	/			
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	<p>Gardens and their boundaries have been represented with a high value of Manning's n coefficient with a value of 0.9. It has been assumed that this value has been set to be high due to obstructions on the floodplain such as fences and hedgerows. However, as these are porous features the value selected is too high and should be reduced. This could have an impact on flow routes across the floodplain.</p>	89096-1063-6347			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	-For the post-development scenario at the site of Bridgwater A, changes have been made to the floodplain roughness to reflect the changes to surface materials following the proposed development .In general the changes in surface roughness appear to be appropriate. However, the approach adopted to represent areas of garden / grass around properties is questioned. A Manning's n coefficient of 0.04 has been applied to these areas, and as we have previously noted in other scenarios a significantly higher roughness coefficient value of 0.90 was used. It is recommended that a more logical approach should be adopted to define roughness values across the broader model extent.	89096-1063-6771			/	
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	At the site of Bridgwater C, no changes have been made to the surface roughness characteristics despite the changes that are expected to occur. However, as flood waters do not reach this site then changes are not necessary. During future model iterations if flood flows reach the site, the roughness characteristics should be amended to represent the post development scenario.	89096-1063-7453			/	
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	For a short period of time, the mass balance error (CUM ME %) reaches between -31.7% and -15.3%. Ideally model mass balance should remain within the range +/- 1%. The mass balance returns to within this range before the peak water level and therefore should not have an impact on the model results. However, due to the large deviation in mass error, it is recommended the model mass balance is improved by setting more appropriate initial conditions for the model. - Water flows across the railway line near the sports pavilion to the North of Bath Road. Looking at the LIDAR it is not clear whether this is the obvious location for overtopping to occur. It appears that the LIDAR could have been filtered poorly in this area and be the reason for the flow crossing the railway at this location. Further information should be provided to sensibility check these results.	89096-1063-7878			/	
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	It is recommended that Hazard is automatically calculated using TUFLOW by applying the Map Output Type ZUK0. With the present results, Hazard mapping has been produced by post-processing the model results. The difficulty with this is that the maximum hazard does not necessarily occur at the time of maximum depth and also because the debris factor varies with flood depth. - Model outlines have been compared with the report and there appear to be some inconsistencies. For example, for scenario B_T200_PD_BR, the map in the report (15928/TR/0004/B-07) does not show flooding along Parkway, Deacon Road and at the school, whereas in the model results, these locations are shown to flood. All figures in the report should be checked and recreated as necessary. If model results have been modified in any way, this should be included in report figures.	89096-1063-8790			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	6.1.1 - Calculations will be required to show the amount of attenuation required and the discharge rates for all events up to and including the 1 in 100 year plus climate change storm event up to 2080. Whilst Table 2-2 shows the Greenfield run-off rate is around 8l/s for the 1 in 100 year storm plus climate change, the Internal Drainage Board often require a lower figure for this from new development to ensure the rhyne system has sufficient capacity for the lifetime of development. This is usually around 2l/s. We would like to see confirmation that the IDB accept the projected greenfield run off rates from this site before agreeing to 8l/s for the 1 in 100 year (plus climate change) storm event. PPS25 requires no increase in flood risk over the lifetime of the development and therefore the rhyne capacity must be taken into consideration.	89096-1063-9686			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	- It is unclear whether the 50% fluvial event against the 0.5% tidal event combination been agreed with the Environment Agency?	89408-1063-12397	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The baseline conditions for both sites are characterised in an easily understood and concise manner	89362-1008-15448			/	At Stage 2, the Environmental Appraisal presented information on the baseline conditions of the development site using available desk-based information. At this time no groundwater quality data were available other than a description of groundwater salinity sourced from BGS borehole records.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	this section does not provide any description of groundwater quality other than its salinity	89362-1008-15558	/			Further to Stage 2, information relating to groundwater quality has been acquired from two reports produced by Hallam Land Management (HLM) and Brookbanks Consulting in support of the proposed North East Bridgwater Development at Little Sydenham Farm (Application reference 09/08/00017). The reports include a reproduction of groundwater analytical data and groundwater level data from a number of source reports, including data from the proposed development site area. These data are presented and summarised within the Geology, Land Contamination and Groundwater Chapter in Volume 3 of the Environmental Statement (ES) . These data have been used to inform the assessment of potential impacts of the proposed development site.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	there is no description of the overall water resource balance of the area, which could influence an increase in local water demand.	89362-1008-15655	/			Future investigations, as necessary, will further characterise conditions at the proposed development site and enable the collection of further site specific groundwater quality and level data. Full details of the investigations will be provided on completion of the investigations.

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Cumulative effects of development with those from other elements of associated development on groundwater are not addressed in this section of the EnvApp.	89362-1011-19550	/			Following the receipt of summary intrusive investigation data as presented within the HLM/Brookbanks Consulting reports, an assessment of the potential impacts associated with groundwater resources during the proposed development site has been undertaken, as presented within Impacts Section of Geology, Land Contamination and Groundwater Chapter in Volume 3 of the ES . The Section also considers the potential for cumulative impacts to occur during the proposed development site upon groundwater resources. The Methodology Section of Geology, Land Contamination and Groundwater Chapter in Volume 3 of the ES includes information on the methodology for assessing cumulative impacts. Full details of the overarching methodology for assessing cumulative impacts as part of the EIA are presented in Volume 1 Chapter 7 of the ES . Volume 11 of the ES provides an assessment of cumulative impacts to groundwater quality arising from the overall HPC Project and the HPC Project with other developments within the area which may impact upon groundwater resources.

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The reasoning behind the assessment of the effects identified is considered appropriate. However, there is no evidence of a precautionary approach when data is absent. This affects the assessment of the significance associated with the release of pre-existing contaminants	89362-1010-16608	/			<p>At Stage 2, no intrusive site investigation data were available. Subsequently, intrusive investigation data has been acquired from two reports produced by Hallam and Brookbanks Consulting. These data include groundwater analysis, leachability testing results and groundwater level monitoring data. The potential impacts of the construction, operational and removal/reinstatement phases of the proposed development on groundwater resources have been assessed on the basis of these data and the potential for existing contaminants to be present in the Impacts Section of Geology, Land Contamination and Groundwater Chapter in Volume 3 of the ES.</p> <p>The risks, and potential impacts associated with contamination on the proposed development site to groundwater and other controlled waters will be reappraised following the completion of intrusive investigation works to be undertaken at the proposed development site on behalf of EDF Energy.</p> <p>In accordance with standard good practice an Environmental Management and Monitoring Plan (EMMP) will be developed for implementation during the proposed development. The EMMP will include a discussion of the water resources to be deployed during the construction phase of the proposed development site, including where construction water will be sourced from. The EMMP will include measures to ensure that no detrimental impact to groundwater resources within the vicinity of the proposed development site will take place.</p> <p>During construction, the contractors will be encouraged to manage and monitor water use. A water management plan will be developed which sets out opportunities to limit water use during construction. Such measures are likely to include proposals to limit wet trades on the site, whilst promoting water reduction through material specification and proposals for off-site fabrication.</p>
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	there is no mention of the water resources imposition caused by the construction phase. It is likely that the construction phase across the two sites will use significant quantities of water. Where this water will be sourced from and how this will impact local groundwater is not discussed.	89362-1010-17444	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Action: We understand that no no direct access was available to undertake a site walkover. This deficiency needs to be addressed so that an up to date phase 1/desk study can be produced. Risks to groundwater and surface water will need to be fully examined, conceptualised and risk assessed prior to the commencement of development. We advise a detailed site investigation scheme is produced for agreement with ourselves and the Local Authority prior to commencement of site investigation works to ensure that the scope of this investigation is comprehensive.	89082-1009-5165	/			<p>The impact assessment provided in the Stage 2 Environmental Appraisal (Section 4.8), was undertaken in accordance with the methodology outlined in Volume 1 of the Environmental Appraisal.</p> <p>The methodology and impact assessment matrix have been adopted in the Environmental Statement (ES) with full details presented in Volume 1, Chapter 7 of the ES. The Methodology Section of Geology, Land Contamination and Groundwater Chapter in Volume 3 of the ES presents the topic specific magnitude, value and sensitivity and site specific criteria which have been reviewed and revised in line with topic specific requirements and includes reference to the methodology presented in Volume 1, Chapter 7 of the ES.</p>
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The assessment methodology provided within the section is incomplete as it only provides tables that describe 'sensitivity of receptor' and 'magnitude of effect'. It is assumed that the combination of sensitivity and magnitude required to inform an assessment of impact significance is informed through use of Table 5.4.4 in Volume 1 of the EnvApp although this is not explicitly stated.	89362-1009-15812		/		<p>At Stage 2, the Environmental Appraisal presented information on the baseline conditions of the development site using available desk-based information. Subsequent to Stage 2, a site walkover has been undertaken at the proposed development site and intrusive investigation information which relates to the proposed development site (derived from HLM/Brookbanks Consulting reports) has been obtained and reviewed. This information has been used to update the desk-based assessment and to provide additional information with respect to the ground conditions and groundwater contamination status. The potential risks to groundwater have been subsequently conceptualised and assessed. Details are provided in the Baseline Section of Geology, Land Contamination and Groundwater Chapter in Volume 3 of the ES.</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The type and scale of existing contaminants is not known, but there is potential that there are a wide range of harmful substances. Before remediation has taken place these could be mobilised during preliminary excavations. Although existing groundwater resources are saline and not extensively used, release of these contaminants to groundwater could have far reaching effects to the natural environment. If there is a link between the estuarine waters of the River Parrett and groundwater, the contaminants could migrate into the River Parrett.	89362-1012-16882	/			<p>Remediation of the proposed development site will be undertaken following the completion of the demolition of the remaining structures present on the site, and prior to the main excavation works to take place during the construction phase. The areas which are to be remediated, and the methods by which remediation will be undertaken will be determined by EDF Energy. Any necessary further investigations will identify and delineate areas of contamination. They would be undertaken in accordance with UK best practice guidance and policy. Precautions will be taken during investigations in order to prevent the accidental release of contamination.</p> <p>At Stage 2 the adoption of standard good practice and control measures were presented as mitigation subsequent to the impact assessment. In the Environmental Statement, the adherence to legislative requirements and adoption of standard good practices has been assumed as part of the impact assessment and would be adopted as part of the development design and are not considered as specific formal mitigation. The Geology, Land Contamination and Groundwater Chapter in Volume 3 of the Environmental Statement (ES) identifies examples of standard good practice measures and design features which would be implemented at the proposed development site during the construction, operational and removal/reinstatement phases to limit the potential for impact to groundwater resources to occur.</p> <p>In accordance with standard good practice, an EMMP has been developed for implementation during the proposed development which will outline the potential environmental impacts and actions to minimise the potential for adverse impact to occur to groundwater resources. Details on how these measures will be implemented will be provided in the site-specific management plans which will be adopted.</p>
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	details of what the EMMP would address and how the effectiveness of the EMMP would be delivered remains unaddressed.	89362-1012-18620	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<p>No mitigation beyond good practice is proposed. This 'good practice' needs to be described and specified.</p> <p>Removal</p> <p>No mitigation beyond good practice is proposed. This 'good practice' needs to be described and specified.</p>	89362-1012-18855	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	No specific commitment to monitoring is provided. It is expected that this will be addressed within the EMMP.	89362-1013-19727	/			<p>As detailed in the mitigation response, an EMMP will be developed for the proposed development and this will be submitted as part of the Development Consent Order (DCO) Application. The EMMP outlines the potential environmental impacts and mitigation measures to be implemented and the associated monitoring requirements.</p> <p>Remediation of the proposed development site will be undertaken prior to construction; therefore no significant source of contamination is anticipated to remain on-site and therefore future groundwater monitoring is considered unlikely to be necessary.</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	we consider that the baseline conditions reported in the document are in general to be accurate, robust and reasonable for an initial assessment of impacts	89364-1044-6741			/	A desk-based assessment (DBA) has been undertaken to establish a robust baseline with respect to the historic environment. The DBA sourced data from the Somerset Historic Environment Record and the National Monuments Record and included a review of historic maps. Comments received at the Stage 2 Consultation from Sedgemoor District Council (SDC) and West Somerset Council (WSC) considered the baseline conditions reported to be accurate, robust, and reasonable for an initial assessment of impacts.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	the lack of assessment of impacts upon historic landscape character	89364-1044-6898	/			Further comment at Stage 2 stated that the baseline data gathered by this survey is sufficient to assess the on-site impact of BRI-A and that no further investigation would be required pre-application. The baseline section of the Historic Environment Chapter (Chapter 16, Volume 3) of the ES provides an overview of the results of the DBA and figures showing historic environment assets and features. A fully referenced list of all information sources used to establish the baseline is provided in the chapter.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	the impact upon setting of heritage features has not been completed, is a significant omission, and must be addressed as a matter of urgency.	89364-1044-6991	/			The historic landscape section of the Historic Environment Chapter (Chapter 16, Volume 3) of the ES provides details of the Historic Landscape Character (HLC) designations on the Bridgwater A site and describes the historic landscape of the study area, extending up to 1km from the site boundary.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The assessment gathered baseline information from a variety of sources, including the National Monuments Record, Somerset Historic Environment Record, a review of the Somerset Historic Landscape Characterisation, and Somerset Record Office. It is considered that reference to these sources is essential to attain a sufficient understanding of baseline conditions.	89364-1044-7157			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	It is considered that the baseline data gathered by this survey is sufficient to assess the on- site impact of BRI-A and BRI-B; no further investigation would be required pre-application.	89364-1044-7775			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The report states that the assessment of impacts upon the setting of heritage assets will be reviewed once plans have been finalised. No assessment is presented with regards to impacts upon the historic landscape; the report states that this will be undertaken once landscape mitigation proposals have been finalised.	89364-1044-8341	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	In absence of the completed assessment of the residual effects on the historic landscape it is considered that it would be necessary to update the assessment once proposals have been finalised.	89364-1044-8662	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	It is considered that the background provided is accurate and sufficient to inform the assessment of impacts.	89364-1044-9213			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Sections 2.12.23 of the EnvApp describe the methodology adopted for the identification and assessment of impacts. It states that relevant Institute for Archaeologists and EH guidance has been followed in undertaking the surveys and assessment. In the absence of formal guidance for Heritage EIA, Volume 11 part 2 of the Design Manual for Roads and Bridges has been adopted for the assessment.	89364-1044-9348			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	BGS boreholes indicate that the BRI-A site is underlain by a significant thickness of Alluvium, including Peat. The archaeological significance and geotechnical implications of the peat have not been discussed.	89425-999-5094	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
English Heritage	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	Any development on the British Cellophane site may impact on the deep alluvial (silts and peats) Holocene sequence that underlies it by removal and / or de-watering. The potential of these deposits in the Severn Estuary Levels is well known and a series of investigative techniques will be required to understand this in order to offer suitable mitigation. What is the proposed construction methodology?	10190-1052-14922a	/			Extensive consultation was undertaken throughout the Hinkley Point C Project with Somerset County Council's Historic Environment Service, which acts as advisor to the Sedgemoor District Council, and English Heritage to agree the scope of the assessment and requirements for baseline surveys. Details of consultations (formal and informal) can be found within the Consultation Report .
English Heritage	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	We are also aware of the Grade II listed farmhouse within this site and would ask for a detail Conservation Management Plan for this asset together with a robust programme of mitigation and enhancement to be provided ahead of the IPC application so that a thorough assessment of the development around it can be made. We would recommend discussions should be undertaken with (Personal information removed) the (personal detail removed) at Sedgemoor District Council on this matter.	10190-1052-14922b		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Consultation has been undertaken with Somerset County Council Historic Environment Service and English Heritage. The consultees are as expected, however the document does not provide details of these discussions, the nature of comments received from the consultees or whether these comments have been clearly addressed in the assessment.	89364-1052-7982			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	There is no discussion of cumulative impacts provided within Section 2.22 of Volume 2 to the EnvApp.	89364-1047-14666	/			Following the Stage 2 consultation, potential cumulative impacts on the historic environment have been assessed and are discussed within Chapter 16, Volume 3 of the Environmental Statement (ES). Combined impacts (such as noise, air quality, increased traffic) on the settings of designated historic environment assets are considered in the assessment of the impact on settings within Chapter 16, Volume 3 of the ES.

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Conservation of the historic environment is an important consideration for the site and investigation of the potential to include Sydenham Manor House in the scheme design should be given further consideration.	89359-1046-4899		/		The baseline assessment established that there is little or no potential for surviving archaeological remains on the Bridgwater A site. Following a review of extant geotechnical data, including historic borehole logs, it was determined that there is the potential for palaeo-environmental deposits (peat) to be present at depth (between 14.4m and 23.7m below ground level) beneath the proposed development site.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The geology and soils chapter, paragraph 2.4.7 reports that a BGS borehole, sunk in the centre of the BRI-A site, identified 0.9m of made ground beneath which is a sequence of alluvium, comprising layers of clays and peat, to a depth of 24m. These sequences, in particular the peat, may be of archaeological significance, and therefore the impact upon the development upon them should be considered in the assessment.	89364-1046-11675	/			Following comments at Stage 2 from English Heritage and Sedgemoor District Council, with regards to the geological sequences, in particular the peat and its potential to be of archaeological significance, and subsequent discussion with Somerset County Council Historic Environment Service and English Heritage, EDF has agreed to undertake a programme of palaeo-environmental investigation at the Bridgwater A site, including assessment and analysis, post-determination, following demolition of existing factory buildings, but prior to construction.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The EnvApp identifies the uncertainty associated with assessment of impacts as a result of the scheme and landscape mitigation designs not being finalised.	89364-1046-13395	/			The potential impacts on the settings of designated heritage assets beyond the Bridgwater A site boundary, in particular the Grade II-listed Sydenham Manor, have also been assessed. The results found that the manor is sheltered from the surrounding industrial zone occupied by the former Innova Cellophane Packing Factory via extensive planting and a Leylandii hedge giving the manor an enclosed and tranquil setting. The existing tree planting on the northern boundary within the grounds of the manor would be maintained and protected. The proposed development is expected to have a very low impact on the setting of this asset during the construction, operational and post-operational phases.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	In order for an accurate assessment of impacts to be made and to ensure that the proposed mitigation measures are appropriate, the assessment should be conducted once design and mitigation measures are both developed.	89364-1046-13554	/			Potential impacts on the historic environment arising from the proposed development are described in the assessment of impacts section of the Historic Environment Chapter (Chapter 16, Volume 3) of the Environmental Statement.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Residual effects during construction are described in paragraph 2.12.69, and with regard to specific assets in table 2.12.5. The initial assessment of residual effects appears reasonable based on known data, however this cannot be completed until mitigation has been agreed and impacts upon HLC have been assessed. Reference should also be made to the residual impact upon alluvial sequences.	89364-1046-13818	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<p>Operation</p> <p>The residual effects that would be realised during operation are considered in paragraph 2.12.75 and table 2.12.16. This identifies that the effect on the Sydenham Manor would be the same as during construction.</p> <p>The initial assessment of residual effects appears reasonable based on known data, however this cannot be completed until mitigation has been agreed and impacts upon HLC have been assessed</p>	89364-1046-14217	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<p>A Grade II listed Building adjacent to BRI-A was ascribed a potential minor adverse impact upon its setting. Impacts upon Historic Landscape Character and setting of off-site heritage assets in general have not been completed due to ongoing landscape mitigation design, and therefore the effects described in the EnvApp may not be an accurate assessment of the impacts of the scheme.</p>	89425-1046-12053	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The EnvApp should reference IfA and EH guidance clearly in the te/t. The bibliography does not refer to any EH or IfA guidance.	89364-1170-9744	/			Bridgwater A – Historic Environment - Methodology The scope and methodology for baseline studies and impact assessment were agreed with Somerset County Council’s Historic Environment Service and English Heritage and are outlined in the Historic Environment Chapter 16 of Volume 3 of the Environmental Statement (ES). All work was carried out in accordance with published standards and guidance, including Somerset County Council’s Heritage Service Archaeological Handbook (2009) and the Institute for Archaeologists’ Standards and Guidance for Desk-Based Assessment (2008).
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Although we accept that the DMRB approach in its prescribed form represents an appropriate impact assessment methodology, and represents best practice, Section 2.12.25 describes that the approach adopted is actually an adaptation of the DMRB methodology. While the adaptation of the DMRB approach is described, the reasons and justification for this are not addressed within the chapter. Furthermore, the particular effect of this deviation on the results of the overall assessment should also be illustrated. For instance, the DMRB ‘very high’ categorisation of importance is not used, placing Scheduled Monuments and Grade I and II* Listed Buildings in the highest category, rather than second tier according to DMRB (the first tier being reserved for sites of international importance); this may lead to a difference in the reporting of impacts, both adverse and beneficial, compared to DMRB in its original form.	89364-1170-9875	/			In the absence of standards or guidance published by the Institute for Archaeologists or English Heritage specifically relating to Environmental Impact Assessments for the historic environment, guidance on assessing the effects of roads schemes on heritage, given in the Design Manual for Roads and Bridges (DMRB) published by the Department for Transport, (Volume 11: Environmental Assessment, Section 3, Part 2, Cultural Heritage) has been adapted for the Historic Environment Chapter 16 of Volume 3 of the ES. Comments received by Sedgemoor District Council at Stage 2 with respect to the adapted DMRB methodology have been addressed in the Historic Environment Chapter, referenced above.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Table 2.12.1 shows the criteria used to determine ‘importance’, not ‘sensitivity, as stated in the title (sensitivity of an asset is based on professional judgement).	89364-1170-10816	/			Following Sedgemoor District councils’ response to the Stage 2 submission, the methodology was clarified and the difference between “value” and “sensitivity” was clearly defined in the Historic Environment Chapter 16 of Volume 3 of the ES.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	It is considered that the reasoning behind the assessment of operational effects appears to be sound.	89364-1170-12460			/	The methodology applied to assess potential impacts arising from construction and operation of the proposed accommodation campus at Bridgwater A on the settings of designated assets beyond the proposed development site boundary was carried out in accordance with English Heritage’s Draft Guidance on the Assessment of Settings issued for consultation in July 2010.

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	It is not clear how the proposals for Bridgwater A will assist in enhancing the Listed Manor House and grounds which are a key point of focus for the NE Bridgwater site. The current treatment of the Manor is considered inadequate, since it does not propose any positive use for it, and attempts to shield it by landscaping, rather than providing glimpses which would maximise the benefit of this asset for the environment. The proposal should seek to make this asset as a key feature of the regeneration of the site and bring the facility back into use.	89359-1048-8993		/		<p>Palaeo-environmental remains surviving at depth beneath the proposed Bridgwater A development site represent a potentially important historic environment asset.</p> <p>Following Stage 2 comments from Sedgemoor District Council with respect to the identified alluvial and peat deposits to a depth of 24m beneath the site and the need for these deposits to be considered by the assessment and appropriate mitigation put in place, EDF has agreed to undertake a programme of palaeo-environmental investigation, if the overall Bridgwater A proposals are approved. This would be accompanied by assessment and analysis post-determination, following demolition of existing factory buildings, but prior to development of the Bridgwater A site.</p>
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<p>Given the fact that the cellophane factory is ascribed a low rather than very low importance, it is suggested that a photographic record (EH Level 1) of the remaining structures should be made prior to demolition, if no such record already exists.</p> <p>The presence of alluvium and peat 0.9m beneath the existing ground surface means that there is a potential for archaeological remains, in particular organic deposits, to be present.</p>	89364-1048-12731		/		<p>The enhanced knowledge gained from this investigation and the dissemination of this knowledge through publication of the results, on completion of any required post-excavation analysis, in local regional and national journals would offset any potential impacts arising from the proposed development, as detailed in the Historic Environment Chapter 16 of Volume 3 of the ES.</p>
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	An archaeological watching brief should be maintained as a minimum response during excavation on the site. This should include a detailed programme of palaeoenvironmental sampling and analysis as appropriate.	89364-1048-13161			/	<p>The current boundary treatments, comprising mature planting, which screen the immediate setting of Sydenham Manor from the existing industrial landscape, would be retained.</p>
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<p>Residual effects during construction are described in paragraph 2.12.69, and with regard to specific assets in table 2.12.5.</p> <p>The initial assessment of residual effects appears reasonable based on known data, however this cannot be completed until mitigation has been agreed and impacts upon HLC have been assessed. Reference should also be made to the residual impact upon alluvial sequences.</p>	89364-1048-13818	/			<p>Consultee comments at Stage 2 also asked for photographic recording of the former cellophane factory buildings, and in particular the tower, on the Bridgwater A site. The cellophane factory is not currently within EDF Energy's control. A programme of demolition has been initiated by the current owner. The tower has been demolished and the old factory buildings are being removed. Therefore, by the time EDF Energy gains control of the Bridgwater A site, any opportunity for photographic recording will have been lost.</p>
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<p>Operation</p> <p>The residual effects that would be realised during operation are considered in paragraph 2.12.75 and table 2.12.16. This identifies that the effect on the Sydenham Manor would be the same as during construction.</p> <p>The initial assessment of residual effects appears reasonable based on known data, however this cannot be completed until mitigation has been agreed and impacts upon HLC have been assessed</p>	89364-1048-14217	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	BRI-A is currently occupied by a former cellophane factory of local importance, however no mitigation was proposed in the assessment. This is not sufficient and it is suggested that EH Level 1 Building Recording is undertaken prior to demolition. No further heritage assets were identified on the site by the heritage assessment, however a borehole sunk at the site by BGS identified a substantial sequence of alluvial and peat deposits to a depth of 24m beneath the site. This deposit should be considered by the assessment and appropriate mitigation put in place.	89425-1048-11487				
English Heritage	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	We are also aware of the Grade II listed farmhouse within this site and would ask for a detail 10190-362-14922 Conservation Management Plan for this asset together with a robust programme of mitigation and enhancement to be provided ahead of the IPC application so that a thorough assessment of the development around it can be made. We would recommend discussions should be undertaken with (Personal information removed) at Sedgemoor District Council on this matter.	10190-362-14922				

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	If the suggested photographic record is undertaken this should be monitored by Somerset Historic Environment Service.	89364-1049-14832			/	Stage 2 comments from Sedgemoor District Council (SDC) suggested that a photographic record (EH Level 1) of the remaining structures of the former cellophane factory located at the north of the Bridgwater A site should be made prior to demolition, especially if no such record already exists. However, the existing cellophane factory is not currently within EDF control and a programme of demolition has been initiated by the current owner. If the overall Hinkley Point C proposals are approved, this is anticipated to be largely complete by the time the EDF Energy development begins and therefore there will no longer be any scope for a photographic survey and no requirement for monitoring.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The archaeological watching brief should be monitored by Somerset Historic Environment Service and the English Heritage Regional Scientific Advisor.	89364-1049-14950			/	<p>Baseline studies described in the Historic Environment Chapter 16 of Volume 3 of the Environmental Statement (ES) have established that there is no potential for surviving archaeological remains on the proposed development site, and therefore there will be no watching brief and no requirement for monitoring.</p> <p>Following Stage 2 comments by SDC, with respect to the potential for possible peat deposits beneath the site to contain archaeological remains, and subsequent discussion with Somerset County Council's Historic Environment Service (SCC HES) and English Heritage, it was agreed to undertake a programme of palaeo-environmental investigation, assessment and analysis post-determination. This would take place following demolition of existing factory buildings, but prior to construction of the Bridgwater A Campus. Arrangements for monitoring of these works to ensure compliance with best practice and professional standards would be agreed in advance with SCC HES and English Heritage.</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	the Geophysical Survey Reports have not been submitted with the Stage 2 consultation, so it is not possible to assess the full robustness of these surveys.	89239-1050-6037		/		<p>Stage 2 comments from Somerset County Council included a generic comment regarding the requirement for geophysical survey reports, which was applied to all of the HPC associated development sites.</p> <p>Following receipt of the Stage 2 comments, discussions were held with Somerset County Council's Historic Environment Service, whose representatives agreed that a geophysical survey was not considered appropriate for the Bridgwater A site, as the ground has previously been extensively disturbed.</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Both the Landscape and Visual baseline has been evaluated adequately in line with GLVIA guidance to a level that would be expected for a development of this size and extent of potential impact on both resources. The methods used to acquire the baseline data appear to be robust at this stage of the review process.	89364-1035-48			/	The Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (LVIA) and supporting studies and surveys were conducted for all phases of the proposed development, in accordance with the principles set out by the Landscape Institute (LI) and Institute of Environmental Management Assessment (IEMA) in the Guidelines for LVIA (GLVIA) and guidance on Landscape Character Assessment from the Countryside Agency (now Natural England) and Scottish Natural Heritage.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Generally a robust evaluation of baseline characteristics has been given.	89364-1035-606			/	Following field surveys the study area for the LVIA was reduced to a 1.5km radius. During the baseline assessment, all landscape/townscape designations, relevant landscape/townscape features, and character areas within the study area were identified to fully understand the potential impact on the landscape/townscape.

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Quantock Hills AONB Service	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	- The AONB Service is very concerned that it has not been consulted in respect of LVIA for any of the ADS. This is inadequate given the proximity and visibility of locations from the AONB (including at night).	89122-1043-1798		/		<p>At the time of the Stage 2 consultation, landscape and visual impact assessment work was ongoing. Since then, work has been completed and the full results of this have been incorporated into the Landscape and Visual Chapter (Volume 3, Chapter 15) of the Environmental Statement (ES). This now presents a robust baseline on which to draw conclusions in the impact assessment, including the valuation of receptors (e.g. visitors to the AONB) that may be affected by the development proposals.</p> <p>Further consultation with Quantock Hills AONB Service was not considered necessary for the associated developments due to their scale, their distance from the Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB), and in most cases their temporary nature. Views from the AONB were obtained for each of the associated developments and potential impacts on landscape character, where appropriate, are assessed within individual associated development chapters. The methodology agreed through consultation for the Hinkley Point C (HPC) main site development has been used for the LVIA of the associated developments.</p> <p>Comments received in respect of lighting for the HPC development were incorporated into the lighting strategies for the associated developments.</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	In the EnvApp an overall assessment of potential cumulative impacts between off-site accommodation works and various other developments has been made, but no significant adverse landscape or visual impacts have been identified.	89364-1038-5681			/	The full results of the baseline survey provide a robust basis on which to assess the likely impacts of the proposed development on the landscape, including those that may arise from cumulative interaction with other Hinkley Point C (HPC) and non-HPC developments. As a result of comments received from consultees at Stage 2 an updated assessment of cumulative impacts on Landscape and Visual receptors is presented in Volume 11 of the Environmental Statement.

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	In respect of viewpoint photos there is a general comment that at A3 size 200mm viewing distance is difficult for some people to focus on. Guidance (Scottish Natural Heritage) available on viewing distance for photos and photomontages suggests minimum 300mm is comfortable.	89364-1167-1316	/			All supporting graphical material has been updated since the Stage 2 consultation and detailed drawings are included in the Landscape and Visual Chapter (Volume 4, Chapter 15) of the Environmental Statement (ES) which give a clearer indication of the impact of the proposals. These detailed drawings illustrate, using the arboricultural survey, all trees and hedges which would be removed or retained. A post-operational plan is also included.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	the photomontages provided as part of the Stage 2 documentation cannot be verified.	89364-1167-1786		/		The Landscape Institute issued 'Photography and photomontage in landscape and visual impact assessment', Advice Note 01/11 in March 2011. Following the issue of this guidance the viewpoint sheets have been amended. This fully endorses earlier guidance produced by Scottish Natural Heritage on the 'Visual Representation of Windfarms'. Viewpoint photos have been scaled to 300mm which at an A3 size is the recommended size. Photomontages of proposals are now provided for all associated developments.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The last item in the key Figure 2.11.15 (Ch2 Section 11) is not clear which states 'car park - asphalt with?' It is also unclear what is intended by the statement "footpaths bound gravel - resin bound or self-binding". In addition the existing trees and hedges to be retained are not indicated/in key on this figure.	89364-1167-4673			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	For Figure 2.1.2 the key is not clear.	89364-1167-4993	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Sustainability Objective: To avoid adverse impacts on nationally important landscapes In general, and reflecting the specific guiding questions, we would expect that the scheme would potentially result in adverse effects on the surrounding landscape, and in particular on the Quantock Hills AONB. While operational effects would be viewed in the context of the Hinkley Point A and B stations, consideration of the effect of HPC should be supported through reference to verified photomontage material, while extent of construction effects, and the effects prior to maturity of mitigation strategies should also be reflected in the SE.	89412-134-17620	/			Some consultees queried assessment scores applied at Stage 2 of the consultation. The assessment of impacts now assumes a 'worst-case scenario', in which no beneficial effects are recorded. All aspects of the assessment were revisited, following confirmation of design and proposed mitigation, and assessment scores were amended. The implementation of landscape proposals would not be undertaken until the end of the construction period. Correspondingly the highest adverse impacts are recorded during the construction phase. During operation it is assumed that mitigation measures would be in place and the use of semi-mature tree and shrub stock would ensure that mitigation measures were effective from day one, although over the period of operation the landscape scheme would mature and mitigation would become more effective. Impacts during the operational phase, after the implementation of mitigation, are considered to remain adverse in nature although generally of only a minor significance. It is only after restoration of the site as described in Volume 3, Chapter 5 of the Environmental Statement that impacts are recorded as neutral in nature and of negligible significance.
Tractivity 981	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Ult will be good to see that eyesore tidied up and in use again.	9739-1037-4097		/		Following the confirmation of the layout, landscaping along the perimeter of the site was integrated, with the boundary treatment, to ensure that security provisions were arranged to avoid being unsightly and are screened by the proposed landscape.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	It is noted that the security fencing will be arranged to avoid being unsightly. However there remains concern about this as an appropriate response. As the fencing will be along a key gateway route into Bridgwater, the visual impact of such fencing will be a key concern of Sedgemoor District Council. As such, it would be desirable if the landscaping were integrated with the boundary treatment with a view to creating a long term landscape feature for the site. This solution is indicated for Bath Road in the Masterplan for Accommodation Campuses (S 6.4.3) and is welcomed by the Council.	89359-1037-14911	/			The landscape and boundary treatments proposed, coupled with the high quality architectural design of the accommodation buildings, which have been designed to reflect the local landscape character, should ensure that impacts along key gateway routes are minimal. The subsequent restoration of the site in accordance with the Volume 3, Chapter 5 of the ES will not compromise the deliverability of the objectives of the Bridgwater Vision.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	the judgement of significance is appropriate during construction and operation, however, the post removal / reinstatement effects are frequently judged as Beneficial which it is felt maybe a little optimistic, especially when the original judgements on landscape capacity are low and the development proposals are judged as incompatible.	89364-1037-1905	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Potential inconsistencies in judgement of sensitivity of visual receptors warrants further clarification, for instance why some footpaths are judged to be low and why others are moderate.	89364-1037-3809	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Clarity is required on assessment score that indicates 'significant moderate beneficial residual effects' for the temporary works which are going to remain in part as a legacy.	89364-1037-4256	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	To confirm whether a Moderate Beneficial effect can be achieved it will be important to understand the time limits assumed to achieve the beneficial effect and an explanation of whether the effect is compatible with Landscape Character?	89364-1037-4433	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	- Revisit operational phase assessment using more detailed iterative mitigation in the design process for especially, but not exclusively, local Landscape and Visual components	89425-1037-10677	/			
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	In particular the implications of the campus facility at Innovia impacting directly on key gateway routes into Bridgwater directly impacting on the objectives of Bridgwater Vision and potentially undermining the deliverability and occupation of completed housing on the northern part of the site;	89885-1037-13488			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<p>Following GLVIA guidance each landscape and visual resource/receptor that has been identified in the baseline studies has been assigned a level of sensitivity; of high, medium or low. The anticipated construction or operational impacts of the power station on that resource have been assigned an impact magnitude of high, medium, low or negligible.</p> <p>This allows for an overall impact significance to be identified by combining magnitude and sensitivity.</p>	89364-1036-858			/	<p>The methodology for, and presentation of, the assessment of impacts in Volume 3, Chapter 15 of the Environmental Statement (ES) has been further developed since the Stage 2 consultation.</p> <p>A more detailed landscape/townscape assessment has been carried out including a review of the local Townscape Character Assessment of the area. These have been used to inform the landscape strategy for the site which aims to provide a landscape setting to the proposals and some screening within the 6 years that the site will be operational for.</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council & West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - Local Authority and Consultee with an Interest in Land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 1	Proposals include the visual improvement of the A39 (Bath Road) as a main arterial route into Bridgwater, a new link road across the railway line to the A38 (Bristol Road), and a new pedestrian/cycle link crossing the motorway and railway line;	88420-1039-3842			/	<p>A full review of the landscape design was carried out in relation to the landscape/townscape character of the area. This builds on the Sedgemoor Landscape Character Assessment and Countryside Design Summary and the principles outlined in the Bridgwater Vision document produced by Sedgemoor District Council.</p> <p>Along the perimeter of the site to the north a series of native trees are proposed to create a screen which would fit with the existing character of Sydenham Manor grounds. A buffer zone of amenity grass has been proposed between the boundary of Sydenham Manor and the proposed new accommodation buildings to protect its setting further.</p> <p>It is proposed that all perimeter vegetation, which would include native trees and shrubs, would remain once the site was restored as described in Volume 3, Chapter 5 of the Environmental Statement.</p>
Tractivity 720	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Might need bund to screen outnoise from adjacent railway line	9478-1039-5185		/		
Tractivity 981	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Ult will be good to see that eyesore tidied up and in use again.	9739-1039-4097			/	
Tractivity 1037	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? This is too great a concentration of numbers in one area of the town. It will lead to increased traffic with workers being bussed to and from Hinkley and workers using their private vehicles in their free time. If there is accomodation built on this site the setting of Sydenham Manor must be respected and treated with greater sensitivity than when British Cellophane was constructed in the 1930s.	9795-1039-4966	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The public realm and green infrastructure principles (Masterplan Accommodation Campuses Section 6.3 (iv), are primarily focused within the site boundaries and provide limited information on how planting referred to will create a public realm of high quality or contribute in any way to the wider green infrastructure of Bridgwater.	89359-1039-8656		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	There needs to be a more robust and transparent explanation of where responses to the policies and planning guidance requirements will be met through the proposals and where they have been mitigated. At present it is not easy to see how the two have been considered, suggesting iterative mitigation in scheme development has not been optimised.	89364-1039-2268			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Generally mitigation proposals attempt to address the impacts of the various schemes.	89364-1039-2616			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	it is unclear on the mitigation provided and the impacts that the mitigation is addressing	89364-1039-3021			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Offsite mitigation measures should be investigated further to reduce (particularly) the visual effects of all the proposals.	89364-1039-3114		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The building designs needs to be developed further in a 360deg context exploring how massing / colouring of building and infrastructure has been considered as part wider visual mitigation measures integrated with Landscape ones.	89364-1039-3242			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	It is considered essential for detailed consultation to be undertaken throughout the development of the design and through the planning process especially to guide acceptable / reasonable mitigation measures.	89364-1039-3474			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	recommend that there is a 15 yr minimum management and maintenance agreement established.	89364-1039-3694			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	There is a contradiction between para 2.1.130 'avoid features within the developed area of the site which would attract wildlife' and 2.1.131 '...increase areas of shrub planting and grassland, which is likely to benefit a range of common urban fauna'.	89364-1039-4000			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	For BRI-A it is unclear what is meant by certain elements of the landscape scheme would be left as a legacy following the 'temporary' campus use	89364-1039-5062	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	- Consider mitigation measures beyond the redline site boundary to more realistically inform EDF Energy's concept to 'embrace' the development in the wider landscape	89424-1039-14076		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	- Prepare a more transparent explanation of where the policies and planning guidance requirements will be met through the proposals and where they have been fully mitigated. At present it is not easy to see how the two have been considered suggesting an iterative process of mitigation in scheme development has not been optimised.	89425-1039-10342			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	- Revisit operational phase assessment using more detailed iterative mitigation in the design process for especially, but not exclusively, local Landscape and Visual components	89425-1039-10677			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	- Implement landscape strategy including planting, creation of public spaces improving access and screen planting around the site perimeter	89425-1039-11159	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	There is no information on monitoring which would be expected.	89364-1040-5933		/		Monitoring is generally something undertaken within the discipline of ecology. However, the maturing of the landscape could be monitored under any landscape management contract that would be put in place.

