

Schedule of Responses – Appendix H.1

Bridgwater C Theme

When reading this schedule, it is useful to have read the following complementary documents:

- **Chapter 5 of the Consultation Report** – the main chapter which describes how EDF Energy has analysed the consultation responses and details how the schedule of responses works
- **Schedule of Responses Framework** from Appendix H – the categorisation framework used by EDF Energy when analysing the consultation responses
- **Consultee Comment Key** from Appendix H – to allow consultees who returned a response to consultation to identify which topics contain their comments

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Baseline has been adequately defined but sampling undertaken represents the minimum acceptable. Additional monitoring (during construction and operation) should be undertaken in the study area to determine whether impacts have been adequately assessed and proposed mitigation is effective. A monitoring campaign should be designed taking into account all potential impacts of the development.	89361-1106-12788			/	<p>The baseline comments received for the Bridgwater C site were received at Stage 2. The local authority noted that the baseline had been adequately defined, but that the sampling undertaken represented the minimum acceptable.</p> <p>The background air quality monitoring programme, undertaken to support the air quality impact assessment, commenced 25 February 2009 and finished 15 September 2009. This exceeds the minimum recommendation as set out in the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs guidance document, which states that, as a minimum, monitoring is undertaken over a consecutive six-month period in order to determine the baseline air quality. NO2 and SO2 monitoring was also undertaken at a roadside location in order to allow for verification of vehicular exhaust emissions dispersion model output. Full details of the baseline monitoring campaign are provided in the final Air Quality Monitoring Report.</p> <p>Further air quality monitoring (extension of the existing baseline dataset and during both the construction and operational phases of the HPC development) has been proposed. This is discussed the Air Quality Chapter (Chapter 10 of Volume 4) of the ES and its supporting references.</p>
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Present air quality does not comply with air quality objectives at some receptors in the Bridgwater Model. Current air quality is well above the long term annual mean NO2 objective and is predicted to decrease by 2016 but not enough to comply with the objective. The construction traffic is predicted to worsen air quality at some receptors in this area.	89361-1106-15203			/	<p>The baseline comments received for the Bridgwater C site were received at Stage 2. The local authority noted that the baseline had been adequately defined, but that the sampling undertaken represented the minimum acceptable.</p> <p>The background air quality monitoring programme, undertaken to support the air quality impact assessment, commenced 25 February 2009 and finished 15 September 2009. This exceeds the minimum recommendation as set out in the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs guidance document, which states that, as a minimum, monitoring is undertaken over a consecutive six-month period in order to determine the baseline air quality. NO2 and SO2 monitoring was also undertaken at a roadside location in order to allow for verification of vehicular exhaust emissions dispersion model output. Full details of the baseline monitoring campaign are provided in the final Air Quality Monitoring Report.</p> <p>Further air quality monitoring (extension of the existing baseline dataset and during both the construction and operational phases of the HPC development) has been proposed. This is discussed the Air Quality Chapter (Chapter 10 of Volume 4) of the ES and its supporting references.</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council & West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - Local Authority and Consultee with an Interest in Land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 1	Further Air Quality assessments are to be undertaken by EDF Energy. The methodologies will need to be consistent with current UK guidance and the methods and results will need to be approved by Sedgemoor District Council.	88440-1114-2316	/			<p>Bridgwater C – Air Quality– Consultation</p> <p>Consultation comments relating to the Bridgwater C Associated Development site were received at Stage 1 from the local authorities and primarily related to the need for further air quality assessments to be undertaken, with all applied methodologies to be approved by the local authorities.</p> <p>At the Stage 1 consultation stage, an initial air quality consultation meeting had been held with the local authorities on 9 December 2008. Two further air quality consultation meetings have been subsequently held with the local authorities, on 1 October 2009 and 22 February 2011. The methodologies applied to the air quality impact assessment were discussed and agreed with the local authority during these consultation meetings. A summary of the key outcomes of these consultation meetings is provided in the Air Quality Chapter (Chapter 10 of Volume 4) of the Environment Statement.</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Cumulative impacts are inherently assessed where the traffic data includes all elements of the development. There is no cumulative assessment or discussion of other potential cumulative effects (e.g. operational traffic plus demolition/ redevelopment of construction worker sites plus operational emissions from the Main Site).	89361-1109-14736	/			<p>Comments relating to the cumulative impacts of the Bridgwater C Associated Development (AD) site were received from Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council at the Stage 2 consultation and related to the need to consider potential cumulative effects other than those from road traffic.</p> <p>No Cumulative impacts were included within the air quality assessment at Stage 2. The approach to assessing the cumulative air quality impacts associated with the Hinkley Point C (HPC) Project has evolved following Stage 2 consultation. The cumulative impacts of the proposed HPC Project with other committed and proposed developments are considered in the Cumulative Effects Volume of the Environmental Statement (ES) (Volume 11) submitted with this application for development consent. Interactive cumulative air quality impacts with other environmental topics (e.g. noise, landscape) associated with the HPC Project on specific sensitive receptors is also considered in the Cumulative Effects Volume 11 of the ES.</p> <p>The vehicular air quality impacts on the wider highway network, associated with the operation of the Bridgwater C site, have been assessed for all traffic generated by the HPC Project. Therefore the assessment of operational vehicular emissions is a cumulative assessment. No further cumulative effects are expected to arise during the operation of the Bridgwater C site.</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 830	Public	Stage 2	<p>4. Any other ideas or comments?</p> <p>The plans are partially satisfactory only:-</p> <p>1) Campus within close proximity to Hinkley C is an excellent, totally logical and cost efficient proposition. Good reason for a new road to connect with the M5 at Dunball.</p> <p>2) Bridgwater A - Former Innovia site is an acceptable if not ideal concept from the point of view of traffic on the A39 (street to Bridgwater Road). Damage to roads bridges and utilities to be considered.</p> <p>3) Bridgwater C - Very concerned indeed about the traffic complications. Sources: College, Rugby club, Innovia, freight from the M5 and traffic generated the length of the A39. Damage to roads, bridges and utilities to be carefully considered. Financial liabilities. It may be questionable as to whether this site is suitable for its intended use (e.g. Methane, flooding in winter) and what can be done to enable post site use in 5 years time on completion of the contract work.</p>	9588-1108-2829			/	<p>Comments on air quality impact in relation to the Bridgwater C Associated Development site were provided at the Stage 2 consultation by Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council, with one comment received at the Stage 2 update consultation from a member of the public. The comments focused on: the need to include non-work related construction worker trips and operational traffic within the assessment of vehicular emissions; the downgrading of construction dust impacts due to their temporary nature and the perceived underestimation of fugitive dust risk from the Bridgwater C construction site; and assessment of vehicular exhaust residual impacts, with only 24-hour traffic flows being presented.</p> <p>The Air Quality Chapter (Chapter 10) of Volume 4 of the Environmental Statement (ES) submitted with this application for development consent includes an assessment of operational vehicular emissions. Non-work related trips of construction workers have also been considered, and the trips generated have been included in the traffic flows used to inform the assessment of vehicular emissions during the construction and operational phase.</p> <p>Within the Air Quality Chapter (Chapter 10) of Volume 4 of the ES, the fugitive dust and PM₁₀ (particulate) impacts during the construction phase have all been assessed in line with current published guidelines and best practice guidance. Impacts have therefore been assessed on the basis of the risk posed by the Bridgwater C construction site and the proximity of sensitive receptors. Whilst qualitative comments have been made regarding the temporary and likely infrequent nature of these construction (non-vehicular) impacts, the magnitude of these impacts has not been downgraded based upon their temporary nature, rather, best practice and mitigation measures have been proposed to minimise potential impacts to an acceptable level.</p> <p>It is not considered necessary to undertake a full 24-hour assessment of vehicular emissions, although the short-term air quality impacts from vehicular exhaust emissions to air are presented within the Air Quality Chapter 10 of Volume 4 of the ES. The typical approach to the Air Dispersion Modelling System (ADMS) Roads dispersion modelling has been</p>
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	No assessment of the non-work related construction worker trips (i.e. people in the worker accommodation travelling around when not travelling to or from work) or operational traffic has been undertaken but a commitment to include it in the submission to the IPC is made.	89361-1108-13470	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The construction traffic is predicted to worsen air quality at some receptors in this area.	89361-1108-15466			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Dust nuisance from this site would be very likely, risk should be high and impact major according to the methodology proposed.	89361-1108-17421	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Air quality is predicted not to comply with air quality objectives at some receptors in the Bridgwater Model.	89361-1108-18122			/	undertaken, with annual mean pollutant concentrations predicted; compliance with relevant short-term Air Quality Objectives is inferred based upon accepted empirical relationships between the long-term and short-term pollutant concentrations. Full details of these relationships and the assessment methodology adopted for the assessment of vehicular emissions to air is provided within the supporting references appended to the Chapter 10 of Volume 4 of the ES submitted with this application for development consent.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Current air quality is well above the long term annual mean NO2 objective and is predicted to decrease by 2016 but not enough to comply with the objective. The construction traffic is predicted to worsen air quality at some receptors in this area. Non-work trip traffic which has not been assessed will add to this effect and increase the predicted impact.	89361-1108-18235	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The assessment of residual impacts is poor, with only 24 hour flows being presented. This means that highway and environmental impacts cannot be correctly assessed.	89425-1108-1510		/		
Tractivity 62998	Public	Stage 2 Update	Taunton Road and Bristol Road will be further overloaded and traffic jams will be more frequent and time consuming pumping carbon mono/ide into Bridgwater 24/7.	89692-1108-4742			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council & West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - Local Authority and Consultee with an Interest in Land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 1	Further Air Quality assessments are to be undertaken by EDF Energy. The methodologies will need to be consistent with current UK guidance and the methods and results will need to be approved by Sedgemoor District Council.	88440-1107-2316	/			<p>Comments on the air quality methodology in relation to the Bridgwater C Associated Development site were received from Sedgemoor District Council (SDC) and West Somerset Council (WSC) at the Stage 2 consultation and related to the need to include the pollutant PM_{2.5}, car park emissions, non-work related construction worker trips and operational traffic within the assessment of vehicular emissions, along with the recommendation to review assumptions made on traffic flows. Use of updated air quality impact significance criteria published following Stage 2 was also recommended. SDC and WSC referred to the perceived inappropriate downgrading of construction dust impacts due to their temporary nature and requested that consideration be given within the air quality assessment to the observed lack of decrease in ambient NO₂ concentrations over the past few years. Comment was also made to consider the impacts of on-site sources or air pollution along with assessing the appropriateness of the proposed AD site for housing.</p> <p>Emissions of PM_{2.5} from vehicle exhausts have been considered within the Air Quality Chapter (Chapter 10 of Volume 4) of the Environmental Statement (ES) and their impacts have been determined. Non-work related trips of construction workers have also been considered, and the trips generated have been included in the traffic flows used to inform the assessment of vehicular emissions during the construction and operational phases.</p> <p>Car parks have not been included within the air quality modelling on the basis of their size and intended usage. Car parking spaces have been limited to only 60 at the Bridgwater C site. The operational profile of the car park would not be comparable to that of, for example, a supermarket car park whereby numerous drivers may use each space several times per day. Furthermore, although emissions from car parks have not explicitly been included within the model, it does take account of emissions from the development related traffic as they approach and leave the Bridgwater C site. It is on this basis that car parks have not been included as a further emissions source, as they are unlikely to be a significant source of emissions to air.</p> <p>The traffic flows and surrounding assumptions have been significantly revised following the Stage 2</p>
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Some of the assumptions for traffic flows, for the proposed Bridgwater developments, applied for air quality modelling may need review or updating.	89240-1107-11000	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The methodology used is commonly used for this type of assessment but has been updated (July 2010) since the EnvApp. The update should be used for future work.	89361-1107-13186	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	No assessment of very fine particles (PM _{2.5}) has been included beyond the identification of assessment criterion	89361-1107-13351	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	No assessment of the non-work related construction worker trips (i.e. people in the worker accommodation travelling around when not travelling to or from work) or operational traffic has been undertaken but a commitment to include it in the submission to the IPC is made.	89361-1107-13470	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The assessment of construction dust downgrades the potential for impacts because they are temporary. This approach cannot be supported as mitigation may be required regardless of the duration of the activity and residual impacts may still be significant.	89361-1107-13747	/			consultation in order to take account of the latest development plans and proposals. The revised transport models used to produce the modelled traffic flow data have been agreed through consultation with the transport consultants acting on behalf of Somerset County Council (SCC) (see Transport Chapter (Chapter 8 of Volume 4) of the ES for full details). The lack of observed decreases in ambient NO2 concentrations in future years has been discussed in the Air Quality Chapter of Volume 4 of the ES . In order to take account of uncertainties regarding trends in NO2 concentrations over time, the approach taken within the ES has been to undertake a worst-case sensitivity test whereby no reduction in vehicle emission rates or background concentrations over time has been assumed. This is in addition to the standard assessment methodology, where the currently published guidelines have been followed (i.e. assuming vehicle emission factors and background concentrations reduce in future years). The significance criteria applied to the assessment of air quality impacts has also been updated to take account of the latest published guidance from Environmental Protection UK. (Development Control: Planning for Air Quality (2010 Update) (2010)).