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	It is noted that no background noise measurements have been carried out during the night at Fairfax Road and therefore it is not known whether the proposed limit of 43dBL Aeq,T is sufficiently low at this location.	89361-972-6600	/			<p>Baseline noise monitoring was undertaken following consultation with the relevant Environmental Health Officers at Stage 1 consultation. The location and duration of monitoring was determined based on the proposals consulted on at Stage 1 consultation.</p> <p>Following Stage 2 consultation, as a result of proposed changes to HPC shift patterns for construction workers, further noise monitoring was undertaken to cover all hours of proposed operation of the development, including late evening and early morning periods. Full details of the monitoring undertaken, including a graphical illustration of monitoring and assessment locations is included in Volume 3, Chapter 9 of the Environmental Statement.</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The assessment of noise impacts presented in Volume 3 of the EnvApp does not identify any cumulative noise and vibration effects with other elements of the proposed scheme	89361-975-11804	/			<p>The approach to assessing the cumulative impacts of noise and vibration associated with the Hinkley Point C (HPC) Project evolved following the Stage 2 consultation. The cumulative impacts of the proposed HPC Project with other committed and proposed development are considered in Chapter 6, Volume 11 of the Environmental Statement (ES). Interactive cumulative impacts of noise and vibration with other environmental topics (e.g. dust, landscape) associated with the HPC Project on specific sensitive receptors are also considered in Volume 11 of the ES.</p> <p>The in-combination cumulative impacts of noise and vibration from the proposed development on sensitive receptors are contained in Chapter 9, Volume 3 of the ES. For example, the assessment of recreational activities and fixed mechanical service plant has been undertaken in conjunction with vehicle movements on the proposed site.</p> <p>The assessment of traffic impacts on the wider highway network has been assessed for all traffic associated with the HPC Project. Therefore the assessment of road traffic noise is a cumulative assessment.</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Whilst it is noted that the location of the bus terminus has been chosen to keep it far away from living accommodation, it would still appear to be adjacent to residential properties and is therefore a cause for concern in terms of noise and air quality impacts on residents in those blocks.	89359-974-14099	/			<p>Chapter 9 in Volume 3 of the Environmental Statement details the potential noise and vibration impacts associated with the proposed accommodation campus and facilities at Bridgwater A. The site assessed for this Development Consent Order application was the same as that presented at Stage 2 following consultation undertaken at Stage 1.</p> <p>The assessment of impacts has evolved since the Stage 2 consultation to quantify all activities associated with the operation of the proposed campus which have the potential to generate significant noise. These include on-site vehicle movements, outdoor recreation activities and operation of the fixed mechanical service plant.</p> <p>During occupation and use of the site, the assessment determined that noise impacts would not be significant at neighbouring residential properties. However, given the proximity of the site to existing residential dwellings, EDF Energy acknowledges the need to continually ensure users at the site, e.g. resident contractors and bus drivers, behave in a sensitive manner with respect to the local environment.</p> <p>The assessment of potential localised road traffic noise impacts during the early morning and late evening periods determined that, during some periods, the impact at properties adjacent to the A39 between West Street and the A38 would be significant.</p>
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	no assessment has been carried out at A/2. It is considered that this is not required since it is not representative of noise sensitive receptors, but this has not been discussed in the report	89361-974-2110	/			
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	<p>Bridgwater C (Rugby Club Training Pitch)</p> <p>It is the view of SDC that there are some minor improvements to the proposals, with some evidence being provided that links between accommodation at Bridgwater A and C have been considered (e.g. shared communal canteen and bus stop provision). Notwithstanding this, there remains a concern about a lack of basic self-sufficiency in the Bridgwater C accommodation units, where standard kitchen provision should be provided for individual or small groups of bedrooms. This would enable those working shift patterns to have the ability to have basic provisions with a full range of services on Bridgwater A. If no facilities were provided there would seem to be a question over the accommodations ability to fulfil its intended legacy.. The Council also supports the development of this site as the only current proposal with a permanent legacy use, although importantly, there are still no proposals for replacement of the existing rugby facilities which generates an unnecessary policy objection. . Revisions to the siting of buildings align with design principles in the Draft HPC SPD, however there is considerable further scope for the design to contribute to a legible and enhanced public realm at the A39 entrance to Bridgwater College. SDC are concerned that the proposals may not be delivered due to the constraints imposed by the historic landfill operations within the site and are seeking environmental information that assesses the impact of building on a contaminated site, with mitigation solutions identified.</p>	89874-275-0			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	not all of the levels presented in the table are consistent with the calculations presented in Appendix 2.4.3. For example, construction noise levels at Fairfax Road and 100C Bath Road are presented in the table as 75dBL(AEQ,12hr) and 71dBL(AEQ,12hr) respectively. The calculations in the appendix indicate that these levels should be 80dBL(AEQ,12hr) and 75dBL(AEQ,12hr) respectively. This is significant since, at Fairfax Road, it represents an impact of high magnitude and therefore Major Adverse significance at this property, rather than Moderate Adverse significance as presented in the assessment.	89361-974-2383	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	A similar error has occurred for predicted noise from construction of site roads and parking bays at dwellings east of Bath Road. The table presents a noise level of 75dBL(AEQ,12hr) but the appendix indicates that this should be 76dBL(AEQ,12hr). Again, this is significant since it represents an impact of high magnitude and therefore Major Adverse significance at this location, rather than Moderate Adverse significance as presented in the assessment.	89361-974-2990	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	It should be noted that the assessment is only valid for daytime working hours and no assessment has been carried out for evening and night time working. Therefore, the limit on working hours will need to be included in the Construction Environmental Management Plan.	89361-974-3447		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	BS5228-2 also includes an empirical predictor for vibration from vibratory compaction, which is not used or mentioned. Based on a separation distance of 40m to the nearest receptor, it is possible that vibration from a vibratory roller may exceed 1 mm/s, which may be a Moderate Adverse impact. This has not been considered.	89361-974-4069		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Paragraph 2.4.76 appears to imply a cosmetic building damage threshold of 5mm/s without reference to a source. This does not appear to have been referred to previously and no justification or reference is provided for its use. The report goes on to state (para. 2.4.78) that typical construction and demolition working routines are unlikely to generate levels of vibration at local receptors above which cosmetic damage would be expected to be sustained. Assuming this threshold is 5mm/s (which equates to an impact of medium magnitude) it is unclear how the impact can then be judged to be very low.	89361-974-4395	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	It is assumed that the reference to a 6m distance between the nearest noise sensitive receptors and sources of vibration (para. 2.4.79) is a typographical error, since construction noise calculations have not been carried out for distance less than 35m. Vibration from a vibratory roller or piling at a distance of 6m would be likely to generate levels of vibration significantly higher than would be assessed as Minor Adverse significance.	89361-974-5001	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The conclusion is that the overall impact will be Minor Adverse. The report does not make it clear how this conclusion is reached and may underestimate the impacts of some construction activities.	89361-974-5445			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	For the assessment of operational noise on nearby receptors, paragraph 2.4.93 states that installed M&E plant is predicted to be no more than 5dB above the existing background noise level. However, no predictions have been carried out. There is no information on the design of the plant and therefore the impacts cannot be stated with certainty. However, on the basis that the required measures are incorporated into the design of the building, it is agreed that the impact is of minor adverse significance	89361-974-5645	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Paragraph 2.4.94 states that a specific noise limit of 43dBL Aeq,T for the sum of all M&E plant is proposed. In accordance with BS4142, this should be a rating noise limit of 43dBLAeq,T rather than a specific noise limit.	89361-974-6156	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	No assessment has been carried out of noise from the restaurant, bar or sports activities. Depending on the site layout, some of these have the potential to generate noise levels that may cause a significant impact.	89361-974-6381	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The assessment of vehicle movements on BRI-A is that due to the dominance of road traffic on the A39, the impact would be of Minor Adverse significance. However, there is no evidence in the assessment to underpin this conclusion, particularly give the close proximity of car parking areas to the rear of the properties along the north of Bath Road.	89361-974-6818	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Paragraph 2.4.117 states that many of the operations involved in the reinstatement works would be similar in nature to the proposed construction operations. Therefore a significance of Moderate Adverse has been applied to noise impacts and Minor Adverse applied to vibration impacts. Since both of these may have been underestimated in the construction assessment, it is possible that they may also have been underestimated in the removal section.	89361-974-7359		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	no assessment has been carried out of noise from the restaurant, bar or sports activities. As these have the potential to generate noise levels this needs to form part of the assessment.	89361-974-9929	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	All residual operational impacts are deemed to be minor adverse. However, no assessment of activity noise from the restaurant, bar or sports facilities has been carried out and therefore these impacts could be greater.	89361-974-11398	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	there is no evidence to underpin the assessment that noise from cars and shuttle buses using the site will be of Minor Adverse significance.	89361-974-11631	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	At BRI-A, residual noise impacts from cars and shuttle buses using the site, particularly in the early and late hours of the day, may have been underestimated as no evidence is provided to underpin the assessment.	89425-974-2295	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Residual impacts due to vibration from some construction equipment, particularly vibratory compaction, close to the receptors may have been underestimated. Additional residual impacts may also be possible from activities associated with the campus such as bars, restaurants and sports activities.	89425-974-2509		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	- At the accommodation sites in Bridgwater (A & C) residual noise impacts from cars and shuttle buses using the site, particularly in the early and late hours of the day, may have been underestimated as no evidence is provided to underpin the assessment.	89430-974-4363	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	- Noise modelling needs to be re-run for both Accommodation Campuses, particularly BRI-A, based on the correct proposed form, scale, layout and design of buildings due to the differences between layout as shown in the Masterplans - Accommodation Campuses document and the Environmental Appraisal: Volume 3, Chapter 2, Section 2.4, which may well cause different noise impacts.	89199-1098-2067	/			Comments were received from the Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council joint Response on the Stage 2 Consultation, in which noise modelling was requested to be re-run using the correct layout due to differences between layouts shown in the Masterplans - Accommodation Campuses documents and Environmental Appraisal. The general approach and methodology was identified as being acceptable with further comments identifying that only daytime working periods had been assessed and that no assessment of existing noise sources on the accommodation campus had been carried out.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Noise monitoring has been undertaken at a number of representative locations around the site. The methodology for the baseline monitoring appears acceptable, however there are a few anomalies in how this methodology has been applied	89361-1098-47			/	Following Stage 2 consultation, the masterplan for the proposed development was revised. The noise and vibration assessment detailed in Volume 4, Chapter 9 of the Environmental Statement has used the masterplan layout for the Development Consent Order application described in the accompanying Bridgwater C Design and Access Statement.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The general approach to assess and quantify significance from noise and vibration is acceptable, however there are some potential issues in how this approach has been applied.	89361-1098-776			/	Construction noise impacts have been assessed against the construction noise thresholds given in British Standard construction noise guidance (BS5228-1:2009). The assessment has been undertaken for day-time periods only as no construction activities are proposed during evening and night-time periods, Saturday afternoons or on Sundays and Bank Holidays.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	For construction noise, significance criteria are presented based on a 12 hour daytime working period. No significance criteria are presented for evening or night time working and therefore significance cannot be determined during these periods.	89361-1098-955		/		Due to the temporary nature of the accommodation campus, a noise assessment is not required to determine the suitability of the site for development for private residential use, in accordance with Planning Policy Guidance PPG24. An assessment of noise impacts from the operation of the proposed development on existing sensitive receptors has been carried out.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	No assessment has been made of noise from the existing sources (such as the railway) on the accommodation campus.	89361-1098-1458		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The proposed site has planning consent for a mixed use development (including residential) but noise impacts depend on distance from the noise source, layout of site etc. No reference is made to either an assessment of noise from existing sources on the accommodation or to a comparison against previously consented schemes in terms of layout, distance from noise sources etc.	89361-1098-1572		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	A 2.4m site hoarding is proposed for the construction works. The report does not quantify the level of attenuation likely to be provided by the hoarding to enable the significance of the construction noise effects to be determined.	89361-976-7831		/		As part of the construction of the proposed Bridgwater A development, an Environmental Management and Monitoring Plan (EMMP) will be put in place prior to the start of any construction works on the proposed site. The EMMP will include site-specific measures contained in Volume 3, Chapter 9 of the Environmental Statement , along with general control measures which define Best Practicable Means. A similar EMMP will also be put in place prior to works associated with the post-operation phase commencing on the proposed Bridgwater A development.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The report states that site specific mitigation measures for construction noise and vibration may be agreed in advance with the local authority and emphasises the importance of community relations and the effective use of an Environmental Mitigation and Monitoring Plan. These measures, and any e/ceptions to the local authority construction policies, must be agreed in advance with the Local Authority (for e/ample through an agreement in accordance with Section 61 of the Control of Pollution Act 1974).	89361-976-8066			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The report also gives restricted construction working hours to help mitigate the impact of construction noise. However, since the significance criteria are based on daytime working (and therefore impacts have only been assessed for daytime working) it is not clear how the imposition of these restrictions will mitigate the impact.	89361-976-8575	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	the assessment may underestimate the impact of vibration from some construction activities and no specific mitigation is proposed.	89361-976-9017		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	For operational activities, a number of best practice management tools are identified to minimise the potential for noise nuisance. These include education of resident contract staff to reduce loud radios, revving of engines, use of horns, etc. These measures appear to be difficult to manage but the document does suggest the use of a formal complaints procedure through the Site Manager. This information must be included in the Environmental Mitigation and Monitoring Plan.	89361-976-9151		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Mitigation measures also include the control of working hours. Since the assessment was effectively undertaken with these measures in place, the residual impact cannot be reduced from the initial pre-mitigation impact through these measures.	89361-976-10752		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	it is considered that the residual construction noise impacts have been underestimated.	89361-976-11007		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	For construction vibration, no specific mitigation measures have been proposed and therefore the residual impacts for both are determined to be minor adverse. The residual impact may be higher if vibration from certain construction activities (such as vibratory compaction) is taken into account	89361-976-11098		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	A monitoring programme should be undertaken to understand the effectiveness of the management tools during the operational phase of the development.	89361-977-12118		/		<p>The potential noise and vibration impacts resulting from the construction and post-operation of the proposed Bridgwater A development will be controlled through an Environmental Management and Monitoring Plan (EMMP). EDF Energy will ensure that the appointed contractor(s) have in place appropriate environmental management procedures for the construction and post-operation of the proposed development.</p> <p>The EMMP will include site-specific measures contained in Volume 3, Chapter 9 of the Environmental Statement along with general control measures which define Best Practicable Means.</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 809	Public	Stage 2	3. Any other ideas or comments? More information required on the impact this will have on Cannington and Bridgwater. Preliminary works will require an increase in traffic to the site; how will this be mitigated?	9567-952-1134	/			<p>EDF Energy began informal engagement with key statutory consultees, landowners, other interested parties and the local community at the beginning of 2008, supported by a formal consultation process since 2009. The consultation process has provided EDF Energy with valuable feedback on its proposals, highlighted key issues and options to be considered and has helped refine its proposals, including those in connection with the Bridgwater A accommodation campus (the proposed development).</p> <p>The Stage 1 consultation was launched on EDF Energy's 'Initial Proposals and Options' between November 2009 and January 2010. At that stage the proposals were necessarily broad in order to provide consultees with an opportunity to influence the proposals. In accordance with Government guidance, the Stage 1 consultation document was sent to relevant landowners. During this period, a number of parties with an interest in land contacted EDF Energy to discuss the proposals and a number of informal meetings were held.</p> <p>Some consultees expressed concern at Stage 2 consultation that there had been a lack of engagement with the population of Bridgwater. Some statutory consultees and other relevant stakeholders have suggested that specific documents or material were missing at the various stages of consultation. All documents consulted on were available for the duration of the consultation and a wide engagement programme was held across the area.</p> <p>The Stage 2 consultation took place between July 2010 and October 2010 and gave statutory consultees, other relevant stakeholders, the local community and the general public the opportunity to comment on the more specific and detailed proposals. At that stage, the Bridgwater A site was identified as one of the two preferred locations for an accommodation campus in Bridgwater.</p> <p>EDF Energy produced a large suite of consultation documents as part of the Stage 2 consultation, and these documents were sent directly to all statutory consultees and other relevant stakeholders. In addition, information was also made available through newsletters, the dedicated EDF Energy Hinkley Point C (HPC) website, media and advertising, and meetings with the local community and stakeholders, including a public exchange held at Bridgwater North in July 2010 and a stakeholder workshop in</p>
Tractivity 809	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? More information on replacing the ground required. could this be part of the new temporary site on the southern side so it can still be used by the local community as well.	9567-952-5765		/		
Tractivity 812	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? good idea we would be interested in operating the facilities	9570-952-4606		/		
Tractivity 823	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Again until the routing between these points and Hinkley ?C? has been properly thought out.	9581-952-5081		/		
Tractivity 830	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? This seems a reasonable plan of action subject to some understanding being reached with WM Morrison?s group that lorries from the west of England depot currently being planned for N.E. Bridgwater will not be using the A39 through Bridgwater town itself. The site will require decontamination from diesel and other chemical pollution prior to it being used for the proposed residential and associated purposes.	9588-952-7949		/		
Tractivity 874	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Again, refer to traffic problems raised in previous sections along with Bridgwater residents views.	9632-952-5592			/	
Tractivity 983	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? until further details are confirmed.	9741-952-4081			/	
Tractivity 1182	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Satisfactory in principle. But it must take into account LOCAL views as well as those of Sedgemoor District Council.	9940-952-5204			/	
Tractivity 1186	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? The views of Bridgwater residents and Sedgemoor District Council should be taken into account. You need to provide a lot more detail.	9944-952-5080			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 62543	Public	Stage 2	We have no objections to the building of the power station but totally reject the proposals for Cannington and surrounding villages and Bridgwater.	10108-952-174		/		Bridgwater in September 2010.
CABE	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	We have not commented on the relationship of the site to the auxiliary infrastructure development, for example park and ride sites and temporary housing, and would welcome the opportunity to review these aspects separately, before the planning application is submitted, either at CABE or the affiliated South-West panel.	10185-952-8669			/	Details of the proposed development were further refined in response to the feedback received on the Stage 2 consultation and EDF Energy decided to consult on these changes at the Stage 2 Update consultation to give consultees an opportunity to make their views known. EDF Energy has had regard to comments received from consultees at all stages of consultation. The key changes to the Bridgwater A proposals that were made at the Stage 2 Update consultation were in response to the comments EDF Energy received at Stage 2, including:
British Telecommunications (BT)	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	Openreach apparatus will be affected within your areas of interest. Openreach records indicate that a substantial amount of our apparatus exists near to the areas of your proposed works, which will need to be diverted. Please note that no site survey's have yet been carried out at this stage and will be chargeable, and therefore can you please contact us directly so that we can provide you with the necessary estimate of costs to provide survey's and any subsequent alteration/diversion. Plans of at least 1:500 will be required.	10200-952-180			/	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> reducing the number of bedspaces from 1,075 to 850; providing an emergency access road to the South East; providing access to the sports facilities for the public; and reducing the site boundaries in line with the reduction in size.
Landowner - Innovia Cellophane Limited	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	Despite what is said in the documents there has been very little contact from EDF and no detailed discussions concerning the redevelopment proposals that EDF have published for my clients land.	10241-952-377			/	In addition to the formal consultation process, informal engagement was progressed with parties including: the local authorities, the Highways Authority (Somerset County Council) and the Environment Agency. Further details on the informal consultation process are provided in Chapter 4 of this consultation report.
Landowner - Innovia Cellophane Limited	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	Innovia are disappointed to see reference to Compulsory Purchase in relation to off-site workers accommodation in the published documents and such reference should be excluded from any further consultation exercise in so far as EDF's proposals relate to the Bridgwater A land.	10241-952-574			/	Some consultees raised concern that specific information or documents were missing from the consultation process, including environmental information and assessments. EDF Energy has striven to provide sufficient environmental information for consultees to determine the key impacts of the HPC project for the purposes of their consultation responses and to enable them to influence the proposals. As part of the Stage 2 consultation, EDF Energy published an Environmental Appraisal which provided information to enable consultees to give an informed response to the environmental effects of the HPC project from information compiled by EDF Energy at that stage. The Environmental Appraisal gave information about the impacts of the Preferred Proposals with regard to: waste management, socio-economics, transport, noise and vibration, air quality, soil and land use, contaminated land, ground water and geology, surface water, hydrodynamics and geomorphology, marine water and sediment quality, terrestrial ecology, marine ecology, radiological impacts, landscape and visual impact, historic
Landowner - Innovia Cellophane Limited	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	Innovia remains willing to negotiate with EDF in respect of the rights EDF consider that they would require in order to take forward the Bridgwater A scheme.	10241-952-2314			/	
Sedgemoor District Council, West Somerset Council and Somerset County Council Joint Councils Response	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee (Somerset) and consultee with an interest in land (Somerset and Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	we wish to draw your attention to a number of documents which we believe are missing from the Stage 2 consultation. Specifically, the documents that are missing are: Thematic Vision Next Steps Document Freight Management Strategy Updated Saturn Forecasting Report Supporting Traffic Flow data Paramics Forecasting Report Local Model Validation Report (Saturn and Paramics) Draft Transport Assessment Legacy plans for both the proposed M5 Park & Ride sites Visitor	10275-952-836	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
			<p>Management Strategy Site Waste Management Plan</p> <p>Integrated Waste Strategy Construction Management Plan Environmental Management and Monitoring Plan Detailed 1:500 drawings of Masterplans</p> <p>Overarching Accommodation Strategy including location of temporary accommodation, permanent and affordable housing, housing sector mitigation and details of management systems to be employed Community Safety and Wellbeing Plan</p> <p>Procurement Strategy and Contract Implementation Strategy</p> <p>Operations Workforce Development Strategy</p> <p>Lighting Strategy</p> <p>Delivery Plan for the Low Carbon Business Cluster</p> <p>Fire and Rescue Resourcing Strategy</p> <p>Ambulance Resourcing Strategy</p> <p>Security Management Strategy</p> <p>Incident Management Plan</p> <p>Archaeology - Written Scheme of Investigation, Amec 2009 'Cultural Heritage Desk-Based Assessment, Hinkley Point Hinkley Point Foreshore Survey, Gloucester CC Archaeology Service</p> <p>Intertidal and offshore Archaeology at Hinkley Point</p> <p>Cannington Bypass - Geophysical Survey</p> <p>Junction 24 P and R - Geophysical Survey</p> <p>Junction 23 P and R - Geophysical Survey</p> <p>Wiliiton - Geophysical Survey</p> <p>Combwich - Geophysical Survey</p> <p>Integrated Land Management Plan</p> <p>Site Drainage Management Scheme</p> <p>Soil Management Plan</p> <p>Ecology Surveys Findings</p>					<p>environment, offshore and intertidal archaeology, recreation and amenity and marine activities. Some of this information formed the basis of the Environmental Statement, submitted with this application for Development Consent.</p> <p>Finally, consultees expressed concern that insufficient information has been provided on the post-operational use of the site following cessation of use by EDF Energy and the design considerations which have been given to the approved north-east Bridgwater masterplan. Full details are provided in the post-operation strategy appended to the Planning Statement and the Bridgwater A accommodation campus design and Access Statement respectively.</p>
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	With regards to the Hinkley Point C construction site and the Hinkley Point onsite accommodation, the proposals do not identify the arrangements to be taken to protect Hinkley C staff and visitors in the event of an "off-site nuclear emergency" being declared at either Hinkley Point A or Hinkley Point B.	89243-952-197	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Landowner - Bridgwater Gateway Limited (Miller Turner Investment Management Ltd)	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	- In the absence of information in relation to the design of the buildings and how they will respond to the context of the site it is difficult to provide comments which will inform the consultation process.	89433-952-5145			/	
Stop Hinkley	Non-Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	3) Lack of engagement with the population of Bridgwater, with virtually no public meetings.	89452-952-2974	/			
Hallam Land Management	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	it is imperative that the proposals for Hinkley Point and in particular the proposals for an accommodation campus at Bridgwater Innovia (Bridgwater-A) and at the Rugby Ground (Bridgwater-C), and for a Park and Ride close to Junction 23 take full account of the development proposals now underway at North East Bridgwater.	89454-952-1966			/	
Hallam Land Management	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	It must be demonstrable that EDF's proposals do not have any negative impact on the North East Bridgwater proposals which are a key part of the Bridgwater Vision. It further means that the proposals should look to opportunities that enhance the North East Bridgwater proposals. The concern raised by Hallam Land Management is that the consultation proposals take little or no account of the North East Bridgwater mixed use community and certainly provide no assurance that this is the case. Despite its importance to the town (as is made clear in the Bridgwater Vision), there is scant mention of the NEB proposals in the EDF documentation let alone a careful assessment of impacts on the proposal. There is no systematic assessment of the cumulative impact of the Bridgwater-A and Bridgwater-C and park and ride proposals, alongside the North East Bridgwater proposals, to make sure that the North East Bridgwater proposals in their entirety are not compromised or negatively impacted upon in any way.	89454-952-2292	/			
Hallam Land Management	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	Hallam Land Management does not have an in principle objection to the EDF proposals although expects the nature of the proposals (including the scale of campuses) to be finalised only once the issues raised in these representations and those of others (most notably Sedgemoor and West Somerset Councils) have been addressed.	89456-952-2390			/	
Hallam Land Management	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	The consultation proposals do not provide sufficient comfort to conclude that the impacts of the EDF proposals on the North East Bridgwater development have been adequately considered and that all appropriate mitigations included in the proposals.	89456-952-3159	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The current proposal could provide a mechanism to accelerate some form of development on the southern part of the Innovia site. However, the 'additional value' of this investment has not been set out by EDF Energy, taking into account that the existing consent already provides for the remediation of contamination within the site.	89358-952-8793		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The principle of some development on this site is supported, subject to the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Plans can be integrated with, would not compromise and would help in the delivery of a mixed-use sustainable community within the wider North East Bridgwater site, with clear and coherent legacy benefits. The Associated Development (AD) proposals should be an exemplar in terms of design, sustainability and contribution to place shaping in accordance with policy objectives for the wider site. The plans currently fail to demonstrate that these objectives can be achieved. 	89358-952-9704			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The Council is not convinced that the current security measures are an appropriate response in a town centre location and request an alternative strategy in accordance with this consultation response is put forward to better integrate proposals into communities and the town itself.	89359-952-15825	/			
Innovia Cellophane Limited	Consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	It is also noted that EDFE propose to "better align our plans with the proposed long-term vision for the development of the site for housing, so that utilities and roads, for example, can be used after EDFE no longer needs the site". Whilst the principle of this approach is welcomed, our client would expect detailed discussions on these points to ensure that this aspiration is achievable. Furthermore, the issue of legacy is not referred to in detail within the Stage 2a consultation and this potentially has a significant impact on the future development of the site and the extant planning consent. We would expect this to be addressed and conveyed through the consultation process.	89761-952-5297	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Innovia Cellophane Limited	Consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	From reviewing the Stage 2a document, we are disappointed at the level of detail provided in describing the proposed changes and how this will impact on the future of land within the existing ownership of Innovia Cellophane Limited. As a consultation document, we feel it does not go far enough in providing a robust evidence base as part of the pre-application consultation requirements leading up to the submission of the Development Consent Order. There are a number of matters which need to be addressed and documented in a transparent manner, allowing the public and key stakeholders to submit representations. It is therefore critical that EDFE work both with Innovia and Sedgemoor District Council to address these concerns with a view to developing a detailed masterplan for Bridgwater A. Only with these points agreed will Innovia have sufficient information to ensure that the delivery of Bridgwater A does not prejudice the delivery of the remainder of the land consented as part of the North East Bridgwater development.	89761-952-5988	/			
Hallam Land Management	Consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	2) The documentation must be developed to demonstrate that the capacity of North East Bridgwater's infrastructure is safeguarded on and off site	89772-952-6389			/	
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	We do not consider that the material presented in this consultation addresses all of the Councils' previous comments and we are frustrated by the lack of detailed direct engagement with local planning authorities on associated development proposals.	89873-952-980	/			
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	SDC do not support the principle of a temporary campus of the scale proposed and are concerned that there has been very limited progress towards addressing issues raised at Stage 2 and in responding to the approach set out in the Draft HPC SPD.	89873-952-19558		/		
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	Further to the above issues and concerns, the Councils would also refer EDFE to environmental issues raised at Stage 2. It is of high importance to Sedgemoor District Council that adequate information is made available by the developer prior to submission of an application for accommodation on this site.	89887-952-5812			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	In conclusion in relation to the Bridgwater A site, the Councils welcome demonstration that there is a willingness on the part of EDFE to be flexible in response to how the site is developed. However, a step change is required in order to establish any area of common ground with regard to how to deliver worker accommodation on this site. This would involve a much closer working relationship on a genuine masterplanning approach the site, including a mix of permanent and temporary housing, with associated leisure facilities, to meet the design objectives and to further reduce impacts and ensure investment contributes to the wider Bridgwater Vision objectives.	89887-952-7780	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 1220	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Why only to BREEM satisfactory levels? Need to be 'excellent' standard.	9978-953-5834			/	During its consultation, EDF Energy received a number of consultation responses relating to sustainability. This has included comments in relation to the sustainability measures proposed at specific sites. However, comments regarding the sustainability of these sites in general were also received. This section provides a response to the few site specific consultation comments received for Bridgwater A (BRI-A), mainly from local authorities. Many of these points are explained more broadly within the consultation responses relating to the 'Sustainability Strategy' and 'Sustainability Evaluation'. For BRI-A, comments were received which relate specifically to sustainable design and construction, as well as broader comments relating to the principle of sustainable communities.
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Associated development, particularly accommodation provision built on sustainable principles, acting as a show case for sustainable construction, including use of low carbon energy sources. Link to sustainable construction knowledge and expertise at Somerset College to stimulate knowledge transfer and best practice. Provides a show piece for image of the county and of EDF as a business	89215-953-3136			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	In terms of off-site associated development, all of these are expected to result in construction dust impacts and air quality effects as a result of changes in local traffic flows. We also note, from Section 5 of Part 2 to the SE, that there is no commitment to measures, for the Bridgwater campuses, that will result in reduction of air quality impacts. Proposals such as siting and low NOx/SOx technologies are discussed, although the Environmental Appraisal describes that BRI-A will be built to a BREEAM good standard, with BRI-C built to BREEAM excellent. Commitment to BREEAM 'good' for BRI-A does not provide confidence that the scheme will minimise air quality impacts.	89411-953-10940	/			The application of Building Research Establishment Environmental Assessment Method (BREEAM) has been cited for BRI-A. Accommodation campuses are being taken forward under the BREEAM multi-residential criteria, which is an appropriate standard to apply for this type of development. This has been agreed via a scoping exercise with the Building Research Establishment (BRE). The level to which BREEAM is to be achieved is dependent on the nature of the development's legacy; at Stage 2, EDF Energy published its intention to achieve BREEAM 'Good' for the 'temporary' accommodation buildings such as BRI-A, as additional investment to achieve higher levels of sustainable design and construction against BREEAM was considered uneconomic and potentially unsustainable. The initial targets were based on early assessment work which has since been developed. BREEAM Good was identified during consultation as unambitious. EDF Energy responded positively to these concerns, and now intends to achieve BREEAM 'Very Good' for the temporary sites, which include buildings at BRI-A. Details relating to the BREEAM strategy for BRI-A can be found appended to the BRI-A Tier 2 Design and Access Statement. Further details regarding the overall approach taken towards BREEAM is provided within the Sustainability Statement for the project. Consultation responses also related to air quality, with
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	While we also note the opportunities considered for off-site associated development, discussion remains aspirational and lacks general commitment to performance criteria. While discussion is afforded to recognised sustainable design and construction standards, this appears not to be a firm commitment, with the Environmental Appraisal suggesting construction of BRI-A to BREEAM "good" standards, which would appear contrary to sustainable design standards.	89412-953-516	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	- The ability for campus-based accommodation and the segregation associated with it, to contribute to a sustainable community is questioned. Recognising the commitment to well serviced campus accommodation, ambiguity remains associated with the accessibility to leisure and health services provided by campus accommodation,	89412-953-4273			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	- Recognising the need for sustainable use of materials in off-site development, our current understanding of the high level objectives for accommodation campuses, described in Para 17.3.2 remains vague. The Environmental Appraisal cites that BRI-A would be constructed to BREEAM Good standards, however these are considerably below expectations of current planning authorities.	89413-953-12602	/			<p>concern that BREEAM 'Good' would not lead to relative reductions in emissions to air and the impacts from dust were also identified. Concerns were also raised about materials usage.</p> <p>During construction, it is a requirement for contractors to sign up to the Considerate Constructors Scheme (CCS). By signing up to the CCS, contractors will be monitored against a Code of Considerate Practice, which is designed to encourage best practice beyond statutory requirements. This will involve minimising the impacts from construction dust. In addition, EDF Energy has produced additional, project specific requirements for Tier 1 suppliers and contractors to ensure sustainable construction is delivered at HPC.</p> <p>The ability for campus-based accommodation to contribute to a sustainable community was questioned during Stage 2 consultation. EDF Energy is promoting a series of initiatives to ensure that the project contributes to sustainable communities. These community based initiatives are detailed within the SoCC. Sustainable communities can also be delivered through good design principles. For example, the campus accommodation will provide leisure and services which will be accessible to the public. This commitment was considered vague at Stage 2, however the proposals have developed and firm commitments are made in the Development Consent Order (DCO) application; at BRI-A, the public will have access to the sports facilities.</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Highways Agency	Statutory Consultee	Stage 1	it is noted that option BRI-A forms part of the wider North East Bridgwater application site, to which the Agency has had detailed involvement. We therefore seek further clarification as to how employee accommodation will be provided at this site in line with the wider employment and housing proposals which form part of the current application which at the time of writing is still pending with Sedgemoor District Council (Ref. 09/08/00017/RM).	88860-950-17461	/			Consultees identified a number of different policies and documents to be considered as part of the development for the Hinkley Point C (HPC) project. The Planning Statement details how the HPC Project, of which the Bridgwater A accommodation campus (the proposed development) forms part, has been informed by and responds to planning policy and other relevant guidance.
Sedgemoor District Council & West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - Local Authority and Consultee with an Interest in Land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 1	- Mixed-use development proposals for search area BRI-A 'North Bridgwater' have been granted planning consent, subject to the completion of a S106 Agreement.	88420-950-1909	/			The overarching National Policy Statement (NPS) for Energy (NPS EN-1), when combined with the NPS for Nuclear Power Generation (NPS EN-6), provides the primary basis for decisions by the Infrastructure Planning Commission (IPC) on applications for nuclear power generation developments that fall within the scope of the NPSs. Notwithstanding this, the IPC may consider other matters that are both important and relevant to its decision-making. This could include Planning Policy Statements (PPSs), Planning Policy Guidance Notes (PPGs), regional and local policy documents. However, if there is a conflict between these and the NPS, the NPS prevails for the purposes of IPC decision-making.
Sedgemoor District Council & West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - Local Authority and Consultee with an Interest in Land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 1	Proposals to provide residential development in Bridgwater benefit from strong policy support. Core Strategy Preferred Option SS1 states that Bridgwater will be the focus for the District's housing and employment growth that will contribute to the delivery of the transformational town wide regeneration strategy. Preferred Option BW1 confirms the status of the 'Bridgwater Vision' as a material planning document.	88420-950-2967	/			Furthermore, the Planning Act 2008 provides that the IPC must, in making its decision on an application, have regard to any Local Impact Report (LIR) prepared by relevant local authorities. It is anticipated