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Future changes in air quality are estimated using Government guidance and assumes that concentrations will decrease with time as reductions in vehicle emissions take effect. This assumption is not supported by air quality measurements in most locations and this potential fault in the method is not discussed. Additional monitoring would assist in this matter.	89361-1107-14007	/			Within Chapter 10 of Volume 4 of the ES , the construction impacts on air quality are all assessed in line with current published guidelines, in addition to the professional experience of the air quality assessor. Impacts have therefore been assessed on the basis of the risk posed by the construction site and the proximity of sensitive receptors. Whilst qualitative comments have been made regarding the temporary and likely infrequent nature of these construction (non-vehicular) impacts, the magnitude of these impacts has not been downgraded based upon their temporary nature, rather, best practice and mitigation measures have been proposed to minimise potential impacts to an acceptable level.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The impact on receptors near to the site is assessed qualitatively and with little or no justification or explanation of how impact magnitude or significance has been derived.	89361-1107-15666		/		Given the intended operational usage, on-site emissions to air associated with the operation of the Bridgwater C AD site will be of negligible magnitude and therefore any air quality impacts would not be significant. Operational (non-vehicular) impacts have therefore been scoped out from further detailed
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The location of the housing within the proposed site needs to be assessed as appropriate for housing. Although the whole site already has planning permission, the location of the actual accommodation and the air quality in that part of the site should be assessed.	89361-1107-15845	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Assumptions about the number of car parking spaces, recreational traffic etc is unclear.	89361-1107-16113	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	There is no assessment of the potential beneficial effects of the proposed development.	89361-1107-16353	/			assessment within Volume 4 of the ES . Additional receptors have been included within the ADMS Roads model at the proposed locations of the Bridgwater C accommodation campus and pollutant concentrations determined at these locations. No Air Quality Objectives (AQOs) were exceeded at these locations and therefore it has been concluded that the proposed locations are appropriate for housing. Full details are provided in the Air Quality Chapter of Volume 4 of the ES and the supporting Air Quality Modelling Report.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The modelling predicts air quality would exceed objectives at locations in Bridgwater in the base year 2008. This is supported by the monitoring data	89361-1107-16444			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Impacts on other receptors is predicted to be from "small" to "large" and yet are attributed a significance of "slight adverse" without explanation but possibly because the impacts are considered temporary.	89361-1107-16596		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	There is no assessment of emissions from on-site source or air pollution (e.g. boilers, kitchen odours).	89361-1107-16806		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The temporary nature of construction impacts has been used to justify downgrading of impacts, an approach which is not supported.	89361-1107-17060	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	There is no assessment of emissions from on-site source or air pollution. There is no assessment of very fine particulate matter (PM25) or non-work related use of the site (car parking etc) or trips made by the occupants. Potential beneficial impacts are not identified, assessed or enhanced.	89425-1107-3955	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
NHS Somerset Primary Care Trust	Non-Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	Nevertheless the developer may wish to consider specifying the use of low emission vehicles where appropriate, for example, park and ride buses for the Bridgwater campus.	89460-1110-5245	/			<p>Comments with regard to air quality mitigation for the Bridgwater C Associated Development site were received from Sedgemoor District Council (SDC) and West Somerset Council (WSC) at Stage 2 and primarily related to clarification of the mitigation measures that would be committed to in order to mitigate any potential air quality impacts. A further comment was made at Stage 2 by NHS Somerset Primary Care Trust requesting that consideration be given to the use of low emission vehicles where appropriate, for example buses servicing the Bridgwater accommodation campus.</p> <p>Proposed management measures that would be employed during the construction phase of the Bridgwater C site are outlined in the Air Quality Chapter (Chapter 10 of Volume 4) of the Environmental Statement (ES). A more thorough description of these management measures (along with details of roles and responsibilities, environmental audit reporting and dust complaint investigation procedures), is provided within the supporting Associated Development Air Quality Management Plan (AQMP).</p> <p>Significant consideration has been given to the use of low emission vehicles, in particular the use of hybrid buses to provide transport to the HPC main site Point Main Site from the park and ride and Bridgwater accommodation campus sites. However, following feasibility tests, it has been decided that provision of a 100% hybrid bus service for the workers residing at the Bridgwater C site is unlikely to be the preferred approach.</p>
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	There is no commitment to mitigation during construction, only a list of possible measures. Hence it is not possible to establish if the impacts predicted during construction will occur.	89361-1110-14373	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Shipping emissions are not quantified and so no mitigation is proposed.	89361-1110-14565		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	A receptor four metres from the construction site is assessed as having "medium" risk and "moderate" impact but that dust nuisance would be "unlikely", this conclusion is not supported by the assessment.	89361-1110-17214		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Residual effects from construction are identified as Minor. This is contingent on adequate mitigation to which there is no commitment in the EnvApp; mitigation relies on the EMMP and its adequate implementation.	89361-1110-17800	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	- At the accommodation campuses in Bridgwater the impacts from construction activities (dust, off-road vehicles etc) are assessed on a qualitative basis only with no commitment given to any mitigation measures, it identifies for both sites a medium risk of impact. Given the close proximity of receptors to both sites we believe dust impacts are underestimated.	89430-1110-5194	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Residual effects should be monitored in some cases. This is not discussed in the EnvAp	89361-1111-14642	/			<p>Comments with regard to air quality monitoring received from Sedgemoor District Council (SDC) and West Somerset Council (WSC) for the Bridgwater C Associated Development site were received at Stage 2 of the consultation and related to the monitoring of residual air quality effects and the suggestion for additional air quality monitoring in order to help verify if NO₂ concentrations decrease in future years.</p> <p>An air quality monitoring programme will be implemented at all of the HPC offsite associated development sites. The monitoring plan will be implemented throughout the duration of work activities that have the potential to produce emissions or dust that could negatively impact upon the air quality and amenity value of sensitive receptors in the vicinity of the site.</p> <p>Regarding the verification of whether NO₂ concentrations decrease in future years as overall reductions in vehicle emissions take effect. It should be noted that, this matter is not specific to the HPC study area as it is a nationwide issue that is currently being investigated and is a topic of much debate. However, in order to take account of uncertainties regarding trends in NO₂ concentrations over time, the approach taken within the ES has been to undertake a worst-case sensitivity test whereby no reduction in vehicle emission rates or background concentrations over time has been assumed. This is in addition to the standard assessment methodology, where the currently published guidelines have been followed (i.e. vehicle emission factors and background concentrations reduce in future years).</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Environment Agency	Dual - Statutory Consultee and Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 1	Groundwater and contaminated land This site is situated on a closed historic landfill called Bath Road. It closed in 1973 and took a mixture of waste (household, inert, commercial and industrial). Contaminated land investigations should be part of any pre-development activities.	88830-1124-16771			/	The Environment Agency noted at Stage 1 consultation that the Bridgwater C site includes a former landfill. At Stage 2 the Environmental Appraisal presented information on the baseline conditions for the proposed development site using desk-based information as intrusive investigations had not been undertaken. The Environmental Appraisal submitted as Stage 2 included an initial consideration of ground conditions.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The report states that Sedgemoor District Council was consulted regarding potential contamination issues and that historical OS maps and planning records held by the Council were reviewed. The local planning office could also have been consulted with regard to recent planning applications at the sites.	89362-1124-3905			/	Investigations have since been undertaken on behalf of EDF Energy in December 2010 and March/April 2011. The investigations have been carried out accordance with relevant guidance, BS5930:1999 and BS10175:2001 and include the reporting of ground conditions and the collection and analysis of soil and groundwater samples. The findings of the intrusive investigations including relevant risk assessment (human health, phytotoxic, ecotoxicological, built environment, gas and controlled waters) are presented within the Geology, Land Contamination and Groundwater Chapter (Chapter 12 of Volume 4) of the Environmental Statement (ES) .
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Sampling will be required if potential contamination is identified during the construction activities or if it is intended to re use soils during the construction work.	89362-1124-5140			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	While basing the assessment on desk study information may be considered acceptable, incorporating the site investigation results would provide increased confidence in the findings	89362-1124-5312			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	An outline of the scope, timing and duration of intrusive investigation works are not provided.	89362-1124-5493			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Comments on Baseline: Adequate: the local geology, topography and site history are summarised. For each site a summary of nearby licences, landfills and potentially contaminated sites is given.	89362-1124-8811			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	6.15.5 Uncertainty A primary uncertainty associated with Section 2.7 may be that associated with absence of site investigation data relating specifically to the presence, but also the nature of contamination to be extant at the sites.	89362-1124-13299		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The baseline assessments for BRI-A and BRI-C are generally adequate, although outcomes of local authority and EA consultations are not documented.	89425-1124-4789	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The conceptual site models which have been devised for the site are adequate but lacking in detail as they exclude consideration of the ground conditions;	89425-1124-4936			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	There is uncertainty concerning the timing of the currently ongoing investigations and when results will be available.	89425-1124-5955		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council & West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - Local Authority and Consultee with an Interest in Land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 1	<p>Observations on Technical Issues Land Contamination and Waste</p> <p>Further contaminated land assessments/surveys are to be undertaken by EDF Energy on relevant sites. These will need to be reviewed and approved by Sedgemoor DC when they are completed. The following sites have potential risks associated with them:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - BRI-A North East Bridgwater - BRI-B Cattle Market - BRI-C Bridgwater College, Bridgwater Rugby Club, Bridgwater Football Club Air Quality 	88440-1132-1847	/			<p>EDF Energy has noted the comments made by Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council during the Stage 1 and 2 Consultations. At Stage 2 intrusive investigations had not been undertaken at the finalised proposed development site. Investigations have since been undertaken at the site in accordance with relevant guidance and include the reporting of ground conditions and the collection and analysis of soil and groundwater samples.</p> <p>The findings of the intrusive investigations including relevant risk assessment (human health, phytotoxic, ecotoxicological, built environment, gas and controlled waters) are presented within the Geology, Land Contamination and Groundwater Chapter (Chapter 12 of Volume 4) of the Environmental Statement (ES). The intrusive investigation reports will be available to review by stakeholders as appendices to the ES.</p> <p>Consideration of the consultation responses provided during the three stages of consultation has been given and comments are addressed within the Chapter 12 of Volume 4 of the ES where appropriate. Responses to all comments during consultation are reported in this Consultation Report.</p>
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<p>Section 2.7 does not, however, provide further details on consultation responses, or address how these have been addressed by the discussion.</p> <p>Consultation responses, in particular, details of any site investigations or reclamation schemes that the Environment Agency or local authorities are aware of should be included.</p>	89362-1132-4500			/	<p>The findings of the intrusive investigations including relevant risk assessment (human health, phytotoxic, ecotoxicological, built environment, gas and controlled waters) are presented within the Geology, Land Contamination and Groundwater Chapter (Chapter 12 of Volume 4) of the Environmental Statement (ES). The intrusive investigation reports will be available to review by stakeholders as appendices to the ES.</p> <p>Consideration of the consultation responses provided during the three stages of consultation has been given and comments are addressed within the Chapter 12 of Volume 4 of the ES where appropriate. Responses to all comments during consultation are reported in this Consultation Report.</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The assessment presented in Section 2.7 provides no consideration of cumulative effects.	89362-1127-14063	/			<p>Bridgwater C – Contaminated Land and Geology – Cumulative Impacts</p> <p>At Stage 2 intrusive investigations had not been undertaken at the proposed development site. Following intrusive investigations a full assessment of the significance of the potential impacts associated with geology and land contamination during the construction, operation and removal/reinstatement of the proposed development site has been undertaken as part of the impact assessment. The cumulative impacts of identified individual impacts for geology and land contamination are presented in Geology, Land Contamination and Groundwater Chapter (Chapter 12, Volume 4) of the Environmental Statement (ES).</p> <p>The methodology section of Chapter 12 Volume 4 of the ES includes information on the methodology for assessing cumulative impacts. Details of the overarching methodology for assessing cumulative impacts as part of the EIA are presented in Volume 1 Chapter 7 of the ES.</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Health Protection Agency	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	We note that for the associated builds the receptors are quite a distance from the proposed work sites and therefore, impact on human health is likely to be small. However, any health effect cannot be discounted completely on the basis that any contaminated land at the work site has the potential to be transported to the receptor. This can occur through uncontrolled movement of dust or gas/vapours by wind or through foot and/or vehicle traffic carrying contaminated soil out of the work site. You have not undertaken specific chemical analysis at the sites where associated builds are taking place and it is not known whether any land contamination exists. Even if there have been no historical land contamination issues reported/found, it is worth noting that not all land contamination is due to human use, there is the potential for naturally elevated levels of soil contaminants that may cause adverse effects on human health. We recommend that you carry out site soil analysis of the associated developments and then conduct a toxicological risk assessment.	89166-1126-16268	/			Comments related to impacts of land contamination were received from the Health Protection Agency and Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council during the Stage 2 Consultation. The Geology, Land Contamination and Groundwater Chapter (Chapter 12 of Volume 4) of the Environmental Statement (ES) presents topic specific criteria for the impact assessment which was developed from that presented in the Stage 2 consultation. This included the impact on people using the site and people off-site, controlled waters, vegetation, terrestrial ecological systems, construction materials and the soil environment. Following the intrusive investigations a full assessment of the significance of the potential impacts associated with geology and land contamination during the construction and operational phases of the proposed development site has been undertaken as part of the impact assessment presented in Chapter 12 Volume 4 of the ES .
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	While legacy use of the BRI-C site is intended, confidence is not provided that this will be the case. If legacy use is not confirmed, and given that potential legacy may ultimately require removal, it may be arguable that the removal scenario should be subject also to assessment.	89362-1126-11908			/	The baseline conditions and identification of any existing potential sources of contamination identified at the site, such as the presence of waste materials, have been considered within the impact assessment.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	While criteria are presented to qualify the importance and sensitivity of receptors, and also the magnitude of the impacts, there appears to be no link between these and the assessment of the significance of effects presented in paragraphs 2.7.123 to 2.7.198 presented in Section 2.7.	89362-1126-12193			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	A primary uncertainty associated with Section 2.7 may be that associated with absence of site investigation data relating specifically to the presence, but also the nature of contamination to be extant at the sites.	89362-1126-13321			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	While paragraph 2.7.206 describes a potential Minor Beneficial impact due to the removal of the hardstanding surface at BRI-A following its use, it is noted that the site is already earmarked for clearance and remediation. As such, removal of contamination may be considered to be part of the baseline conditions, and this impact would therefore be Neutral.	89362-1126-13673		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Bridgwater has a history of clay extraction and there are a number of landfills close to the sites, meaning that off site gas and leachate contamination may be a risk. BRI-C is located on landfill itself and is underlain by 5-6m of refuse. This will have implications for building design, construction and the future possible legacy uses of the site.	89425-1126-5308			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Given that BRI-A is a former industrial site and BRI-C is a former landfill, there is no consideration given to the impact of potentially hazardous building materials, e.g.asbestos.	89425-1126-5770	/			