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Hallam Land Management	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 1	<p>Hallam Land Management owns some 50% of the site identified as Option BRI-A and has secured a resolution to grant consent for a major mixed use development that comprises: 2000 dwellings, 110,000sq metres of a mix of commercial and business uses, retail units, business start ups etc. The application includes details of the access arrangements to both the A38 to the west and to the A39 to the south. The proposals include some 8 hectares of sports facilities, local areas for play, a primary school, comprehensive landscape and biodiversity proposals. In securing a resolution to grant consent for the development, Hallam Land Management has secured the agreement of all principal stakeholders such as the Environment Agency, Natural England, County Council etc to the proposals.</p> <p>It is anticipated that full consent will be granted very shortly. The proposals include detailed plans for a Regional Distribution Centre for Wm Morrison PLC who is committed to developing the site at the earliest opportunity. The construction programme is envisaged to commence in June 2010. This will include the delivery of necessary infrastructure including links to the strategic road network, and related services and facilities.</p> <p>The scheme also enjoys a substantial commitment by the Government's Homes and Communities Agency to fund the provision of infrastructure and affordable housing so long as such housing can be delivered on an accelerated timescale. Construction of some of the housing units is anticipated in 2010/11 and is unconstrained thereafter.</p> <p>Hallam Land Management considers that the availability of the BRI-A option site, with all necessary infrastructure, and in a key sustainable location, requires that this option be afforded the highest priority by EPF Energy in identifying opportunities for accommodation in Bridgwater.</p>	8760-950-6274			/	<p>that the LIRs will rely, in part, on PPSs, PPGs, regional and local policy to provide a context for their assessment. On this basis, regard has been given to these documents (where relevant to the technical assessment) since they are likely to inform the LIRs prepared by the relevant consultees.</p> <p>Statutory consultees requested that the proposed development has regard to the design principle of the North East Bridgwater masterplan. It is proposed that the post-operation state for the site could range from partial retention to partial restoration of the infrastructure implemented by EDF Energy. Once EDF Energy no longer needs the proposed accommodation campus, part of this infrastructure could be used to help to facilitate delivery of the North East Bridgwater masterplan or other schemes. Infrastructure to be left by EDF Energy would be the ryhne, perimeter landscaping and the highway works that would have been adopted by Somerset County Council. Infrastructure that could also be retained would include the amenity building, some or all of the accommodation buildings and the sports pitches. However, where infrastructure in not sought to be retained, it would be removed by EDF Energy. Further information on EDF Energy's approach to post-operational uses and the mechanisms for achieving this are detailed in the Post-Operational Strategy appended to the Planning Statement.</p>
Tractivity 1339	Public	Stage 2 Update	I believe your policy of reducing the on site campus accommodation to be deeply flawed. It does NOT fit harmoniously with the developers plan for N.E. Bridgwater.	89605-950-662		/		<p>In their joint response to the Stage 2 and Stage 2 Update consultations, Sedgemoor District Council (SDC) and West Somerset Council (WSC) expressed concern that the North East Bridgwater development, Chilton Trinity and East Bridgwater secondary school projects have not been used to inform consideration of the sports and leisure needs of the construction workforce, or the implications of the HPC Project on the current level of provision in Bridgwater. EDF Energy's socio-economic assessments included in the Environmental Statement (see Volume 3, Chapter 9 and Volume 4 Chapter 9) now includes a comprehensive audit of facilities and reference to the Building Schools for the Future (BSF) programme, which includes sports facilities. EDF Energy has also met with leisure providers in the area to discuss potential impacts and any mitigation. Through the Section 106 Agreement in connection with EDF Energy's Site Preparation Works application, EDF</p>
Tractivity 1368	Public	Stage 2 Update	The access point Bridgwater (innovia) does not have planning permission for your access point shown on plans. This access point was withdrawn from NED application in Sept 2009	89634-950-891			/	
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	- Development of the sites proposed would seem to be compliant with existing policy emphasis on use of brownfield land before greenfield;	89203-950-8434			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	- The policy framework would seem to provide a suitable framework for the development of these accommodation sites for EDF's purposes.	89203-950-8575			/	Energy is proposing to invest in leisure facilities in Sedgemoor and West Somerset. This includes funding to provide new or improved sports and leisure facilities within Bridgwater and a contribution towards the cost of the Chilton Trinity swimming pool currently under construction within Bridgwater. Public access would also be permitted to the all-weather pitches at the accommodation campuses during occupation by EDF Energy.
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	- An assessment of how the proposals accord with the Bridgwater Vision should be provided.	89203-950-10056	/			
Hallam Land Management	Consultee with an interest in Land	Stage 2	In particular, accompanying the North East Bridgwater planning consent was a section 106 agreement entered into by Hallam Land Management, to address the impacts of the North East Bridgwater development and to provide the infrastructure necessary as a result of, and to support, the North East Bridgwater development. Its purpose was not to provide infrastructure to facilitate the implementation of the Hinkley Point proposals (including those for the campuses and park and ride facilities).	89453-950-2507			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	It is the view of the authorities that these aims are not currently achieved. The proposals as currently stated could undermine an existing planning consent, for example, the urban extension of Bridgwater. Sedgemoor District Council is unconvinced that the current proposals provide any added value and are concerned that delivery will stall and market confidence on other areas of the site will slow housing delivery.	89298-950-3838	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	A fuller assessment is required of the proposed development against the principles set out in the Strategic Design Code for North East Bridgwater and against sustainable design policies (e.g. SDLP BE1)	89359-950-6355	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The masterplan for NE Bridgwater and the Chilton Trinity and East Bridgwater secondary school projects are identified in the assessment of 'local cumulative effects'. However, this information has not been used to inform consideration of the sports and leisure needs of the construction workforce, or the implications of the Hinkley Point C project on the current level of provision in Bridgwater.	89365-950-9306			/	
Innovia Cellophane Limited	Consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	The land in question falls within a wider site which benefits from planning permission for a significant mixed use development (LPA Ref. 09/08/00017) incorporating up to 2,000 dwellings in addition to employment, retail and community facilities. The Stage 2a consultation documents do not provide any indication of how the proposed accommodation campus at Bridgwater A relates to the extant consent.	89761-950-866	/			
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	SDC do not support the principle of a temporary campus of the scale proposed and are concerned that there has been very limited progress towards addressing issues raised at Stage 2 and in responding to the approach set out in the Draft HPC SPD.	89873-950-19558	/			
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	The Bridgwater A, or Innovia, site currently benefits from outline planning permission for residential development as part of the large scale mixed use scheme development, which has now commenced. Any development on this site should be mindful of facilitating the long term delivery of the extant consent and take account of Bridgwater Vision and the North East Bridgwater design principles, and the design code for the sites implementation. Further to this, Sedgemoor District Council policies for protecting amenity and recreational space (H2) and community facilities (PC S7) continue to apply and are a consideration for EDFE in developing their proposals.	89887-950-1539			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council & West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - Local Authority and Consultee with an Interest in Land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 1	- BRI-A - Development at North East Bridgwater is to provide an exemplar of sustainable development providing opportunities for people to live, work, learn and enjoy their leisure time.	88420-951-3525			/	<p>A number of responses to the Stage 2 consultation supported the principle of providing temporary accommodation at Bridgwater C. Others felt that the site was not suitable because of its location, and raised concerns about the access arrangements and road safety. Throughout the pre-application consultation phase for the Hinkley Point C (HPC) Project the design and scope of the Bridgwater C accommodation campus has been refined, albeit the principle of the proposed development has remained the same. EDF Energy intends to locate a 150-bed accommodation campus to include living and sleeping accommodation, an all weather 5-a-side football pitch, temporary canteen and ancillary development. The proposed development would house non-home-based workers of all grades during the construction phase of the HPC Project. In developing its proposals, EDF Energy has had regard to consultation feedback.</p> <p>The key reasons why this site was chosen include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the site is previously developed land within the Bridgwater settlement boundary; the site is of a sufficient size to accommodate the size of accommodation required and level of ancillary development required; locating workers within Bridgwater would allow access to services and facilities, particularly encouraging movement by sustainable modes of transport; and the site's proximity to Bridgwater College means that the proposed development could be transferred to a third party for use as student accommodation or other educational use once the accommodation campus is no longer required by EDF Energy. <p>Generally consultees supported the location and overall principle of the development. However, a proportion of respondents disagreed to the principle of development in this location. As explained in the Accommodation Strategy, EDF Energy considers that there is a clear requirement to provide additional accommodation during the construction phase to accommodate non-home-based workers. This would not only mitigate against the risks of negative local impacts, but would also deliver significant operational benefits for EDF Energy in terms of management of the workforce to meet the programme. The proposed development would assist EDF Energy in</p>
Tractivity 701	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Good use of a brown field site.	9461-951-5687			/	
Tractivity 714	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Probably a good choice as long as transpor to Hinkley is via a new road from either A38 (Express Park area) or J23 (Dunball area). Otherwise added, un-needed, traffic for A39, NDR.	9472-951-5215		/		
Tractivity 784	Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments? definatly NO to all of the above. bridgwater is to far from the hinkley site think of the carbon footprint save a lot of money by not building the power station	9542-951-1732			/	
Tractivity 784	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? DEFINATELY NO	9542-951-4632			/	
Tractivity 824	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Will cause problems. Hinkley area has never recovered from the last project.	9582-951-5045			/	
Tractivity 830	Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments? The plans are partially satisfactory only:- 1) Campus within close proximity to Hinkley C is an excellent, totally logical and cost efficient proposition. Good reason for a new road to connect with the M5 at Dunball. 2) Bridgwater A - Former Innovia site is an acceptable if not ideal concept from the point of view of traffic on the A39 (street to Bridgwater Road). Damage to roads bridges and utilities to be considered.	9588-951-2829			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 839	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? While it is good to use a 'brown-field' site, the infrastructure of bridgwater cannot cope.	9597-951-5665		/		<p>accommodating the peak workforce during the construction phase, as an essential component of the HPC Project.</p> <p>One consultee raised concerns regarding the possibility of flooding at the site. The Bridgwater C Flood Risk Assessment demonstrates that the site would remain dry under most flood risk scenarios. It is however acknowledged that during an extreme event the surrounding area could be flooded and therefore mitigation measures, including the setting of minimum finished floor levels and the use of sustainable drainage systems (SuDS) have been incorporated into the proposed development.</p> <p>A small number of respondents requested that all campus accommodation in Bridgwater to be located on the Bridgwater A site, with the accommodation left in situ to support residential use. The Bridgwater campuses are not suitable for conversion into permanent residential development because the needs of temporary construction workers are very different from the needs of families living in housing as their main residence on a long-term basis. However, they would be suitable for students, whose requirements for accommodation are in many ways similar to those of construction workers, in terms of living together in hotel-style rooms with shared amenities. For this reason, it is proposed that the development would be retained for use in connection with Bridgwater College. EDF Energy has worked closely with Bridgwater College on the design of the proposed development to better understand the post-operational requirements and help to maximise the opportunities to leave a lasting benefit for the College and Bridgwater. Please refer to the Post-Operational Strategy for further details.</p> <p>Finally, many consultees queried whether the local road network would be able to support the extra traffic associated with the proposed development. As explained within the Transport Assessment, all work related travel to and from the HPC development site would be undertaken by direct bus thereby minimising any impact on the highway network. The proposed Bridgwater A and C accommodation campuses would provide many of the facilities that workers may require outside of their working hours, such as a canteen, laundrette, gym, lounge bar, sports pitches, internet access and shop facilities. This therefore reduces the</p>
Tractivity 875	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Good for the area but a traffic problem getting to hinkley.	9633-951-4666			/	
Tractivity 881	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? I think this is a reasonable proposal. as it is a large site which could cope with this development.	9639-951-4882	/			
Tractivity 883	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? But why have all non-workers at these sites?	9641-951-4088		/		
Tractivity 891	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? A good use of 'waste' land	9649-951-3981	/			
Tractivity 898	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Excellent site	9656-951-4795	/			
Tractivity 908	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Providing housing is available, sounds to be fine. There will need to be a lot of liaison with developer.	9666-951-4699	/			
Tractivity 912	Public	Stage 2	ox ticked: Satisfactory 9a. Any other ideas or comments? Good choice	9670-951-3851	/			
Tractivity 913	Public	Stage 2	8. Any other ideas or comments? Again proposal of these facilities are welcome as we try to use public park and ride when possible. The Bridgwater A is a good use of what is now a derelict site -	9671-951-4767	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 927	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? I agree with the principle of using this site but would like to see buildings which would be more permanent that could be used once they are no longer needed by EDF. Further uses for the buildings would be office / light industrial / retail. This would mean that the buildings would have to be designed with this use in mind. Not a big task but it would leave a lasting legacy to Bridgwater.	9685-951-4914	/			need for non-work trips off-site. An assessment has been undertaken for off-site non-work trips in the local area and this has shown that the level of car trips associated with these movements would be low. The analysis also takes account of existing travel patterns for non-work trips in the Bridgwater and wider Somerset areas. The Transport Assessment identifies the proposed development would add just 10 additional car trips per hour on average along the A39 (Bath Road) which is negligible compared to existing traffic flows.
Tractivity 936	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? The plan to accomodate H.P. workers on the now defunct British cellophane site is a good solution, and would link up with Dunball when a new bridge is built across the River Parret giving DIRECT access to H.P.	9694-951-6461	/			Car parking provision is proposed at 1 space per 2.5 workers. Therefore, on average up to 52% of workers residing at Bridgwater A and C could use private car to access the campus from/to their place of residence at the beginning/end of the working week. It should be noted that due to the shift patterns to be implemented at HPC the majority of non-home-based workers i.e. those living in campuses, would only have two weekends per month, one of which would be a three day weekend and one a two day weekend. Therefore, for those travelling to Bridgwater A and C from elsewhere in the UK, trips are only likely occur once a fortnight at most. Further, only a proportion of workers, 52% would be able to travel by car on these journeys and it is expected that many would use long distance coach services or rail services. In terms of impact upon the A39 (Bath Road), none of the trips to and from elsewhere in the UK to the campus sites are expected to happen during peak hours and as such the impact upon the A39 (Bath Road) is likely to be negligible. A campus Travel Plan would be implemented setting out a series of measures aimed at further reducing travel to campus sites by car and to encourage travel by more sustainable modes such as long distance coach and rail services, which will further reduce any impact upon the A39 (Bath Road).
Tractivity 937	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Probably a good use of these derelict factories.	9695-951-6197	/			
Tractivity 940	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? As long as all facilities are provided for the extra bodies it should be a good location	9698-951-5282	/			
Tractivity 942	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? This will flood Bridgwater with ?off shift? workers. ALL accomodation should be at Hinkley site.	9700-951-5074		/		
Tractivity 979	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Good use of a redundant site	9737-951-5540	/			
Tractivity 980	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? What happened to local jobs for local people? Bridgwater is too small for an influx of this magnitude. Residents who live in that area must be horrified as indeed they are as letters in the local press indicate.	9738-951-6556		/		The proposed development is an important element of the HPC Project accommodation strategy and the Bridgwater C campus would be the first of the accommodation campuses to be occupied. The proposed development would also provide a lasting benefit for Bridgwater College; and Bridgwater and Albion Rugby Football Club through the re-provision of facilities elsewhere in Bridgwater.
Tractivity 991	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Bridgwater is a suitable location as it has all the infrastructure required to support a large itineranr workforce with leisure and shopping facilities.	9749-951-5591	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 993	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? At last some developement on a brown field site	9751-951-4775	/			
Tractivity 1002	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Bridgwater has the infrastructure to cope with the additional populous unlike the Hinkley Point hostel proposal.	9760-951-4546	/			
Tractivity 1011	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? These plans for accommodation are highly unsatisfactory, this site is already under development by a different developer and work has already begun. EdF are already talking about compulsory purchase and the specific plans you have for the site thus far are inadequate, You only intend to build to the "satisfactory" BREEM standard and yet these accomodations will be part of your legacy.	9769-951-8590		/		
Tractivity 1013	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? This makes good use of a derelict site and does not affect surrounding areas with buildings that will be proposed as temporary but which I believe will stay for many years after the required time. Also	9771-951-5798	/			
Tractivity 1027	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? again i dont know the area you are talking about - if this is bridgwater town then i would agree this is a good way of getting money back into the town.	9785-951-4679	/			
Tractivity 1031	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Bridgwater will be able to absorb the increase in personnel whereas other aereas will be swamped.	9789-951-5105	/			
Tractivity 1053	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? The more the merrier - increase the campus to 3000 places	9811-951-4547	/			
Tractivity 1059	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? This is definitely the best solution as Bridgwater will be able to make use of this accomodation in the future. You will be using brown field sites and the workers will be able to use the existing facilities of Bridgwater.	9817-951-4767	/			
Tractivity 1076	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? I think it is crucial to reduce the impact by using brown field sites. Workers I am sure would prefer to be closer to Bridgwater and the site where they work rather than build accommodation in places farther away like Williton	9834-951-6024	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 1083	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? On the surface Innovia site seems ideal as it is walking distance to town for residents. However, this stretch of road, is often at a standstill particularly at rush hour.	9841-951-5401			/	
Tractivity 1085	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? concern as lack of facilities and infrastructure to support the influx , no leisure facilities, swimming etc available	9843-951-4170	/			
Tractivity 1102	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Inovia is fine, providing Dunball Link road is made, otherwise traffic through Bridgwater and A39 will be intolerable and unacceptable	9860-951-4422		/		
Tractivity 1105	Public	Stage 2	These plans for accommodation are highly unsatisfactory, this site is already under development by a different developer and work has already begun. EdF are already talking about compulsory purchase and the specific plans you have for the site thus far are inadequate, You only intend to build to the 'satisfactory' BREEM standard and yet these accomodations will be part of your legacy.	9863-951-8583		/		
Tractivity 1107	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Excellent use of existing brownfield site	9865-951-5218	/			
Tractivity 1121	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? No large campuses	9879-951-4211		/		
Tractivity 1122	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? The impact of hostels of this kind, anywhere in the vicinity, is likely to be considerable and largely negative.	9880-951-6017			/	
Tractivity 1136	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Providing the site is properly managed and secure.	9894-951-4891	/			
Tractivity 1147	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Too small and a strain on local facilities. Would rather workers bused in than lived local, Bridgwater already has enough problems for example pipe-working.	9905-951-3930		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 1169	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Make use of sites available	9927-951-4599	/			
Tractivity 1170	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Good use of redundant building and site although the road is already very busy. Bridgwater can soak up the amount of people.	9928-951-5271	/			
Tractivity 1171	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? If there really is a need for so many workers, then this site is just about acceptable	9929-951-4273	/			
Tractivity 1172	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Bridgwater has the infrastructure to cope with additional population - unlike the Hinkley point proposal for a hostel.	9930-951-4677	/			
Tractivity 1182	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Satisfactory in principle. But it must take into account LOCAL views as well as those of Sedgemoor District Council.	9940-951-5204	/			
Tractivity 245	Public	Stage 1	1. Any other ideas or comments? I am totally opposed to the proposals put forward affecting Cannington, Comwich, Williton & Bridgwater. I believe the proposals have been made as the cheapest cost to EDF without any consideration of the cost to the residents & damage done to their villages & way of life.	9341-951-359		/		
Tractivity 245	Public	Stage 1	12. Do you have any other comments about EDF Energy's initial proposals for the development of a new nuclear power station at Hinkley Point? Hinkley Point C Pre-Application Consultation Stage 1 Reference the above proposals I wish to register my extreme dismay and concern. I appreciate that EDF is a commercial concern whose prime motivation is profit, and this is understandable. However, I believe that, in this case, consideration of cost reduction has been totally one sided in favour of EDF. They have chosen the cheapest option with no thought or concern shown towards the cost inflicted on the residents of Cannington, Comwich and Williton villages or the town of Bridgwater. I believe the impact, particularly on the villages, will be devastating. I believe the cost to human suffering and disruption to be far in excess of the cost of routing access across Dunball Wharf and providing accommodation, storage, parking etc. etc. on the Hinkley site itself. Points I heard made at the open exhibition were as follows: â€¢ Dunball would be more costly and possibly add a fu	9341-951-4805		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 432	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 1	<p>8. What do you think of our proposals for the use of Combwich Wharf?</p> <p>I think that both Bridgwater sites should be used in order to capture traffic leaving the motorway at either junction rather than a choice between them.</p> <p>If a site was chosen either in Bridgwater or closer to Bridgwater than Cannington, such as on the straight piece of A39 as already suggested for a Park and Ride neither CAN A or CAN B would be required.</p> <p>I have a particular problem with the siting of this facility at CAN B due to my house 1 Putnell Cottages being at the centre of this land - see the box outlined on the map for CAN B. Our quality of life would be disturbed from rural view to that of a transport depot with the associated noise, exhaust and lighting pollution.</p>	9352-951-6377	/			
Tractivity 596	Public	Stage 1	<p>4. Any other ideas or comments?</p> <p>If the direct route from Dunball as suggested in comment 5 was adopted temporary accommodation could be provided along its route at sensible locations this would remove the need to impact the area around Cannington any more than was absolutely necessary. The campus accommodation at Bridgwater may cause some initial concerns but when shown the financial benefits of the as money would inevitably flow into the local economy.</p>	9262-951-1317	/			
Tractivity 62573	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	<p>9i. Comment</p> <p>This seems ok to me. But I thought the Innovia site had just been given the go ahead to build many new houses!</p>	10124-951-5490	/			
Tractivity 62582	Public	Stage 2	<p>Q9 i</p> <p>The Innovia site is a good site and well positioned, but a larger one to accommodate all the workers would be better. Bridgwater would benefit from this as the local people could use the new facilities that would be provided for the workers, for example a sports centre and swimming pool would be wonderful for Bridgwater.</p>	10133-951-6864	/			
Holford Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	<p>Holford Parish Council considers that, instead of providing 'temporary' accommodation on the site of the old cellophane factory in Bridgwater, new permanent housing should be built which could become 'affordable' housing when the construction is complete. This would bring real benefit to a deprived area and play a major part in avoiding some of the social problems which can arise from on-site hostel-type accommodation.</p>	10224-951-2287		/		
Somerset Councils and SNEG	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	<p>- There are serious objections to the associated development proposals.</p>	10240-951-1901			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Federation of Bridgwater Practices	Non-Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	We recommend: - New Community Hospital/GP walk in Centre with extended/OOH capacity on the Innovia Site	10271-951-26668		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Authorities position May 2010: Exploration of provision of permanent buildings requested including for sheltered or emergency housing as part of a mitigation package Update August 2010: There are currently no proposals for permanent buildings at Bridgwater A. The authorities wish to continue to investigate alternative accommodation options with EDF Energy.	89328-951-3652		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Whilst there is an extant consent for some 2,000 residential properties in the wider North East Bridgwater area, the Council has concerns regarding the scale of the proposed accommodation campus on the basis of the temporary nature of units proposed and scale of the single bed units for male construction workers.	89359-951-8077	/			
Hallam Land Management	Consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	The North East Bridgwater scheme forms a central part of the development and regeneration strategy for Bridgwater as set out in the Bridgwater Vision agreed by all key stakeholders. It is of critical importance that the proposals for Hinkley Point and specifically the off site proposals for an accommodation campus on the site of the North East Bridgwater development (Bridgwater A campus), and for a Freight Logistics Facility and Park and Ride facility close to Junction 23 of the M5, do not result in any detrimental impacts upon the North East Bridgwater proposal and its early implementation.	89772-951-3921	/			
Hallam Land Management	Consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	1) There has been no systematic assessment of the cumulative impact of the Bridgwater-A and Bridgwater-C and park and ride proposals, alongside the North East Bridgwater proposals, to make sure that the North East Bridgwater proposals in their entirety are not compromised or negatively impacted upon in any way;	89772-951-6073	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	2.121 It is queried whether there is a possibility of increasing size of Accommodation Campus A if C is not viable. If so, this may require sensitivity testing.	89848-951-2838			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	SDC consider that the campus proposals, which include options for 850 and 1,000 bedspaces, have seen only small improvements from the Stage 2 proposals for 1,075 bedspaces.	89873-951-19104			/	
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	SDC do not support the principle of a temporary campus of the scale proposed and are concerned that there has been very limited progress towards addressing issues raised at Stage 2 and in responding to the approach set out in the Draft HPC SPD.	89873-951-19558			/	
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	This would involve a much closer working relationship on a genuine masterplanning approach the site, including a mix of permanent and temporary housing, with associated leisure facilities, to meet the design objectives and to further reduce impacts and ensure investment contributes to the wider Bridgwater Vision objectives.	89887-951-8120	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	The Council has noted that the Bridgwater C campus is a former landfill site and that further surveys will be undertaken over the coming months to confirm whether it has the load bearing capacity to enable it to be used for construction.	89859-1073-1020			/	Comments from the local authorities were received regarding the fact that the Bridgwater C accommodation campus is located upon a historic landfill site. Desk studies and extensive site investigations have been undertaken to determine the geotechnical properties of the soils and underlying strata and to identify possible contamination contained within the areas of landfill. In order to conform with the Environment Agency's guidance and legislation the a phased site investigation has been carried out.
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	3.2. The Council has noted that the Bridgwater C campus is a former landfill site and that further surveys will be undertaken over the coming months to confirm whether it has the load bearing capacity to enable it to be used for construction.	89867-1073-1852			/	The information obtained from the site investigations has been used to develop the design of the sub-structure, foundations and contamination protection measures. Deep piles founded upon the underlying bedrock would be required to support the buildings (Please refer to the Environmental Statement, Volume 4, Chapter 2 for further details).

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Hallam Land Management	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 1	<p>Hallam Land Management owns some 50% of the site identified as Option BRI-A and has secured a resolution to grant consent for a major mixed use development that comprises: 2000 dwellings, 110,000sq metres of a mix of commercial and business uses, retail units, business start ups etc. The application includes details of the access arrangements to both the A38 to the west and to the A39 to the south. The proposals include some 8 hectares of sports facilities, local areas for play, a primary school, comprehensive landscape and biodiversity proposals. In securing a resolution to grant consent for the development, Hallam Land Management has secured the agreement of all principal stakeholders such as the Environment Agency, Natural England, County Council etc to the proposals.</p> <p>It is anticipated that full consent will be granted very shortly. The proposals include detailed plans for a Regional Distribution Centre for Wm Morrison PLC who is committed to developing the site at the earliest opportunity. The construction programme is envisaged to commence in June 2010. This will include the delivery of necessary infrastructure including links to the strategic road network, and related services and facilities.</p> <p>The scheme also enjoys a substantial commitment by the Government's Homes and Communities Agency to fund the provision of infrastructure and affordable housing so long as such housing can be delivered on an accelerated timescale. Construction of some of the housing units is anticipated in 2010/11 and is unconstrained thereafter.</p> <p>Hallam Land Management considers that the availability of the BRI-A option site, with all necessary infrastructure, and in a key sustainable location, requires that this option be afforded the highest priority by EDF Energy in identifying opportunities for accommodation in Bridgwater.</p>	8760-944-6274			/	<p>At Stage 1 of the consultation, one consultee with an interest in the land referred to the existing resolution in favour of mixed use development of an area including some of the Bridgwater A site. Comments on design alternatives were provided at Stage 2 of the consultation by Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council, requesting that EDF consider a development that is a mix of permanent and temporary accommodation.</p> <p>EDF Energy has identified the need to provide two proposed accommodation campuses for construction workers within Bridgwater, in addition to that which would be provided at the Hinkley Point C development site.</p> <p>EDF Energy has considered several locations for prospective campus sites. EDF Energy considers that the proposed Bridgwater A campus site, which would be located to the north of the A39 Bath Road at the south-west corner of the area identified as North East Bridgwater, would be a suitable site for a worker accommodation campus for a number of reasons, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> it would be in close proximity to the proposed Bridgwater C accommodation campus site that would enable the sharing of resources and reduction of the amount of overall development across the two campus sites;
Tractivity 853	Public	Stage 2	<p>9a. Any other ideas or comments?</p> <p>This site is huge, if the Bridgwater bypass is not an option why not put the freight transfer and park and ride facilities at this site also?</p>	9611-944-4267			/	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the proposed accommodation campus site would be located close to local and national transport links and within easy walking and cycling distance of the town centre;
Tractivity 1087	Public	Stage 2	<p>9a. Any other ideas or comments?</p> <p>Why not use the room available on the Innovia site for a larger camp.</p>	9845-944-4724			/	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the proposed site is largely 'brownfield' and there would be opportunities to provide future use of the site by others; and the proposed site is identified within local development plans as a redevelopment site.
Tractivity 1221	Public	Stage 2	<p>2) The temporary construction on the old cellophane site in Bridgwater, could that not be permanent, and afterwards made available as affordable housing? The extra workers in Bridgwater would hardly be noticed in a population of 35000. This would also be a legacy to the town afterwards</p>	9979-944-2050			/	<p>EDF Energy has reconsidered and reduced the number of proposed workers that would be accommodated at the Bridgwater A accommodation campus, following consultation with statutory and other consultees. EDF Energy would locate 850 workers on the site, allowing for 150 workers from Bridgwater C accommodation campus to share the proposed amenity facilities at Bridgwater A, which</p>
Tractivity 1236	Public	Stage 2 Update	<p>Unacceptable. You have totally ignored the possibility of a road from the M5. This would provide proper relief for the towns/villages affected, and provide you with a more robust infrastructure.</p>	89502-944-541			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Federation of Bridgwater Practices	Non-Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	NHS land, currently earmarked for a new community hospital (at some future date), is available near the proposed Bridgwater College campus for a "land swop" should a hospital development on the Innovia site be of interest to EDF and could provide additional accommodation or investment land.	10271-944-7557			/	EDF Energy believes would be an acceptable increase to the population of Bridgwater at this location. Campus Context EDF Energy recognises that the proposed Bridgwater A accommodation campus site would be located on land formerly occupied largely by the industrial structures of the British Cellophane Factory, within the North East Bridgwater area that is a designated development site within Bridgwater. Development is currently underway to the north of North East Bridgwater (the Wm Morrison distribution centre).
Tractivity 62469	Public	Stage 2	aa) Sites taken over for campuses deny Bridgwater sites that could otherwise be developed for business/residential purposes that would offer real variety and range of opportunities for both genders. As it is proposed, women will lose out in a big way for years	89470-944-11079		/		Further, EDF Energy understands the issues that relate to the proposed development of the North East Bridgwater area, and the constraints and opportunities that affect it and the proposed Bridgwater A campus design would endeavour to address these issues. EDF Energy would not propose to locate any other facilities other than those relating to the provision of an accommodation campus.
Highways Agency	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	- The access to Bridgwater A Campus (zone 69) does not appear to have been coded as described in the Transport Appraisal (5). It is suggested that the access will provided "via a new three arm traffic signal controlled junction opposite to Frederick Road". It is also stated that the existing A39 Bath Road/Frederick Road priority junction would be closed to traffic and would result in rerouting via Trevor Road.	89176-944-3642			/	Campus Operations The Bridgwater A accommodation campus would be a discrete, secure campus and a safe environment for workers. As such it would not be possible to allow access into or across the site to the public. The operational requirements of the campus would require site provisions and designs that are different from residential development. It would not be possible for EDF Energy to provide for housing under an application to the Infrastructure Planning Commission (IPC). However, following consultation with statutory consultees, the local community and the general public, the campus designs allow for the availability of the proposed sports facilities for use by members of the public and local community. The Bridgwater A Design and Access Statement (DAS) has been amended to locate these facilities close to the A39 Bath Road.
Highways Agency	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	The access junction to A Campus has not been signalised in any of the 2016 future year development scenarios and the Fredrick Road approach is still open to all traffic. This should be rectified.	89176-944-4059			/	Facilities that EDF Energy would provide at the Bridgwater A accommodation campus would ensure that workers would be well catered for but would not preclude workers using facilities in Bridgwater.
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	The access to Bridgwater A Campus (zone 69) does not appear to have been coded as described in the Transport Appraisal (2). It is suggested that the access will provided "via a new three arm traffic signal controlled junction opposite to Frederick Road". It is also stated that the existing A39 Bath Road/Frederick Road priority junction would be closed to traffic and would result in rerouting via Trevor Road.	89235-944-2694			/	
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	The access junction to A Campus has not been signalised in any of the 2016 future year development scenarios and the Fredrick Road approach is still open to all traffic. It is recommended that this is rectified.	89235-944-3112			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<p>Authorities position May 2010: Exploration of provision of permanent buildings requested including for sheltered or emergency housing as part of a mitigation package</p> <p>Update August 2010: There are currently no proposals for permanent buildings at Bridgwater A. The authorities wish to continue to investigate alternative accommodation options with EDF Energy.</p>	89328-944-3652		/		The form and layout of the proposed Bridgwater A accommodation campus and structures would be influenced by a number of factors including the number of workers that need to be accommodated there, the site conditions, the location and setting of Sydenham Manor, the location of the recreational facilities so that they would be accessible to the local community; and the nature of the development potential of the North East Bridgwater Area as a whole.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<p>Authorities position May 2010: Consideration of conversion of some spaces to commercial use</p> <p>Update August 2010: No formal consideration has been made in response to this request.</p>	89328-944-4023		/		The form and layout of the Bridgwater A accommodation campus and buildings is not the same as the residential development in the surrounding areas and it would not be possible for EDF Energy to apply to build permanent housing for workers under an application to the IPC. The Bridgwater A campus proposals would however allow for future development upon the site following cessation of operational use by EDF Energy.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<p>Authorities position May 2010 Information about how 10% energy standards and or Code for Sustainable Homes and BREEAM standards will be achieved</p> <p>Update August 2010 No information provided.</p>	89329-944-1674	/			The campus facilities are designed to be visually stimulating and would include worker accommodation designed to a high standard. This would include bedrooms with en-suite bathrooms grouped together within discrete accommodation blocks, and catering, amenity and welfare facilities located within a consolidated amenity building. EDF Energy has committed to designing the Bridgwater A accommodation campus to a BRE Environmental Assessment Method standard of 'Very Good'. The proposed design of the campus would be fully inclusive and provide for accessible needs and would be suitable for use by both male and female workers.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The move away from developing other sites, including a lack of progress on alternative sites in the town centre is not currently considered to be satisfactory and is contrary to the advice offered by the local planning authority.	89358-944-9471		/		The Code for Sustainable Homes is not applicable to the Bridgwater A accommodation campus as it would not be a residential development.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	This is an important Bridgwater gateway location. The Councils would wish to see design solutions that present high quality buildings and, where appropriate, public art interventions at key locations. The opportunity to create more permanent and landmark buildings would be welcome.	89359-944-5765			/	Following consultation with statutory and other consultees, the proposed campus DAS includes the area to the south western corner of the proposed site, adjacent to the A39 Bath Road, and the layouts have been modified to ensure that proposed campus buildings are set away from the existing Sydenham Manor House to respect its setting and landscaping. Sydenham Manor House and grounds sit outside the proposed Bridgwater A development area. The existing context and setting of the Manor House is

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	It is not clear why the parcel of land at the south western corner of NE Bridgwater development area has been excluded from some of the plans from the AD development site. Access through this area, together with landscaping should be considered as part of the comprehensive redevelopment proposals	89359-944-6908	/			open waste ground and partially demolished structures. EDF Energy has committed to remove the structures and remediate the proposed site to improve the setting. The proposed perimeter landscaping and appropriate lighting design would mitigate the landscape impacts of the campus proposals and the boundary fencing required to ensure that operational requirements of EDF Energy would be met.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The level of integration between the construction campus and wider community is a priority subject for discussion with EDF Energy, and there is a desire on the part of the Councils that the site will be permeable and accessible, with any security related to the design of buildings rather than involving site fencing. This would enable Bridgwater residents to cross the site and use its facilities should they wish to	89359-944-7212		/		The Bridgwater A accommodation site would have the potential for re-use after the cessation of operational use by EDF Energy. The proposed structures would be designed to facilitate deconstruction and might be re-used in part elsewhere. Further details of the proposed Bridgwater A accommodation campus can be found within the Bridgwater A Campus DAS .
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	It is not clear how the proposals for Bridgwater A will assist in enhancing the Listed Manor House and grounds which are a key point of focus for the NE Bridgwater site. The current treatment of the Manor is considered inadequate, since it does not propose any positive use for it, and attempts to shield it by landscaping, rather than providing glimpses which would maximise the benefit of this asset for the environment. The proposal should seek to make this asset as a key feature of the regeneration of the site and bring the facility back into use.	89359-944-8993	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The Council is not convinced that the current security measures are an appropriate response in a town centre location and request an alternative strategy in accordance with this consultation response is put forward to better integrate proposals into communities and the town itself.	89359-944-15825		/		
Tractivity 63026	Public	Stage 2 Update	Wanted to know why has the access to/from the A38 from Bridgwater A not been considered now?	89700-944-0			/	
Bridgwater Town Council	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	The Town Council would also commend the opportunity and that this should be taken to re-develop brown field sites in various locations. In addition to North East Bridgwater the cattle market and Federal mogul spring to mind and sites with planning permission providing numbers of units e.g. Land off Monmouth Street and land off the Clink (formerly Bigwood and Staple) and to refurbish dereliction or make new use - for example the Classic Cinema buildings and the ex-HMRC tax office. The longer term legacy and uplift which would flow from such forward looking proposals would be welcomed.	89746-944-3812			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	SDC's preferred approach would be for EDFE to submit an application to SDC for a mix of permanent and temporary build accommodation, consistent with the approved design principles for the site. The original intent was to integrate into this site for family housing and this should remain a consideration.	89873-944-20165		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	<p>- Finally, where temporary buildings are being used, the Councils would welcome EDFE considering how they might be reused for the benefit of local communities, provided solutions reflect needs and aspirations as suggested by</p> <p>policy and local residents and are viable long term from a financial perspective.</p> <p>6.6 Bridgwater A - the Former Innovia Site</p> <p>Summary of key changes</p> <p>Given the reduced resolution of masterplan images it is not entirely possible to be certain about the detail of changes between the Stage 2 proposals and what is presented now. The following changes are noted:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The scale of the Campus has been reduced by 225 spaces (or 75 if the Rugby Club site is not developed); - The overall footprint of the development has been reduced as shown on page 13 of the update document; - It would appear that parking provision on the site will be retained although to a lesser, but unknown, extent; - The number of sports areas has been reduced and relocated to the Bath Road boundary side of the site; - The security perimeter appears to exclude the sports pitch areas and this is confirmed in supporting text; - Public access to the sports pitches is proposed; - There is less detailing provided in relation to boundary treatments on Bath road; - Bus parking and turning areas have been reduced but it is not clear how this might be managed; and - There appears to be less landscape planting proposed between the Innovia site and the Sydenham house boundary. 	89887-945-0	/			<p>Comments were received from Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset District Council in relation to development of the area north of the proposed development site, and on landscaping.</p> <p>The landscape proposals have been designed to produce the most appropriate landscape setting and screening of the facility, having regard to its temporary nature. Landscape design also takes account of the ecological objectives of the area, ecological mitigation requirements of the site and consistency with the North-East Bridgwater masterplan.</p> <p>Enhancements to the boundary of Sydenham Manor would see the introduction of a rhyne with trees located on the north side of the rhyne widely spaced in informal groups. This would support the aspiration of the North-East Bridgwater masterplan to present the manor house within a parkland setting (with existing conifer hedging potentially removed in the future). To the extreme south of the site, an existing area of open space would also receive sympathetic landscape treatment. Mature willows and poplars would be retained and a line of new poplars introduced to complement the existing planting and enhance the Bath Road frontage adjacent to the southern access road.</p>
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	<p>Sedgemoor District Council is particularly concerned about the lack of proposals for the undeveloped part of the site shown to the north and the reduced amount of landscaping. It is expected that the current proposals will do even less than previously to enhance the setting of Sydenham Manor. Further to this, the left over area of open space to the extreme south of the site and the predominance of parking onto the Bath Road frontage all need to be given further and proper consideration. This will be essential to ensure that effective landscape design solutions are developed now and lay appropriate foundations for the long term development of housing and open space on this site.</p>	89887-945-4184	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council & West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - Local Authority and Consultee with an Interest in Land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 1	It is important to stress that there would need to be some flexibility to ensure legacy benefits are realised i.e. adaptability of buildings and layout of services. The possibility of later conversion of buildings to B1 offices would assist in the delivery of the employment earmarked for this development and would offer greater advantage than buildings that are restricted only to future flatted residential use.	88420-946-5237	/			<p>EDF Energy has received a wide range of comments regarding the potential post-operational use of the Bridgwater A accommodation campus (the proposed development) and associated facilities. Many consultees said that any proposed accommodation within the former Innovia cellophane packing factory site should provide permanent and, in particular, affordable housing for Bridgwater. Other consultees suggested a range of uses and developments that respondents might like to see on the site once EDF Energy no longer requires it during the construction of Hinkley Point C (HPC).</p> <p>EDF Energy has sought to identify ways to assist in meeting broader aspirations for each associated development site beyond its operational life, whilst balancing operational requirements. As stated above, the site forms part of the former Innovia cellophane packing factory site, which ceased operation in 2005. Many of the buildings are now run down and are currently being demolished by the landowner to facilitate the delivery of part of the North East Bridgwater scheme which has outline planning permission for employment uses and residential development (Planning Application Reference: 09/08/00017).</p> <p>As there is an extant outline planning permission on the site, it is proposed that the post-operational state for the site could range from partial retention to partial restoration of the infrastructure implemented by EDF Energy. This will enable retention of infrastructure that would help facilitate any subsequent development of the site; and removal of infrastructure which would not facilitate this development. Further information on EDF Energy's approach to post-operational uses and mechanisms for achieving this are detailed in the post-operational strategy, which is appended to the Planning Statement.</p> <p>If, after EDF Energy stops using the accommodation campus, the future developer seeks to use any additional infrastructure which is not currently proposed to be retained, they would need to submit a planning application to vary the North East Bridgwater planning permission or any subsequent relevant planning permission.</p> <p>A number of consultees stated that they would like to</p>
Hallam Land Management	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 1	In terms of end uses, hotel accommodation, training facilities, sheltered accommodation, small and medium scale business uses, recreational facilities are all planned as part of the North Bridgwater site and as such entirely appropriate to it. It is anticipated that such end uses in this location would be warmly welcomed by local stakeholders in this location.	8760-946-8923	/			
Tractivity 742	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Must leave a "legacy" of affordable accommodation for Bridgwater	9500-946-4282		/		
Tractivity 763	Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments? What long-term legacy benefit will Bridgwater get? How will you support local health, police and education facilities? VERY VAGUE STATEMENTS! This is a huge amount of people to swamp an area with. Local accommodation to rent is already hard to find. What in real terms do you plan to do for our community (see questions above)? People in this area already have a shortage of facilities (i.e. no swimming pool etc) People might feel more at ease with the scheme if they felt it was give and take	9521-946-1810	/			
Tractivity 927	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? I agree with the principle of using this site but would like to see buildings which would be more permanent that could be used once they are no longer needed by EDF. Further uses for the buildings would be office / light industrial / retail. This would mean that the buildings would have to be designed with this use in mind. Not a big task but it would leave a lasting legacy to Bridgwater.	9685-946-4914			/	
Tractivity 985	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? This is in the correct location but I think it should house far more workers, with good leisure facilities for the use of Bridgwater residents who have recently had their swimming pool taken away - leisure facilities for Bridgwater would be a good legacy and encourage economic growth there.	9743-946-7806	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 986	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Good location but should house more workers. It should have sports facilities available for the use of Bridgwater residents who have recently lost their swimming pool. These facilities could be used afterwards when the construction of Hinkley C is complete. A good legacy for Bridgwater, good for the people, they deserve it.	9744-946-6670	/			<p>see the accommodation campus retained and used for affordable housing following cessation of use by EDF Energy. EDF Energy considers campus accommodation to be the best approach to providing the additional accommodation required for workers during the construction of HPC. However, due to EDF Energy's operational requirements and the need to house a relatively large number of workers in the most efficient way, the accommodation buildings on the campus comprise blocks of single-occupancy bedrooms. These would not be suitable for conversion into housing. This type of worker accommodation is not appropriate for family-style housing or the requirements and lifestyle of permanent residents in the local area. More information regarding the requirements for campus accommodation can be found in the Accommodation Strategy.</p> <p>Comments were received which queried how EDF Energy would support local health, police and education facilities. EDF Energy consulted on a suggested approach to the principal requirements and obligations that may be relevant to HPC as part of its Stage 2 consultation and suggested further changes as part of its Stage 2 Update consultation. Since that time, EDF Energy has continued to develop its proposals for development consent obligations. It is demonstrated within the Planning Statement that a systematic and comprehensive approach has been taken to address all potential impacts of the development, to limit them where possible and to mitigate them where significant residual impacts remain.</p> <p>Whilst EDF Energy's overriding objective is to deliver accommodation temporarily for non-home-based workers in an efficient and effective manner, EDF Energy has also sought to identify ways to meet broader aspirations for legacy development outside of the application for Development Consent. As part of the Stage 2 Update consultation, EDF Energy explained that it would provide additional support for housing in the local area by establishing a Housing Fund. This would provide financial support to a range of initiatives to boost the housing market, for example by improving the existing housing stock, bringing vacant properties back into use and facilitating the delivery of new housing, including affordable housing.</p>
Tractivity 1062	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Work with the local community to leave legacy buildings that work for them.	9820-946-4706	/			
Tractivity 1091	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Bridgwater Innovia site is indeed a current problem and needs positive development which will leave a lasting legacy for Bridgwater. A smaller amount of workers in affordable housing seems a better solution for this site, so that the area can be used once building at HPC has been completed. However considerable work will need to be done to deal with the traffic problem, as Bath Rd is nearly always congested, already! Also is the land safe and healthy for people to live on? I am informed there will doubtless be serious toxicities in the soil, remnants from the previous activities on site.	9849-946-9208	/			
Tractivity 1174	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? If permanent housing is provided which can be sold off after construction	9932-946-4858		/		
Tractivity 1175	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Housing estates including flats should be built to accommodate these personnel which could be resold on completion of the project. This would be beneficial to the local area now, and in the future.	9933-946-5676		/		
Tractivity 1190	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? I would want all workers accommodated on site. However, I understand you would pay for this site to be decontaminated and if you build GOOD quality accommodation that can be easily and cheaply altered for use as low cost/rented, etc housing for local people the MAYBE this will be of some benefit. You should operate minibuses from here to ferry the workers to and from the construction site so they don't drive themselves back and forth.	9948-946-5268		/		
Tractivity 1194	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? See Q4	9952-946-5777			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 1195	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Needs to be extended and built in such a way that the town can benefit after workers leave. The social and sports facilities should be open to all to provide integration of the workers with the local population and improve the facilities in Bridgwater.	9953-946-6813	/			
Tractivity 1221	Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments? 1) Accommodation to be built on site would have a detrimental impact on the village of Burton, which would already be paying a high price during construction. 2) The temporary construction on the old cellophane site in Bridgwater, could that not be permanent, and afterwards made available as affordable housing? The extra workers in Bridgwater would hardly be noticed in a population of 35000. This would also be a legacy to the town afterwards.	9979-946-1856		/		
Tractivity 1221	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? But would like to see it hold more, and be permanent, made available for affordable housing afterwards. EDF legacy to Bridgwater?	9979-946-5363		/		
Tractivity 322	Public	Stage 1	7. Do you think it would benefit the local community for these park and ride facilities to continue to operate once construction is complete and, if so, on which sites? Q7b & 7c more than one option ticked. Any accommodation/park and ride should be concentrated to N2W of Bridgwater I do not consider they would benefit local community when construction is over.	9010-946-3414	/			
Holford Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	Holford Parish Council considers that, instead of providing 'temporary' accommodation on the site of the old cellophane factory in Bridgwater, new permanent housing should be built which could become 'affordable' housing when the construction is complete. This would bring real benefit to a deprived area and play a major part in avoiding some of the social problems which can arise from on-site hostel-type accommodation.	10224-946-2287		/		
Landowner - Innovia Cellophane Limited	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	Innovia appreciate that EDF consider that it is necessary to forward plan for the large number of construction workers that will be needed to construct the power station. However, they would like to make it clear that they will not enter into arrangements with EDF in respect of their land at the site unless, firstly, they are satisfied that the appropriate agreements are in place to ensure that the development of their landholding is not prejudiced and, secondly, they are satisfied with the legacy that the development will leave to the town. In this respect Innovia are pleased to see the numerous references in the documentation to 'legacy', but comment that at present these references are inconsistent and vague.	10241-946-854			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Landowner - Innovia Cellophane Limited	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	- The promised EDF legacy must 'fit' with a development that will follow it. However, what will follow will only emerge following specific discussion and agreement in respect of access; servicing including drainage; the disposition of open space; landscaping and road layout/hierarchy.	10241-946-3138	/			
Landowner - Innovia Cellophane Limited	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	If EDF are unwilling to negotiate an interest in the site within a genuine legacy plan that does not prejudice the delivery of the remainder of the permitted development then Innovia are very likely to object to the EDF proposals for Bridgwater A.	10241-946-3824			/	
Federation of Bridgwater Practices	Non-Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	It is also shameful that the proposed workers housing complex on the Innovia site will be demolished on completion of construction. These homes could be used to provide continued affordable housing and associated social facilities for many in our area. In the current climate (both economic and environmental) the inclusion of disposable housing is an anathema.	10271-946-12440		/		
Tractivity 62469	Public	Stage 2	The campus next to the college is not designed to house students who require purpose built, self-catering facilities, not a canteen. Besides, after construction workers have lived there it will be so damaged and filthy it won't be attractive to anyone. They can only be doss houses, fit to be torn down afterwards. As for the idea of a hotel - totally unsuitable. We don't want more boring, bland, affordable housing. The whole of the town is affordable housing compared to the national average house price. We need luxury accommodation and I don't see EDF giving that to your workers.	89470-946-10490		/		
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	- Legacy and legibility of the BRI-A site, and whether it can be designed in a high quality manner as an integrated masterplanned wider development, particularly if part of it is developed separately at a different stage and for a different purpose and intended lifespan.	89200-946-5001		/		
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	- The impact of the proposal BRI-A upon the wider outline application, how it will integrate (or not), and legacy implications all need to be given full consideration and explained.	89203-946-7961	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	- Legacy of sites and their infrastructure needs to be sustainable and adaptable for future uses and occupants. Further clarity and detail would be welcomed about the design, appearance and longevity of BRI-C built development (Bridgwater Albion Rugby Club site accommodation campus).	89203-946-8146			/	
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	9. The proposed Legacy Plans for the accommodation and park & ride/freight sites should set out, prior to the DCO submission, how they will provide long term economic/tourism mitigation, compensation and legacy for Somerset communities through entrepreneurial approaches, such as joint ventures, and contribute to the low carbon Unique Selling Proposition of Somerset.	89211-946-4136	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Reducing the visual impact is limited to some landscaping at the site and associated sites but has given little regard to the county's green infrastructure and how any mitigations or legacies would contribute to the overall strategy for the county and its positive image and branding as a visitor destination.	89218-946-6389	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	No legacy strategy has yet been provided and the approach of EDF Energy is that legacy uses can be determined and agreed post submission and determination of a DCO application. The local authorities disagree with this approach and believe that agreement to potential legacy uses for the associated development sites should be the starting point for considering the design and layout of the sites.	89325-946-2240		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Authorities position May 2010: A legacy plan and strategy for delivering legacy uses was required Update August 2010: No legacy strategy has yet been provided and the approach of EDF Energy is that legacy uses can be determined and agreed post submission and determination of a DCO application. The local authorities disagree with this approach and believe that agreement to potential legacy uses for the associated development sites should be the starting point for considering the design and layout of the sites.	89328-946-2798			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<p>Authorities position May 2010: Exploration of provision of permanent buildings requested including for sheltered or emergency housing as part of a mitigation package</p> <p>Update August 2010: There are currently no proposals for permanent buildings at Bridgwater A. The authorities wish to continue to investigate alternative accommodation options with EDF Energy.</p>	89328-946-3652	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<p>Authorities position May 2010: Legacy use for sports and leisure facilities with preference for a larger facility in a location accessible to both A and C with a viable legacy use for Bridgwater</p> <p>Update August 2010: Legacy uses for sport and leisure facilities have not been identified and there is a concern that the sport and leisure facilities at Bridgwater A are not appropriately located for a more permanent legacy use.</p>	89328-946-7590			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<p>Authorities position May 2010: Any proposal for long term legacy community use to be located in an accessible location</p> <p>Update August 2010: No clarity on this issue provided.</p>	89328-946-8610			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<p>There is a potential legacy use for the site that could contribute to the creation of an exemplar sustainable development in NE Bridgwater. However there is currently no evidence that the AD proposals would contribute towards the long term aims for NE Bridgwater.</p>	89359-946-40		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> While the development must deal with the contamination on the site, and on adjoining land to the extent that this adjoining contamination may affect the proposed development, this treatment will not be regarded as a legacy benefit. 	89359-946-341			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	will improve certainty that this investment will take place.	89359-946-850			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A legacy plan and strategy for delivering legacy uses on the site are considered by the authorities as essential. These should be in accordance with the policy objectives and existing planning proposals. Should EDF Energy continue to pursue the strategy of a construction campus at NE Bridgwater, the following minimum standards will be requested: Further clarification on phasing of implementation and profiling of accommodation types to reflect different worker needs; A robust management system for site management and management of associated activities that will impact on Bridgwater town centre and communities' Sensitive integration of the accommodation campus with the surrounding communities; Creation of landmark structures in key visible locations that support the creation of a positive image of Bridgwater to both visitors and passers by; Enhanced traffic management and improved public transport; Accessible community and recreational facilities that will help improve social cohesion and health infrastructure for the long-term benefit of existing and future residents, including links to and support services within the town centre; Creation of an energy centre that supports supply of low and zero carbon energy supply to existing communities. 	89359-946-914	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<p>The Councils wish to explore with EDF Energy the comparative financial and environmental benefits of delivering only temporary buildings, as opposed to a mix of temporary and permanent buildings, or all permanent buildings.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Councils also wish to explore establishing an agreed timescale for restoration (full or partial) of the site to ensure that any temporary buildings do not assume a long term permanent role. The Councils are particularly interested in exploring the provision of permanent dwellings to meet longer term operational workforce needs, the provision of a mix of housing options and tenures including affordable housing, and indeed provision for sheltered or emergency housing as part of a mitigation package for the impact on the housing sector. 	89359-946-2395		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consideration should be given to the conversion of some space to commercial facilities to support longer term vision for investment in north east Bridgwater. Details are required of the specification of the modular housing being considered, its life span, and the ability to re-engineer and modify it, for different uses, that could meet local needs. 	89359-946-3321		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<p>interested to understand if the modular housing could be moved to different locations and to see evidence of successful re-use in other locations.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Council's wish to see proposals for low and zero carbon energy technologies, proposed as part of the Campus Accommodation development, including details of how these technologies could be retained to service existing properties in the area in the long term. EDF Energy should demonstrate how the servicing of the site (through provision of internal roads, utility infrastructure or access arrangements) fits with the longer term uses intended for the site, so that this investment is not wasted. 	89359-946-3705		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<p>Proposals for legacy use of the construction worker accommodation as student accommodation and college use are supported. The provision of a legacy plan showing any modifications that would be required would be beneficial.</p>	89359-946-4387		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<p>The plans do not give any indication of the type, size and method of construction of the units within the blocks. Without this information it is not possible to assess whether the blocks could potentially be used (as constructed) for a legacy (ideally residential) use or whether there would need to be significant adaptation of the blocks to make them suitable for a permanent use.</p>	89359-946-10863	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<p>Given the assertion that there will be minimal peak hour traffic the traffic signal controlled junction with the A39 for Bridgwater A appears to be over-designed. If this is intended to be a legacy for the North-east Bridgwater development it is in the wrong place.</p>	89360-946-16399	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Linkages for pedestrians and cyclists to the town centre are poor. The foot/cycle bridge over the railway proposed with the North-east Bridgwater development is not provided. This would improve linkages from Bridgwater A and provide legacy benefit.	89360-946-16670		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The local authorities consider that the agreement to legacy plans for Bridgwater A and Bridgwater C twelve months prior to the end of operation of these facilities, as set out at paragraphs 14.7 and 14.8, is totally unacceptable. Legacy plans should inform the design and operation of the accommodation proposals for these sites and therefore should be agreed prior to the submission of a DCO application.	89421-946-1503		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	There is also a lack of clarity of the legacy to be provided generally by the scheme and specifically in communities such as Bridgwater.	89430-946-13267			/	
Bridgwater Town Council	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	Bridgwater A shows little long term benefit possibly more short term problems. Long term benefit could be gained if the plans were aligned more closely to plans for North East Bridgwater and for example buildings and roadways being more permanent adding to the affordable housing in the town.	89746-946-3520		/		
Innovia Cellophane Limited	Consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	It is also noted that EDFE propose to "better align our plans with the proposed long-term vision for the development of the site for housing, so that utilities and roads, for example, can be used after EDFE no longer needs the site". Whilst the principle of this approach is welcomed, our client would expect detailed discussions on these points to ensure that this aspiration is achievable. Furthermore, the issue of legacy is not referred to in detail within the Stage 2a consultation and this potentially has a significant impact on the future development of the site and the extant planning consent. We would expect this to be addressed and conveyed through the consultation process.	89761-946-5297	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Bridgwater College	Consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	We are pleased to see the intention made explicit that new sports facilities should be made available to the general public. We would urge EDF to consider the location of these sports facilities to ensure that these connect with and complement existing facilities, and infrastructure. We are particularly interested to see this realised at Cannington and North East Bridgwater. In both locations there would be an opportunity to significantly enhance the legacy for the College, schools and the general community.	89765-946-700	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	2.118 Is it intended that the internal road layout would remain post construction as a legacy? If so the Transport Assessment will need to assume a post construction level of traffic and determine if the access to the A39 is acceptable.	89848-946-2266			/	
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	As raised with EDFE on an ongoing basis, a key concern for the Councils is the impact of a worker campus of this scale on the local resident population. Accommodating up to 1,000 workers in a single location is likely to generate a number of problems that need to be properly explored, assessed and mitigated. These risks include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Embedding and prolonging perceptions of North East Bridgwater as low value industrial/business area, directly contravening regeneration plans and ongoing investment; - Concentration of anti-social behaviour associated with the campus, both from campus residents and from neighbouring areas; - Increased traffic movement affecting road safety; and - Creation of a poor quality built environment, prolonging the negative impact of having a derelict industrial legacy in the area when investment could deliver high quality buildings and spaces that could instead make a positive contribution. 	89887-946-4874	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	<p>6.6.5 Legacy Use</p> <p>In keeping with the principles described in the Councils' SPD and reflecting once again on the issues set out in the Councils' Stage 2 response in October 2010, the Councils would continue to expect EDFE to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Provide a link from the site across the railway in the form of a pedestrian bridge in support of the NE Bridgwater design principles; - Ensure that proper integration of the rhyne network is developed in partnership with the Internal Drainage Board and the Environment Agency; - Invest in permanent high quality frontage on Bath Road and on the railway boundary to create an appropriate statement in respect of quality permanent development; - Establish a road layout that will be suitable for the longer term delivery of housing on this site. This will need to be agreed with Sedgemoor District Council who will be the decision making authority for the reserved matters application for this site. To ensure certainty on the road layout solution, the Council is keen to work with EDFE and the current landowner to expedite the delivery of the reserved matters applications for permanent housing on this site. - Provide for delivery of some permanent build housing on the site that can be used by workers in the short term and converted to 1,2 and 3 bed accommodation in the longer term; - Invest in recreation facilities that can be used by workers but that will also be suitable for use by local communities during and after the construction period. This will require EDFE to consider whether or not shared facilities will be appropriate, and/or if additional investment off site would be more appropriate; 	89887-946-6121		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council & West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - Local Authority and Consultee with an Interest in Land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 1	- For campus development to proceed at BRI-A it will be necessary to demonstrate that plans can be integrated with, and would not compromise, the design of a mixed-use sustainable community at North East Bridgwater.	88420-947-5021			/	<p>The proposed development would comprise living accommodation for 850 occupants, supported by recreational and amenity facilities which include: three football pitches (one full size and two 5-a-side pitches) and associated changing facilities; car, bus, motorcycle and bicycle parking spaces; an amenity building providing amongst other things administration, canteen, laundry, gymnasium and recreational facilities; and internal access roads. The facilities proposed have been amended since EDF Energy presented the masterplan as part of its Stage 2 consultation. This included the omission of health facilities, amongst other things. The nature of the facilities have derived from operational requirements, based on information received from potential contractors, and an understanding of existing facilities in the local area which could be utilised by non-home-based workers. Refer to the Environmental Statement (Volume 2) for details.</p> <p>The proposed development would be temporary, albeit there is the potential for the infrastructure to be retained once EDF Energy has ceased use of the site, in accordance with a post-operational scheme to be approved (see the Post-Operational Strategy appended to the Planning Statement for details). This will ensure that the post-operational scheme has regard to its context at the relevant time, to maximise opportunities for future development on the site following EDF Energy's use. This includes the potential for some infrastructure (such as the amenity building and sports pitches) to be retained following EDF Energy's use.</p> <p>The accommodation campus is required by EDF Energy for operational purposes. The provision of residential dwellings to accommodate non-home-based workers has been considered by EDF Energy and discounted, for the reasons explained in the Accommodation Strategy. On this basis the operational requirements for the proposed development have influenced the masterplan. Further details are provided in the Bridgwater A Design and Access Statement (DAS).</p> <p>One of the key issues raised was concern over the limited integration of the proposed development with the wider area, particularly the masterplan for the North East Bridgwater development and Sedgemoor District Council's Bridgwater Vision document. Concerns related to development being inward facing, not reflective of the 'gateway position' the site and its relationship with Sydenham Manor.</p> <p>EDF Energy requires the site to be secure for the duration of its construction and operation by EDF</p>
Tractivity 759	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Add more. I do not believe this will be enough to accommodate the numbers required	9517-947-4035			/	
Tractivity 763	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? This is a good site - could you not house more people in Bridgwater and bus them in?	9521-947-6109			/	
Tractivity 913	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Again, good use of derelict wasteland. Again as a former work on site of the old ?Cellophane? factory we as residents all want the site to be re-used and perhaps this could be further used to add 150 places down the road of the college way location.	9671-947-5678			/	
Tractivity 919	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Coupled with Dunball a good idea	9677-947-4067			/	
Tractivity 927	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? I agree with the principle of using this site but would like to see buildings which would be more permanent that could be used once they are no longer needed by EDF. Further uses for the buildings would be office / light industrial / retail. This would mean that the buildings would have to be designed with this use in mind. Not a big task but it would leave a lasting legacy to Bridgwater.	9685-947-4914		/		
Tractivity 986	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Good location but should house more workers. It should have sports facilities available for the use of Bridgwater residents who have recently lost their swimming pool. These facilities could be used afterwards when the construction of Hinkley C is complete. A good legacy for Bridgwater, good for the people, they deserve it.	9744-947-6670			/	
Tractivity 1035	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? It sounds like a refugee camp	9793-947-3914		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 1037	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? This is too great a concentration of numbers in one area of the town. It will lead to increased traffic with workers being bussed to and from Hinkley and workers using their private vehicles in their free time. If there is accomodation built on this site the setting of Sydenham Manor must be respected and treated with greater sensitivity than when British Cellophane was constructed in the 1930s.	9795-947-4966		/		Energy. Therefore public routes through the site have not been incorporated into the proposed development. The proposed development has been set back from the A39 (Bath Road) so not to preclude development from coming forward (under a town and country planning application determined by the local planning authority) whilst EDF Energy is in occupation of the land. This would enable the opportunity for any gateway development envisaged by relevant stakeholders to come forward. Buildings have been designed to be of a high quality to ensure that any vistas from outside the site (e.g. from the railway which runs along the western boundary of the site and from land to the east which may be visible should development of the adjoining parcel come forward whilst EDF Energy is in occupation) would be of a high quality. In terms of the proposed development's relationship with Sydenham Manor, the Manor is currently surrounded by tall coniferous trees which screen the redundant industrial buildings which surround the property. The extent of the proposed development site has been defined by the need to demolish buildings and remediate the land prior to construction of the relevant parcel. EDF Energy has sought to minimise the amount of land required during the operational phase, to ensure the efficient use of space. Consequently the built development is focused to the south of the development site. This would enable development to come forward during EDF Energy's occupation (subject to the relevant planning permission and other consents being in place). This would enable development to come forward which is reflective of the North East Bridgwater development principles. Nevertheless, the proposed landscaping scheme has had regard to the setting of the Grade II Listed property, to ensure that there would be no harm to the setting of the building during EDF Energy's occupation. Refer to the Environmental Statement (Chapter 15 and Chapter 16, Volume 3) for details of the landscape and visual and historic environment assessments for details. The scale of the proposed development was also raised by stakeholders. The scale of development proposed in Bridgwater during the evolution of the HPC Project. This has been informed by assessments undertaken to support the accommodation strategy (including an assessment of capacity in the local area) and feedback received to its consultation. The Accommodation Strategy explains that 1,000 bedspaces are required in campus accommodation in Bridgwater for non-home-based
Tractivity 1087	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Why not use the room available on the Innovia site for a larger camp.	9845-947-4724		/		
Tractivity 1089	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? I am very much against the campuses being so large.	9847-947-4762		/		
Tractivity 1091	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Bridgwater Innovia site is indeed a current problem and needs positive development which will leave a lasting legacy for Bridgwater. A smaller amount of workers in affordable housing seems a better solution for this site, so that the area can be used once building at HPC has been completed. However considerable work will need to be done to deal with the traffic problem, as Bath Rd is nearly always congested, already! Also is the land safe and healthy for people to live on? I am informed there will doubtless be serious toxicities in the soil, remnants from the previous activities on site.	9849-947-9208			/	
Tractivity 1166	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? In our opinion, make the above campuses larger to accomodate the 700 workers proposed at Hinkley Point C. Hinkley Campus would then NOT need to be built.	9924-947-4778	/			
Tractivity 1174	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? If permanent housing is provided which can be sold off after construction	9932-947-4858		/		
Tractivity 1175	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Housing estates including flats should be built to accomodate these personnel which could be resold on competition of the project. This would be beneficial to the local area now, and in the future.	9933-947-5676		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 1190	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? I would want all workers accomodated on site. However, I understand youu would pay for this site to be decontaminated and if you build GOOD quality accomodation that can be easily and chaeply altered for use as low cost/rented, etc housing for local people the MAYBE this will be of some benefit. You should operate minibuses from here to ferry the workers to and from the construction site so they dont drive themselves back and forth.	9948-947-5268		/		workers. There Bridgwater A and C accommodation campuses combined provide for 1,000 bedspaces (i.e. 850 at Bridgwater A and 150 at Bridgwater C). The scale of development proposed at each site has had regard to the specific nature of each site. The alternative sites and scale of development is described in the Alternative Site Assessment which is appended to the Planning Statement .
Tractivity 62582	Public	Stage 2	Q9 i The Innovia site is a good site and well positioned, but a larger one to accommodate all the workers would be better. Bridgwater would benefit from this as the local people could use the new facilities that would be provided for the workers, for example a sports centre and swimming pool would be wonderful for Bridgwater.	10133-947-6864		/		Feedback was received in terms of the accessibility of the site to local facilities and amenities. Bus services would be provided from the accommodation campuses to the Hinkley Point C development site for work trips. The provision of amenities within the accommodation would ensure the needs of occupants are accommodated for, albeit it is envisaged that occupants would use local amenities and facilities. The site is well sited to enable occupants to access these, which was one of the reasons for site selection in Bridgwater. Occupants would be able to walk, cycle and take public transport to access any amenities which are external to the accommodation campus. These features would ensure that EDF Energy's sustainable travel plan can be achieved. Refer to the Travel Plan and the Transport Assessment for details on the accessibility merits of the proposed development.
Wessex Water	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	The redevelopment of this area of Bridgwater is recognised, and is included in our strategic planning arrangements, we will continue to work with EDF's consultants to understand the foul drainage and water supply requirements of the resultant accommodation.	10199-947-2171			/	Some stakeholders sought access to some of the facilities to be provided at the accommodation campus. EDF Energy proposes access to the sports pitches, which have associated changing facilities. This would be available using a booking system, for the duration of EDF Energy's occupation of the site once available. Detailed comments were received in terms of the masterplan and design detail presented at the consultations. This detail has developed, having regard to feedback from consultation and design evolution, having regard to the expertise and advice of the design team. Proposals equivalent to a full planning application are now presented, which details amongst other things, drainage, access arrangements, building heights, elevation treatment and landscaping. Refer to the Bridgwater A DAS for details of the design proposals, explaining how the proposed development has evolved and the matters which have informed this.
Landowner - Innovia Cellophane Limited	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	Since the commencement of consultation, planning permission has of course been granted for the residential redevelopment of the land. The permission was the product of detailed negotiation and is the subject of a significant number of conditions (including a condition expressly linking the approved Parameters Plan to the permission) and a Planning Obligation that refers, amongst other things, to development triggers in relation to the provision of open space, strategic landscaping and a primary school. The existence of this planning permission and the ability to bring the site forward in parcels is very relevant and simply does not appear to have been taken into account in formulating EDF's published Masterplan proposals.	10241-947-1579	/			
Landowner - Innovia Cellophane Limited	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	However securing a successful outcome will require EDF to engage with Innovia, and this must proceed within the following context: - The EDF proposals must not undermine or constrain the practical or viable redevelopment of the remainder of the site. For example, the emerging proposals appear to fix the position of strategic landscaping, which is considered wholly inappropriate at this stage and show playing fields in a location, which is again wholly inappropriate in the context of any assessment of the existing site in isolation or indeed the context now provided by the Parameters Plan approved alongside and linked to the extant planning permission.	10241-947-2472	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Landowner - Innovia Cellophane Limited	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	It will therefore be critical that EDF work both with Innovia and Sedgemoor District Council with a view to developing a specific plan. EDF will need to be pro-active and flexible and work within any land disposal timetable and phasing fixed by Innovia. Only with this specific plan agreed will Innovia be content to enter into an agreement with EDF in respect of the proposed Bridgwater A site.	10241-947-3427			/	
Federation of Bridgwater Practices	Non-Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	Both Hinkley Point and the former Innovia site will have on site medical facilities. There is no indication if these facilities are limited to a statutory appointed first aider or if EDF are providing the full level of service offered by an NHS General Practice. There is also no indication if the facilities will offer an MIU, out of hours and midwifery services to EDF families or if this burden will fall to already over stretched NHS Services. There is a strong case for additional services as previously mentioned.	10271-947-16734	/			
Federation of Bridgwater Practices	Non-Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	7.5.6 We support the provision of sports and recreational facilities, but feel that much more could be done for comparatively little expense. We note that the proposals are to build facilities which are solely for use by contractors and that they will be removed post development. Not only should EDF seek to turn the temporary facilities at the dormitory sites into something more permanent, but open them to the public so as not to create a two tiered society which may lead to social unrest.	10271-947-22189	/			
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	The Master Plan document for the accommodation campuses, makes a good commitment to sustainable drainage, functional open space and green infrastructure links in line with the Bridgwater Vision. It also encourages that any development minimises the impact on the existing rhyme network, does not have a detrimental impact on flood risk, and provides an exemplar of sustainable development.	89082-947-2028		/		
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Comment: These rhynes are important for flood water storage and habitat replacement. We are concerned that the layout provided for BRI-A does not show the rhyme network committed to by the Hallam application. These rhynes are important for flood water storage and habitat replacement. Infiltration methods listed by NNB GenCos proposals are highly unlikely to be viable at the site due to soil conditions and a high water table. With regard to retention areas, specifically widened rhynes are the accepted method of drainage at this site along with source control techniques before water enters the rhynes. Action: The sites master plan design needs to re-evaluate the use of rhynes structures in the area.	89082-947-3648	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	- Legibility and high quality design of the BRI-A site as an integrated masterplanned whole development is important if part of it is developed separately at a different stage, for a different purpose, and different intended lifespan.	89203-947-9400			/	
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	2.36 Sustainable transport linkages (i.e. walking and cycling) between campus and P&R sites and town centre facilities appear to have been omitted. We are concerned that the design does not support the principle of sustainable communities and is likely to result in unnecessary short car trips.	89222-947-12782	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	The County Council asked for AD masterplans to encourage sustainable communities, with well-connected links with surrounding landuses, however this has not been accommodated in the current proposals (with specific reference to Bridgwater accommodation centres and Cannington P&R);	89226-947-6323			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Bridgwater A Authorities position May 2010: Requirement for contribution to place shaping in accordance with policy objectives for the wider site. Update August 2010: Limited information has been provided to demonstrate any support for long term place shaping.	89328-947-2522			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Authorities position May 2010: Specification of the modular housing requested Update August 2010: No specific specifications are provided however information on layouts and materials are included in the masterplan documents. This is insufficient to enable proper understanding of the appearance and potential impact of these buildings and whether they can be utilised for alternative legacy uses after the construction stage of the project.	89328-947-4214		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<p>Authorities position May 2010: Requested explanation of how the sites relates to and integrates with its surroundings and responds to the Bridgwater Vision Objectives</p> <p>Update August 2010: Reference to the Hallam Masterplan and Bridgwater Vision has been made. However the scheme design remains inward focussed with limited recognition of opportunities for off-site integration</p> <p>The scale of development in this location, its gateway position and importance of this site in general mean that the Councils continue to wish to see a more integrated approach to how this site is delivered.</p>	89328-947-4667	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<p>Authorities position May 2010: The authorities requested clarification on the provision of a reception centre for EDF Energy</p> <p>Update August 2010: No information provided to date.</p>	89328-947-6312		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<p>Authorities position May 2010: EDF Energy should demonstrate how the green infrastructure framework and structural landscaping seeks to enhance landscape character, biodiversity, amenity and recreational benefits for the construction phase campus site</p> <p>Update August 2010: The approach to landscaping and environmental design continues to be inward looking and there is limited evidence that more integrated solutions will be achieved.</p>	89328-947-7143		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<p>Authorities position May 2010: Any proposal for long term legacy community use to be located in an accessible location</p> <p>Update August 2010: No clarity on this issue provided.</p>	89328-947-8610	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<p>Authorities position May 2010: Clarification on provision of shops on site requested</p> <p>Update August 2010: No information provided. It does not appear that any shops are proposed.</p>	89328-947-8796			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Authorities position May 2010 Suggestion that a shared facility for Bridgwater A and C be considered Update August 2010 No proposals made.	89329-947-1208	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The masterplan document provides a useful and comprehensive appraisal of the existing site character and context. Conservation of the historic environment is an important consideration for the site and investigation of the potential to include Sydenham Manor House in the scheme design should be given further consideration. The location of amenity facilities, including grassland and sports pitches to the south of the site makes these facilities accessible to the local community. Their loss and replacement from this southern edge location requires further consideration. The plans give no illustrations of how the site will relate to and integrate with buildings, infrastructure and open spaces outside of the site (existing or proposed). There is a need to provide active and strong frontages (with a preference for non residential uses) along the Bath Road (A39) and College Way and landscaping and car parking may not be the most appropriate solutions. This is an important Bridgwater gateway location. The Councils would wish to see design solutions that present high quality buildings and, where appropriate, public art interventions at key locations. The opportunity to create more permanent and landmark buildings would be welcome. 	89359-947-4779	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The layout proposed is noted to reflect that of the Hallam Masterplan. However, currently the Hallam Masterplan is quite indicative and the submitted layout shows a quite organic block pattern. In this context the EDF Energy interpretation of the plan is difficult to concur with.	89359-947-6069	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Whilst it is noted that connection to Sydenham Manor and the wider site, the integration of the Bridgwater A scheme with the proposals (as set out in the masterplan that accompanied the outline application and in the Design Principles document) for the remainder of the North East Bridgwater site need to be demonstrated more specifically.	89359-947-6563	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	It will be important to demonstrate how the site will contribute to a hierarchy of multifunctional open space and green linkages within the wider area, including the open space proposals for the NE Bridgwater site;	89359-947-9802	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Bridgwater Vision does set out proposals to improve the river corridor and the Councils would expect to see how EDF Energy respond to this, to enhance accessibility and the local environment for construction and the permanent workforce.	89359-947-10575			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The plans do not give any indication of the type, size and method of construction of the units within the blocks. Without this information it is not possible to assess whether the blocks could potentially be used (as constructed) for a legacy (ideally residential) use or whether there would need to be significant adaptation of the blocks to make them suitable for a permanent use. It is also not possible to assess, without information on the type of units to be provided, what supporting community and leisure facilities will be required within the site. For instance it is unknown whether any family accommodation will be provided and whether children's play areas may also be required within this site (in addition to play area provision within BRI-C).	89359-947-10863	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Whilst it is noted that the location of the bus terminus has been chosen to keep it far away from living accommodation, it would still appear to be adjacent to residential properties and is therefore a cause for concern in terms of noise and air quality impacts on residents in those blocks.	89359-947-14099			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The junction arrangement for the highways access to the Bridgwater A site shows the retention of the access to the service road to the south east. It is considered that the existing access could not be retained as part of the signalised junction arrangement shown, so it will be necessary to demonstrate how adequate highways access to the residential area to the southeast can be maintained.	89359-947-14396			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	It does not appear that the Bridgwater A site would host a 'reception centre' for EDF Energy.	89359-947-14794			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	It is noted that the security fencing will be arranged to avoid being unsightly. However there remains concern about this as an appropriate response. As the fencing will be along a key gateway route into Bridgwater, the visual impact of such fencing will be a key concern of Sedgemoor District Council. As such, it would be desirable if the landscaping were integrated with the boundary treatment with a view to creating a long term landscape feature for the site. This solution is indicated for Bath Road in the Masterplan for Accommodation Campuses (S 6.4.3) and is welcomed by the Council.	89359-947-14911			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The site access junction for Bridgwater A does not correspond in location to that for the approved North-east Bridgwater development	89360-947-16261		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The site layout for Bridgwater A should follow the principle set out in "Manual for Streets" (MfS) to provide a safe layout which promotes the use of sustainable transport. There is insufficient detail to ascertain if the layout is MfS compliant.	89360-947-16924			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The Masterplan for Bridgwater A states that the bus terminus ' will be on the left hand side of the access road shortly after entering the campus from the new traffic signal controlled junction. Buses arriving to drop off passengers will follow the road into the bus terminal area and will then turn into one of the bus stop platform. After picking up passengers a departing bus will rejoin the internal road network and exit the site onto the A39 bath Road by turning right out of the signal controlled junction.' No vehicle tracking is provided to show that these manoeuvres are feasible.	89360-947-17176		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Bridgwater A contains 672 car park spaces for resident workers (see para. 6.3.13 of Masterplan). The gives 1 space per 1.6 workers. Car parking for campus staff will also be provided at the same ratio, but the number of staff is unknown. These ratios are not justified, or information given on how space allocation will take place.	89360-947-17773			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	As workers within Bridgwater C will use facilities at Bridgwater A the pedestrian and cycle linkages between the two sites should be enhanced.	89360-947-18110	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	With regard to the former Innovia Factory accommodation, it is not clear whether the facilities provided for the construction workers (e.g. medical facility, IT facility) will be shared with the local community. As the campus is located within a relatively deprived ward it is considered that this community could benefit from the shared use of these facilities.	89414-947-15853			/	
Innovia Cellophane Limited	Consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	The document refers to a smaller land take and reduced site boundary. However, this is demonstrated through Figures 7 and 8 which do not provide an accurate representation of the proposed land take and the proposed total figure in hectares. The change in scale of the plan from Figure 6 impacts upon the transparency of providing a direct comparison between the indicative plans. Moreover, Figures 7 and 8 provide no annotations on the proposed buildings, sports facilities or means of access. It would appear from the plans that additional land is being incorporated in the Stage 2a proposals, namely land in the south west corner of the site and land in the east of the site, directly to the north of the A39 Bath Road. Therefore, there would appear to be inconsistencies between Figures 7 and 8 and the statement that the site boundary has reduced. In contrast, it would appear that the site boundary has increased. This does not accord with the reduced requirement in bedspaces and therefore we seek clarification on this matter.	89761-947-2038	/			
Innovia Cellophane Limited	Consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	(i) The proposed sports pitches have moved from the northern part of the site in order to be sited next to the entrance off A39 Bath Road. It is our understanding that this will enable the sports pitches to be accessed by the public and will be sited outside of the perimeter fence. However, it is not discussed what the land use will be in the northern part of the site as this remains within the site boundary but is shown as undeveloped in Figures 7 and 8. Moreover, it is unclear from Figures 7 and 8 as to the positioning of the proposed perimeter fence.	89761-947-3275			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Innovia Cellophane Limited	Consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	(ii) It is not identified what the additional land incorporated at the east of the site is to be utilised for. This is shown as 'grey land'. We therefore require clarification as to the rationale for incorporating this additional parcel of land.	89761-947-3838		/		
Innovia Cellophane Limited	Consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	(iii) The main consultation document does not include information on the sports changing facilities. The Accommodation Strategy however, does refer to the development of a clubhouse with changing facilities and showers to be provided. As it stands, Figures 7 and 8 provide no information on the location of these facilities, whether they are also intended for public use or whether car parking provided will be provided. As a key change, this should be clearly documented. Furthermore, the consultation documents do not provide any indication as to when and how the community use of the sports facilities will be secured.	89761-947-4087	/			
Innovia Cellophane Limited	Consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	(iv) The Stage 2 consultation referred to an emergency access to the east of the main access onto the Bath Road. However, Figures 7 and 8 no longer identify the former emergency access route.	89761-947-4712			/	
Innovia Cellophane Limited	Consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	From reviewing the Stage 2a document, we are disappointed at the level of detail provided in describing the proposed changes and how this will impact on the future of land within the existing ownership of Innovia Cellophane Limited. As a consultation document, we feel it does not go far enough in providing a robust evidence base as part of the pre-application consultation requirements leading up to the submission of the Development Consent Order. There are a number of matters which need to be addressed and documented in a transparent manner, allowing the public and key stakeholders to submit representations. It is therefore critical that EDFE work both with Innovia and Sedgemoor District Council to address these concerns with a view to developing a detailed masterplan for Bridgwater A. Only with these points agreed will Innovia have sufficient information to ensure that the delivery of Bridgwater A does not prejudice the delivery of the remainder of the land consented as part of the North East Bridgwater development.	89761-947-5988	/			
David Wilson Homes	Consultee with an interest in land at Stage 1	Stage 2 Update	3.6 The SPD warns: "A masterplanning approach is therefore expected that seeks to prevent the possibility of ad hoc, poorly integrated development occurring in this location." 3.7 It appears that the Innovia site is being planned by EDF in isolation and the indicative Masterplans shown in Figures 6 -8 of the revised Stage 2 document lack the opportunities for integration and links seen as so important by the Council and DWH.	89778-947-6527	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	2.116 Details are required of the level of car parking proposed. This will need to be justified. 2.117 No detail has been provided of the form of the junction onto the A39 This is still awaited.	89848-947-2065	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	2.119 A single point of access for the development may not be sufficient, and a secondary access may need to be considered even if only for emergency use.	89848-947-2506	/			
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	In terms of siting and layout, insufficient information is provided to demonstrate that the campus plans align with the masterplan for permanent development	89873-947-19802		/		
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	Other outstanding concerns are the scale of the campus and potential for social tensions to arise, together with lack of investment to provide a high quality frontage to and public realm along Bath Road.	89873-947-19960			/	
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	The Councils maintain the position that in delivering any development at Bridgwater A, full regard should be had to the existing development context for this highly visible gateway location. The Councils would expect significantly more information on the proposals at this location before being able to take a view on whether or not proposals will achieve standards expected of development in this area. Changing the perception of the area through quality design is an aspiration promoted through the North East Bridgwater applications and design codes and now underpinned by the HPC Project SPD. The plans continue to fail to demonstrate that any objectives for North East Bridgwater can be achieved.	89887-947-2237	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	The campus style approach is 'alien' to the urban form and is unlikely to achieve the levels of innovation expected by the authority in this important location. The grid road layout continues to lack finesse and the frontage treatment onto A39 Bath Road is non-existent and therefore likely to directly undermine the principles of Bridgwater Vision and the North East Bridgwater design principles.	89887-947-2987		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 1136	Public	Stage 2	Bridgwater Innovia site, Bath Road (site BRI- A) for up to 1,075 places? Box ticked: Satisfactory 9a. Any other ideas or comments? Providing the site is properly managed and secure.	9894-1761-4789			/	This response addresses consultation comments regarding the operation of the Bridgwater A accommodation campus (the proposed development) and associated facilities. Comments were received at the Stage 2 and Stage 2 Update consultations, which queried the security, business continuity arrangements, emergency evacuation procedure and potential traffic impact of the proposed development.
Tractivity 1279	Public	Stage 2 Update	Q4 Do you have any comments on our working hours proposals? I think our roads especially Bath Road will not be able to stand the extra volume of traffic with the two proposed workers accomodations.	89545-1761-715			/	The town of Bridgwater benefits from raised flood defences along the banks of the River Parrett as explained within the Bridgwater A Flood Risk Assessment submitted with this DCO application.
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	45. With regard to the temporary accommodation proposed in Bridgwater, the proposals do not identify the business continuity arrangements in the event of flooding or other emergency causing a loss of temporary accommodation for workers. Moreover, there are no proposals identified to mitigate the effects of such an emergency, which would lead to the loss of use of the accommodation. Similarly, business continuity arrangements are not specified for other off-site developments.	89193-1761-4006			/	These are maintained to offer protection against tidal flood events with an annual exceedance probability of 0.5% (1 in 200 year) and a greater standard of protection against fluvial events. It is therefore considered that the defences are adequate to protect the site for the entire lifetime of the proposed development until 2020/2021. It is, however, acknowledged that during an extreme event access to the site may be necessary for emergency services to ensure the safety of the occupants, or it may be necessary to evacuate the site when a flood event is predicted. EDF Energy has created a Flood Emergency Plan for the proposed development for such events. Refer to the Bridgwater A Flood Risk Assessment for full details.
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	The proposal does not identify the business continuity arrangements in the event of the loss of the use of temporary accommodation for workers due to an emergency, either a severe weather event or man-made emergency nor any proposals to mitigate the effects of an emergency, which would lead to the loss of the use of the accommodation. Concerns 1. Bridgwater Campus A. - Flooding. The facility is located on the Bridgwater Zone 3 & Zone 2 floodplain. In the event of a major flood incident there would be a requirement to evacuate/relocate the workers if the facility were flooded. What measures has EDF considered to improve the flood resilience of the accommodation? What arrangements will EDF make to evacuate workers and relocate them to other accommodation? Note: Bridgwater Campus C accommodation may also be affected by the same flood situation and therefore not available as temporary accommodation. - Other Emergencies. In the event of the loss of the facility what arrangements will be made by EDF for the temporary accommodation of displaced workers elsewhere?	89243-1761-13601	/			In addition to the Flood Emergency Plan, EDF Energy has taken steps to improve the flood resilience of the proposed development by ensuring that the finished floor levels are set at a minimum of 6.5m Above Ordnance Datum or at least 150mm above final finished ground levels, whichever is the highest. A drainage strategy has also been prepared that identifies a number of surface drainage options. The detailed design of the drainage system will align, wherever possible, with the proposed drainage strategy presented in the North East Bridgwater Flood Risk Assessment and associated surface water drainage masterplan. In order to improve the flood defences for the wider area, EDF Energy would contribute to the delivery of the Parrett flood defence barrier. A comment was received which sought that the proposed development would be properly managed and secured during operation. As with all of the associated development sites, the proposed development would operate in a way that is both safe and secure. The site would be bounded by a 1.8m high perimeter security fence. CCTV would also be installed along the perimeter fence. Details of the operational requirements and processes for the proposed development are set out in Chapter 4 ,