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	SDC are concerned that the proposals may not be delivered due to the constraints imposed by the historic landfill operations within the site and are seeking environmental information that assesses the impact of building on a contaminated site, with mitigation solutions identified.	89874-1126-1286			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Comment: As a former landfill site there potential for there to be a significant amount of biodegradable waste underlying the site. We are in general agreement regarding the potential development activities that may create new pathways at the site however we feel the potential for mobilisation of contaminants (including landfill gas) as a result of compression of wastes by overlying structures, or mobilisation from foundation structures, should also be considered.	89083-1125-6171			/	<p>The Environment Agency observed that desk studies and site investigations would be needed. These have now been done. Comments were also made by Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council during the Stage 2 Consultation.</p> <p>Details of the methodology and tables detailing topic specific magnitude, value and sensitivity and site specific assessment criteria are presented in the Methodology Section of Geology, Land Contamination and Groundwater Chapter (Chapter 12 of Volume 4) of the Environmental Statement (ES)</p> <p>Following intrusive investigations a full assessment of the significance of the potential impacts associated with land contamination during the construction, operation and removal/reinstatement of the proposed development site has been undertaken as part of the impact section presented in Chapter 12 Volume 4 of the ES.</p>
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Action: A detailed desk study and site investigation is required. The applicant will need to identify and assess any contamination (including landfill gas), along with any resultant impact to controlled waters and assess the overall risk to controlled waters.	89083-1125-7018	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Table 2.7.1 details the criteria used to assess the importance and sensitivity of the Geology and the Contaminated Soils and identifies four categories of sensitivity and importance from "High" to "Very Low". These sensitivity criteria are generally considered adequate.	89362-1125-10036			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Table 2.7.2 describes the criteria used to determine the magnitude of effect. In this instance, while the concept of change is used with regards to geology, it is not clear what 'change' to geology may represent. It is further noted that geological change is a natural phenomenon which may be accelerated in certain circumstances, for example, through erosion etc. For contaminated land one aspect of a high magnitude impact is described as "very significant change to the extent that UK legislation is contravened leading to prosecution of the responsible party". In some instances, this may be possible, for example if, during the construction works a spillage were to occur from a Contractor's fuel store. In many cases, however, contaminated land may arise as a result of historical legacy and it is difficult to determine who the responsible party would be.	89362-1125-10312			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	It is also noted that legacy use of the BRI-C site would also be expected to be associated with the legacy effects of landfill that currently lies under the site.	89362-1128-12481			/	<p>Bridgwater College noted that they had experience of successful development on similar land in the area.</p> <p>In the UK, it is an expectation that construction and operational sites will be subject to a number of 'standard' health and safety, infrastructure and environmental control requirements which ensure legal compliance and the adoption of standard good practices/control measures. These will be adhered to/adopted for the proposed development.</p>
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Mitigation measures to be employed during construction rely largely on the effectiveness of employment of the EMMP. The authorities have provided consideration of the EMMP framework in Section 4.3 of this response, and the potential effectiveness of mitigation should be reconsidered in the light of this.	89362-1128-12990	/			<p>The intrusive investigation has not indicated the need for a detailed remediation/reclamation strategy for the proposed development site. However, small/minor, localised areas of slightly elevated contaminants and Made Ground/waste materials will be suitably dealt with under the Environmental Management and Monitoring Plan (EMMP) – see Annex 4 to the Environmental Statement.</p>
Bridgwater College	Consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	We note the concerns expressed regarding the potential issues surrounding the proposed ground state for the Bridgwater C site. From College experience of building a number of large buildings and our proposed Performing Arts Centre in this area, if properly piled and with a vapour barrier (if required) there are few issues on building on this land, although there may of course be site specific issues for this particular site.	89765-1119-3915			/	<p>The adherence to legislative requirement and adoption of standard good practices has been assumed as part of the impact assessment and are not considered as formal mitigation within the context of the Environmental Impact Assessment. Given the adoption of these measures no significant impacts associated with geology, land contamination and groundwater have been identified during the construction, operation and removal/reinstatement phases of the proposed development and therefore no formal additional mitigation is considered to be required.</p> <p>During the operation of the proposed development site operational infrastructure (e.g. hardstanding cover, controlled sealed drainage systems and foul and surface water interceptors) will be incorporated into the design. This infrastructure will help prevent impact to the underlying soils, but again these measures are considered to be part of the design rather than formal EIA mitigation.</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The assessment provides no consideration of monitoring	89362-1129-14176	/			<p>In accordance with standard good practice an Environmental Management and Monitoring Plan (EMMP) (see Annex 4 to the Environmental Statement) has been developed for implementation during the construction of the proposed development. The EMMP details the potential environmental impacts and mitigation measures to be implemented and associated monitoring requirements.</p> <p>The EMMP will set our requirements for validation and independent checks (e.g. audits) to ensure that the stated management and monitoring requirements are being implemented in the appropriate manner.</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Environment Agency	Dual - Statutory Consultee and Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 1	-Flood Risk All of site is in flood zone 3 and is at risk from both tidal and fluvial flooding.	88830-1187-16674			/	Comments received from the Environment Agency, councils and members of the public at the Stage 1, Stage 2 and Stage 2 Update consultations raised concerns regarding flood risks concerning the Bridgwater C location in an area designated as Flood Zone 3 by the Environment Agency as well as concerns that the proposed Bridgwater C associated development site had not been included in a flood warning plan.
Tractivity 811	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? This is used by the Rugby Club, the Football field already suffers with flooding and the traffic would then travel all through Bridgwater	9569-1187-4774			/	The current baseline flood risks for the proposed Bridgwater C development were assessed in the Flood Risk Study prepared for the site and provided with the Stage 2 consultation material..
Tractivity 830	Public	Stage 2	1) The land in question resembles a bog at some points of the year and is located on a former waste landfill site. Subject to the methane and flood risks, the suggested use of this land is a little surprising as it is very wet and muddy in winte	9588-1187-8566			/	This assessment included an evaluation of the tidal and fluvial flood risks using the results of the North East Bridgwater flood risk assessment (Brookbanks, 2009) and the Level 1 and Level 2 Sedgemoor Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) prepared by Sedgemoor District Council (2008 and 2009). These sources of information were used following specific advice and comments received from the Environment Agency during the Stage 2 consultation.
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	It is also stated that overtopping and breach were only looked at up until 2025. For the reasons outlined above, 2080 must be included.	89083-1187-941	/			Using these primary sources of information, the risks of flooding from overtopping and/or breaching of the existing primary flood defences during the proposed lifetime of the site (until 2021) were assessed following the consultation. Furthermore, the impact of more extreme (to 2107) flood risk events accounting for climate change influences upon sea levels was also considered. In addition, the FRA which has been developed for the Bridgwater C site and submitted with this application for development consent has considered in detail the available historical flooding records; groundwater information; sewer plans (as recorded by Wessex Water), and surface water and reservoir flood risk mapping relevant to the site.
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	We are concerned that the FRA proposes to remove the site from the warning plan. Whilst the site may not flood, the access and egress routes to the site will and therefore it is important to have a flood warning and evacuation plan in place to evacuate the site. If occupants are left within the site it will form a 'dry island' and people will need help from the emergency services should they need to escape. This increases the amount of burden on these services. We therefore disagree with the statement that removing the site from the flood warning area would reduce the burden on the Somerset Major Incident Co-ordination Group.	89083-1187-2015	/			This review showed that there was a low probability of flooding from these additional flood sources, and this was reflected in the Surface Water Chapter (Volume 4, Chapter 13) of the Environmental Statement (ES) which has been submitted with this application for development consent and the FRA, prepared for the Bridgwater C site.
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	It is also stated that surface water will be directed to a sewer. This is considered a 'last resort' option by PPS25 which states that open watercourses must be considered (after infiltration techniques which may not be viable at this location due to underlying waste and soil conditions in this area). No evidence have been provided that open watercourses have been considered.	89083-1187-3533	/			Some consultation comments also raised concerns that the Bridgwater C site was not included in a warning plan and that a detailed flood emergency and

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	- The reporting states that the River Parrett flood defences are 'satisfactory, but this status is not confirmed and the residual flood risk to the development unknown.	89408-1187-12528	/			evacuation plan had not been prepared for the site. The FRA for the Bridgwater C site now includes a detailed flood warning and evacuation plan, which reflects the comments provided by consultees at the Stage 2 consultation. This plan includes a variety of information including flood warning details, locations of key muster points, evacuation routes and emergency contact numbers for the site.