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
								<p>Volume 3 of the Environmental Statement.</p> <p>A consultee queried whether the local road network would be able to support the extra traffic associated with the proposed development. As explained within the Transport Assessment, all work related travel to and from the Hinkley Point C (HPC) development site would be undertaken by direct bus, thereby minimising any impact on the highway network. The proposed accommodation campuses would provide many of the facilities that workers may require outside of their working hours, such as the canteen, launderette, gym, lounge bar, sports pitches, internet and shop facilities. This reduces the need for non-work trips off-site. An assessment has been undertaken for off-site non-work trips in the local area and this has shown that the level of car trips associated with these movements would be very low. The analysis takes account of existing travel patterns for non-work trips in the Bridgwater and wider Somerset areas. The Transport Assessment identifies that the proposed development would add 10 additional car trips per hour on average along the A39 (Bath Road), which is negligible compared to existing traffic flows.</p> <p>A campus travel plan would be implemented setting out a series of measures aimed at further reducing travel to accommodation campus sites by car and to encourage travel by more sustainable modes such as long-distance coach and rail services, which would further reduce any impact upon the A39 (Bath Road).</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - Local Authority, Statutory Consultee and Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 1	In planning terms, the sites should ideally be considered through the development plan process and be included as appropriate sites for development. EDF need to fully consider how the development of these sites will be brought forward effectively and how it links to the planning process.	87920-949-4929	/			Throughout the consultation process, many consultees agreed in principle to locating an accommodation campus within Bridgwater. Others agreed more specifically with the siting of an accommodation campus on the former Innovia cellophane packing factory site. Some respondents opposed the siting of the Bridgwater A accommodation campus because they believed the site may cause negative social or transport impacts. At the Stage 1 consultation, land around Bridgwater was considered an appropriate location for campus accommodation, given its proximity to the strategic and local highway network to facilitate the movement of workers to and from the Hinkley Point C (HPC) development site. Bridgwater is considered to be a key town within the South West, capable of accommodating significant levels of growth in both housing and employment as part of regeneration proposals. At that stage, EDF Energy identified four search areas within north-east Bridgwater to accommodate (in whole or part) these non-home-based workers, including the land referred to by EDF Energy as the BRI-A search area (of which the site formed part). The search areas were identified on the basis of their availability and suitability, having regard to the aspirations of Sedgemoor District Council (SDC) and other stakeholders.
Hallam Land Management	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 1	Moreover site BRI-A provides the following opportunities; - the ability of workers to benefit from the local shops, health facilities and services to be, or capable of being, provided on the site; - the opportunity to have recreation facilities on the doorstep; - the ability to establish a stronger and more mixed community; - the North East Bridgwater development is approved on the basis of a range of comprehensive proposals set out in a Travel Plan for the site which ensure that sustainable travel options are available to workers.	8760-949-8121	/			
Tractivity 735	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? This seems like a reasonable use for a redundant site.	9493-949-4768	/			Land within the former Innovia cellophane packing factory site (the BRI-A site) was identified as one of the two preferred sites for an accommodation campus in Bridgwater, and design proposals were progressed and consulted on at EDF Energy's Stage 2 consultation.
Tractivity 763	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? This is a good site - could you not house more people in Bridgwater and bus them in?	9521-949-6109	/			The size and layout of a masterplan was consulted on having regard to matters including access arrangements, operational requirements, environmental considerations and design restrictions. EDF Energy proposed to accommodate 1,075 bedspaces and associated leisure facilities. At Stage 2 Update consultation, the size of the proposed Bridgwater A accommodation campus was reduced to accommodate 850 bedspaces (or, 1,000 if the proposed Bridgwater C accommodation campus could not be built).
Tractivity 799	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? You do not need to place any more housing in bridgwater itself, it is congested, busy and over populated enough as it is, move the accomodation on site.	9557-949-6128		/		The key reasons for proposing the Bridgwater A accommodation campus are: <ul style="list-style-type: none">the site has been identified by the local authority as the main focus for development and growth
Tractivity 803	Public	Stage 2	2. Any other ideas or comments? We in Bridgwater will have the problem	9561-949-393			/	
Tractivity 839	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? While it is good to use a 'brown-field' site, the infrastructure of bridgwater cannot cope.	9597-949-5665			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 901	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? And this could allow access northern M5/Hinkley road without going through Bridgwater etc	9659-949-4709			/	within Sedgemoor District; and <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the site is previously-developed land within the Bridgwater settlement boundary.
Tractivity 986	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Good location but should house more workers. It should have sports facilities available for the use of Bridgwater residents who have recently lost their swimming pool.	9744-949-6670 A	/			A number of public consultees agreed with the siting of the proposed development, but some believed that it should be used to house more, if not all, of the non-home-based workers needed in connection with HPC. EDF Energy is proposing three separate accommodation campuses (Bridgwater A, Bridgwater C and the HPC accommodation campus), which would provide a total of 1,510 bedspaces. The location and size of each accommodation campus has been selected to meet EDF Energy's operational requirements and to respond to consultation with statutory consultees, other relevant stakeholders, the local community and the general public. It is considered that housing all construction workers at Bridgwater A could result in a disproportionate impact on the local area, would be contrary to comments made by many statutory and public consultees and would not be in accordance with the principles set out in EDF Energy's Accommodation Strategy . More information on the principle and rationale of each accommodation campus can be found in the Accommodation Strategy . Many consultees queried whether the local road network would be able to support the extra traffic associated with the proposed development. As explained within the Transport Assessment submitted as part of the Development Consent Order proposals, all work-related travel to and from the HPC development site would be undertaken by direct bus, thereby minimising any impact on the highway network. The proposed Bridgwater A and C accommodation campuses would provide many of the facilities that workers may require outside of their working hours, such as the canteen, laundrette, gym, lounge bar, sports pitches, internet and shop facilities. This reduces the need for non-work trips off-site. An assessment has been undertaken for off-site non-work trips in the local area, and this has shown that the level of car trips associated with these movements would be very low. The analysis takes account of existing travel patterns for non-work trips in the Bridgwater and wider Somerset areas. The Transport Assessment identifies the proposed development would add 10 additional car trips per hour on average along the A39 (Bath Road), which is
Tractivity 986	Public	Stage 2	These facilities could be used afterwards when the construction of Hinkley C is complete. A good legacy for Bridgwater, good for the people, they deserve it.	9744-949-6670 A			/	
Tractivity 1053	Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments? As my previous comment. The old cellophane site should be the only campus. During the build of HPB unruly contractors were a major nuisance for local villages and caused all sorts of resentment and violence in local pubs	9811-949-1744		/		
Tractivity 1146	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? The lack of a local workforce, as evidenced by the need for the associated development sites, is indicative of the fact that this is the wrong location for this development.	9904-949-5472		/		
Tractivity 1169	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Make use of sites available	9927-949-4599	/			
Tractivity 1171	Public	Stage 2	6. Any other ideas or comments? But what about Stogursey/Burton/Shurton? Or don't they count!	9929-949-2848			/	
Tractivity 1216	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? The campus is the wrong side of the town, how is the extra traffic going to negotiate the already gridlocked roads?	9974-949-4676	/			
Tractivity 315	Public	Stage 1	12. Do you have any other comments about EDF Energy's initial proposals for the development of a new nuclear power station at Hinkley Point? I feel EDF have been very heavy handed in demanding two green field sites in Williton. Surely there are plenty of brown sites eg in the Birdgwater area.	9003-949-4088	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 358	Public	Stage 1	4. Any other ideas or comments? green sites should not be used, there are empty redundant brown sites in Bridgwater	9046-949-929	/			negligible compared to existing traffic flows.
Tractivity 386	Public	Stage 1	5. Please give reasons for your preference A new road from the A38/M5J23 area direct to the C182 between Claylands Corner and Hinkley Point would remove traffic from Bridgwater, Cannington and Combwich. The park and ride, freight consolidation and accommodation facilities can then be located conveniently on the industrial land alongside the A38 north of Bridgwater	9071-949-1053			/	A campus Travel Plan would be implemented, setting out a series of measures aimed at further reducing travel to accommodation campus sites by car and to encourage travel by more sustainable modes such as long distance coach and rail services, which would further reduce any impact upon the A39 (Bath Road). Comments were made about the potential for anti-social behaviour of occupants of the proposed development. To address these concerns, EDF Energy has developed a workers' code of conduct to communicate the behaviour expected of workers and outline the means by which the code will be communicated to all occupants of the proposed accommodation campuses; outline the role of employers; outline the monitoring mechanism; and inform the local community of the standard of behaviour it should expect.
Tractivity 405	Public	Stage 1	4. Any other ideas or comments? Brown sites for accommodation/transport should be used i.e in Bridgwater.	9088-949-971	/			EDF Energy put in place a similar code of conduct at Sizewell and West Burton that proved to be highly efficient.
Tractivity 490	Public	Stage 1	4. Any other ideas or comments? All Park and Ride, Freight handling and worker accommodation should be sited on brownfield sites or land already earmarked for industrial development, i.e north of Bridgwater. Certainly not in a rural location such as Cannington.	9164-949-936			/	It is also proposed that a community liaison officer would be appointed whose responsibility (among other things) would be to monitor comments and complaints from the local community, take necessary action and give feedback to the person who made initial contact. For more information on the workers' code of conduct and additional mitigation measures, refer to the Accommodation Strategy .
Nether Stowey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	2.2 It is noted that in the Stage 2 "Preferred Proposals" documents the scale and location of much of the associated (off site) developments has changed from those outlined at Stage 1. Whilst some of these changes may reflect comments made at Stage 1 it is notable that on some issues, despite local opposition, EDF Energy has not amended its position.	10226-949-6461	/			At the Stage 2 consultation, some stakeholders sought a larger number of more dispersed and smaller sites within Bridgwater. EDF Energy's alternative site assessment document details the options considered in selecting the sites and rationale of the siting. This should be read in conjunction with the Accommodation Strategy , which explains the need for consolidated campus provision rather than dispersed provision from an operational perspective.
Landowner - Innovia Cellophane Limited	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	My client owns the land referred to as Bridgwater A, which is proposed for temporary workers accommodation.	10241-949-266			/	The local authorities also raised concerns as to why the proposed developments were not promoted through the development plan process. The adopted Development Plan covers the period from 1991 and 2011, which would not have planned for the HPC development. The Sedgemoor Core Strategy has been subject to an examination in public during 2011, which EDF Energy participated in, although this is strategic and would not identify individual sites. The councils have also prepared a Supplementary Planning Document for HPC, a draft of which was published in February 2011, and EDF Energy has also submitted representations objecting to this, where it is
Tractivity 1169	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	Make use of sites available	10279-949-4615	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	However, the concentration of over 1,000 workers on a single site at Bridgwater A has been raised as a cause for considerable concern in terms of concentrating high number of workers in unsuitable locations (adjacent to Bridgwater's most deprived ward and in a congestion hot spot).	89298-949-181			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The authorities require an up to date and comprehensive assessment of alternative sites to justify the sites selected as presented at both Stage 1 and Stage 2.	89324-949-4313	/			inconsistent with the HPC proposals being submitted as part of EDF Energy's application for Development Consent. EDF has set out its approach to planning, with reference to national and local planning policies in its Planning Statement , which accompanies the application for Development Consent.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The authorities however continue to have concerns regarding the appropriateness of the associated development proposals and whether or not what is presented does represent the optimum location.	89325-949-5299	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	It is feared that the scale of development and concentration of a significant volume of worker on a single site could potentially have severe social and environmental impacts on an already deprived part of Bridgwater.	89358-949-9253	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Council's view, supported by evidence from elsewhere, is that such a strategy is undesirable and that a larger number of more dispersed and smaller sites within Bridgwater would be preferable. The scale of the current proposal is considered unacceptable on the following grounds: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Scale; Contrary to Bridgwater Vision; Lack of employment and economic legacy; Threat to the delivery of the Northern part of the site; Community safety; Limited legacy and shared community facilities; Poor integration with Bridgwater C; Lack of integration and regeneration of Sydenham Manor; Siting of shared facilities; Poor infrastructure provision connecting to the town centre; and Lack of attention to frontage development and locations on key gateway corridors. 	89358-949-10273		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 1085	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? concern as lack of facilities and infrastructure to support the influx , no leisure facilities, swimming etc available	9843-1053-4170	/			<p>At Stage 2, comments were received from Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset District Council in response to the baseline not containing information present from the Western Somerset Leisure Audit, and information regarding the future amenity and recreation facilities that would result from the North East Bridgwater development.</p> <p>Further baseline data was therefore collected, collated and presented within the Amenity and Recreation Chapter (Volume 3, Chapter 17) of the Environmental Statement (ES), including further detail of public open spaces (as indicated in the Western Somerset Leisure Audit), further details of facilities at existing sports or recreation receptors already identified, and provision of further details with respect to the amenity and recreation (including cycle route) proposals for the North East Bridgwater development. The final chapter contains the additional baseline data.</p> <p>As stated in Volume 3, Chapter 17 of the ES, for the purpose of the amenity and recreation assessment of the Bridgwater A development site, the geographical extent of the study area under consideration included the proposed development site itself, and a 1km buffer area around the site, to ensure that the relevant implications of the proposed development on the wider amenity and recreation resource are identified. The assessment did not examine the effects of the construction workforce on the leisure and amenity provision within the study area, but this issue is addressed in the relevant Socio-economic Chapter (Volume 3, Chapter 7) of the ES.</p>
Tractivity 1103	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? I am not sure what the local residents would think about that. Bridgwater town centre seems to be deteriorating at an alarming rate. I wonder whether the shopping centre will disappear in time. If the population is going to increase so much surely we need to be providing good facilities in the town for everyone? Sedgemoor Splash was an economic disaster but at least it provided somewhere for the local families to go. The town is almost a waste of time for shopping and is very depressing. More money needs to be spent there.	9861-1053-5079	/			
Burnham-on-Sea & Highbridge Town Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	If, as we are given to understand, Bridgwater is to be a pivotal centre to house the increased labour force required, members question whether EDF has looked at leisure facilities in general, and in this area in particular.	10220-1053-10696	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	A general point raised is that the EnvApp does not consider the potential effects, positive or negative, of the use of recreation facilities by the construction workforce. This is of particular importance for the area around sites where accommodation campuses are proposed.	89365-1053-590	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Baseline data informing this element of the appraisal is considered satisfactory, although for completeness, reference to pedestrian and cycle routes proposed as part of the consented NE Bridgwater development should be included for Bridgwater A.	89365-1053-1385			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	A search of the Sport England Active Places database was undertaken for a 1km radius of the development sites, which returns a list of 10 sports and leisure facilities. A number of further facilities were recorded by the Western Somerset Leisure Audit, which should be added to the baseline: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Linham Road Children's Play Area Whitfield Road Children's Play Area Pollard Road Children's Play Area Union Street Children's Play Area Chamberlin Ave Children's Play Area Cellophane Ponds (fishing ponds) 	89365-1053-1635			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	For the purposes of assessing the potential for disturbance to existing recreation and leisure facilities, the baseline data is considered incomplete. The sports fields and areas of open space proposed as part of the consented NE Bridgwater development have been omitted, even though these could be put in place during the timeframe of the Bridgwater A campus being required.	89365-1053-2382			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	In terms of assessing the demand for leisure and recreation facilities of the construction workforce, and the adequacy of existing facilities to service this need, the identification of facilities within a 1km radius search area is insufficient.	89365-1053-2761	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	the commentary within the socio-economic assessment, which provides brief details of sports centre provision in Bridgwater, is considered inadequate.	89365-1053-3018	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	However, there is insufficient consideration of pedestrian/cycle routes and "desire lines" that will result from the build out of the North East Bridgwater development consent, and how access to Bath Road will be maintained.	89425-1053-12652			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	However, there is insufficient consideration of pedestrian/cycle routes and "desire lines" as part of a wider masterplan for Bridgwater College.	89425-1053-14309			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 1103	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? I am not sure what the local residents would think about that. Bridgwater town centre seems to be deteriorating at an alarming rate. I wonder whether the shopping centre will disappear in time. If the population is going to increase so much surely we need to be providing good facilities in the town for everyone? Sedgemoor Splash was an economic disaster but at least it provided somewhere for the local families to go. The town is almost a waste of time for shopping and is very depressing. More money needs to be spent there.	9861-1061-5079			/	EDF Energy has consulted with the appropriate statutory consultees and other relevant stakeholders including Somerset County Council's Rights of Way Team and local sports and recreation clubs that may be affected by, or have an interest in, the proposed development (see Chapter 17, Volume 3 of the Environmental Statement (ES)).
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	- Further work must be undertaken to establish what compensatory recreation/amenity space would be provided for that lost during construction and operation of the Accommodation Campuses, particularly the BRI-C site. The proposals must be consulted upon from the outset of the consultation on any planning application for the redevelopment of the Albion RFC site or part thereof, or ideally as part of a further community engagement/stakeholder consultation exercise prior to the submission of such a planning application.	89199-1061-2447	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Authorities position May 2010: Details on surfacing and lighting for sports pitches requested Update August 2010: Full details have not been provided.	89328-1061-8171	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	If the open space is to serve a recreational function further information will be required on the approach to its design and layout with the objective to maximise its use by the workforce and potentially to provide attractive and useable areas of open space as a legacy benefit. Ability to integrate with the open space network proposed for the North East Bridgwater site needs to be demonstrated.	89359-1061-10172	/			
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	The positioning of sports facilities outside of the campus perimeter is welcomed in principle by the Councils. However, moving the facilities to the A39 boundary alone is not an adequate response to facilitating better integration with local communities. The loss of the Bridgwater Sports and Social Club is against existing local policy and whilst the provision of the sports areas represents a replacement it is difficult to argue that this can be considered a like-for-like replacement and is not considered an improvement. The playing fields continue to be designed to meet the needs of the workforce alone. No clear strategy is presented to ensure that facilities would be suitable for both workers and local residents. This needs to be informed by direct engagement with existing users.	89887-1061-3388	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The masterplan for NE Bridgwater (Bridgwater A) is identified in the assessment of 'local cumulative effects', however the proposed pedestrian/cycle routes and open space are not considered by the recreation and amenity appraisal.	89365-1056-9072	/			At Stage 2 Consultation, Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council commented that the Masterplan for the North East Bridgwater Development (within which the Bridgwater A site is located) was identified in the Environmental Appraisal assessment of 'local cumulative effects', but that the proposed pedestrian/cycle routes and open space were not considered by the amenity and recreation appraisal. This is addressed in the Environmental Statement (ES) submitted with the Development Consent Order (DCO) application. The proposed routes were included within the amenity and recreation assessment. The cumulative impacts to the amenity and recreation resource, arising from the proposed development in combination with other elements of the Hinkley Point C Project, and other relevant plans and projects, are identified and assessed in Volume 11 of the ES (Cumulative Effects) .

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 925	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Bridgwater would become a 'wild-west' type town - centre would become a no-go area most evenings - disruption to persons in Bath Road area - single bridge over railway would become very congested. Local amenities would not cope. what would happen to the Bridgwater Sports and Social club facilities ?	9683-1055-4834	/			At Stage 2 consultation consultees expressed concerns regarding the loss of sports field facilities as a result of the proposed Bridgwater A development. Volume 3 Chapter 17 of the Environmental Statement (ES) shows the locations of the sports and recreation facilities within the study area, and further information and details were collated and presented in the chapter with respect to the activities carried out specifically at the Bridgwater Sports and Social Club (BSSC). The Bridgwater A development would result in construction activity on and disturbance to the facilities provided by the BSSC, as it is within the development site.
Tractivity 1056	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? The Innovia site includes one of the few remaining sports fields in Bridgwater which must not be bulldozed!	9814-1055-4203			/	
Tractivity 1194	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? See Q4	9952-1055-5777			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The EnvApp states that there are no PRow within or directly adjacent to either of the sites. On the basis that the nearest PRow are some distance away and located within an urban setting, it is concluded that there would be no impact. It is the opinion that the proposals would, as currently proposed, result in the obstruction of walking and cycling routes through the NE Bridgwater development site, parts of which are expected to come forward for development during the timeframe that the campus would be in place. This impact is not currently assessed.	89365-1055-5845	/			Bridgwater Sports and Social Club (BSSC) is due to close as part of the implementation of the North East Bridgwater Masterplan with replacement facilities due to be provided on-site. The BSSC operator's lease on the site is due to end in December 2012 and EDF Energy has opened discussions with the operator regarding the potential for compensation should that be required. Also, as part of the site preparation works consent, EDF Energy has proposed a payment to allow the local authorities to provide new sports and leisure facilities in the area that both meet the needs of HPC workers and compensate for the loss of public access to facilities on the Bridgwater A site during the period when the campus is operational.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The assessment of impacts on leisure and recreation facilities is based on the loss of the Sports and Social Club and Rugby Football Club. The loss of these facilities is identified as a high magnitude effect on a resource of low (local) importance, leading to the identification of a Moderate Adverse impact. It is the view of the Council that the methodology should be based on a more thorough assessment of the availability and quality of similar facilities elsewhere in Bridgwater, before identifying it as being of low importance.	89365-1055-6408	/			During the Stage 2 consultation, Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council commented on the fact that the North East Bridgwater Masterplan on amenity and recreation proposed the provision of sports and recreation facilities, three Local Equipped Areas of Play (LEAPs) and one Neighbourhood Equipped Area of Play (NEAP). However, none are currently built or available, nor are any of the sports and recreation facilities predicted to be affected by the construction of the proposed Bridgwater A development as they would be located outside the Bridgwater A site (Volume 3 Chapter 2 of the ES). Following the Stage 2 consultation, the size of the proposed development was reduced, therefore avoiding and potential impact on the amenity and recreation provisions of the North East Bridgwater development. Consequently, no physical disturbance or obstruction (either to extent, facilities or access routes) would occur. In addition, the North East
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	It is concluded that, given the urban setting, there will be no impact on other leisure and recreation facilities. This is a reasonable assumption, but one that should be backed up through cross-reference to other relevant sections of the EnvApp.	89365-1055-7072			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	The positioning of sports facilities outside of the campus perimeter is welcomed in principle by the Councils. However, moving the facilities to the A39 boundary alone is not an adequate response to facilitating better integration with local communities. The loss of the Bridgwater Sports and Social Club is against existing local policy and whilst the provision of the sports areas represents a replacement it is difficult to argue that this can be considered a like-for-like replacement and is not considered an improvement. The playing fields continue to be designed to meet the needs of the workforce alone. No clear strategy is presented to ensure that facilities would be suitable for both workers and local residents. This needs to be informed by direct engagement with existing users.	89887-1055-3388	/			routes) would occur. In addition, the North East Bridgwater Development contains a proposal for the provision of approximately 2km of a dedicated combined footway and cycleway along the main street through the development (Volume 3 Chapter 8 of the ES), though the actual location and routing may be subject to change in detailed submissions. Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council also commented on the level of sensitivity assigned to all of the amenity and recreation receptors (i.e. the people or buildings, that have the potential to be affected) that may be impacted by the proposed development (e.g. Bridgwater Sports and Social Club). These receptors were assigned in accordance with the Institute of Environmental Management and Assessment (IEMA) guidelines, as is standard for all Environmental Impact Assessments. The level to which these receptors may be impacted is dependant on a variety of factors, such as its community value or whether it is a designated site, and can be determined within a defined geographical context. As part of the assessment, the sensitivity of each relevant receptor was set out within the baseline section of Volume 3 of the ES in relation to each individual impact considered.
Tractivity 1011	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Your intention to remove the practise rugby pitch a vital green space for the community, and replace it with accomodation is undesirable. Why is it that here, where you only intend to build a fraction of the number of homes you have planned for the old innovia site, you intend to build to BREEMs excellent standard? Could it be that you are very cosy in bed with Bridgwater College? Is it a coincidence that this is also the site where there are plans to train the next generation of nuclear scientists? Do not the future residents of the old Innovia site deserve to live in homes that are built to the excellent BREEM standard? When you consider the future use of all of the accommodations it would make more sense to build the Innovia Site to excellent standards and the college campus accomodation to the satisfactory standard given that the future use of this accomodationn would be for students and not permanent dwelling for people?	9769-401-9154		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The methodology therefore considers a range of factors, although it is considered that these are not always been consistently applied in terms of gauging the significance of impacts at different projects stages.	89365-1054-4100			/	At Stage 2, the Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council response raised concerns regarding methodology and conclusions on the magnitude of disturbance impacts. This was the only response received on these issues. EDF Energy believes the methodology, and its conclusions, are robust. All of the amenity and recreation receptors (i.e. people and facilities) that may be impacted by the Bridgwater A development site have been assigned a level of importance in accordance with the Institute of Environmental Management and Assessment (IEMA) guidelines, as is standard for all Environmental Impact Assessments. Determination of the magnitude of an impact or disturbance on an amenity or recreational resource that could be affected by the Bridgwater A development site is based on the consequences of the proposed development in terms of high, medium, low and very low. Magnitude refers to the 'size' or 'amount' of an impact and is a function of other aspects such as the impact's extent, duration, likelihood and reversibility. In order to help define the level of magnitude of an impact on an amenity or recreational resource, guidance has been used and presented in Volume 3, Chapter 17 of the Environmental Statement (ES) .
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Conclusions on the magnitude of disturbance impacts appear to result from qualitative judgements. In many cases these are considered reasonable, but it is considered that the assessments of disturbance impacts should be cross-referenced with relevant sections of EnvApp (landscape and visual, noise, air quality etc.).	89365-1054-4315			/	In assessing the potential disturbance of the construction, operation, and post-operational phases for the Bridgwater A development site on amenity and recreation receptors, these were undertaken within the relevant topic chapter related to the likely disturbance (e.g. noise, dust, and visual). Notably Volume 3, Chapter 9 of the ES assessed the impacts of noise disturbance on relevant amenity and recreation receptors, Volume 3, Chapter 10 of the ES assessed the impacts of dust (air quality) disturbance on relevant amenity and recreation receptors, and Volume 3, Chapter 15 of the ES assessed the impacts of visual disturbance on relevant amenity and recreation receptors. Any combined effects of temporary, short-term, medium-term, long-term and permanent closures and diversions of Public Rights of Way associated with the Bridgwater A development site have been identified and assessed in Volume 11, Chapter 6 of the ES. The assessment did not examine the effects of the construction workforce on the leisure and amenity
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Will construction workers wish to use existing facilities and, if so, what are the potential impacts;	89365-1054-4757	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	If leisure and recreation facilities are provided specifically for construction workers, how could this affect provision in the area in the long term? There is potential for positive effects to be demonstrated if facilities can be retained for legacy use by the community in an appropriate location.	89365-1054-4864			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	It is noted that a series of sports and leisure facilities are proposed as part of the Main Site, Bridgwater A and Bridgwater C campuses, but it is not clear how the type and level of provision proposed has been assessed by EDF Energy. The Councils have undertaken an independent analysis of the sports and leisure requirements of the construction workforce, which has been used to inform the Stage 2 Response. This adopted a standards based approach that relies upon the application of national planning and benchmark standards, such as those contained within the Sports England Sports Facility Calculator and FIT Benchmark Standards for open space.	89365-1054-5168	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The assessment of impacts on leisure and recreation facilities is based on the loss of the Sports and Social Club and Rugby Football Club. The loss of these facilities is identified as a high magnitude effect on a resource of low (local) importance, leading to the identification of a Moderate Adverse impact. It is the view of the Council that the methodology should be based on a more thorough assessment of the availability and quality of similar facilities elsewhere in Bridgwater, before identifying it as being of low importance.	89365-1054-6408			/	provision within the study area, but this is addressed in the relevant Socio-economics Chapter (Volume 3, Chapter 7) of the ES.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The masterplan for NE Bridgwater (Bridgwater A) is identified in the assessment of 'local cumulative effects', however the proposed pedestrian/cycle routes and open space are not considered by the recreation and amenity appraisal.	89365-1054-9072	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Disturbance impacts relating to recreation and amenity assets should be cross-referenced with other relevant sections of the EnvApp in order to demonstrate that significance ratings are reasonable.	89425-1054-13155			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The EnvApp does not consider the effects on recreation assets, beneficial or adverse, of accommodating 1,075 construction workers on the site, and 150 workers on the adjacent site at Bridgwater C. For instance, it is not clear how the amount of on-site sports facilities was assessed	89425-1054-13356	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 985	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? This is in the correct location but I think it should house far more workers, with good leisure facilities for the use of Bridgwater residents who have recently had their swimming pool taken away - leisure facilities for Bridgwater would be a good legacy and encourage economic growth there.	9743-1057-7806			/	At Stage 2 of the consultation, Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council raised comments regarding the proposed mitigation provisions regarding the Bridgwater Sports and Social Club. Bridgwater Sports and Social Club (BSSC) is due to close as part of the implementation of the North East Bridgwater Masterplan with replacement facilities due to be provided on-site. The BSSC operator's lease on the site is due to end in December 2012 and EDF Energy has held initial discussions with the operator regarding future use of the site. As part of the site preparation works Section 106 agreement EDF Energy has proposed a payment to allow the local authorities to provide sports and leisure facilities in the area that both meet the needs of HPC workers and mitigate for the loss of public access to existing facilities on the Bridgwater A site during the period when the campus is operational. Further information is provided in the Amenity and Recreation Chapter (Volume 3, Chapter 17) of the Environmental Statement (ES) .
Tractivity 991	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Bridgwater is a suitable location as it has all the infrastructure required to support a large itinerant workforce with leisure and shopping facilities.	9749-1057-5591			/	Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council also commented on the lack of detail of the plans for amenity and recreation facilities on the development site. Further details of the EDF Energy proposals for the Bridgwater A site are included in Volume 3 of the ES in this respect.
Tractivity 1187	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Subject to answers in 4 above	9945-1057-4565			/	Bridgwater Town Council raised concern that the sports and recreation facilities may not offset the loss of three playing pitches (football) as well as what appears to be the reduction of three to two in 5-a-side/multi-games usage facilities. Further details of the proposals are identified in Volume 3 Chapter 17 of the ES , and at the Bridgwater A site the development would provide a full size outdoor football pitch and two all-weather outdoor 5-a-side football pitches which would be made available for public use when not being used by HPC workers. In addition, a 5-a-side pitch would also be provided at Bridgwater C. Consequently, since Stage 2 additional facilities have been included, to offset the loss of access to the existing facilities.
Tractivity 367	Public	Stage 1	9. What are your views on EDF Energy's general approach to community benefits and do you have any specific suggestions about what should be included in the package? replacement of leisure facilities in Bridgwater especially a pool for public use. any potential tie in with Building better schools fro Bridgwater project would seem sensible for example funding for technology schools and joined up transport solutions. Improved rural bus services improved cycle routes .	9054-1057-3408			/	During Stage 2 consultation a number of responses
Tractivity 566	Public	Stage 1	Accommodation in Bridgwater should not be confined to one site. Legacy benefit if student accommodation residential home, hotel or affordable housing. Should be accompanied by leisure and social facilities	9235-1057-2062	/			
Tractivity 62582	Public	Stage 2	Q9 i The Innovia site is a good site and well positioned, but a larger one to accommodate all the workers would be better. Bridgwater would benefit from this as the local people could use the new facilities that would be provided for the workers, for example a sports centre and swimming pool would be wonderful for Bridgwater.	10133-1057-6864			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 62630	Public	Stage 2	<p>With reference to our telephone conversation last week concerning the town's hope that EDF will be willing to help with the cost of re-instating town centre swimming as part of a community fund. I wish to draw to your attention a letter, from EDF to Sedgemoor District Council dated 8th July 2010 copy enclosed (Editor's note: letter not included in pdf), which states that it would seem that leisure facilities such as a pool would be candidate scheme for investment. Although I realise that no certainty of funding was given, and that EDF are not in business to plug gaps in council deficits, they do have a duty of mitigation which they themselves have recognised.</p> <p>As you are probably aware Sedgemoor District Council have embarked on a course of action which if concluded will see a school based swimming pool built out of town and a Tesco store being built on the Northgate site. The building of yet another supermarket so close to the town centre is the last thing people in Bridgwater want. A petition against the closure of the previous pool was signed by 5,000 people and submitted to the council by the campaign group Bridgwater Forward, along with a further petition signed by 2,000 people and submitted to the council by our local MP. Since its demolition another petition of 1,000 signatures for a town centre pool was obtained within 3 hours at Wembdon fete alone, and the number of signatures is growing by the day.</p>	10174-1057-0			/	were made by consultees suggesting that the provision of financial support for the development of a swimming pool within Bridgwater would be seen as a strategic mitigation/enhancement. EDF Energy is proposing support to the Councils to increase leisure provision, including potentially swimming facilities. It is for the Councils to decide where provision is most appropriate. EDF Energy is also working with the Councils and other partners to support a range of leisure provisions in the local area.
Burnham-on-Sea & Highbridge Town Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	Could there be any financial support for existing leisure facilities in the area which may be used by EDF workers?	10220-1057-10921	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Adequacy of mitigation, compensation, long term legacy and residual effects There needs to be a sound and complete evidence base to allow a quality response to the mitigation measures proposed. Loss of recreational and amenity land due to the accommodation development in Bridgwater is noted but not mitigated against. Legacy proposals from the actual development of the sites is limited.	89200-1057-2248	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	- Impact on residential amenities, particularly during Hinkley Point C construction, due to the construction and operation of the Accommodation Campuses (BRI-A & BRI-C), and the operation of the Comwich Wharf Freight Logistics Facility particularly in respect of the HGV movements to and from the site through the village.	89200-1057-5276			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	- More detail (e.g. the lack of proposals for compensating for the loss of recreational and amenity space due to the accommodation campuses at BRI-A & BRI-C during construction and operation phases) would have strengthened the consultation.	89203-1057-8713			/	
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	- There is going to be an impact on residential amenities particularly during HPC construction and the construction and operation of the Accommodation Campuses (BRI-A & BRI-C). The mitigation measures need to be clearly stated to provide a robust response.	89203-1057-9638			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Authorities position May 2010: Legacy use for sports and leisure facilities with preference for a larger facility in a location accessible to both A and C with a viable legacy use for Bridgwater Update August 2010: Legacy uses for sport and leisure facilities have not been identified and there is a concern that the sport and leisure facilities at Bridgwater A are not appropriately located for a more permanent legacy use.	89328-1057-7590			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Authorities position May 2010: Facilitate the relocation of the Sports and Social Club Update August 2010: No information provided.	89328-1057-8027			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Authorities position May 2010: Potential for early delivery of sports facilities on the wider site Update August 2010: Authorities position May 2010: Update August 2010: The sports facilities are proposed to come forward during phase two of the development.	89328-1057-8334			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The location of amenity facilities, including grassland and sports pitches to the south of the site makes these facilities accessible to the local community. Their loss and replacement from this southern edge location requires further consideration.	89359-1057-5115			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	It is also not possible to assess, without information on the type of units to be provided, what supporting community and leisure facilities will be required within the site. For instance it is unknown whether any family accommodation will be provided and whether children's play areas may also be required within this site (in addition to play area provision within BRI-C).	89359-1057-11247	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	the EA should refer to the main pedestrian and cycle routes proposed as part of the NE Bridgwater development.	89365-1057-7502			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The EnvApp states that suitable provision of alternative arrangements for the loss of the Sports and Social Club and Rugby Football Club premises is considered practicable, and that proposals will come forward as part of the Development Consent Order. Sedgemoor District Council's basic planning policy position is that the loss of recreational open space will not be permitted unless a replacement facility of equivalent sports and/or recreation benefit is made available. The Council is willing to engage with EDF Energy and the two organisations affected to help facilitate an appropriate solution.	89365-1057-7616	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Similarly, proposals to provide sports and recreation facilities for construction workers are welcomed by the Council, and further engagement on the nature of facilities to be provided and their location is encouraged.	89365-1057-8221	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The identification of alternative replacement provision for the Sports and Social Club and Rugby Football Club remains an important area of uncertainty.	89365-1057-8777			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	There are no clear proposals for the reprovision of the Bridgwater Sports and Social Club, which would be lost if the Bridgwater A Campus was developed. Mitigation measures are restricted to the immediate surroundings of the site. There is potential for enhancements to the wider network of paths and cycle routes in Bridgwater, providing improved connectivity and environment/public realm for walkers and cyclists as mitigation and compensation.	89425-1057-13644			/	
Bridgwater Town Council	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	The plans for Bridgwater A are unclear in exactly what is planned for recreational purposes and requires definition and detail of promised greater community use. Proposals do not appear to mitigate the loss of 3 playing pitches (football) and 1 rugby pitch (location of Bridgwater C) as well as what appears to be the reduction of 3 to 2 in 5 a side/multi games usage facilities.	89746-1057-4542			/	
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	One positive change is the proposal that the sports and leisure facilities would be accessible to the community and located outside the boundary fence, although it is not clear that these would even adequately replace the existing provision at Bridgwater Sports and Social Club.	89873-1057-19279			/	
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	The positioning of sports facilities outside of the campus perimeter is welcomed in principle by the Councils. However, moving the facilities to the A39 boundary alone is not an adequate response to facilitating better integration with local communities. The loss of the Bridgwater Sports and Social Club is against existing local policy and whilst the provision of the sports areas represents a replacement it is difficult to argue that this can be considered a like-for-like replacement and is not considered an improvement. The playing fields continue to be designed to meet the needs of the workforce alone. No clear strategy is presented to ensure that facilities would be suitable for both workers and local residents. This needs to be informed by direct engagement with existing users.	89887-1057-3388			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 62208	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 1	There are no facilities in Cannington or Bridgwater for recreational activities. These should be provided at base - Hinkley Point, where policing can take place much more effectively by EDF's staff.	10276-542-3899	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	It will be necessary to cross-reference with other sections of the Environmental Appraisal to ensure that, where appropriate, PRoW, sports and leisure facilities and open space are identified as sensitive receptors where monitoring is undertaken.	89365-1058-9728	/			During Stage 2 consultation Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council commented that cross-referencing between other chapters should be undertaken to ensure that amenity and recreation receptors (i.e. people and facilities) are identified as sensitive receptors. Volume 3, Chapter 17 of the Environmental Statement (ES) was updated to cross-reference with other sections of the ES to ensure that, where appropriate, Public Rights of Way, sports and leisure facilities, and public open space are identified as sensitive receptors which may be monitored by EDF Energy if required.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Monitoring, enforcement and governance measures will be required to ensure that replacement facilities are provided over a suitable timeframe to mitigate the loss of the Bridgwater Sports and Social Club and Bridgwater and Albion Rugby Football Club sports facilities.	89365-1058-9978			/	Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council also commented that monitoring, enforcement and governance measures will be required to ensure that replacement facilities are provided over a suitable timeframe to mitigate the loss of the Bridgwater Sports and Social Club. Planning obligations included in the Planning Statement will provide an effective means of ensuring that EDF Energy's commitments in terms of sports and leisure provision are fulfilled.

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	It is concluded that, given the urban setting, there will be no impact on other leisure and recreation facilities. This is a reasonable assumption, but one that should be backed up through cross-reference to other relevant sections of the EnvApp.	89365-1059-7072	/			The only comments received on this issue came from Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council who commented that the assumption that there will be no impact on other leisure and recreation facilities should be backed up through cross-reference to other relevant sections. The Amenity and Recreation Chapter (Volume 3, Chapter 17) of the Environmental Statement (ES) cross-refers to other relevant sections of the ES to summarise the disturbance effects on amenity and recreation facilities.