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	The two campus sites in Bridgwater, the M5 Junction 23 Park and Ride site and the Combwich lay down storage site all lie within areas designated as Flood Zone 3, high risk flood areas, but are protected by existing flood defences. Special flood warning measures are likely to be required for these locations. EDF Energy should prepare and put in place a Flood Warning Plan at each of the sites located within Flood Zone 3 areas.	89864-1187-2273	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	2.2.4 The proposed site of the campus is a former landfill site off College Way, on the south side of the A39 Bath Road. In terms of current flood risk, the site is located in an area designated as Flood Zone 3 that is at risk of flooding but protected by flood defences. 2.2.5 The Stage 2a proposals keep the same number of bed spaces as before but propose changes to access roads, parking and bus stop facilities.	89865-1187-3918			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 913	Public	Stage 2	- Bridgwater C - would these facilities be given as a goodwill gesture to the ever-growing college? Junction 23/M5 – Here's hoping the surface water drainage measures are adequate as a former houseowner of (personal details removed), we know how the surrounding areas do flood -	9671-1192-4964			/	<p>A number of consultation responses, received from the Environment Agency, councils and members of the public at the Stage 2 and Stage 2 Update consultations, requested further clarity and information regarding the proposed drainage arrangements for the Bridgwater C site. To address these concerns, a drainage strategy, supported by detailed drainage calculations, has been developed for the site. Summary details of the strategy are presented in Surface Water Chapter (Volume 4, Chapter 13) of the Environmental Statement while the full strategy is included within the Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) prepared for the Bridgwater C site, both of which have been submitted with this application for development consent.</p> <p>The strategy has been designed to control discharges from the site at levels consistent with current greenfield run-off rates. This has been achieved using a variety of Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) management techniques as advocated by a number of consultees during the consultation process.</p> <p>These techniques include the use of permeable paving materials on sections of the site; the use of controlled drainage system and the use of an on-site attenuation tank to control discharges from the site. This final feature was adopted due to lack on on-site space for surface balancing ponds or detention basin features. Surface water would be discharged to a combined sewer located adjacent to the site. The feasibility of this solution for the proposed lifetime of the site has been agreed with Wessex Water.</p> <p>The drainage strategy for the site has been designed to ensure that the residual risks arising from the surface run-off are adequately mitigated.</p>
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	The surface water management proposals do not reflect the aspirations set out in the master plans documents.	89083-1192-2852	/			
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Section 7.6.8 of the Master plan document for the Accommodation campuses states that the surface water will be managed providing as sustainable solutions as possible. However it is then stated that oversized pipes may provide adequate storage. Oversized pipes are not considered sustainable and do not fit into the ambition for the site. Rhynes and detention areas should be given priority at this site, however these may have to be lined due to the underlying ground conditions. As a legacy site, it is crucial that sustainable drainage techniques are implemented.	89083-1192-2964	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	- Surface water strategies for the two sites (including the approach to sustainable drainage) are very light on detail and not sufficient for PPS25 compliance. Detailed drainage designs are not included.	89408-1192-12873	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	In the case of the three campus sites and J23 Park and Ride facility, more detail is required about how surface water will be managed now that the proposals for these sites have changed.	89864-1192-1913	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	2.2.6 The updated proposals do not indicate how surface water runoff from the campus development will be managed to prevent an increased risk of flooding in the area. The site-specific Flood Risk Study for the Bridgwater C Campus indicates that surface water management will control the volume and peak discharge of surface water runoff to existing runoff rates, which is commensurate with current best practice. Again, this was undertaken for the previous proposed scheme. More detail is therefore required about how surface water will be managed now that the proposal for this site has changed.	89865-1192-4340	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	-Provide additional information to indicate how surface water runoff will be managed to prevent an increased risk of flooding in the area of Bridgwater A, C and on-site Campus's, and the J23 Park and Ride facility now that the proposals for these sites have changed;	89865-1192-15654	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council & West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - Local Authority and Consultee with an Interest in Land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 1	<p>Full reference should be taken into account of the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) for Sedgemoor and the Local Development Framework (LDF), and the agreed strategic flood defence solution, the Parrett Barrier. The impact of any works to the Parrett will need to consider the impact on the barrier. Any development within the flood risk areas will trigger a contribution toward the delivery of the Barrier.</p> <p>Account should also be taken of the Shoreline Management Plan and Environment Agency studies on the Parrett, and notably the Steart Managed Realignment Project. As a strategic environmental project for the Severn Estuary there is an expectation from the Environment Agency, Sedgemoor District Council and other partners that EDF will work as part of the project to enhance and enable its delivery, given its proximity to the site and close relationship with Combwich and the Parrett.</p>	88140-1190-2707	/			<p>A number of consultation comments, received from the Environment Agency, Councils and members of the public at the Stage 1, Stage 2 and Stage 2 Update consultations, were about the development of the Flood Risk Study for the Bridgwater C site which had been published with the Stage 2 consultation material. These comments included a request to provide greater evidence to support the siting of a 'more vulnerable' development at this location and to provide greater evidence that the site would be safe beyond 2021; and highlighted the need to provide additional information to address residual risk and emergency procedures for the site.</p> <p>To address the first of these points, the Overview Flood Risk Assessment Report (OFRAR), for all of the Hinkley Point C Associated Development sites and which has been submitted with this application for development consent, and is, has been updated to clarify the evaluation of each of the associated developments in relation to the requirements of Planning Policy Statement 25 (PPS25) – which sets out the Government's policy developments and flood risks. This is referenced in the Bridgwater C Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) report.</p> <p>The OFRAR also highlights the rationale for the siting of the Bridgwater C site accommodation campus and details the reasons for applying the PPS25 exception test. These requirements are also reflected in text covering the PPS25 sequential and exception test included in the Bridgwater C FRA report.</p> <p>Further work has been undertaken to evaluate the long-term flood risks posed to the site. This has (following advice received from the Environment Agency at the Stage 2 consultation) focused on the results of the FRA prepared for the wider North East Bridgwater development (Brookbanks, 2009) which covers both the Birdgwater A and Bridgwater C sites. The results of extreme (to 2107) overtopping and breaching scenarios have indicated that the Bridgwater C site is unlikely to be flooded due to its elevated topography. Although this evidence suggests that the Bridgwater C site is unlikely to flood, further work has been undertaken to evaluate remaining residual risks for the site. This has included</p>
Sedgemoor District Council & West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - Local Authority and Consultee with an Interest in Land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 1	<p>Hydrogeology, Hydrology, Drainage and Flood Risk</p> <p>It is noted that further studies are proposed in relation to Hydrogeology; Hydrology, Drainage & Flood Defence. The completion of a Flood Risk Assessment (approach to be agreed with the Environment Agency) is considered to be a priority study. Depending on the location and design, there is potential for the bypass to either exacerbate flood risk, or serve as a flood risk management structure with legacy benefit.</p> <p>An understanding of what is possible to secure enhancements to existing flood defences on the Parrett and for the village as a whole is also required, if the western option were to be considered the preferred route.</p>	88340-1190-2422			/	
Tractivity 830	Public	Stage 2	<p>4. Any other ideas or comments?</p> <p>The plans are partially satisfactory only:-</p> <p>1) Campus within close proximity to Hinkley C is an excellent, totally logical and cost efficient proposition. Good reason for a new road to connect with the M5 at Dunball.</p> <p>2) Bridgwater A - Former Innovia site is an acceptable if not ideal concept from the point of view of traffic on the A39 (street to Bridgwater Road). Damage to roads bridges and utilities to be considered.</p> <p>3) Bridgwater C - Very concerned indeed about hte traffic complications. Sources: College, Rugby club, Innovia, freight from the M5 and traffic generated the length of the A39. Damage to roads, bridges and utilities to be carefully considered. Financial liabilities. It may be questionable as to whether this site is suitable for its intended use (e.g. Methane, flooding in winter) and what can be done to enable post site use in 5 years time on completion of the contrat work.</p>	9588-1190-2829			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	The FRA states that the site will only be designed to be safe for its lifetime for use with Hinkley Point C development up to 2025. We disagree with this assumption. Our understanding is that the intention at this site is to retain the existing buildings for legacy as student accommodation, therefore proposals must be designed to be safe for its lifetime. It is highlighted that the lifetime of this development including legacy is until 2080, therefore it must be designed for this period. Retrofitting flood risk measures is inappropriate. The buildings therefore need to be designed to be safe throughout this lifetime, during construction at Hinkley Point and also the subsequent legacy phase.	89083-1190-237	/			developing effective management strategies for surface water, setting of finished floor levels and ensuring safe access to the site. The consultation comments from councils also raised concerns at the Stage 2 consultation that a detailed flood emergency and evacuation plan had not been prepared for the Bridgwater C site. The Bridgwater C FRA for the site now includes a detailed flood warning and evacuation plan. This plan includes a variety of information including flood warning details, evacuation routes and emergency contact numbers for the site.
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	The assessment highlights that the residual risk of a breach in the defences will be managed on site. Whilst the FRA has shown no flood impact to the site up to 2025, we expect the development to be safe up to 2080. Due to the high topography of this land, Sedgemoor's Level 2 SFRA shows that the site does not flood during the 1 in 1000 year event in 2108, however this does not show the breach location closest to the site as within the FRA. Therefore due to their potentially being some residual risk, we require that finished floor levels of all buildings, especially those with ground floor sleeping accommodation to be set at a minimum of 300mm above ground level.	89083-1190-1080	/			One consultation comment response from councils at the Stage 2 consultation requested that the cumulative impacts of flood risk should be considered. The potential hydrology and flood-related cumulative impacts have been considered in detail in the Surface Water Chapter (Volume 4, Chapter 13) of the Environmental Statement (ES) which has also been submitted with this application for development consent. In addition, wider cumulative impacts have been considered in Volume 11 (Cumulative Effects) of the ES prepared for the Hinkley Point C sites. This document considers specifically the risks of cumulative surface water flows arising from the Bridgwater A and C sites in combination with discharges generated by other new development and existing properties in east Bridgwater.
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	The flood risk assessment for this site needs to be reviewed to incorporate the appropriate timescales of development.	89083-1190-1762	/			
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Discussions should be held with the local authority emergency planners on this matter and the approach agreed incorporated into the proposals FRA.	89083-1190-2660	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The conclusion from this review is that where one of the developments simply happened to be located in a Flood Zone 1, the report stated that the Sequential Test had been passed, as opposed to the site being specifically targeted to match a development's residual flood risk. There is no compelling evidence to conclude that flood risk vulnerability was matched to flood zone compatibility. Likewise, where the 'more vulnerable' accommodation development has been located in a higher flood risk zone, there is no evidence to conclude that other sites were considered but discounted for reasons of greater importance than residual flood risk.	89408-1190-3044	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	- There is a statement that the Sequential Test has been "implicitly passed" by the Environment Agency, but there is no corresponding record to validate this conclusion.	89408-1190-12700	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	- There is not enough detail or robust strategy for residual flood risk or emergency procedures. There is no mention of minimum finished floor levels, safe refuge provision and flood resilience.	89408-1190-13080	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	- Cumulative impacts have not been considered.	89408-1190-13278	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Residual fluvial/tidal and combined flood risk is not fully recognised or appreciated at the sites where this could impact the site (Combwich, Bridgwater A and C, Main Site (Southern Phase Construction Area) and Junction 23). This is of key concern at the main site where flooding of Holford stream is a regular occurrence yet it is proposed to store material within the streams floodplain.	89423-1190-7468	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The sites are in Flood Zone 3a of the River Parrett, albeit protected by existing flood defences up to the 1 in 200 year event. Residual flood risk and the impacts of flooding are not considered in the EnvApp	89425-1190-7903	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	-provide additional information to indicate how surface water runoff will be managed to prevent an increased risk of flooding in the area of Bridgwater A ,C and on-site Campus's, and the J23 Park and Ride facility now that the proposals for these sites have changed;	89865-1569-15654	/			
Environment Agency	Dual - Statutory Consultee and Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 1	In the context of the off-site associated development, the Environment Agency believes that section 4.22.10 of draft EN-01 makes it clear that the sequential (and exception test where appropriate) are required to be applied. In general, we endorse this approach so that the associated development is treated in the same consistent way as any other local development proposal submitted to the Local Planning Authority. We will require to see the evidence that the sequential test has been incorporated within the process. Any development site over a hectare or in food zone two/ three will require an appropriate site specific FRA.	88820-420-2075			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council	Dual - Local Authority and Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 1	River Parrett no protection from tidal surge - significant devastation Benefit - tidal surge barrier to protect area.	88900-1193-13107		/		Comments received from the Environment Agency, councils and members of the public at the Stage 2 and Stage 2 Update consultations requested additional information and/or clarification of the flood risk mitigations actions that would be taken to address residual flood risks at the Bridgwater C site.
Sedgemoor District Council & West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - Local Authority and Consultee with an Interest in Land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 1	- All four search areas are located in Flood Zone 3a. PPS25 states that, in this zone, developers and local authorities should seek opportunities to reduce the overall level of flooding in the area through the layout and form of the development and the appropriate application of sustainable drainage techniques;	88430-1193-1909	/			The comments included concerns that the Bridgwater C site was not included in a flood warning plan and that a detailed flood emergency and evacuation plan had not been prepared for the site. This comment reflects the fact that only a basic emergency plan had been included in the Flood Risk Study document prepared for the Bridgwater C site and provided with the Stage 2. consultation material. The Flood Risk Assessment prepared for the Bridgwater C site which has been submitted with this application for development consent now includes a detailed flood warning and evacuation plan, which demonstrates that EDF Energy has had regard to the comments provided by consultees at Stage 2. This plan includes a variety of information including flood warning details, locations of key muster points, evacuation routes and emergency contact numbers for the site.
Tractivity 1341	Public	Stage 2 Update	I think that a new road to the north of Bridgwater to include a tidal barrage/road over would be a more simple solution to town congestion. it could also aid the flood prevention cause and leave a very positive legacy. You might even get the environment Agency and Sedgemoor to help pay for it!	89607-1193-679			/	
Tractivity 62304	Public	Stage 2	To compliment the new road, a flood barrier could be integrated, as one will be needed soon in any case.	9993-1193-4937			/	One comment at the Stage 2 Consultation also requested clarification of the potential contribution by EDF Energy to the future River Parrett Barrier. This request is noted and is being considered by EDF Energy as part of a wider Section 106 developer contribution agreement.
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	- Flooding. The facility is located on the Bridgwater Zone 3 & Zone 2 floodplain. In the event of a major flood incident there would be a requirement to evacuate/relocate the workers if the facility was flooded. What measures has EDF considered to improve the flood resilience of the accommodation? What arrangements will EDF make to evacuate workers and relocate them to other accommodation? Note: Bridgwater Campus A accommodation may also be affected by the same flood situation and therefore not available as temporary accommodation.	89243-1193-14716	/			
Tractivity 63240	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	15.23 Reference is made to the payment of a £100,000 contribution towards the Bridgwater Alleviation Scheme in respect of the Off-site Associated Development. The adequacy of this payment should be verified.	89446-1193-9667			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Authorities position May 2010 Requirement for contribution to Parrett Barrier requested Update August 2010 No information available to date.	89329-1193-856			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Although paragraph 20.4 refers to a contribution towards the Bridgwater Alleviation Scheme there is no indication of how the sum of £100,000 has been calculated. The contribution should be calculated through application of the formula set out in the Bridgwater Strategic Flood Defence Tariff (adopted by Sedgemoor District Council as a Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) on the 16th September 2009).	89421-1193-7704			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	In summary a detailed and comprehensive set of flood risk management measures is required, that should be referred to through requirements and obligations that cross reference to the findings and recommendations of a comprehensive and robust Flood Risk Assessment as well as responding to the requirements of the Bridgwater Strategic Flood Defence Tariff.	89421-1193-9105			/	
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	The Council notes that these facilities are located on the Bridgwater Flood Zone 3 & Flood Zone 2 floodplain. In the event of a major flood incident there would be a requirement to evacuate / relocate the workers if the facility were flooded and EDF will need to make arrangements for this. These issues were raised by the Council in its Stage 2 response and remain to be addressed to the Council's satisfaction.	89861-1193-1414	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	The two campus sites in Bridgwater, the M5 Junction 23 Park and Ride site and the Comwich lay down storage site all lie within areas designated as Flood Zone 3, high risk flood areas, but are protected by existing flood defences. Special flood warning measures are likely to be required for these locations. EDF Energy should prepare and put in place a Flood Warning Plan at each of the sites located within Flood Zone 3 areas.	89864-1193-2273	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	-prepare and put in place a Flood Warning Plan at each of the sites located within Flood Zone 3 areas;	89865-1193-16170	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	- It is unclear whether the 50% fluvial event against the 0.5% tidal event combination been agreed with the Environment Agency?	89408-1188-12397	/			A comment from the Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council response at the Stage 2 consultation queried whether modelling assumptions been agreed with the Environment Agency. The risk of combined fluvial and tidal flooding events at the site has been considered using the results of detailed flood risk models prepared for the North East Bridgwater Flood Risk Assessment (FRA). This approach was taken following advice provided by the Environment Agency.