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Bridgwater Town Council	Dual - Statutory Consultee and Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 1	Clearly Bridgwater has by far the biggest population (roughly 40,000) and is crucial to linkages to Hinkley and at the heart of the major associated development and must be recognised and, indeed, rewarded accordingly. It is astonishing that Bridgwater and this town council was an omission in Para. 1.3.3 Table 1.1. Burnham-on-Sea and Highbridge Town Council may feel similarly aggrieved.	8745-961-675			/	EDF Energy's proposals for Bridgwater A have evolved considerably between the original options presented at the Stage 1 consultation, and the proposals contained in the final submission. Consultation responses at the Stage 1, Stage 2 and Stage 2 Update consultations refer to the need for community benefit resultant from the effect of accommodation campuses and potential negative impacts associated with the resident workforce on local community cohesion, crime and safety, and the capacity of local community facilities.
Bridgwater Town Council	Dual - Statutory Consultee and Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 1	Bridgwater can be separated from the smaller communities in terms of likely socio- economic impact and effects during the build period through accessibility / accommodation and facilities and should be considered as a priority for support through community benefit.	8745-961-1068	/			EDF Energy is proposing to make substantial contributions to local emergency services and other community provision to ensure that there is no detrimental impact from workers on the wider area. The proposed Community Fund to be established by EDF Energy was increased to £20 million following consultation at Stage 2, part of which is likely to be spent on projects in Sedgemoor.
Tractivity 716	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Again, allow house owners to provide accommodation for as many as possible. Bridgwater's infrastructure not robust enough to accommodate such vast influx. Concerns about the conduct of such vast amounts of people away from their own homes.	9474-961-4429			/	An assessment has been made of the potential impacts on public services and community safety in Bridgwater, addressed in the socio-economic assessment, with mitigation measures recommended where appropriate. Post-operational uses of the proposed development have been considered, and although the accommodation would not be suitable for permanent residential use, the remediated site and provision of site services means that future development will be facilitated the operational phase.
Tractivity 763	Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments? What long-term legacy benefit will Bridgwater get? How will you support local health, police and education facilities? VERY VAGUE STATEMENTS! This is a huge amount of people to swamp an area with. Local accommodation to rent is already hard to find. What in real terms do you plan to do for our community (see questions above)? People in this area already have a shortage of facilities (i.e. no swimming pool etc) People might feel more at ease with the scheme if they felt it was give and take	9521-961-1810	/			
Tractivity 865	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? You propose to build on the current football pitches, Bridgwater has seen a demise in the number of football pitches in the last 10 years, would the new pitch be made available to residents, and what happens to the sports field when you move out?	9623-961-4125	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 986	Public	Stage 2	<p>1. What are your views on the proposed arrangement and landscaping of the Hinkley Point C site</p> <p>Box ticked: Unsatisfactory</p> <p>1. Any other ideas or comments?</p> <p>Powerstation far bigger than I was led to believe, when first told about it by EDF. Worried and upset that so much (500 acres) of land being bulldozed away and the old barns to be demolished. Rare and precious wildlife killed and disrupted. Trees to be planted not big enough. I wont see them mature in my lifetime. Worried about EDF?s attitude to this and to the local people. Very worried indeed about this proposal.</p> <p>2. We have reduced the amount of land to be used during construction in the southern part of the site in response to concerns from local residents. What are your views on this proposal?</p> <p>Box ticked: Unsatisfactory</p> <p>2. Any other ideas or comments?</p> <p>EDF are using far too much land - this is unnecessary. the moving of the Southern boundary was what I would call a con. Movements of materials etc needs to be thought through - if this was done, less land would be needed. Very worried about this.</p> <p>3. In order to speed up the process of building the new power station, and enable us to finish work earlier, we intend to apply this summer to undertake preliminary works to prepare the main site and build a temporary jetty for the delivery of bulk materials. If permission for the power station is not obtained, we will be required to reinstate this land.</p> <p>What are your views on our plans for Preliminary Works?</p> <p>Box ticked: Unsatisfactory</p> <p>3. Any other ideas or comments?</p> <p>Very concerned. it would be impossible to re-instate this land if permission is not granted, even if legal. Decimating trees, animals, plants entirely unnecessary I feel EDF want to ruin this countryside for the wildlife and the people. Very upset and worried about this proposal. This is wrong.</p>	9744-961-0			/	
Tractivity 986	Public	Stage 2	<p>9a. Any other ideas or comments?</p> <p>Good location but should house more workers. It should have sports facilities available for the use of Bridgwater residents who have recently lost their swimming pool. These facilities could be used afterwards when the construction of Hinkley C is complete. A good legacy for Bridgwater, good for the people, they deserve it.</p>	9744-961-6670	/			
Tractivity 1004	Public	Stage 2	<p>13. Please let us have your overall views on our proposals and any other general comments in the box below</p> <p>In any other country this scheme would be welcome! The benefits to Bridgwater/local villages is too great.</p>	9762-961-5850			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 1163	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? I cannot comment as I dont live there and wouldnt have a warented view, except that it should be of good standard allowing it to be used by the community after.	9921-961-5533	/			
Tractivity 451	Public	Stage 1	6. Please give comments on your preferences and any suggestions about the future use of these facilities Bridgwater and Cannington have always accepted gladly the benefits of construction for both previous power stations. Bridgwater in particular is now in need of revitalisation and would benefit from the influx of the bulk of migrant workers. I am strongly against a campus at Williton as this is on the wrong side of the Hinkley Point road. This would only add to the problems of this narrow and at times congested stretch of the A39.	9129-961-3165	/			
Tractivity 451	Public	Stage 1	12. Do you have any other comments about EDF Energy's initial proposals for the development of a new nuclear power station at Hinkley Point? Bridgwater and the surrounding area must surely benefit financially from EDF's proposal as long as the opinions and wishes of the inhabitants affected are taken into account and respected.	9129-961-6087	/			
Tractivity 490	Public	Stage 1	9. What are your views on EDF Energy's general approach to community benefits and do you have any specific suggestions about what should be included in the package? Cannington is a peaceful rural community and the building work you are proposing in this area is to the detriment of the village community and, therefore, NO AMOUNT of benefits from EDF would replace this. Bridgwater is already industrialised and, therefore, it makes sense for the park and ride, freight consolidation, construction worker's accommodation, road network, etc., to be built there.	9164-961-3946	/			
Bridgwater Town Council	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	The consultation document pays little heed to community benefit and makes no attempt to address aspirations from within the communities and certainly not within the town. In the Town Council view community benefit should be seen in three phases not mutually exclusive but producing a comprehensive package which takes account of: - The now - showing commitment to the area and the support for new nuclear balancing high environmental impact with socio-economic needs; - Mitigation - compensation through service support including health, social, leisure, cultural and town centre management and extra policing and civil protection, for example; - Long term benefit - not only the jobs through the construction and the operational stages but supporting infrastructure for transportation and environmental gain, the social and economic offer and, for further example, aiding the Sedgemoor Economic Master Plan and, in particular for Bridgwater, helping achieve the objectives of the Bridgwater Vision and regeneration of the fabric of the town including the public realm.	89263-961-12216	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Bridgwater Town Council	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	- Bridgwater can be separated from the smaller communities in terms of likely socio-economic impact and effects during the build period through accessibility / accommodation and facilities and should be considered as a priority for support through community benefit.	89264-961-422			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Socio-economic effects are identified in relation to the Bridgwater campuses and Junction 23 developments. The assessment notes that the combined development of the Hallam Masterplan and these developments could have beneficial effects with respect to socio- economics.	89343-961-1968			/	
Bridgwater College	Consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	We are pleased to see that the Sports facilities at the site will be made available for community use. We think that thought needs to be given to how this links with other potential developments in the area, to ensure complementarity and maximum benefit to young people in East Bridgwater School and the College as well as to the wider community.	89765-961-2438			/	
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	The positioning of sports facilities outside of the campus perimeter is welcomed in principle by the Councils. However, moving the facilities to the A39 boundary alone is not an adequate response to facilitating better integration with local communities. The loss of the Bridgwater Sports and Social Club is against existing local policy and whilst the provision of the sports areas represents a replacement it is difficult to argue that this can be considered a like-for-like replacement and is not considered an improvement. The playing fields continue to be designed to meet the needs of the workforce alone. No clear strategy is presented to ensure that facilities would be suitable for both workers and local residents. This needs to be informed by direct engagement with existing users.	89887-961-3388	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 716	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments Again, allow house owners to provide accommodation for as many as possible. Bridgwater's infrastructure not robust enough to accommodate such vast influx. Concerns about the conduct of such vast amounts of people away from their own homes.	9474-957-4429	/			<p>A number of responses to consultation at Stage 1 and Stage 2 were concerned about the potentially adverse impact of an influx of non home-based workers on the community in Bridgwater. Concern was raised at the Stage 2 consultation in relation to the pressure on public services in Bridgwater. EDF Energy has discussed these issues with the local authorities and other agencies responsible for community safety, health and other public services. The results of an impact assessment are reported in the Socio-economic Chapter (Chapter 7, Volume 3) of the Environmental Statement (ES).</p> <p>Additionally, a Worker Code of Conduct, which is part of the Community Safety Management Plan (see Annex of the ES) would be put in place to ensure workers behave appropriately in the local area. A local community liaison officer will be appointed to provide a point of contact, monitor behaviour and to address any emerging issues. There are monitoring frameworks in place to address the scale of effects on crime and safety.</p> <p>EDF Energy is proposing to make substantial contributions to local emergency services and other community provision to mitigate any adverse impact from workers on the wider area. The proposed Community Fund to be established by EDF Energy was increased to £20 million following consultation at Stage 2, part of which is likely to be spent on projects in Sedgemoor. Details of proposed planning obligations are appended to the Planning Statement.</p> <p>Concern was raised regarding the re-location of Bridgwater Sports and Social Club facilities. EDF Energy will be providing sports pitches as part of the Bridgwater A development proposals, and these will be accessible to the local community (subject to availability).</p>
Tractivity 726	Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments? An optimistic view to take would be possible revenue for Bridgwater traders - maybe long term possibility of more shops opening in the town	9484-957-1601			/	
Tractivity 744	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Let's just hope Bridgwater doesn't become a wild west town.	9502-957-4690			/	
Tractivity 766	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? I hate to think about the increase in crime and the fact that there is nothing to do in Bridgwater to amuse loads of male workers from Hinkley	9524-957-4448	/			
Tractivity 830	Public	Stage 2	5. Any other ideas or comments? The period of time involved will be 5/6 years minimum. Without an independent road to connect J23/M5 at Dunball to the Cannington/Hinkley link road these plans are likely to bring misery and distress arising from additional noise and traffic to the town. It will also enhance the levels of damage to the roads, bridges, footpaths and utilities (water, sewerage, gas and electricity). Bearing in mind that a considerable amount of traffic will locate to the Bristol Road and that massive changes have taken place to the Bridgwater environment/infrastructure since the construction of Hinkley A and B. With the building of Hinkley C and subsequently D plus decommissioning both A and B living in Bridgwater appears likely to become hell on earth in reality. See alternative solution to disaster - Contact (Personal information removed)	9588-957-4161			/	
Tractivity 853	Public	Stage 2	5. Any other ideas or comments? Residential areas of Bridgwater should not have to suffer the imposition of the park and ride and freight logistics facilities, in particular at J24. The land is a green field site and the additional traffic on both the A38 and on the Stockmoor/Wilstock access road would be unacceptably high. The recommendations of the 1990 Barnes report should be implemented and a bypass built from Dunball to the main site.	9611-957-1933	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 864	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Hope it doesn't affect local crime rates! Might overflow local pubs? Are they all men? If so wont mind slumming it.	9622-957-4251	/			
Tractivity 925	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Bridgwater would become a 'wild-west' type town - centre would become a no-go area most evenings - disruption to persons in Bath Road area - single bridge over railway would become very congested. Local amenities would not cope. what would happen to the Bridgwater Sports and Social club facilities ?	9683-957-4834	/			
Tractivity 944	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? If you bring in immigrants you will have a very serious problem in this area and your project will be affected. This is Somerset not Bradford!	9702-957-4206			/	
Tractivity 945	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Impact on local residents	9703-957-4353			/	
Tractivity 980	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? What happened to local jobs for local people? Bridgwater is too small for an influx of this magnitude. Residents who live in that area must be horrified as indeed they are as letters in the local press indicate.	9738-957-6556	/			
Tractivity 998	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? The road network could not cope with any more traffic, this will just close the town to visitors due to congestion.	9756-957-4231	/			
Tractivity 998	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? College students would have to pass builders accommodation. Have you never wandered past a building site when a young lady is present.	9756-957-4517	/			
Tractivity 1053	Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments? As my previous comment. The old cellophane site should be the only campus. During the build of HPB unruly contractors were a major nuisance for local villages and caused all sorts of resentment and violence in local pubs	9811-957-1744	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 1080	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? will these workers bring families - if so then schools locally will need increased accommodation to enable provision for this. who will pay for this? I have concerns about the social activities on offer in the town for families	9838-957-4825	/			
Tractivity 1118	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? I AM VERY CONCERNED ABOUT THE IMPACT OF THIS ON A LOCAL AREA WHERE THERE ARE ALREADY MANY SOCIAL PROBLEMS IT IS ILL THOUGHT OUT & COULD HAVE SEVERE CONSEQUENCES	9876-957-4553			/	
Tractivity 1145	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Bridgewater Innovia site is located in an already culturally vulnerable and sensitive area of the South West. I think that drug and prostitution related crimes would soar out of control.	9903-957-5306	/			
Tractivity 1147	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Too small and a strain on local facilities. Would rather workers bused in than lived local, Bridgwater already has enough problems for example pipe-working.	9905-957-3930	/			
Tractivity 1155	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Consider this to have a detrimental effect on the town. Bridgwater already has problems due to the huge number of public houses and this will add to it.	9913-957-4142			/	
Tractivity 1215	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Local services are already stretched - an influx of non local workers would only serve to exacerbate the situation. How would the campuses be maintained? Would they be allowed to be abused and be turned into an eyesore for local residents?	9973-957-4260	/			
Tractivity 1339	Public	Stage 2 Update	The overview indicates that residents of Bridgwater town will face a gross overloading of roads, utilities and medical services with no compensation for price loss on their properties	89605-957-3279			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 245	Public	Stage 1	<p>12. Do you have any other comments about EDF Energy's initial proposals for the development of a new nuclear power station at Hinkley Point?</p> <p>Hinkley Point C Pre-Application Consultation Stage 1</p> <p>Reference the above proposals I wish to register my extreme dismay and concern. I appreciate that EDF is a commercial concern whose prime motivation is profit, and this is understandable. However, I believe that, in this case, consideration of cost reduction has been totally one sided in favour of EDF. They have chosen the cheapest option with no thought or concern shown towards the cost inflicted on the residents of Cannington, Comwich and Williton villages or the town of Bridgwater. I believe the impact, particularly on the villages, will be devastating. I believe the cost to human suffering and disruption to be far in excess of the cost of routing access across Dunball Wharf and providing accommodation, storage, parking etc. etc. on the Hinkley site itself.</p> <p>Points I heard made at the open exhibition were as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Dunball would be more costly and possibly add a fu 	9341-957-4805	/			
Tractivity 476	Public	Stage 1	<p>One of the main concerns is environmentla impact and so this aspect should always be at the forefront of planning and development considerations. Views are what sells the Somerset Tourist Industry and the scenic aspect from all angles in crucial in the longer term. Transport plans proposed will create jobs initially but will seriously damage Bridgwater and its community short term</p>	9152-957-383		/		
Tractivity 596	Public	Stage 1	<p>The Bridgwater accommodation would provide the best solution to the issue as Bridgwater would absorb the influx more easily than the surrounding villages such as Cannington and Williton but even Bridgwater would struggle with an additional 500 people without some extra leisure facilities that would assist in the integration of the construction workers as they would be living here for up to ten years and could not be left isolated for that period o</p>	9262-957-3251	/			
Tractivity 62578	Public	Stage 2	<p>9. We plan to accommodate between 1,080 and 1,925 non-local workers in accommodation campuses, depending upon the success of local recruitment and other factors. What are your views on our plans for accommodation campuses at the following locations:</p> <p>Bridgwater Innovia site, Bath Road (site BRI- A) for up to 1,075 places?</p> <p>Bridgwater and Albion Rugby Football Club site, College Way (site BRI-C) for up to 150 places? Hinkley Point C site for up to 700 places?</p> <p>Your whole accommodation plans will bring Bridgwater to it's knees in terms of traffic, noise, pollution and crime and will push the police, fire and hospital services to breaking point.</p>	10129-957-10013	/			
Tractivity 62631	Public	Stage 2	<p>Accommodation site C, which will concentrate 700 workers in a very rural area and will mean the small rural communities will be overwhelmed by the incoming workforce especially in their non working times.</p>	10175-957-3604	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 62938	Public	Stage 2	It is a high crime area of the town with an alcohol problem, and I doubt whether your workers would enhance the area.	10177-957-5810	/			
Avon and Somerset Constabulary	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	3) One of the accommodation camps is in close proximity to a local deprived estate and again may increase pressure in terms of anti-social behaviour such as drugs, prostitution etc.	89053-957-1892	/			
Bridgwater Town Council	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Clearly Bridgwater has by far the biggest population (roughly 40,000) and is crucial to linkages to Hinkley and at the heart of the major associated development and must be recognised and, indeed, rewarded accordingly. Bridgwater can be separated from the smaller communities in terms of likely socioeconomic impact and effects during the build period through accessibility / accommodation and facilities, particularly following the changes in the phase 2 consultation and should be considered as a priority for support through community benefit.	89263-957-866			/	
Landowner - Bridgwater College	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	- The loss of the Sports and Social Club venue will impact negatively on the College's ability to house its examination programme particularly in the busy summer exam period.	89437-957-4515			/	
Hallam Land Management	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	The EDF proposals for the Bridgwater-A campus include an indoor gym and one large football pitch on the northern part of the identified site plus three 5 aside areas. These could not be provided until phase 2 of the development of the Bridgwater-A site and the Sports and Social club that presently exist on the site would be lost as soon as development commences. Therefore, there is unlikely to be provision when the first occupants of the accommodation campus move in. Nor is there any evidence to reassure Hallam Land Management that this level of provision is sufficient for the Bridgwater-A campus.	89455-957-21			/	
Hallam Land Management	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	The potential use of facilities within North East Bridgwater therefore needs to be carefully considered - specifically the potential use made of the playing fields to be provided to the west of the primary school site. Such impacts must be assessed and managed and we consider that it is likely that contributions should be made to the developers of the North East Bridgwater site as a result of the pressure placed on the use of such facilities.	89455-957-629			/	
Hallam Land Management	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	Hallam Land Management is nevertheless concerned that the proposals (specifically the Bridgwater accommodation campuses and park and ride site) must ensure that there are no negative impacts on the committed North East Bridgwater development - a development that is critical to the overall vision for the town. It is particularly important that the proposals do not have an impact on the early and full implementation of those proposals.	89456-957-2718	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Hallam Land Management	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	Further work is required to demonstrate the unfettered implementation of the North east Bridgwater proposals in terms of marketability, physical infrastructure and social and community impacts.	89456-957-4879	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Socio-economic effects are identified in relation to the Bridgwater campuses and Junction 23 developments. The assessment notes that the combined development of the Hallam Masterplan and these developments could have beneficial effects with respect to socio- economics.	89343-957-1970	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The social and community safety implications of the scale of development requires further consideration to ensure that there will be no negative impacts on neighbouring communities.	89359-957-8397	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Paragraph 5.7.30 states that the introduction of a large male population into the local community has the potential to lead to poor social integration and unrest. This issue should be explored in more depth within the appraisal and the potential effects on the health and quality of life for local residents more fully described. The likely residual effects following the implementation of the mitigation described in the Health Action Plan should then be appraised.	89414-957-16219	/			
Tractivity 62998	Public	Stage 2 Update	New build residential areas in Bridgwater have already increased substantially with no community infrastructure and no bus facilities.	89692-957-1791			/	
Wembdon Parish Council	statutory consultee	Stage 2 Update	The changes proposed between the Stage 1 and Stage 2 consultations will have a greater and even more negative effect upon the Wembdon village community. The changes proposed in this update to Stage 2 do not significantly address any of the issues raised in our earlier responses and our earlier objections still remain.	89758-957-4318		/		
Sedgemoor District Labour Group	Non-statutory consultee	Stage 2 Update	2. Do you have any comments on our updated accommodation proposals? - The proposed campus proposals for Bridgwater cause us significant concern as we believe that they campuses will have a detrimental impact on the adjacent communities and will potentially encourage conflict between the two communities.	89779-957-2275			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Labour Group	Non-statutory consultee	Stage 2 Update	- We favour an integrated approach, whereby temporary labour would be accommodated within the community	89779-957-2584			/	
Sedgemoor District Labour Group	Non-statutory consultee	Stage 2 Update	- Having large numbers of mainly male younger workers who will be paid 70% over the local median wages levels has the potential to cause conflict with local communities.	89779-957-2923	/			
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	Other outstanding concerns are the scale of the campus and potential for social tensions to arise, together with lack of investment to provide a high quality frontage to and public realm along Bath Road.	89873-957-19960	/			
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	As raised with EDFE on an ongoing basis, a key concern for the Councils is the impact of a worker campus of this scale on the local resident population. Accommodating up to 1,000 workers in a single location is likely to generate a number of problems that need to be properly explored, assessed and mitigated. These risks include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Embedding and prolonging perceptions of North East Bridgwater as low value industrial/business area, directly contravening regeneration plans and ongoing investment; - Concentration of anti-social behaviour associated with the campus, both from campus residents and from neighbouring areas; - Increased traffic movement affecting road safety; and - Creation of a poor quality built environment, prolonging the negative impact of having a derelict industrial legacy in the area when investment could deliver high quality buildings and spaces that could instead make a positive contribution. 	89887-957-4874			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council	Dual - Local Authority and Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 1	4.6 The response raises the concern that there is a significant risk that the project may not create the level of outcomes likely to make serious in-roads to achieving regeneration and place shaping objectives, particularly in Bridgwater, and in achieving LAA targets and a concern about a lack of analysis in the document on EDF's approach to "training and employment" and raising educational achievement. It is recommended that EDF seek to understand the economic impacts of the project, particularly on the local labour content of the project, through a full assessment of the likely supply chain relationships drawing where relevant from international data on the economic impact of similar projects (with similar reactor technologies).	88890-958-24805	/			The local authorities expect the Development Consent Order application to demonstrate how it builds on experience from other nationally significant infrastructure projects, for example in respect of skills. The socio-economic impact assessment is included in Volume 3 Chapter 9 of the Environmental Statement and provides details on mitigation of negative effects. The methodology is based on best practice and draws on experience from other major infrastructure projects in respect of skills profile, timescale of construction etc. The assessment is supported by analysis of workforce profiles and demographics.
Sedgemoor District Council & West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - Local Authority and Consultee with an Interest in Land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 1	- Further information is required on the demographic make up of households to be located in Bridgwater, so that health, education and other community infrastructure requirements can be assessed;	88430-958-2568	/			
Hallam Land Management	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	The EDF proposals for the Bridgwater-A campus include an indoor gym and one large football pitch on the northern part of the identified site plus three 5 aside areas. These could not be provided until phase 2 of the development of the Bridgwater-A site and the Sports and Social club that presently exist on the site would be lost as soon as development commences. Therefore, there is unlikely to be provision when the first occupants of the accommodation campus move in. Nor is there any evidence to reassure Hallam Land Management that this level of provision is sufficient for the Bridgwater-A campus.	89455-958-21			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Socio-economic effects are identified in relation to the Bridgwater campuses and Junction 23 developments. The assessment notes that the combined development of the Hallam Masterplan and these developments could have beneficial effects with respect to socio- economics.	89343-958-1970			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 697	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Providing that facilities are provided and no extra burden is placed on local amenities.	9457-959-4746			/	<p>A number of responses to consultation at Stage 2 sought mitigation for perceived adverse impacts on the community in Bridgwater from the proposals</p> <p>EDF Energy would ensure that the site is operated considerably with 24 hour security and effective management of worker flows. EDF Energy would also introduce a Worker Code of Conduct (see Appendix to Community Safety Management Plan, Annex 6 to the Environmental Statement) to ensure that workers behave appropriately in the local area.</p> <p>As part of the proposals, there would be a commitment to provide on-site sports facilities which would be accessible to members of the public.</p> <p>EDF Energy is proposing to make substantial contributions to local emergency services and other community provision to ensure that there would be no adverse impact from workers on the wider area. The proposed Community Fund to be established by EDF Energy was increased to £20 million following consultation at Stage 2, part of which is likely to be spent on projects in Sedgemoor, specifically in Bridgwater given the number of non-home based workers that would be expected here. A contribution to Bridgwater's flood defence scheme is also proposed. (please refer to the planning obligations appended to the Planning Statement.)</p> <p>The design of the Bridgwater A accommodation campus would include security measures including external lighting and CCTV.</p> <p>It is expected that the operation of the Bridgwater A facility would create local jobs in terms of management of the site and support services such as administration, cleaning and maintenance.</p> <p>An Induction Centre (initially at the Somerfield Site, subsequently moving to Junction 23) and Accommodation Office are to be incorporated into the project-wide development in order to help non-home based workers access training and other requirements.</p> <p>Activities would also be established to maximise the economic benefits of the development, as outlined during the Stage 2 Update consultation. These measures would include activities to assist local suppliers, engagement with schools and colleges and</p>
Tractivity 880	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Subject to comments at 4 above	9638-959-4554			/	
Tractivity 1175	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Housing estates including flats should be built to accommodate these personnel which could be resold on competition of the project. This would be beneficial to the local area now, and in the future.	9933-959-5676		/		
Tractivity 1187	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Subject to answers in 4 above	9945-959-4565			/	
Tractivity 1193	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? You will need another supermarket in B/W then!	9951-959-4409			/	
Tractivity 1194	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? See Q4	9952-959-5777			/	
Tractivity 1196	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Bridgwater must be the location for all accommodation campuses as the town is better able to cope with the greatly increased numbers. Should families start to join the men workers then schools and medical facilities may well be bearable to cope more easily than those in the villages.	9954-959-6552			/	
Tractivity 1334	Public	Stage 2 Update	The current proposals for Bridgwater A site have significant improvements, with particular reference to the sports facilities available to the public, which have been more adequately placed on the site map, to ensure access and safety. I am still not sure adequate measures have been put in place to deal with the increase in traffic along that stretch of Bath Road which is already a traffic congestion hotspot. This will need to be carefully considered to ensure that the development is not perceived negatively.	89600-959-558			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 596	Public	Stage 1	6. Please give comments on your preferences and any suggestions about the future use of these facilities. The Bridgwater accommodation would provide the best solution to the issue as Bridgwater would absorb the influx more easily than the surrounding villages such as Cannington and Williton but even Bridgwater would struggle with an additional 500 people without some extra leisure facilities that would assist in the integration of the construction workers as they would be living here for up to ten years and could not be left isolated for that period of time.	9262-959-3141	/			other training initiatives.
Tractivity 596	Public	Stage 1	10. Do you have any comments on our proposals in relation to training and business opportunities? Bridgwater has lost a large number of jobs over the last few years so any facilities that will get back to work with real jobs and the chance of training and business opportunities would be welcome but while it would not be the responsibility of EDF what would happen to these jobs and businesses after construction.	9262-959-5394	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	- Community segregation and potential negative impact within the NE part of Bridgwater due to the accommodation campuses if not properly integrated into the community through transport corridors and provision of shared use of on-and off- site facilities.	89200-959-4414	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	- Community segregation in the NE part of Bridgwater as a result of the accommodation campuses could happen if they are not properly integrated into the community through transport corridors, provision and shared use of on- and off-site facilities.	89203-959-8957	/			
Bridgwater Town Council	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Clearly Bridgwater has by far the biggest population (roughly 40,000) and is crucial to linkages to Hinkley and at the heart of the major associated development and must be recognised and, indeed, rewarded accordingly.	89263-959-866			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Bridgwater Town Council	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	- Mitigation - compensation through service support including health, social, leisure, cultural and town centre management and extra policing and civil protection, for example;	89263-959-12690	/			
Bridgwater Town Council	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	The proposed "Community Chest" of £1 million is derisory and insulting. When taken into context the site will be with us for over 100 years. If you say that the pot of money is for the construction period only then that equates to £100k per annum. Divided by the 2 districts only, that means that the community benefit £50k per annum. That does not get you a functioning Police Officer! Given the effects of a large number of migrant construction workers, the disruption to the Town during traffic peaks, the lack of any coherent legacy strategy and the possible slaying of tourism this amounts to absolutely nothing. This Council find the sum to be wholly unacceptable. We need, as the bearers of the brunt of the effects of the scheme, to feel that our existing community is being "looked after". There is nothing, either socially or materially arising from this scheme that will deliver any of this.	89263-959-13440	/			
Bridgwater Town Council	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	There is scant mitigation, if any, and certainly no tangible long term benefit to the Town except the promise of a few jobs (without the protection of ensuring that the residual ones, paying good wages, go to local people) and a few hundred Megawatts going into the grid! It is this Council's opinion that these proposals fall far short of our starting position, and rather than looking forward to some real benefits to the Town and it's economy, we are merely fighting a rearguard action in order to protect an expectation. Looking in depth at this proposal, it is a distant expectation at that!	89263-959-14812	/			
Bridgwater Town Council	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	- The Town Council and the community are generally supportive of nuclear new build at Hinkley Point but consider it essential that local issues are resolved in favour of the local communities of which Bridgwater as the 'host' town is the key settlement	89264-959-67	/			
Hallam Land Management	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	It is not clear why the EDF proposals do not make firm commitments to: - contribute to the strategic flood defence solution in Bridgwater - undertake improvements in the Bristol Road Corridor at Bridgwater - the making of provision for employment or wider community facilities within the accommodation campuses.	89456-959-2055	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The level of integration between the construction campus and wider community is a priority subject for discussion with EDF Energy, and there is a desire on the part of the Councils that the site will be permeable and accessible, with any security related to the design of buildings rather than involving site fencing. This would enable Bridgwater residents to cross the site and use its facilities should they wish to	89359-959-7212			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	It is unclear what 'reception' facilities are provided to assess migrant workers for housing, training or employment needs, and if this is to be located on Bridgwater A.	89359-959-7636	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Other arrangements for a security building and CCTV are focused on the protecting the site and provide no indication that these arrangements will offer reassurance or benefits for the wider area. Details of what CCTV services are required and the degree of integration into the wider CCTV network are required.	89359-959-15509	/			
Sedgemoor District Labour Group	Non-statutory consultee	Stage 2 Update	- We would prefer to see investment in existing facilities within the locality to sustain valued, local, services.	89779-959-3094	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The assumption made in paragraph 2.6.6, that natural soils are unlikely to be present at the Bridgwater sites, excluding the southern third of BRI-A, appears to be accurate based on the historic and current land use and physical disturbance.	89362-990-951			/	<p>EDF Energy has acknowledged the comment made by Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council made during the Stage 2 Consultation regarding the presence of natural soils at the proposed Bridgwater A site.</p> <p>Based on historic and current land use and the degree of past physical disturbance, it is considered that natural soils are unlikely to be present within the majority of the Bridgwater A site. Soil conditions in the southern part of the site, which consists of sports grounds, have been the subject of a soil survey which has informed the Baseline, Impacts and Mitigation sections of the Soils and Land Use chapter (Chapter 11, Volume 3) within the Environmental Statement. There is no agricultural land within the site; therefore no assessment of agricultural land has been necessary.</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The assessment provides no specific discussion of with regards to cumulative impacts. Cumulative effects with regards to soils and landuse are considered exclusively within Volume 4 of the EnvApp.	89362-993-2140	/			Cumulative impacts in relation to soils and land use for Bridgwater A are not likely to be significant. Cumulative Impacts are addressed in Volume 11 of the Environmental Statement.

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	As natural soils are likely to be present in the southern third of the BRI-A site, it would have been prudent to assess the impact on the soils in this area.	89362-991-1415	/			<p>During the Stage 2 Consultation Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council commented on the need to assess the potential impacts on soils within the Bridgwater A site.</p> <p>In response to this comment the Methodology section of the Soils and Land Use chapter, (Chapter 11, Volume 3) of the Environmental Statement now includes a description of the criteria used for the assessment of the magnitude of effects on soil identified as relevant.</p>
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	There is uncertainty regarding the potential for natural soils in the south of BRI-A.	89362-991-1599	/			<p>The Methodology section addresses the soil types and their quality as they may be affected by the construction, operation and post-operational phases.</p> <p>The criteria used in the assessment are soil profile characteristics such as texture, structure and drainage, which determine soil quality and its value for its use in landscaping and amenity planting. The methodology describes the assessment of magnitude of impact (change) upon both topsoils and subsoils and the value and sensitivity of the soils present on site. This approach has allowed potential impacts to be assessed in line with Planning Policy Statement 7: Sustainable Development in Rural Areas (PPS7), and in terms to the potential vulnerability of soils to stripping and handling in relation to their physical characteristics, including Soil Wetness Class.</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	No mitigation proposals have been discussed, as no significant impacts on soils and land use is expected for the Bridgwater sites.	89362-994-1709		/		<p>The key mitigation for potential impacts to soils and land use at the Bridgwater A Campus is the adoption of correct methods for excavation, handling, transport, stockpiling and reinstatement of topsoils.</p> <p>An outline of these methods is provided in greater detail within the Mitigation and Impacts sections of the Soils and Land Use chapter (Chapter 11, Volume 3) of the Environmental Statement.</p>
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	No residual effect have been considered, as no significant impacts on soils and land use is expected for the Bridgwater sites	89362-994-1981		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	This section of the appraisal report is extensive and demonstrates that a significant amount of work has been undertaken in order to describe all the water related features. In some ways there is too much irrelevant information.	89363-1017-223			/	The baseline surface water consultation comments cover a wide range of issues including drainage, ecology, flood risk and water quality in relation to the Bridgwater A proposed development. The Surface Water Chapter of Volume 3 of the Environmental Statement (ES) submitted with this application for development consent contains more detail in terms of the baseline description than the previous issues of this chapter. The following statements consider how these consultation comments have been addressed within the updated Surface Water Chapter of Volume 3 of the ES .
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The section should include the sub section that accounts for all data sources	89363-1017-454			/	Consultation responses received during the Stage 2 consultation process requested further clarification regarding the surface water quality and drainage receptors which were considered in the assessment process. To address these concerns, additional information has been provided regarding the location and condition of the key receptors (including nearby rhynes, Wessex sewer system, Cellophane Pits and nearby population) and the value/sensitivity of the individual receptors in the impact assessment.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The key receptors that currently accept surface water discharges are not clearly identified until Section 2.9.105, when the principle receptor for Bridgwater A is identified as a drainage network which includes a series of ponds located to the north east of the site. These are referred to as the Cellophane Pits.	89363-1017-559	/			Volume 3, Chapter 13 of the ES presents a summary table of the surface water receptors that are identified within this assessment and their respective value/sensitivity scores. The River Parrett is not addressed as a specific surface water receptor but is considered by way of the protection of those watercourses that are upstream of it and which may be directly affected by surface water discharges from the proposed development.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The operation of these ponds and whether they receive existing surface water discharges from the site is not fully described. They are described as low sensitivity based on the lack of water quality data and not supplying any existing abstractions.	89363-1017-876			/	The Environment Agency has indicated during consultation process that the Cellophane Pits to the north-east of the Bridgwater A site could be affected by uncontrolled surface water flows which might be generated under extreme flooding from the Bridgwater A proposed development and/or other areas. Under normal flow conditions, the rhyne network does not exhibit any connectivity with the Cellophane Pits and thus these features are not considered to be a water quality impact receptor.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	It is acknowledged that they are a County Wildlife Site but their ecological function is discounted and a low sensitivity is assigned to them, except if hydrocarbon pollution is a possibility. This method of assigning sensitivity does not adopt a precautionary principle.	89363-1017-1128	/			Within the consultation process, further clarity and information regarding the proposed drainage arrangements for the Bridgwater A site were requested. A robust surface water management and drainage strategy has been developed over time for this site. This strategy is supported by detailed

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Details of existing on site surface water drainage are not provided for either site.	89363-1017-1798	/			<p>drainage calculations and is presented in the Bridgwater A Flood Risk Assessment (FRA). The Environment Agency has commented during the consultation process that the drainage strategy is acceptable provided a future connection to the rhyne network serving other areas of north-east Bridgwater can be achieved.</p> <p>In summary, a phased strategy is proposed, using temporary discharges to combined sewers initially and a variety of sustainable drainage (SuDS) measures. After demolition and remediation works are complete, an extended rhyne will be developed parallel to the existing railway track, and other options explored to achieve avoid use of pumped discharges. In the longer term the rhyne at the north-east corner of the Bridgwater A site will be connected for gravity discharge into the North East Bridgwater improved rhyne system</p>
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	surface water discharges from the site will either be infiltrated (only if appropriate or feasible) or flow to the River Parrett either directly or indirectly. Therefore the quality of the River Parrett is of key significance. This is not made clear in the text.	89363-1017-1998			/	<p>It is anticipated that the strategy will be amended during detailed design when more information about the current drainage system becomes available, and when it is possible to undertake inspections and surveys of the site in advance of development.</p> <p>The wider use of SuDS measures has been considered throughout the development of the drainage strategy for Bridgwater A. However the potential utilisation of SuDS is very limited at the site due to the following constraining factors:</p>
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	as part of the Water Framework Directive (WFD) River Basin Management Plan the Parrett has been assigned a grade of 'moderate potential' and has been assigned high sensitivity to arsenic and copper. It is assumed that this must be related to previous or current discharges making the river sensitive to these pollutants. However there is no context stated.	89363-1017-2480			/	<p>The wider use of SuDS measures has been considered throughout the development of the drainage strategy for Bridgwater A. However the potential utilisation of SuDS is very limited at the site due to the following constraining factors:</p>
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	A number of different data sources are described in terms of flood risk, breach analysis and overtopping. Although it is useful to account for different data sources that describe the same thing, it is also necessary to identify which data source is going to be taken forward into the assessment and why. However, this is not done.	89363-1017-3634			/	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Brownfield site – currently playing fields and disused industrial site, with made ground over alluvium (grey/blue clays, peat, sand, stones), with poorly-drained heavy clays; • Shallow ground water table - depth below ground on adjacent site is 0.45m to 2.7m; and • Residual risk of contamination following remediation.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	There is no description of the area in terms of the water resource situation of surface waters in the area.	89363-1017-4099			/	<p>The Surface Water Chapter of Volume 3 of the ES has been aligned with the Bridgwater A FRA to ensure consistency with regard to the terms used to define and express flood potential at the Bridgwater A site.</p> <p>The baseline section of the Surface Water Chapter of Volume 3 of the ES includes a detailed list of the</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	design proposals state that the development will go on to discharge in the existing manner. We understand the existing surface water is actually pumped from the Innovia site. This is not an acceptable method of surface water management for new development and is not considered sustainable.	89082-953-2429	/			key data sources and references throughout the Environmental Statement are supported by corresponding reference lists.

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Parrett Internal Drainage Board	statutory consultee	Stage 2 Update	Accommodation 1. Bridgwater A - former Innovia site located in Board area currently no detailed discussions held with Board, drainage master plan required highlighting strategy. Details of works must adhere to Board's Byelaws.	89717-1025-3837			/	Discussions were held with Somerset Drainage Board Consortium (SDBC) on the 28 th April 2010 in respect of the Flood Risk Study submitted at the Stage 2 consultation. The relevant site-specific Flood Risk Assessments (including detailed drainage strategy and plan) were submitted to the SBDC for comment. Comments received from the board were reflected in the final versions of the Flood Risk Assessment and Bridgwater A Surface Water Chapter of the ES (Volume 3, Chapter 13) .

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Hallam Land Management	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	Assurances also need to be given as to how the campus development integrates with other aspects of the North East Bridgwater development and master plan such as in relation to drainage.	89456-1020-1746	/			During the Stage 2 consultation a comment was received regarding wider consideration of the cumulative surface water impacts of the proposed Bridgwater A development and existing construction at the North East Bridgwater development. These interactions have been considered in the development of the Bridgwater A Flood Risk Assessment and drainage strategy and underpin the short term and long term drainage solutions for the site. It should also be noted that cumulative impacts across the Hinkley Point C (HPC) Project developments and surrounding non-HPC schemes are considered separately in Volume 11 of the ES .

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	However design proposals state that the development will go on to discharge in the existing manner. We understand the existing surface water is actually pumped from the Innovia site. This is not an acceptable method of surface water management for new development and is not considered sustainable.	89082-1019-2421			/	<p>A number of consultee comments received during the stage 2 consultation process cited the lack of a full definition of the sensitivity and magnitude of each receptor evaluated in the surface water impact assessment included in the Stage 2 Preferred Proposals. One example concerned the justification for the low sensitivity/value assigned to the Cellophane Pits near the Bridgwater A site. The text of the Surface Water Chapter (Volume 3 Chapter 13) of the Environmental Statement (ES) has now been updated to provide additional information and to apply common terminology throughout the impact assessment process. As part of the consideration of baseline characteristics and receptor sensitivities the water resources function of surface waters has been taken into consideration.</p> <p>The justification for the sensitivity/value assignment for each receptor is provided in an updated table within the /, and provides details for both the water quality and hydrology assessments undertaken. Details have been provided for both direct surface water and indirect population receptors which could be affected by the proposed development at Bridgwater A.</p> <p>The Surface Water chapter (Volume 3 Chapter 13) of the ES has also been updated to provide additional information regarding individual impacts, any additional mitigation actions which are not directly considered in the design of the site and remaining residual risks. The assessment has shown there are no moderate or major surface water related impacts for the proposed development at Bridgwater A. As a consequence, no specific additional mitigation is required. It should also be noted that best practice measures, good construction methodologies, pollution prevention guidance and maintenance regimes will be adopted throughout all phases of the site development and use by EDF (and these have been taken into account within the assessment of potential impacts).</p>
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The significance assessment for each effect is based on the low importance assigned the assumed receiving surface water network (draining into the Cellophane pits). The reasoning behind the sensitivity assigned the drainage network is not robust and so the assessment is unable to withstand scrutiny. Furthermore it remains unclear whether discharges will be made to this network.	89363-1019-5181	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The impact of accidental discharges is assigned a significance of minor adverse. This based on the sensitivity of the Cellophane Pits being low and the unlikelihood of this occurring, This impact needs to be reassessed bearing in mind that the Cellophane Pits are likely to have a greater sensitivity to change.	89363-1019-8003	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The effect of surface water discharges from the site is based on no site disturbance. If this is true there will not be any change to discharges.	89363-1019-8316	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The assessment does not consider the water resources function of surface waters in any way.	89363-1019-8465			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The residual impacts of the operational and reinstatement phases are not clearly described	89363-1019-10864	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Bridgwater A and C sites are of key concern due to the proposed discharge to existing public combined sewerage systems.	89423-1019-7345			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The assessment methodology provided within Section 2.9 is incomplete as it only provides tables that describe 'sensitivity of receptor' and 'magnitude of effect'. It is assumed that the combination of sensitivity and magnitude required to inform an assessment of impact significance is informed through use of Table 5.4.4 in Volume 1 of the EnvApp, although this is not explicitly stated.	89363-1018-4232		/		A number of comments were received during stage 2 of the consultation process regarding the extent and scope of the methods used as a basis for the Surface Water assessment in the Stage 2 Preferred Proposals Consultation. One comment concerned the lack of a full definition of how the impact is assessed based on the sensitivity and magnitude of each receptor evaluated in the assessment. The text of the Surface Water Chapter (Volume 3 Chapter 13) of the Environmental Statement (ES) submitted with this application for development consent has now been updated to provide additional information and to apply common terminology throughout the impact assessment process.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The assessment of surface water quality is based on the assumption that all discharges will be made to into sewers, including surface waters. This is against current best practice for surface water management as described in Planning Policy Statement 25 (PPS25), CIRIA guidance on sustainable drainage techniques and the Flood and Water Management Act. A discharge to a combined sewer will require a demonstration that all alternative discharge alternatives have been investigated and a severe flow rate will be imposed on the discharge.	89363-1018-6642			/	A number of comments were made regarding the appropriateness of the drainage strategy to, in the short term, direct surface water from the site to the nearby combined sewer and also the need to consider appropriate SuDS methods within the drainage design. A summary of the key points arising from the proposed drainage strategy is provided in the Bridgwater A Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) . In the long-term, it is intended that the surface water drainage strategy for the Bridgwater A site would be aligned with the drainage strategy for the North East Bridgwater development. However, the extent to which the improved rhine system serving the North East Bridgwater development would have been constructed to allow connections from the Bridgwater A site is unknown at this stage. As a result the strategy will examine other shorter term options although the proposed drainage strategy for the duration of the Bridgwater A development is likely to allow for future discharge into the North East Bridgwater rhine network if this becomes possible. The rate of run-off discharged to the combined sewer will be restricted to greenfield rates as has been agreed with Wessex Water.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The assessment of flood risk posed by surface water a discharge is based on a reduction in impermeable area at Bridgwater A and the adoption of unspecified flow reduction measures at Bridgwater C. Again this does not take account of current best practice of surface water management in relation to surface water management. For a redeveloped site, the regulatory bodies are trying to achieve discharges from all sites that mimic natural systems. Reducing the impermeable area of a developable area may improve the situation but does not remove the significant flood risk posed by poor surface water management.	89363-1018-7183			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	A detailed study will be required to investigate infiltration potential, natural surface water discharge points. Only after these have been discounted can the combined sewer be considered. However, the rate of discharge is likely to be very strictly controlled if this is the case.	89425-1018-7618			/	
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	In the case of the three campus sites and J23 Park and Ride facility, more detail is required about how surface water will be managed now that the proposals for these sites have changed.	89864-1018-1912			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Parrett Internal Drainage Board	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	These sites are located within the Parrett Internal Drainage Boards Boundary. Within this area the board have jurisdiction over matters relating to all Ordinary Watercourses. Should the development proceed to the next stage we would wish for suitable surface water strategies to be developed to ensure that land in and adjacent to these areas can continue to drain to a standard at least as good as that which exists currently. This will require surface water run off to be managed and for drainage features to be maintainable.	10189-1021-2071			/	During the Stage 2 consultation, the Environment Agency highlighted a number of specific conditions which would need to be addressed to ensure that the proposed Bridgwater A development does not cause pollution and/or impact upon controlled waters. These conditions are reflected in the development of Volume 3, Chapter 13 of the Environmental Statement (ES), Bridgwater A Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) and drainage strategy (within the FRA) for the site, EDF Energy has continued engagement with the Environment Agency during the development of the Bridgwater A FRA to ensure these requirements have been adequately addressed.
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	<p>Please note that these recommendations are subject to addition and change. Before final conditions are established the environment agency should be re- consulted. Please be aware we will have additional conditions as proposals are developed further.</p> <p>CONDITION: Prior to the commencement of development approved by this planning permission (or such other date or stage in development as may be agreed in writing with the Planning Authority), the following components of a scheme to deal with the risks associated with contamination of the site shall each be submitted to and approved, in writing, by the planning authority:</p> <p>A preliminary risk assessment which has identified:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> all previous uses potential contaminants associated with those uses a conceptual model of the site indicating sources, pathways and receptors potentially unacceptable risks arising from contamination at the site. <p>A site investigation scheme, based on (1) to provide information for a detailed assessment of the risk to all receptors that may be affected, including those off site.</p> <p>The site investigation results and the detailed risk assessment (2) and, based on these, an options appraisal and remediation strategy giving full details of the remediation measures required and how they are to be undertaken.</p> <p>A verification plan providing details of the data that will be collected in order to demonstrate that the works set out in (3) are complete and identifying any requirements for longer-term monitoring of pollutant linkages, maintenance and arrangements for contingency action.</p> <p>Any changes to these components require the express consent of the planning authority. The scheme shall be implemented as approved.</p> <p>REASON: To ensure that the proposed development does not cause pollution of Controlled Waters and that development complies with approved details in the interests of protection of Controlled Waters.</p> <p>CONDITION: No infiltration of surface water drainage into the ground is permitted other than with the express written consent of the Planning Authority, which may be given for those parts of the site where it has been demonstrated that there is no resultant unacceptable risk to controlled waters. The development shall be carried out in accordance with the approval details.</p> <p>REASON: To ensure that the proposed development does not cause pollution of Controlled Waters and that development complies with approved</p>	89091-1021-2272			/	<p>A significant proportion of the remaining Bridgwater A consultation comments, received at Stage 2, relate to concern or clarifications regarding the potential impacts (and need for mitigation) of uncontrolled surface water discharges from the Bridgwater A site.</p> <p>As outlined in earlier responses, development of a drainage strategy (detailed within the Bridgwater A FRA is an integral part of the Bridgwater A site design to control discharges from the site at levels consistent with current runoff rates. This has been achieved using a variety of Sustainable Drainage Strategy (SuDS) management techniques as advocated by a number of consultees. These techniques include the use of permeable paving materials on sections of the site; the use of a controlled drainage system; and the use of an on-site attenuation tank to control discharges from the site. This final feature was adopted due to the constraints (i.e. ground materials, shallow water table and potential contamination issues) which limit the use of other SuDS measures at the Bridgwater A site and justify the use, in the short term, of the combined sewer as a primary discharge route.</p> <p>As outlined in the Bridgwater A Surface Water Baseline topic response within the Consultation Report,, the short term drainage solution will focus on discharge to the nearby sewer system but with a long term objective to discharge to the North Bridgwater development rhyme system which is under development. Further details of the proposals, which have been reviewed by the Environment Agency, are provided in the Bridgwater A FRA and drainage strategy for the Bridgwater A site.</p> <p>The assessment of water quality construction impacts has assumed that good construction site practice will be implemented by the appointed contractor and that a site specific water and sediment management plan is developed. Due regard for the Environment Agency Pollution Prevention Guidelines (PPG) will be</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
			<p>details in the interests of protection of Controlled Waters.</p> <p>CONDITION: Piling or any other foundation designs using penetrative methods shall not be permitted other than with the express written consent of the Planning Authority, which may be given for those parts of the site where it has been demonstrated that there is no resultant unacceptable risk to groundwater. The development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details.</p> <p>REASON: To ensure that the proposed development does not cause pollution of controlled waters.</p> <p>CONDITION: During construction No development approved by this permission shall be commenced until a scheme for prevention of pollution during the construction phase has been approved by the Local Planning Authority.</p> <p>REASON: to prevent pollution of the water environment.</p> <p>CONDITION: No development approved by this subsequent permissions shall be commenced until the Local Planning Authority is satisfied that adequate sewerage infrastructure will be in place to receive foul water discharges from the site.</p> <p>REASON: To prevent pollution of the water environment.</p>					<p>made through all phases of the development, irrespective of the fact that discharges will be directed to the Wessex Water combined sewer. A water and sediment management plan will detail measures which will ensure the careful management and monitoring of construction and operation practices at the Bridgwater A development site, with respect to surface water and sediment control. Measures will include the provision of facilities for the appropriate storage of oils and fuels. Such measures will ensure that any discharges from the site will be managed in such a way that there will be no deleterious environment impacts and that any discharge requirements (e.g. through Environmental Permit conditions) are met in terms of quality and discharge rate at all times.</p> <p>Responses received from the Environment Agency during the Stage 2 consultation process have advised that in order to prevent pollution of the water environment there should be no discharge of foul or contaminated drainage to groundwaters, via soakaways for example. Foul drainage from the Bridgwater A development will be collected and discharged to the Wessex Water combined sewer.</p>
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The generic good practice offered to prevent pollution to existing surface water features is considered appropriate.	89363-1021-8909		/		<p>If during development contamination not previously identified is found to be present at the site, then, in order to prevent pollution to the water environment, no further development (unless otherwise agreed with the Local Planning Authority) will be carried out until EDF Energy has submitted and obtained written approval from the Local Planning Authority for an amendment to the remediation strategy (that will be developed for the site), detailing how this unsuspected contamination will be dealt with.</p>
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	utilising existing sewers for surface water discharges is against PPS25, CIRIA guidance on sustainable drainage techniques and the Flood and Water Management Act.	89363-1021-9127			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	On the basis that mitigation is not offered to reduce surface water discharges from site for Bridgwater A, it must be deduced that there is an assumption that reducing the impermeable area circumnavigates the need to manage surface water discharges from the site. This is against current surface water management policy.	89363-1021-9293		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	No operational phase mitigation is described. Surface water management will be key and details need to be provided for this assessment to be anywhere near adequate.	89363-1021-9638			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	At the removal/reinstatement stage the surface water drainage provision installed at the construction stage and used to serve the operational phase will be retained. Therefore, there will not be any need to install any additional mitigation at this stage, as long as the original measures are fit for purpose.	89363-1021-9857			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	in the absence of detailed proposals for surface water management or the EMMP, the level of detail regarding proposed mitigation measures is generally insufficient.	89363-1021-10696			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The residual construction impacts are highly dependent on the effective implementation of the Environmental Management and Monitoring Plan. This will have to be developed to a detailed level, approved by the EA and adhered to by the contactors to be valid.	89425-1021-6504			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The mitigation strategy is largely based on discharging surface and foul water discharges to the public water combined sewerage system. This solution extends to the operational (and the removal stage for Bridgwater A) phases. This is an inappropriate mitigation strategy as it is not in accordance with current best practice or policies such as Planning Policy Statement 25, CIRIA sustainable drainage guidance or the Flood and Water Management. A contemporarily designed surface water management strategy will be key to maintaining a healthy surface water environment. In the first instance surface water flows from the site will have to be strictly controlled. The water will have to be attenuated and probably undergo some form of pre-treatment using either a proprietary system or natural vegetation and then discharged to a suitable receptor.	89425-1021-6764			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Parrett Internal Drainage Board	statutory consultee	Stage 2 Update	Clearly within the development proposals there are a number of important issues which need to be resolved before any development or works commence on site. The details will need to set out and establish an effective surface water disposal strategy on each of the separate proposals and if appropriate consent applied for and is issued by the Board before any works commences on site.	89717-1021-5685			/	
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	The Hallam Land Management outline planning application for this area committed to a sustainable drainage scheme which requires widening and implementation of a rhyne network to provide sustainable drainage which can manage a large storm event (1 in 100 year plus climate change). As the infrastructure from the development will be left as legacy it is important the accommodation layout incorporates this agreed Sustainable Urban Drainage (SuDs) method and establishes the principle in this location. Action: Surface water drainage approach needs to be re- evaluated to incorporate a higher level of sustainability. We recommend that proposals are in line with the current outline planning proposals which exist for this site.	89082-953-2723	/			
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Section 7.6.8 of the Master plan document for the Accommodation campuses states that the surface water will be managed providing as sustainable solutions as possible. However it is then stated that oversized pipes may provide adequate storage. Oversized pipes are not considered sustainable and do not fit into the ambition for the site. Rhynes and detention areas should be given priority at this site, however these may have to be lined due to the underlying ground conditions. As a legacy site, it is crucial that sustainable drainage techniques are implemented.	89083-1078-2964			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	No specific commitment to monitoring is provided. It is expected that this will be addressed within the EMMP.	89363-1022-11166			/	Monitoring of the discharges made off-site will take place, as will monitoring of construction procedures and practices. Details regarding the surface water monitoring programmes and responsibilities will be contained within a site specific water and sediment management plan, which will form part of the Environmental Management and Monitoring Plan. These plans will be developed prior to works commencing at the Bridgwater A site.

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Environment Agency	Dual - Statutory Consultee and Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 1	The area encompasses the Cellophane Pits County Wildlife Site, which is a complex of gravel pits with surrounding grassland and scrub. Potential development could consider incorporating this area into the design as a wildlife refuge. We would strongly support this approach.	88830-1026-15022	/			<p>The proposed layout of the former Innovia site, north of the A39 (Bridgwater A) has changed since the Stage 1 consultation, partly in response to comments, and does not include any part of the Cellophane Pits County Wildlife Site. A desk study (including a request to Somerset Environmental Records Centre in 2010 for records of both legally protected and otherwise notable species) and, after EDF Energy gained access to Bridgwater A site in 2011, an extended Phase 1 habitat survey was undertaken for this site in June 2011.</p> <p>Further detailed species-specific survey work has also been undertaken in the summer 2011 to establish a robust baseline dataset, both to inform the design of the development proposals and to provide a robust basis on which to assess the impacts of the proposed development. As the developer's ecologists have played an integral role in the iterative process of scheme design it has been possible to ensure that the implications of the 2011 baseline results (which are presented in the Environmental Statement Volume 3, Chapter 14) have been addressed in the final design proposals.</p>
Somerset Wildlife Trust	Non-Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	Insufficient ecological information has been provided against which to appraise these plans, and so we must object.	10263-1026-16660	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	"No work appears to have been done by EDF so far on the ecological impacts of utilising the Search Areas. SCC ecological specialists are aware of problems that have arisen with developing the BRI-A site due to the presence of Great Crested Newts and other legally protected species. There seem to be less obvious ecological constraints affecting BRI-B and BRI-C, although foraging bats may use both sites. BRI-D is immediately adjoining the Bridgwater-Taunton Canal CWS, which is known to support Daubenton's Bats, Otters and Water Voles - all EPS.	89258-1026-1091			/	
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	The EclA does make use of data obtained from SERC, but it is not clear whether SERC was asked for any species data other than that concerning legally protected species. It is possible that data has not been obtained concerning those Priority Species without statutory protection.	89258-1026-7070			/	
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Paragraphs 2.10.4 to 3.10.39 inclusive identify the main sources of legislative and policy issues that are pertinent to this development. However, much of the detailed discussion of the subject matter in these paragraphs addresses issues of limited relevance to the specific development under consideration.	89258-1026-8333	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	A future iteration of the EclA should make use of the information gathered from the further surveys that are planned to refine the baseline.	89258-1026-11623	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	- All ecological surveys required to inform baseline may not be done in time for the information to be considered properly in the decision making process;	89258-1026-16798	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The baseline data for the sites is incomplete (surveys - i.e. reptiles still ongoing) and relies on an initial Phase 1 Habitat Survey undertaken out of season and without full access to the site (for BRI-A).	89363-1026-11510	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	There is enough information to characterise the general ecological status of the selected locations, although further survey work is recognised as being required.	89363-1026-11718	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The validity of the evidence base produced by EDF Energy for terrestrial ecology for the Bridgwater sites is on the whole considered sound enough to come to an initial evaluation.	89363-1026-11884			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The approach for the assessment does follow best practice guidance (IEEM 2006) and list the appropriate legislation and policy framework. The desk-based baseline data collection is also comprehensive.	89363-1026-12089			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	No ecological information was presented during the Stage 1 consultations for the associated development sites and the baseline is still incomplete. A significant range of protected species surveys are still ongoing. It is not clear how these surveys will influence the design which is already at an advanced stage.	89425-1026-8145	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	- The baseline data for the sites is incomplete and relies on an initial Phase 1 habitat survey undertaken out of season.	89425-1026-8968	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - Local Authority, Statutory Consultee and Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 1	20. Bridgwater - No work appears to have been done by EDF so far on the ecological impacts of utilising the Search Areas. SCC ecological specialists are aware of problems that have arisen with developing the BRI-A site due to the presence of Great Crested Newts and other legally protected species. There seem to be less obvious ecological constraints affecting BRI-B and BRI-C, although foraging bats may use both sites. BRI-D is immediately adjoining the Bridgwater-Taunton Canal CWS, which is known to support Daubenton's Bats, Otters and Water Voles - all EPS.	87980-1034-2628			/	A desk study (including a request to Somerset Environmental Records Centre in 2010 for records of both legally protected and otherwise notable species) and, after EDF Energy gained access to the site in 2011, an extended Phase 1 habitat survey have been undertaken for this site. Further detailed species-specific survey work has also been undertaken in 2011 to establish a robust baseline dataset, both to inform the design of the development proposals and to provide a robust basis on which to assess the impacts of the proposed development. As the developer's ecologists have played an integral role in the iterative process of scheme design it has been possible to ensure that the implications of the 2011 baseline results (which are presented in the Environmental Statement (ES) Volume 3 Chapter 14) have been fully addressed in the final design proposals. The methodology for, and presentation of, the assessment of impacts in the ES Chapter has also been further developed since the Stage 2 consultation; for example, geographical terms of evaluation are no longer used and the chapter has been updated to address comments made by consultees during the consultation process.
Somerset Wildlife Trust	Non-Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	Secondly, a lack of information at this stage prevents meaningful consultation. Pre-application consultation should be mutually beneficial: as an exercise in transparency, it should empower local communities to become involved in the plans that shape the places they live; equally, it should provide an opportunity for EDF to benefit from the specialist local knowledge held by statutory consultees, technical experts and the wider community. Such an exercise can only fulfil its potential if stakeholders are presented with a reasonably comprehensive and contextualised suite of relevant data. In the case of ecology, substantial information gaps still exist for the foreshore/coastal and marine environments of the Severn Estuary, and the terrestrial environments of sites at Bridgwater, Cannington, Coombwich, Junction 23, Junction 24, and Williton. In the absence of survey data and interpretation upon which to base impact predictions, it is not possible to fully analyse or assess the implications of this development for Somerset's wildlife. Given the number of ancillary development sites for which statutorily protected and priority species and habitat survey data has still not been obtained, analysed, or presented for consideration, the Trust questions the usefulness of this consultation exercise. It is hard to see what benefit public consultation over plans based around half-formed evidence bases brings to either the local community or EDF.	10263-1034-11481	/			
Somerset Wildlife Trust	Non-Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	a) Bridgwater Innovia site Insufficient ecological information has been provided against which to appraise these plans, and so we must object.	10263-1034-17139	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	It is suggested that the use of geographical terms of evaluation be dropped (e.g. parish, county, etc.) as this is not understood by a general reader and has led to misinterpretation in the past. The evaluation of impacts in terms of rarity in the local area/Somerset and the magnitude of effects on local population viability/habitat extent are more useful, and can also be taken into the regional/national context. This may require consultation by the developer's ecologist with SCC.	89258-1034-10576	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	The proponents of the development have held a number of consultation meetings with Natural England and have met twice with representatives of SCC as summarised in Table 2.10.3. In addition they have approached a number of organisations for data and these are listed in paragraph 2.10.47. However, it is not clear from this paragraph whether the organisations have been asked for their views regarding the proposed development.	89258-1034-12566			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	The proposed mitigation for water voles at the Innovia site, would be under taken as an 'incidental result of an otherwise lawful activity'. There is a small population on site and the developer is planning to mitigate through displacement using vegetation removal over a long length of ditches. However, there are no plans to draw down the water in the ditches as. It is therefore likely that voles will return to exposed burrows to feed and be exposed to predation. No assessment has been made as to whether habitats on the new development would continue to support these and what cumulative effects would occur following development of a hospital in Bower Lane.	89258-1029-14786	/			The full results of the baseline survey programme provide a robust basis on which to assess the likely impacts of the proposed Bridgwater A development on ecological receptors, including those that may arise from cumulative interaction with other Hinkley Point C (HPC) and non-HPC developments. An updated assessment of cumulative impacts on terrestrial ecology and ornithology receptors, including bats and other UK Biodiversity Action Plan species referenced during the Stage 2 consultation, is presented in Volume 11 of the Environmental Statement.
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	- The ecological appraisal of the impacts of the whole development package (i.e. power station plus all associated development) is done in a piecemeal fashion without due and proper consideration of 'in combination' and 'cumulative' effects.	89258-1029-16956		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	he development on these BRI-A and BRI-C sites are assessed appropriately against other 'local cumulative developments. The key one is probably Hallam Masterplan site directly north of BRI-A where it is understood there are protected species issues.	89363-1029-15478			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The assessment concludes that there are Negligible cumulative effects but this should be reviewed in light of the current issues on the Hallam site.	89363-1029-15727			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	The notional zone of influence is not explicitly defined in this section. Figures show the areas surveyed for various species and habitats in relation to the Search Areas.	89258-1033-9727	/			The assessment methodology and all supporting graphical material have been updated since the Stage 2 consultation. Survey areas were defined, based on an initial scoping of likely significant impacts.

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - Local Authority, Statutory Consultee and Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 1	20. Bridgwater - No work appears to have been done by EDF so far on the ecological impacts of utilising the Search Areas. SCC ecological specialists are aware of problems that have arisen with developing the BRI-A site due to the presence of Great Crested Newts and other legally protected species. There seem to be less obvious ecological constraints affecting BRI-B and BRI-C, although foraging bats may use both sites. BRI-D is immediately adjoining the Bridgwater-Taunton Canal CWS, which is known to support Daubenton's Bats, Otters and Water Voles - all EPS.	87980-1028-2628			/	A desk study (including a request to Somerset Environmental Records Centre in 2010 for records of both legally protected and otherwise notable species) and, after EDF Energy gained access to the site in 2011, an extended Phase 1 habitat survey have been undertaken for the Bridgwater A site. Further detailed species-specific survey work has also been undertaken in 2011 to establish a robust baseline dataset, both to inform the design of the development proposals and to provide a robust basis on which to assess the impacts of the proposed development at the former Innovia site north of the A39 (i.e. the Bridgwater A site). As the developer's ecologists have played an integral role in the iterative process of scheme design it has been possible to ensure that the implications of the 2011 baseline results (which are presented in the Environmental Statement Chapter - Volume 3 Chapter 14) have been fully addressed in the final design proposals. The methodology for, and presentation of, the assessment of impacts has also been further developed and improved since the Stage 2 consultation.
Tractivity 1328	Public	Stage 2 Update	Two of the reasons for turning down a Bridgwater by-pass are - 1. The land is environmentally sensitive.	89594-1028-1322			/	
Natural England	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	The potential for adverse impacts to intertidal bird food availability has been identified; the magnitude and exact nature of which has not been assessed in detail for SSSI, SPA, Ramsar site features. We are therefore unable to conclude at the present time that there will be no adverse impact on site integrity for the Severn Estuary SPA, Ramsar site features, either alone or in-combination with other aspects of these proposals or other plans and projects in the area. Similarly, we are unable to conclude that the Bridgwater Bay SSSI bird features will be unaffected by these proposals.	89105-1028-7714	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Sections that deal with potential impacts Priority Habitats if they occur. (Clarification is needed regarding whether any of the land at the former Innovia site (BRI-A) qualifies as the UK Priority Habitat 'Open Mosaic Habitats on Previously Developed Land';	89258-1028-7823	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Expanded sections examining possible impacts upon each receptor in detail.	89258-1028-9566			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Section 2.10 appears to assume that the terrestrial environment around Bridgwater will remain broadly the same between the present time and the point when the development takes place.	89258-1028-10184			/	
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	An indication in Table 2.10.7 of those impact assessments (i.e. the ones involving reptiles) that may be affected by the results of survey work that is yet to be completed and reported.	89258-1028-13760			/	
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Table 2.10.7, in which many of the assessments are presented provides only a cursory explanation in many instances about how judgements have been reached, so it is difficult to comment on the robustness of the overall assessment. In addition, there is a lack of detail concerning the precise mitigation that will be employed to minimise some impacts.	89258-1028-13978	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	More information on how assessments have been made.	89258-1028-14361			/	
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Sections that specifically identify and assess potential impacts on UK and Somerset BAP Priority Species not addressed as legally protected species;	89259-1028-8638	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	SCC considers that the main likely impacts have been identified in very general terms. However, this section suffers from the lack of detailed systematic analysis of the nature of likely impacts or of the likely magnitude of such impacts before mitigation on each of the ecological receptors identified.	89259-1028-9783	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Text explaining the assumptions that have been made regarding trends in terrestrial ecology affecting habitats and species within the zone of influence of the development.	89259-1028-10956			/	
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	it is not possible for the County Council to agree at this stage with the proponent's conclusion that residual effects will be 'minor' or 'negligible' in most cases.	89259-1028-18254			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	the evaluation of the value of receptors and the assigning of magnitude to potential effects is considered robust and consistent subject to the further survey work to be carried out. For these two associated development sites there is not a difference in evaluation between EDF Energy and the Council on the significance of the effect.	89363-1028-12803			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The overall judgement of residual effects is considered to be appropriate and the additional baseline data is probably unlikely to change the assessment significantly. However, there are apparently protect species licence issues for the adjacent development to the north and further understanding of these aspects should be given within the final assessment.	89363-1028-14586			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	No ecological information was presented during the Stage 1 consultations for the associated development sites and the baseline is still incomplete. A significant range of protected species surveys are still ongoing. It is not clear how these surveys will influence the design which is already at an advanced stage.	89425-1028-8145	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - Local Authority, Statutory Consultee and Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 1	20. Bridgwater - No work appears to have been done by EDF so far on the ecological impacts of utilising the Search Areas. SCC ecological specialists are aware of problems that have arisen with developing the BRI-A site due to the presence of Great Crested Newts and other legally protected species. There seem to be less obvious ecological constraints affecting BRI-B and BRI-C, although foraging bats may use both sites. BRI-D is immediately adjoining the Bridgwater-Taunton Canal CWS, which is known to support Daubenton's Bats, Otters and Water Voles - all EPS.	87980-1027-2628			/	A desk study (including a request to SERC in 2010 for records of both legally protected and otherwise notable species) and, after EDF Energy gained access to the site in 2011, an extended Phase 1 habitat survey have been undertaken for this site. Further detailed species-specific survey work has also been undertaken in 2011 to establish a robust baseline dataset, both to inform the design of the development proposals and to provide a robust basis on which to assess the impacts of the proposed development. As the scheme ecologists have played an integral role in the iterative process of scheme design it has been possible to ensure that the implications of the 2011 baseline results (which are presented in the Environmental Statement Chapter - Volume 3 Chapter 14) have been fully addressed in the final design proposals. The methodology for, and presentation of, the assessment of impacts has also been further developed since the Stage 2 consultation.
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	- Biodiversity: There is insufficient information to determine how the development will impact upon protected species and their habitat.	89069-1027-7708	/			
Natural England	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Protected species It is difficult to fully assess impacts as results of the 2010 surveys are not available but they are needed to inform detailed design and mitigation for water vole, otter, great crested newts, badger grass-snake and bat species if they are present. *Licences may be required.	89112-1027-86	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	SCC notes that paragraph 2.10.56 indicates that further surveys for reptiles are to be undertaken at the BRI-A site during the summer of 2010.	89258-1027-11447			/	
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Table 2.10.1 outlines the criteria to be used to evaluate ecological receptors. Apart from the use of terms such as 'parish' and 'district' that ought to be avoided in case they cause confusion, the criteria seem reasonably fair.	89258-1027-13498	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Analysis of positive and negative contributions that the proposed development will make to the achievement of policy objectives (e.g. amount of Priority Habitat lost/gained/retained compared with BAP targets).	89259-1027-9326			/	
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Text explaining the basis of the estimates of the zone of influence for each type of potential impact and receptor.	89259-1027-10486			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The approach for the assessment does follow best practice guidance (IEEM 2006) and list the appropriate legislation and policy framework. The desk-based baseline data collection is also comprehensive.	89363-1027-12087			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	a summer survey for habitats and a reptile survey should be completed.	89363-1027-12466	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Overall, the assessment methodology is considered adequate once gaps in the baseline are dealt with.	89363-1027-12665			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	A summer survey for habitats should be undertaken for both sites and a reptile survey should be completed for BRI-A.	89363-1027-14439	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	- At present there is little evidence of legacy elements being incorporated into the design process.	89425-1027-9340		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Environment Agency	Dual - Statutory Consultee and Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 1	The area encompasses the Cellophane Pits County Wildlife Site, which is a complex of gravel pits with surrounding grassland and scrub. Potential development could consider incorporating this area into the design as a wildlife refuge. We would strongly support this approach.	88830-1030-15022	/			<p>The Bridgwater A site layout has changed since the Stage 1 consultation and does not include any part of the Cellophane Pits County Wildlife Site.</p> <p>EDF Energy gained access to the Bridgwater A site in 2011. As a result of the survey work that has been completed since gaining access, the baseline presented in the Environmental Statement (ES) Chapter (Volume 3 Chapter 14) is sufficiently robust to inform the design of the development proposals. This assessed the impacts of the proposed development and, where necessary, defined mitigation measures for any unavoidable ecological impacts.</p> <p>Based on the 2011 survey results, the mitigation strategy has been further developed since the Stage 2 consultation and an outline ecological mitigation and habitat management plan has been prepared (and included with the ES). The detailed mitigation plan would form an integral part of the site Environmental Management and Monitoring Plan, which would be agreed in accordance with planning requirements.</p>
Environment Agency	Dual - Statutory Consultee and Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 1	Within each development enhanced biodiversity measures/ green infrastructure should be incorporated where practicable. Among other benefits this will enhance the environment in which the local community live in and provide a valuable resource to local residence. This is also in line with Sedgemoors Core Strategy preferred option Policy DW12 which includes the requirements for developers to protect and enhance the natural environment.	88830-1030-26395	/			
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	<p>Comment: PPS9 draws attention to the value of networks of natural habitats and the importance of maintaining and repairing these. Such networks include watercourses and ditches and their associated corridors which feature in the existing landscape of North East Bridgwater.</p> <p>The linear drainage features agreed through the Hallam Land outline application provide opportunities for conserving and creating wildlife habitats. We recommend that this approach is also included within this application. We advise that where balancing ponds are proposed they should be sited where they deliver the desired functionality and where their creation will provide a lasting legacy habitat. Irrespective of the presence of protected species ponds are a new UK BAP priority habitat. If a balancing pond has to be removed we would expect a new pond to be created as mitigation.</p> <p>Action: Appropriate environmental enhancement /mitigation approach is established.</p>	89082-1030-5838	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	The vast majority of ecological surveys for associated site proposals have not yet been completed, and documentation submitted at Stage 2 may not be fit for purpose as the results may not be available in time to adequately inform the decision making process. A lack of evidence base to support proposals raises concern about the suitability of mitigation measures proposed, and the level of impact that may be caused.	89251-1030-185	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	It would be helpful if the Ecological Impact Assessment has a summary at the front of the report setting out what mitigation is required and some sort of timetable as to when it is to be carried out. This may bring up some conflicts and may dictate the window when work on site can begin. There is concern that the usual urgency to start construction on site may contravene what is needed to comply with wildlife legislation and/or good practice.	89258-1030-4590			/	
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Generic mitigation measures are proposed in Table 2.10.7, but, as indicated above, it is difficult to judge their potential effectiveness and deliverability given a lack of detail concerning the precise nature of some of the measures.	89258-1030-14434	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	The Masterplan for BRI-A & BRI-C contains no tangible additional information concerning ecology or mitigation.	89258-1030-14670	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	The proposed mitigation for water voles at the Innovia site, would be under taken as an 'incidental result of an otherwise lawful activity'. There is a small population on site and the developer is planning to mitigate through displacement using vegetation removal over a long length of ditches. However, there are no plans to draw down the water in the ditches as. It is therefore likely that voles will return to exposed burrows to feed and be exposed to predation. No assessment has been made as to whether habitats on the new development would continue to support these and what cumulative effects would occur following development of a hospital in Bower Lane.	89258-1030-14786	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	More information about the mitigation measures to be employed. Give further evidence for the statements in the developer's consultant's reports, including the requirements to maintain populations at favourable conservation status. At present the report's statements appear very subjective.	89258-1030-15483	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	For reasons given above, it is not possible for the County Council to agree at this stage with the proponent's conclusion that residual effects will be 'minor' or 'negligible' in most cases.	89258-1030-15952			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The development must ensure that it takes account of the need to preserve the rhyne habitat for water voles along the edge of the railway line.	89359-1030-10023			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Bridgwater Vision does set out proposals to improve the river corridor and the Councils would expect to see how EDF Energy respond to this, to enhance accessibility and the local environment for construction and the permanent workforce.	89359-1030-10575			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Mitigation such as the provision of tree planting, other habitat provision and species specific measures (e.g. bat boxes etc) should be provided as a firm commitment once the sites baseline is completed and designs finalised (ref Masterplan - 6.4.5). These measures including the provision of Environmental Management & Monitoring Plan (EMMP) should assist in the avoidance of potential impacts from the construction.	89363-1030-13163	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The removal of created habitats and other landscape features on BRI-A should be avoided where possible within the removal phase	89363-1030-13953			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	There are opportunities at both sites to provide an ecological benefit as a lasting legacy from the scheme. Given the current low level of ecological interest on site, even minor improvements will be locally significant. However, at present there is little evidence of legacy elements being incorporated into the design process.	89363-1030-14085			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The legacy strategy and the ecological element of this do not address the wider context of the proposed sites. The final confirmation of site selection, design and legacy issues should take into account the ecological context and reference the evolving Green Infrastructure Strategy. It is appropriate to build in at least a small amount of ecological benefit arising from retained habitat and other mitigation/enhancement. Currently, the overall effect of the sites is Neutral.	89363-1030-14948	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Mitigation would need to be incorporated for impacts that cannot be designed out late on in the process. The legacy elements for the associated development are still to be finalised but appear at present to provide little 'legacy' beyond a few ponds and hedgerow planting. Other aspects are mitigation not legacy. Reference to the evolving Green Infrastructure Strategy would provide a clearer indication of what could be achieved.	89425-1030-8463			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	- Mitigation should be provided as a firm commitment once sites baseline is completed.	89425-1030-9095	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	- The landscape and ecological plans should aim to maintain and enhance the local environment, using the local ecological (green infrastructure) context.	89427-1030-2640			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	The proposed mitigation for water voles at the Innovia site, would be under taken as an 'incidental result of an otherwise lawful activity'. There is a small population on site and the developer is planning to mitigate through displacement using vegetation removal over a long length of ditches. However, there are no plans to draw down the water in the ditches as. It is therefore likely that voles will return to exposed burrows to feed and be exposed to predation. No assessment has been made as to whether habitats on the new development would continue to support these and what cumulative effects would occur following development of a hospital in Bower Lane.	89258-1155-14786			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Specific Heads of terms of the EMMP to be developed in relation to aspects of terrestrial ecology to be monitored and the methodology to be employed.	89258-1031-16587			/	Proposals for monitoring the impacts of the proposed Bridgwater A development are set out in the outline ecological mitigation and habitat management plan for the site, which is included with the Environmental Statement Volume 3, Chapter 14 and would form an integral part of the site Environmental Management and Monitoring Plan.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Any commitment to monitoring has not been incorporated into the assessment. Our evaluation is that unless significant interest is encountered during the summer 2010 surveys that possibly monitoring may not be required for these sites.	89363-1031-15900			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	- Biodiversity: There is insufficient information to determine how the development will impact upon protected species and their habitat.	89069-1032-7708	/			A desk study (including a request to Somerset Environmental Records Centre in 2010 for records of both legally protected and otherwise notable species) and, after EDF Energy gained access to the site in 2011, an extended Phase 1 habitat survey have been undertaken for Bridgwater A site. Further detailed species-specific survey work has also been undertaken in 2011 to establish a robust baseline dataset, both to inform the design of the development proposals and to provide a robust basis on which to assess the impacts of the proposed development, including the impacts on biodiversity. As the developer's ecologists have played an integral role in the iterative process of scheme design it has been possible to ensure that the implications of the 2011 baseline results (which are presented in the Environmental Statement Chapter - Volume 3 Chapter 14) have been addressed in the final design proposals.
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	The Assessment relies in part on a survey at the Innovia site for Great Crested Newts conducted by FPCR in 2009. Doubt has been expressed by SCC ecologists in the past concerning the adequacy of surveys for this species in connection with proposals to develop the Innovia land. SCC will reserve judgement on the adequacy of the survey work until it has the opportunity to examine the report of the 2009 survey which is not provided with the EclA.	89258-1032-12035			/	
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Text to explain the implications for the specific proposed development of legislation and policy.	89259-1032-9225			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 811	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? This is used by the Rugby Club, the Football field already suffers with flooding and the traffic would then travel all through Bridgwater	9569-963-4774			/	Comments raised under this heading related to specific transport issues, which are addressed under the appropriate topic headings within the Bridgwater A theme. The Transport -Transport Assessment - Existing Conditions (Baseline) topic responses address consultee comments raised about the wider consultation process in relation to transport.
Tractivity 946	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? The railway bridge on Bath Road is not able to cope with more traffic.	9704-963-4079		/		
Tractivity 1001	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Roads to the site quite unsuitable for level of traffic proposed.	9759-963-4415	/			
Tractivity 1031	Public	Stage 2	11. Any other ideas or comments? Road structure not adequate through Bridgwater.	9789-963-6940	/			
Tractivity 1083	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? On the surface Innovia site seems ideal as it is walking distance to town for residents. However, this stretch of road, is often at a standstill particularly at rush hour.	9841-963-5401	/			
Tractivity 1180	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	10. Any other ideas or comments? In Bridgwater there is a traffic problem because there are so many shift patterns where people work, there are not many outside peak periods. The roads including the NDR, A38, A39 and town centre are constantly busy, apart from at night. Therefore the road will be a nightmare and people going to work will need even more time to get there. Not only will the roads be affected by more traffic, the pollution levels will go up. Why not, with all the money youre throwing about spend it on one road from Dunball going in and out of Hinkley point, you will make a lot of people happy.	9938-963-6268			/	
Tractivity 1218	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? The main worry is all the extra traffic. Bridgwater is a nightmare now to drive through at peak times, and in the summer months when extra holiday traffic travels through there is total gridlock.	9976-963-5828			/	
Tractivity 62573	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	5. Comment You still have not solved the problem of heavy vehicles moving freight coming through Bridgwater. Due to the river, there are few roads through the town which can cope with these lorries (Editor's note: illegible word) bus movements.	10124-963-2541	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	- The level of detail relating to traffic generation of these sites, in conjunction with others affecting the transport network in Bridgwater, is limited.	89203-963-9898			/	
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	1.14 We have concerns that the proposed level of car parking at the HPC site and at AD sites is too high and has not been justified in terms of demand and sustainable transport objectives.	89220-963-5056	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	3.35 The two Bridgwater Campus sites are intended to accommodate around 1,100 construction workers. These employees should be carried to and from the HPC site by bus and should not need to use the car given that they are in two specific locations. Between 22 and 27 bus trips would be needed to convey all 1,100 to the HPC site and a similar number for the return journey from work. This estimate corresponds broadly with the numbers of bus movements identified in Table 7.7 and therefore the statement that "all workers living in campuses will be provided with a direct and dedicated bus service" (para 9.2.33) is accepted.	89227-963-2823	/			
Hallam Land Management	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	45 bus movements from the site to Hinkley Point are envisaged per day - all through Cross Rifles according to the designated route. The site also includes some 670 car parking spaces which does not seem to be restrained, or consistent with sustainable development principles. Presumably most of the car movements generated go through the Cross Rifles roundabout. The proposals appear to make the very optimistic assumption that all workers will travel from Bridgwater A to Hinkley Point by bus.	89455-963-3245	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	There is uncertainty at the numbers of workers staying in the accommodation campuses. This will knock on to the transport aspects of the appraisal which are based on a fixed accommodation strategy.	89360-963-18962	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	There is uncertainty at the numbers of workers staying in the accommodation campuses. This will knock on to the transport aspects of the appraisal which are based on a fixed accommodation strategy.	89387-963-10840			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Highways Agency	Statutory Consultee	Stage 1	Given the scale of employee accommodation proposed, the Agency would expect this to form part of the TA and incorporate appropriate mitigation measures as part of the TP. The Agency reserves its position to make further detailed comments until such information becomes available.	88860-971-17911			/	Consultees requested further clarification on the justification for and scale of the proposed on-site accommodation, and on how the road network can accommodate additional traffic from the Bridgwater A location to the Hinkley Point C. development site.
West Somerset Council	Local Authority	Stage 1	-Insufficient justification and lack of evidence based for not taking forward a Bridgwater bypass option. The strategy appears to be driving the answer rather than the evidence base.	88790-971-24556			/	
Tractivity 725	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? The traffic is coming out on the Bath Rd. An idea would be a road leading out on to the Bristol Road	9483-971-4522		/		The construction of Hinkley Point C (HPC) would require up to 5,600 workers at the peak of activity. Socio-economic studies undertaken by EDF Energy examined the capacity of the local labour market to meet the construction demands. These studies concluded that 66% (3,700) of the workforce are expected to be non-home based; i.e. they would move to the area for the period of their employment on the HPC Project, and would require temporary accommodation in the local area.
Tractivity 726	Public	Stage 2	5. Any other ideas or comments? Bridgwater is a difficult town to negotiate. Obviously strategies will be discussed with local council to make best use of infrastructure. One such the proposed new route from A38 across the Coltey lane industrial site to alleviate the situation for Taunton Road.	9484-971-2169		/		
Tractivity 771	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? A good idea to use the Bath Road site - my concerns would be traffic congestion on B/Ws already congested, badly laid out roads.	9529-971-4269		/		A strategy of providing campus accommodation to supplement existing local accommodation provision was developed based upon best practice from other construction projects. At the Stage 1 consultation four sites were identified in Bridgwater capable of accommodating the anticipated size of campus development required to support the construction of HPC. The sites were chosen based upon availability, suitability to accommodate a campus, and potential legacy uses, having regard to the aspirations of Sedgemoor District Council in its 'Bridgwater Vision' document.
Tractivity 921	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? OK provided the routing of traffic is not via the town centre (even out of hours). With the host of other developments within the town centre, everything will grind to a halt.	9679-971-4612			/	Bridgwater was chosen as it is the principal urban area closest to Hinkley Point and therefore within proximity of a range of local services and with good transport links. Following the Stage 1 consultation, two sites, Bridgwater A and Bridgwater C, were selected as the preferred locations for worker accommodation, and were presented as part of the Preferred Proposals.
Tractivity 934	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? I think that 1075 is too large a site to be properly managed and controlled - it should be more equitably shared with!!	9692-971-5044	/			
Tractivity 1006	Public	Stage 2	5. Any other ideas or comments? Present proposals require all traffic from the M5 to travel through Bridgwater, which will add to the pressure on the existing Road Network. An accident on the A39 between Cannington and Bridgwater would effectively prevent most road traffic from accessing Hinkley point.	9764-971-2300		/		At the Stage 2 consultation Bridgwater A was identified as being suitable to house up to 1,075 people. Concerns were raised during the Stage 2 consultation relating to the Bridgwater accommodation proposals that the scale of the development was too large in relation to the surrounding local communities.
Tractivity 1006	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Will add to traffic congestion unless remedial action is taken.	9764-971-4584		/		Taking into consideration the consultation feedback, EDF Energy amended the proposals, as part of the Stage 2 Update consultation, to reduce the size of the accommodation capacity from 1,075 to 850. Campus accommodation provision of this scale represents a reasonable balance between the requirements of the project and local considerations, including impacts on the local transport network. In addition to the formal

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 1013	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? This makes good use of a derelict site and does not affect surrounding areas with buildings that will be proposed as temporary but which I believe will stay for many years after the required time. Also, if a Park and Ride facility was made here then they would not have the need to add to traffic on the A39 route.	9771-971-5800			/	consultation, extensive discussion has taken place with the local authorities and Highways Agency in relation to transport impacts associated with the construction and use of the Bridgwater A site. Some responses suggested that the site would be suited to use as a rail interchange for the delivery of freight due to its proximity to the railway line. The local rail facilities currently present limited potential for rail-borne freight due to the lack of capacity, limited access, and limited possibility for expansion. Furthermore remainder of the journey from the rail head would need to be completed by road.
Tractivity 1044	Public	Stage 2	13. Please let us have your overall views on our proposals and any other general comments in the box below I feel EDF have totally ignored the problem of traffic in Bridgwater and should be working with government agencies to address the problem.	9802-971-6550			/	
Tractivity 1061	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Cross Rifles roundabout is already heavily congested and to put another 1-2000 people ALL in the Bath Road area is too much in one place and the same applies to the HP site. Knowing the impact of the large numbers of construction workers had on the area when A and B were built I would have thought smaller groups where they could be integrated into the community would be preferable.	9819-971-4610	/			
Tractivity 1137	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Should be used for freight & logistics as has rail link sidings	9895-971-4127		/		
Tractivity 1150	Public	Stage 2	10. Any other ideas or comments? Totally unacceptable for the bridgwater area. Totally unacceptable for the residents there. Please see my comments previous on this. Bristol road, dunball roundabout and area cannot cope with this extra traffic at any costs even with a bridge!. You are planing two park and rides for bridgwater? this is not suitable for bridgwater in any way shape or form and will not help the town.	9908-971-6472		/		
Tractivity 1180	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	10. Any other ideas or comments? In Bridgwater there is a traffic problem because there are so many shift patterns where people work, there are not many outside peak periods. The roads including the NDR, A38, A39 and town centre are constantly busy, apart from at night. Therefore the road will be a nightmare and people going to work will need even more time to get there. Not only will the roads be affected by more traffic, the pollution levels will go up. Why not, with all the money youre throwing about spend it on one road from Dunball going in and out of Hinkley point, you will make a lot of people happy.	9938-971-6268		/		
Tractivity 1216	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? The campus is the wrong side of the town, how is the extra traffic going to negotiate the already gridlocked roads?	9974-971-4676		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 343	Public	Stage 1	6. Please give comments on your preferences and any suggestions about the future use of these facilities. We must minimise traffic going through Bridgwater.	9031-971-2401			/	
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	It is understood through recent discussions with EDF that they are currently undertaking a pedestrian and cycle audit surrounding the accommodation campus sites, which will identify where improvements may be needed. The County Council welcomes this and would ask to be consulted on the findings, when available. We also request that walking and cycle route provision is considered not only for campus accommodation but also to the HPC Site itself, to P&R sites and links between accommodation campuses and town centres.	89221-971-8385			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Authorities position May 2010: Requested information on how adequate highways access to neighbouring residential areas can be maintained Update August 2010: No information provided.	89328-971-5771	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Sedgemoor DC wish to explore how bus services provided in relation to the construction of Hinkley Point, and related infrastructure, link to the local workforce objectives and how they can integrate with proposals for a bus service through the NE Bridgwater site and the delivery of a high frequency, high quality bus service along the A38 between north Bridgwater (J23) and Taunton.	89359-971-11654			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Further information on the car parking strategy for construction workers and campus site staff is required in order that a view can be taken on the acceptability of the proposals.	89359-971-13713			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Details of expected car ownership levels and travel planning arrangements for worker leisure time will be required in order for the Councils to reach a view on the appropriate level of provision.	89359-971-13898			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Highways Agency	Statutory Consultee	Stage 1	In terms of the construction period for the employee accommodation, it is noted that this is due to commence in 2011. The Agency seeks further clarification as to any potential cumulative impact with the development proposed at J23, J24 and the wider Cannington proposals.	88860-966-18194	/			<p>Comments raised under this heading related to specific transport issues which are addressed under the appropriate topic headings within the Bridgwater A theme.</p> <p>The Transport – Transport Strategy – Cumulative Impacts topic response addresses consultee comments raised about the transport-related cumulative impacts of the Hinkley Point C Project.</p>
Bridgwater College	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 1	Traffic approaching Bridgwater from the M5 is already very challenging especially at rush hour in the morning. Traffic can be congested right back to the Exchange Park. Some thought needs to be given to how this might be alleviated, and in addition how the potential additional numbers exiting onto Bath Road from the proposed accommodation on College Way might be managed.	8774-966-2217	/			
Tractivity 1167	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? The increased traffic on the Bath Road will cause a lot of disruption to traffic. We already have the Morrisons development, the hospital and now EDF - wrong area unless new roads or road made dual carriageway are built. Are you going to bus these workers to Hinkley or have they got to travel to the park and ride at Huntworth or drive to Cannington? Presumably this could generate extra cars.	9925-966-5094	/			
Tractivity 1180	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	10. Any other ideas or comments? In Bridgwater there is a traffic problem because there are so many shift patterns where people work, there are not many outside peak periods. The roads including the NDR, A38, A39 and town centre are constantly busy, apart from at night. Therefore the road will be a nightmare and people going to work will need even more time to get there. Not only will the roads be affected by more traffic, the pollution levels will go up. Why not, with all the money youre throwing about spend it on one road from Dunball going in and out of Hinkley point, you will make a lot of people happy.	9938-966-6268		/		
Tractivity 1339	Public	Stage 2 Update	Taking into account the Jallam Land Management and other development plans; N.E. Bridgwater WILL be faced with 24 hour road management and transport problems. Unprecedented housing development has been enforced on the town by the previous government in an area where the potential flood risks render many developments unwise. Similarly the roads were NOT constructed for continuous excessive overloads and neither are the utilities	89605-966-2270		/		
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	- The level of detail relating to traffic generation of these sites, in conjunction with others affecting the transport network in Bridgwater, is limited.	89203-966-9898	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Bridgwater Town Council	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	If, as indicated, further modelling is to be considered extending the studies within the Bridgwater, Taunton and Wellington Transportation Study area the Town Council would wish to know at what stage this will be undertaken and when results will be made available in relation to the timing of the next stage of consultation. Further, we need to be assured that any such modelling factors in the expected traffic flows arising from the Little Sydenham Farm development, the new Bridgwater Hospital development and the current South Bridgwater development, all of which will begin feeding additional traffic flows into the pinch points within a short period of scheme commencement.	89263-966-3060			/	
Hallam Land Management	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	Pressure may also be placed on the transportation infrastructure (both existing and committed) upon which the North East Bridgwater development relies (whether its earlier or later phases)	89454-966-4835	/			
Hallam Land Management	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	It is of critical importance that the Bridgwater-A accommodation campus proposals do take steps to minimise traffic generated by that development and to avoid any detrimental impact on the North East Bridgwater proposals. This is important in traffic management terms but to ensure the successful marketing and early development of the North East Bridgwater development (and to secure the wider benefits for the town) it is important that traffic conditions are not compromised or deleteriously affected in comparison with those assumed as part of the North East Bridgwater development. There is no evidence that the North East Bridgwater development has been factored into the analysis or whether such wider implications have been addressed. It is not apparent that the impact of the accommodation campus has been demonstrated to have been avoided or minimised or whether mitigation measures have been developed. It is critical that the Bridgwater-A proposals do not absorb capacity planned or relied upon to support the North East Bridgwater development - particularly as the legacy uses for the campuses remain to be finalised and confirmed.	89455-966-3920	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Cumulative impacts are inherently assessed where the traffic data includes all elements of the development. There is no cumulative assessment or discussion of other potential cumulative effects (e.g. operational traffic plus demolition/ redevelopment of construction worker sites plus operational emissions from the Main Site).	89361-966-14736	/			
Innovia Cellophane Limited	Consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	To date, there has been no indication of how the proposed accommodation campus at Bridgwater A will be linked to adjoining land uses. The use of a perimeter boundary would suggest that the proposed campus will not be a permeable land use in contrast to adjoining land uses. Further information is required as to how this will be secured and how pedestrians will enter and exit the site.	89761-966-4907	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Whilst it is acknowledge that links can be made to other sites, the opportunities for linkages with the surrounding areas, including pedestrian and cycle network should be shown more specifically	89359-970-12043			/	<p>Comments raised under this heading related to specific transport issues which are addressed under the appropriate topic headings within the Bridgwater A theme.</p> <p>The full set of documents, including detailed designs, will be provided as part of the Development Consent Order application.</p> <p>The Transport - Other - Graphical Material topic response addresses consultee comments raised about wider graphical material issues in relation to transport.</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Highways Agency	Statutory Consultee	Stage 1	In terms of the construction period for the employee accommodation, it is noted that this is due to commence in 2011. The Agency seeks further clarification as to any potential cumulative impact with the development proposed at J23, J24 and the wider Cannington proposals.	88860-965-18194	/			<p>Consultees raised concerns about the operation and construction of a major campus facility and the movements associated with residents' leisure time. Concerns were also expressed that the servicing arrangements for the facilities could lead to a detrimental impact upon the highway network, both in capacity and maintenance terms. Consultees wished to see that the impacts of all the trips were assessed.</p> <p>Locating up to 850 employees within a campus would have significant positive traffic reduction benefits relative to the use of more dispersed accommodation in Bridgwater. In particular it would reduce the daily impact of an additional 850 employees travelling to and from the park and ride sites, as the resident employees would travel by shuttle buses direct to the Hinkley Point C (HPC) site.</p> <p>Moreover the campus would provide a canteen and dining facilities, a lounge and bars, a clubhouse with changing facilities and showers and external recreational facilities, including a single large sports pitch and two 5-a-side pitches. This approach to the provision of dedicated on-site facilities would reduce the need for employees to travel off-site, however it is still recognised that there would be some off-site travel.</p> <p>Car traffic generated by the campuses would also be restricted through the provision of parking spaces at a ratio of 1 space per 1.6 beds This would also be combined with parking measures around the site to prevent overspill parking and reduce car trips to the accommodation campus. The majority of trips off-site taken by resident employees would be recreational with employees generally only returning home and back to work at weekends.</p> <p>Consultees expressed concerns about the shuttle bus routing from the campus sites to and from HPC. The shuttle bus would depart from Bridgewater A on to Bath Road and make its way to the HPC site along the A38 and then A39 until Cannington, where it would use the new bypass (when complete) and continue to site along the C182. EDF Energy would ensure compliance with these route requirements as part of the bus</p>
Sedgemoor District Council & West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - Local Authority and Consultee with an Interest in Land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 1	Transport and movement networks within the site and links to surrounding areas of Bridgwater are considered of great importance.	88420-965-3713			/	
Tractivity 695	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? concerns for ever increasing traffic congestion in Bath road	9455-965-4138	/			
Tractivity 696	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? But please take note of my traffic problem concerns which will arise with no access/exit to the M5 at the Bath Rd; flyover.	9456-965-4542			/	
Tractivity 709	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? See answer to Q2 Also the site at Bath Road will result in a congestion problem on the Bath Rd and the junction of the A39/A358	9467-965-4357	/			
Tractivity 722	Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments? Traffic chaos in Bridgwater would be greatly increased	9480-965-1486		/		
Tractivity 764	Public	Stage 2	5. Any other ideas or comments? The Park and Ride and Freight Logistic Facilities at J.24 (Bridgwater) will have a detrimental affect on the residents of Stockmoor Village and Wilstock Village and on local wildlife. The proposed access from Stockmoor Drive will cause traffic chaos, the Huntworth roundabout already cannot cope with the high volumes of summer traffic entering the M5 services and normal commuter traffic regularly queues significantly in the immediate area of the proposed development. Noise pollution from the site will have a detrimental affect on residents of Stockmoor Village and noise and light pollution will affect wildlife. The area is a valuable habitat for bats, birds of prey and water voles.	9522-965-1925			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 770	Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments? Campus off College Way, o/w and transport of workers to and from Hinkley - ok. Campus on old Innovia site for over 1,000 people ok, but we have a great concern over vast increase of traffic (even though you propose to use, in part, bus transport for workers) will impact greatly on Bath Road and Cross Rifles roundabout. Exit from Rosebery Avenue especially will become even more difficult. Even though you will use buses. Don't forget workers will use Bath Road into town for leisure, drinking, eating etc. when not in work and they will then use cars!!!	9528-965-1492	/			<p>service procurement process.</p> <p>Consultees expressed concerns relating to a deterioration of the highway and associated structures. EDF Energy surveyed the existing routes and structures and a programme of enhancements has been agreed with Somerset County Council to ensure that the highway would be able to cope with the additional loads.</p> <p>Some respondents to the consultation on transport issues felt that a new road from the M5 north of Bridgwater to connect with the A39 west of Cannington should be a pre-requisite for allowing the development. EDF Energy has considered this option but has not accepted that such a road is necessary or justified, as long as other measures to mitigate transport impacts are implemented. These include a bypass to the west of Cannington, traffic calming measures within Cannington, and a number of highway and junction improvements within Bridgwater and on the main route to the development site.</p>
Tractivity 770	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? See comments contained in question 4 above. Have you considered the fact that increase intraffic resulting from the new hospital to be built at Bower Lane - off Bath Road just beyond Innovia site will be immense? This extra traffic will impact on traffic into and out of Bridgwater along Bath Road	9528-965-4398			/	
Tractivity 772	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? will cause traffic caos	9530-965-4287			/	
Tractivity 807	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	1. Any other ideas or comments? Bridgwater is not the place for this, it is rural, there is not the road network to cope with the influx of road traffic	9565-965-129			/	
Tractivity 830	Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments? The plans are partially satisfactory only:- 1) Campus within close proximity to Hinkley C is an excellent, totally logical and cost efficient proposition. Good reason for a new road to connect with the M5 at Dunball. 2) Bridgwater A - Former Innovia site is an acceptable if not ideal concept from the point of view of traffic on the A39 (street to Bridgwater Road). Damage to roads bridges and utilities to be considered.	9588-965-2829			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 830	Public	Stage 2	5. Any other ideas or comments? The period of time involved will be 5/6 years minimum. Without an independant road to connect J23/M5 at Dunball to the Cannington/Hinkley link road these plans are likely to bring misery and distress arising from additional noise and traffic to the town. It will also enhance the levels of damage to the roads, bridges, footpaths and utilities (water, sewerage , gas and electricity). Bearing in mind that a considerable amount of traffic will locate to the Bristol Road and that massive changes have taken place to the Bridgwater environment/infrastructure since the construction of Hinkley A and B. With the building of Hinkley C and subsequently D plus decommissioning both A and B living in Bridgwater appears likely to become hell on earth in reality. See alternative solution to disaster - Contact Alan Beasley on 01278652812	9588-965-4161		/		
Tractivity 839	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? While it is good to use a 'brown-field' site, the infrastructure of bridgwater cannot cope.	9597-965-5665			/	
Tractivity 847	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? See question 4 answer. Traffic chaos	9605-965-4040			/	
Tractivity 874	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Again, refer to traffic problems raised in previous sections along with Bridgwater residents views.	9632-965-5592			/	
Tractivity 875	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Good for the area but a traffic problem getting to hinkley.	9633-965-4666			/	
Tractivity 876	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? A 9 am (??) due to unchecked traffic flow through Bridgwater.	9634-965-4125			/	
Tractivity 915	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Satisfactory ONLY if buses will use main roads to Hinkley C site. Best option (Government and EDF) build a bridge over river Parrot at Dunball (using EDF community monies)	9673-965-4677		/		
Tractivity 921	Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments? The key issue here is transportation through Bridgwater and the affected villages. See comments to Q5.	9679-965-1486			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 925	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Bridgwater would become a 'wild-west' type town - centre would become a no-go area most evenings - disruption to persons in Bath Road area - single bridge over railway would become very congested. Local amenities would not cope. what would happen to the Bridgwater Sports and Social club facilities ?	9683-965-4834			/	
Tractivity 946	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? The railway bridge on Bath Road is not able to cope with more traffic.	9704-965-4079			/	
Tractivity 946	Public	Stage 2	13. Please let us have your overall views on our proposals and any other general comments in the box below I feel the proposal on the whole is good, but you are in danger of making living and moving in and out of Bridgwater and North Petherton on the A38 going south and the A39 going east almost impossible for the duration.	9704-965-6026		/		
Tractivity 955	Public	Stage 2	5. Any other ideas or comments? We are concerned as Bridgwater at the present state is already congested with extra movement of traffic we believe will cause a major concern of the extra capacity.	9713-965-1929		/		
Tractivity 975	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Bath road will become a traffic jam with all the extra cars.	9733-965-4086			/	
Tractivity 1001	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Roads to the site quite unsuitable for level of traffic proposed.	9759-965-4415			/	
Tractivity 1006	Public	Stage 2	5. Any other ideas or comments? Present proposals require all traffic from the M5 to travel through Bridgwater, which will add to the pressure on the existing Road Network. An accident on the A39 between Cannington and Bridgwater would effectively prevent most road traffic from accessing Hinkley point.	9764-965-2300		/		
Tractivity 1010	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? more traffic congestion in Bridgwater!	9768-965-4040			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 1037	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? This is too great a concentration of numbers in one area of the town. It will lead to increased traffic with workers being bussed to and from Hinkley and workers using their private vehicles in their free time. If there is accommodation built on this site the setting of Sydenham Manor must be respected and treated with greater sensitivity than when British Cellophane was constructed in the 1930s.	9795-965-4966			/	
Tractivity 1044	Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments? Housing people in Bridgwater will increase congestion as they make their way to/from park and ride facilities.	9802-965-1632		/		
Tractivity 1070	Public	Stage 2	The increase in traffic would also be a major problem in the area.	9828-965-5460			/	
Tractivity 1140	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? College already have parking problems	9898-965-4014			/	
Tractivity 1142	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Not a problem having these sites, but the traffic chaos that will ensue will not be good for those of us who work in or have to cross Bridgwater daily	9900-965-5576			/	
Tractivity 1145	Public	Stage 2	5. Any other ideas or comments? I cannot see how this would work. Bridgwater is already a bottleneck. the whole area between Junctions 23 and 24 and Hinkley would become gridlocked.	9903-965-2682		/		
Tractivity 1167	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? The increased traffic on the Bath Road will cause a lot of disruption to traffic. We already have the Morrisons development, the hospital and now EDF - wrong area unless new roads or road made dual carriageway are built. Are you going to bus these workers to Hinkley or have they got to travel to the park and ride at Huntworth or drive to Cannington? Presumably this could generate extra cars.-	9925-965-5094		/		
Tractivity 1173	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? As both this site and the above site need access onto the Bath road, I feel it could cause major problems for traffic congestion on that side of Bridgwater.	9931-965-5824		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 1180	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	10. Any other ideas or comments? In Bridgwater there is a traffic problem because there are so many shift patterns where people work, there are not many outside peak periods. The roads including the NDR, A38, A39 and town centre are constantly busy, apart from at night. Therefore the road will be a nightmare and people going to work will need even more time to get there. Not only will the roads be affected by more traffic, the pollution levels will go up. Why not, with all the money youre throwing about spend it on one road from Dunball going in and out of Hinkley point, you will make a lot of people happy.	9938-965-6268			/	
Tractivity 1235	Public	Stage 2 Update	Q7 Do you have any other comments? I think your overall plans are disgusting. The local community hear in bridgwater/north petherton will stop you from building your lorry park and park & ride next to our homes.	89501-965-926			/	
Tractivity 1261	Public	Stage 2 Update	There will be chaos on the roads in and out of Bridgwater. Nothing on these boards goes anywhere to solving this problem.	89527-965-118			/	
Tractivity 1283	Public	Stage 2 Update	Q5 What are your views on the proposed changes to our transport proposals? Cannington was not made for heavy lorries travelling through the village. A39 bottleneck most days as it is now. Bridgwater also just as bad. Need bypass north of Bridgwater from Dunball.	89549-965-657			/	
Tractivity 1284	Public	Stage 2 Update	Bridgwater will not cope.	89550-965-838			/	
Tractivity 1313	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2 Update	Bridgwater is a small town and it will not be able to cope with the amount of traffic and congestion.	89579-965-207			/	
Tractivity 1313	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2 Update	I don't agree with your views. Bridgwater has 1 road in and out, it is busy most days. The extra traffic and congestion the building work and freight will cause is going to be v bad. The congestion is bad enough with holiday makers using the road for the service station and Minehead.	89579-965-1171			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 496	Public	Stage 1	4. Any other ideas or comments? Any other ideas or comments? Concerned that traffic through and around Bridgwater will be too much.	9169-965-1011			/	
Tractivity 62456	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Bath Road totally unsuitable. Traffic will have to negotiate Bridgwater to get to Hinkley. Problem solved if new road built Combwich-Chilton Trinity – Express Park to Junction 23 M5 motorway. Bristol Road needs a link road to Bath Road. British Cellophane site is now available for development.	10080-965-4536			/	
Tractivity 62508	Public	Stage 2	Both sites proposed for Bridgwater have access issues. One hostel site is proposed for an area of social and educational deprivation, the other on an area already proposed for residential development. Both hostel sites access the same road.	10098-965-5539			/	
Tractivity 62568	Public	Stage 2	In order to avoid constant traffic chaos and gridlock through Bridgwater and the A39, particularly when an accident occurs either on the motorway or the A39, which is a regular occurrence closing roads for hours, a northern route directly from Dunball J23 Bridgwater, would secure a safer and easier access to Hinkley Point.	10120-965-1393			/	
Tractivity 62631	Public	Stage 2	Accommodation Site A with over a 1000 workers will mean a continuous flow of buses and cars each day on to the busy Bath road which is frequently gridlocked because of the Bridgwater college traffic across the road Along with the narrow railway bridge, another case for traffic misery increase in Bridgwater.	10175-965-3295	/			
Tractivity 62938	Public	Stage 2	They would have to travel through Bridgwater to work, thus blocking our already full road system.	10177-965-5929			/	
Highways Agency	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	EDFE have stated in the trip generation spreadsheet that 146 000 tonnes of material is required to build the Bridgwater A campus with no further details of material or pay load which means that Agency cannot determine the likely trip generation.	89168-965-8484	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	- Assess impact of HGV increases on Bridgwater Northern Distributor Road.	89196-965-2365	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	No analysis has yet been undertaken for non-work related travel by workers living in the three campuses. There will need to be evening and weekend bus links from the on-site campus to Bridgwater for leisure purposes and for onward bus and rail connections for weekend trips to and from home. This is recognised in paragraph 6.3.9 and 6.3.10 of the Transport Appraisal, but no firm proposals are presented.	89227-965-7037	/			
Hallam Land Management	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	It is of critical importance that the proposals for Hinkley Point and specifically the off site proposals for an accommodation campus on the site of the North East Bridgwater development (Bridgwater A campus) and at the Rugby Club (Bridgwater-C campus), and for a Freight Logistics Facility and Park and Ride facility close to Junction 23 of the M5, do not result in any detrimental impacts upon the North East Bridgwater proposal and its early implementation.	89453-965-2043	/			
Hallam Land Management	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	little reassurance is given in terms of the impact of the proposals on the transport infrastructure that is relied upon by the North East Bridgwater proposals. The EDF proposals need to address specifically the impact on the Cross Rifles junction in Bridgwater and on the Bath Road. It must be demonstrated (it is not at present) that the proposals will not have a negative impact on the access strategy for the North East Bridgwater including the provision of the spine road access junction on the Bath Road to the east of that proposed for Bridgwater-A.	89455-965-2440	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Authorities position May 2010: Further information on parking strategy requested Update August 2010: Proposed parking provision lacks justification.	89328-965-6151	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The junction arrangement for the highways access to the Bridgwater A site shows the retention of the access to the service road to the south east. It is considered that the existing access could not be retained as part of the signalised junction arrangement shown, so it will be necessary to demonstrate how adequate highways access to the residential area to the southeast can be maintained.	89359-965-14396	/			
Tractivity 62998	Public	Stage 2 Update	Taunton Road and Bristol Road will be further overloaded and traffic jams will be more frequent and time consuming pumping carbon monoxide into Bridgwater 24/7.	89692-965-4742	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Bridgwater College	Consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	- Initially, the development works for Bridgwater A and C will cause potentially significant disruption on both Bath Road and College Way if not managed correctly (i.e. deliveries being made during the start and end of the College day with lorries being parked on College Way).	89765-965-9806		/		
Bridgwater College	Consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	- Once the A and C sites are occupied, together with the other major developments on the Innovia site, the amount of additional traffic is likely to be significant.	89765-965-10087			/	
Sustrans	Non-statutory consultee	Stage 2 Update	We suggest however that Bridgwater will nevertheless suffer significant traffic impacts which will exacerbate an already congested town road network.	89781-965-1570			/	
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	- Increased traffic movement affecting road safety; and	89887-965-5507			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Highways Agency	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	- The access to Bridgwater A Campus (zone 69) does not appear to have been coded as described in the Transport Appraisal (5). It is suggested that the access will provided "via a new three arm traffic signal controlled junction opposite to Frederick Road". It is also stated that the existing A39 Bath Road/Frederick Road priority junction would be closed to traffic and would result in rerouting via Trevor Road.	89176-964-3642			/	<p>Concern has been expressed by consultees about what form of access will be provided to the campus and that the modelling of this junction within the Paramics model was insufficient. It is proposed that the site will be accessed by a signalised junction. Modelling for this junction has been undertaken with LINSIG to ensure that it would operate effectively and would not lead to deterioration in the performance of the existing highway.</p> <p>The proposed signalised junction would incorporate pedestrian facilities and advanced stop lines for cycles. The site would also have a second point of access for emergencies only, north of the main junction. The operation of the junction and the wider highway network has been assessed within the updated Paramics model to ensure that it is sufficient in capacity terms and that it would not cause a negative impact upon the highway network.</p> <p>Consultees queried whether the park & ride facilities and rail network could be utilised by employees travelling to the Bridgwater A campus. The use of the park and ride sites was discounted, as it was considered that direct shuttle buses would be the most appropriate method to transport employees. This would minimise the impacts on Bridgwater by removing the need to use private cars to reach the Junction 23 and 24 park and ride sites.</p> <p>The use of rail for travel to and from the campuses via Bridgwater Railway station would be possible due to the proximity of the railway station to the campus.</p>
Highways Agency	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	The access junction to A Campus has not been signalised in any of the 2016 future year development scenarios and the Fredrick Road approach is still open to all traffic. This should be rectified.	89176-964-4059	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	The access to Bridgwater A Campus (zone 69) does not appear to have been coded as described in the Transport Appraisal (2). It is suggested that the access will provided "via a new three arm traffic signal controlled junction opposite to Frederick Road". It is also stated that the existing A39 Bath Road/Frederick Road priority junction would be closed to traffic and would result in rerouting via Trevor Road.	89235-964-2694	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	The access junction to A Campus has not been signalised in any of the 2016 future year development scenarios and the Fredrick Road approach is still open to all traffic. It is recommended that this is rectified.	89235-964-3112			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	the assessment methodology is totally inadequate and no reliance can be placed on the assessment of impacts or their significance.	89360-964-16048	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	As the appraisal does not correctly assess the transport impact of the Bridgwater accommodation campuses it is impossible to determine if there are residual effects.	89360-964-19190	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	2.118 Is it intended that the internal road layout would remain post construction as a legacy? If so the Transport Assessment will need to assume a post construction level of traffic and determine if the access to the A39 is acceptable.	89848-964-2266		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Fiddington Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 1	We feel most strongly that areas at Cannington and Bridgwater for freight consolidation, park and ride and accommodation would make the already serious traffic problems on the NDR, A38 and A39 at Bridgwater into potential gridlock situations at peak times. We feel that with your acquisition of such a large land mass adjacent to Hinkley Point, you will have sufficient land for freight consolidation, and in the event of that not being the case, land could be rented on medium term Farm Business Tenancies to make up the deficit.	8716-967-322	/			Concerns were raised by some consultees regarding the number of trips that would be made by employees outside of working hours for social reasons. To reduce the impact of off-site travel EDF Energy is committed to encouraging walking and cycling for local trips. Walking and cycling would be promoted by EDF Energy through the Framework Travel Plan. This includes measures such as providing pool bikes, information on cycle routes, secure parking, etc. The proposed campus is located relatively close to many local amenities and facilities in central Bridgwater, which would enhance the prospects that many of these journeys would be made via walking and cycling. Consultees commented on the legacy for the site and in particular the potential to maximise the use of the site after the construction works were complete. Following completion of the Hinkley Point C construction works, the Bridgwater A site would be available for other uses. The plans for its long term use are aligned with the long term vision for the provision of housing on this site. The development of the site would provide land remediation and suitable utility provision to the site. The highway access has also been designed so that the junction could be utilised as an access point for future developments.
Bridgwater College	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 1	Traffic approaching Bridgwater from the M5 is already very challenging especially at rush hour in the morning. Traffic can be congested right back to the Exchange Park. Some thought needs to be given to how this might be alleviated, and in addition how the potential additional numbers exiting onto Bath Road from the proposed accommodation on College Way might be managed.	8774-967-2217		/		
Tractivity 809	Public	Stage 2	3. Any other ideas or comments? More information required on the impact this will have on Cannington and Bridgwater. Preliminary works will require an increase in traffic to the site; how will this be mitigated?	9567-967-1134	/			
Tractivity 879	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Satisfactory as long as they dont have to use the A39	9637-967-4307		/		
Tractivity 919	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Coupled with Dunball a good idea	9677-967-4067			/	
Tractivity 1044	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Road system needs improving	9802-967-4485	/			
Tractivity 1063	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Unsatisfactory with existing road networks	9821-967-5047	/			
Tractivity 1091	Public	Stage 2	Bridgwater Innovia site is indeed a current problem and needs positive development which will leave a lasting legacy for Bridgwater. A smaller amount of workers in affordable housing seems a better solution for this site, so that the area can be used once building at HPC has been completed. However considerable work will need to be done to deal with the traffic problem, as Bath Rd is nearly always congested, already! Also is the land safe and healthy for people to live on? I am informed there will doubtless be serious toxicities in the soil, remnants from the previous activities on site.	9849-967-9242		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 1190	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? I would want all workers accomodated on site. However, I understand youu would pay for this site to be decontaminated and if you build GOOD quality accomodation that can be easily and chaeply altered for use as low cost/rented, etc housing for local people the MAYBE this will be of some benefit. You should operate minibuses from here to ferry the workers to and from the construction site so they dont drive themselves back and forth.	9948-967-5268	/			
Tractivity 1236	Public	Stage 2 Update	The only mitigation would be a new road from the M5 - this would provide relief for the existing roads and communities. The benefits of this would outweigh any other investment benefits you could propose	89502-967-82		/		
Tractivity 1334	Public	Stage 2 Update	The current proposals for Bridgwater A site have significant improvements, with particular reference to the sports facilities available to the public, which have been more adequately placed on the site map, to ensure access and safety. I am still not sure adequate measures have been put in place to deal with the increase in traffic along that stretch of Bath Road which is already a traffic congestion hotspot. This will need to be carefully considered to ensure that the developement is not perceived negatively.	89600-967-558	/			
Tractivity 1348	Public	Stage 2 Update	I am very strongly of the opinion that EDF should make (and publish) plans for alternative routes between Bridgwater and Cannington in the case of road closure in the event of an accident,	89614-967-981		/		
Tractivity 343	Public	Stage 1	6. Please give comments on your preferences and any suggestions about the future use of these facilities. We must minimise traffic going through Bridgwater.	9031-967-2401			/	
Tractivity 367	Public	Stage 1	9. What are your views on EDF Energy's general approach to community benefits and do you have any specific suggestions about what should be included in the package? replacement of leisure facilities in Bridgwater especially a pool for public use. any potential tie in with Building better schools fro Bridgwater project would seem sensible for example funding for technology schools and joined up transport solutions. Improved rural bus services improved cycle routes .	9054-967-3408			/	
Tractivity 62456	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Bath Road totally unsuitable. Traffic will have to negotiate Bridgwater to get to Hinkley. Problem solved if new road built Combwich-Chilton Trinity – Express Park to Junction 23 M5 motorway. Bristol Road needs a link road to Bath Road. British Cellophane site is now available for development.	10080-967-4536			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Royal Mail	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	- It is also noted that the construction will result in between c1,000 and 2,000 non local workers being housed in short term accommodation in the Bridgwater area, which will increase the number of delivery/collection runs by 4 necessitating at least two additional vehicles.	10198-967-2599			/	
Fiddington Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	to acknowledge that this summers' road gridlock situation within Bridgwater will be further exacerbated by your J23, J24 and other Bridgwater encampments, as well as other site traffic travelling through the town.	10223-967-1310			/	
Avon and Somerset Constabulary	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	the highway infrastructure to support such proposals should be robust, not introduce conflict with existing highway use and satisfy the requirements of the local highway authority in terms of mitigating congestion and addressing potential road safety issues.	89054-967-5866			/	
Landowner - Bridgwater College	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	- We are concerned that the increased activity arising through the two proposed residential developments will intensify still further the congestion on Bath Road tailing back to Bristol Road and Broadway. This could delay staff and students' arrival at College and hence the quality of the student learning experience. Serious delays along the Bath Road could occur for College and local residents as well as residents of the proposed workforce accommodation, causing irritation and bad feeling.	89437-967-3137		/		
Hallam Land Management	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	It is of critical importance that the Bridgwater-A accommodation campus proposals do take steps to minimise traffic generated by that development and to avoid any detrimental impact on the North East Bridgwater proposals. This is important in traffic management terms but to ensure the successful marketing and early development of the North East Bridgwater development (and to secure the wider benefits for the town) it is important that traffic conditions are not compromised or deleteriously affected in comparison with those assumed as part of the North East Bridgwater development. There is no evidence that the North East Bridgwater development has been factored into the analysis or whether such wider implications have been addressed. It is not apparent that the impact of the accommodation campus has been demonstrated to have been avoided or minimised or whether mitigation measures have been developed. It is critical that the Bridgwater-A proposals do not absorb capacity planned or relied upon to support the North East Bridgwater development - particularly as the legacy uses for the campuses remain to be finalised and confirmed.	89455-967-3920			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	How high quality cycle and pedestrian connections can be incorporated to link to Sydenham, the town centre, the railway station, and the adjacent employment areas will be important considerations.	89359-967-12241			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The public realm from the accommodation campuses, along the A38 to Cross Rifles Junction (incorporating the entrance to Bridgwater College), is considered a priority area for intervention by EDF Energy, to improve the experience for cyclists and pedestrians. Contributions towards proposals for alterations to and the signalisation of Cross Rifles junction to accommodate increased traffic flows, and improvements to pedestrian and cycle routes through the junction area, are already being taken forward in relation to the NE Bridgwater development scheme.	89359-967-12442		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Reference has previously been made to the creation of a pedestrian and cycle-bridge across the railway line, linking with the wider network of sustainable transport routes and integrating with the North East Bridgwater development area. While a pathway towards the right location is shown, there is an opportunity to significantly improve the pedestrian and cyclist experience in the area by investing in an alternative bridge route across the railway.	89359-967-13004		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	It is the Councils view that there is a considerable amount of development served from a single highways access point, which raises concerns around ensuring suitable access for emergency vehicles in the event of this single access being blocked	89359-967-13462		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Other than the measures in the transport strategy, in particular the dedicated bus services to Hinkley C from the Bridgwater accommodation campuses, no other specific mitigation measures are identified.	89360-967-18734	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Further mitigation is likely to be necessary in relation to the campuses, particularly within Bridgwater in line with the transport strategy for the town.	89425-967-2121	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	monitoring during the construction period has been assessed to be inadequate.	89360-968-19717	/			<p>Consultees expressed concerns that transport monitoring was inadequate.</p> <p>The Framework Travel Plan sets out a comprehensive programme for monitoring that would include a baseline survey after first occupation, and then each year thereafter until decommissioning of the campus.</p>