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The baseline conditions for both sites are characterised in an easily understood and concise manner	89362-1133-15448			/	<p>At Stage 2, the Environmental Appraisal presented information on the baseline conditions of the development site using available desk-based information. At that time no groundwater quality data were available.</p> <p>Further to Stage 2, two phases of intrusive site investigation have been undertaken at the proposed development site, in December 2010 and April 2011. The investigations are summarised within the Geology, Land Contamination and Groundwater Chapter (Chapter 12 of Volume 4) of the ES. As part of the investigations, samples of groundwater/leachate were collected for analysis and soil leachability testing was undertaken. The results of analysis were used to inform the baseline conditions and identify potential risks to groundwater quality from landfill waste and/or existing groundwater/leachate contamination.</p>
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	this section does not provide any description of groundwater quality other than its salinity	89362-1133-15558	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	there is no description of the overall water resource balance of the area, which could influence an increase in local water demand.	89362-1133-15655	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Cumulative effects of development with those from other elements of associated development on groundwater are not addressed in Section 2.9 of the EnvApp.	89363-1136-10988	/			<p>Following the acquisition of leachability testing and groundwater monitoring data, the assessment of the potential impacts associated with groundwater resources during the construction, operation and removal/reinstatement phases of the proposed development site was undertaken, as presented within the Geology, Land Contamination and Groundwater Chapter (Chapter 12 of Volume 4) of the ES. The section also considered the potential for cumulative impacts to occur to groundwater resources during the proposed development. Chapter 12 of Volume 4 of the ES includes information on the methodology for assessing cumulative impacts.</p> <p>Full details of the overarching methodology for assessing cumulative impacts as part of the EIA are presented in Volume 1 Chapter 7 of the ES.</p> <p>Volume 11 of the ES provides an assessment of cumulative impacts to groundwater quality arising from the overall HPC Project and the HPC Project with other developments within the area which may impact upon groundwater resources.</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Although the site would not appear to be within the immediate vicinity of any groundwater abstractions, the groundwater is probably in, at least, partial continuity with nearby surface water features. Impacts and potential impacts to groundwater and surface water will need to be fully examined, conceptualised and risk assessed prior to the commencement of development.	89083-1135-6644	/			At Stage 2, no intrusive site data were available. Subsequently intrusive investigations were undertaken at the proposed development site in December 2010 and April 2011. Information from the investigations has been used to identify potential risks to groundwater, which have been subsequently assessed (details are provided in the Geology, Land Contamination and Groundwater Chapter (Chapter 12 of Volume 4) of the ES . The potential impacts of the construction, operational and removal/reinstatement phases of the proposed development on groundwater resources have been assessed on the basis of these new data and the full impact assessment is presented in Chapter 12 of Volume 4 of the ES .
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The reasoning behind the assessment of the effects identified is considered appropriate. However, there is no evidence of a precautionary approach when data is absent. This affects the assessment of the significance associated with the release of pre-existing contaminants	89362-1135-16608			/	Subsequent to Stage 2, the Chapter 12 of Volume 4 of the ES presents an assessment on groundwater of all stages of the development which includes the legacy phase of the proposed development site whereby the infrastructure, including hard-standing, will remain in place.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	there is no mention of the water resources imposition caused by the construction phase. It is likely that the construction phase across the two sites will use significant quantities of water. Where this water will be sourced from and how this will impact local groundwater is not discussed.	89362-1135-17444			/	In accordance with standard good practice an Environmental Management and Monitoring Plan (EMMP) will be developed for implementation during the proposed development (see Annex 4 to the ES). During construction, contractors will be encouraged to manage and monitor water use, and adopt water reduction measures through material specification and proposals for off-site fabrication.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The assessment of this phase is considered appropriate, although it would make more sense if not removing hard standing were considered in the operational stage as a long term impact.	89362-1135-18205			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The assessment methodology provided within the section is incomplete as it only provides tables that describe 'sensitivity of receptor' and 'magnitude of effect'. It is assumed that the combination of sensitivity and magnitude required to inform an assessment of impact significance is informed through use of Table 5.4.4 in Volume 1 of the EnvApp although this is not explicitly stated.	89362-1134-15812	/			Details of the methodology and impact assessment matrix adopted are presented in Volume 1, Chapter 7 of the Environmental Statement (ES) . The methodology described in the Geology, Land Contamination and Groundwater Chapter (Chapter 12 of Volume 4) of the ES presents the topic specific magnitude, value and sensitivity and site specific criteria which have been reviewed and revised in line with topic specific requirements.

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The type and scale of existing contaminants is not known, but there is potential that there are a wide range of harmful substances. Before remediation has taken place these could be mobilised during preliminary excavations. Although existing groundwater resources are saline and not extensively used, release of these contaminants to groundwater could have far reaching effects to the natural environment. If there is a link between the estuarine waters of the River Parrett and groundwater, the contaminants could migrate into the River Parrett.	89362-1137-16882			/	The intrusive works (which have been undertaken to identify potential contamination within the Made Ground and landfilled waste deposits beneath the proposed development site) were undertaken in accordance with UK best practice guidance and policy, and as such precautions were implemented during these investigations in order to prevent the accidental release of contamination. The methodology for the ground investigation works was agreed with the Environment Agency prior to the works commencing.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Details of what the EMMP would address and how the effectiveness of the EMMP would be delivered remains unaddressed.	89362-1137-18620	/			At Stage 2 the adoption of standard good practice and control measures are presented as mitigation subsequent to the impact assessment. In the EIA, the adherence to legislative requirements and the adoption of standard good practice has been assumed as part of the impact assessment and would be adopted as part of the development design and are not considered as specific formal mitigation. The Geology, Land Contamination and Groundwater Chapter in Volume 4 of the ES identifies examples of standard good practice measures and design features which would be implemented at the proposed development site during the construction, operational and removal/reinstatement phases to limit the potential for impact to groundwater resources. For example, during construction, contractors will be encouraged to manage and monitor water use, and adopt water reduction measures through material specification and proposals for off-site fabrication.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	No mitigation beyond good practice is proposed. This 'good practice' needs to be described and specified. Removal No mitigation beyond good practice is proposed. This 'good practice' needs to be described and specified.	89362-1137-18855	/			In accordance with standard good practice an Environmental Managing and Monitoring Plan has been developed for implementation during the proposed development which will outline the potential environmental impacts and actions to minimise the potential for adverse impact to occur to groundwater resources. See Annex 4 to the ES .

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	No specific commitment to monitoring is provided. It is expected that this will be addressed within the EMMP.	89362-1138-19727	/			As detailed in the mitigation response, an Environmental Managing and Monitoring Plan (Annex 4 to the Environmental Statement) has been produced which identifies the control measures which will be implemented, and any monitoring which may be required, in order to protect groundwater resources during the construction, operational and removal/reinstatement phases.

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	We consider that the baseline conditions reported in the document are in general to be accurate, robust and reasonable for an initial assessment of impacts	89364-1169-6741			/	<p>A desk-based assessment (DBA) has been undertaken to establish a robust baseline with respect to the historic environment. The DBA sourced data from the Somerset Historic Environment Record and the National Monuments Record and included a review of historic maps.</p> <p>Comments received at the Stage 2 Consultation from Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council considered the baseline conditions reported to be accurate, robust, and reasonable for an initial assessment of impacts. Further comment at Stage 2 stated that the background provided is accurate and sufficient to inform the assessment of impacts.</p> <p>The Baseline section of Chapter 16 (Historic Environment) of Volume 4 of the Environmental Statement (ES) provides an overview of the results of the DBA and figures showing historic environment assets and features. A fully referenced list of all information sources used to establish the baseline is provided in the chapter.</p> <p>The Historic Landscape section of Chapter 16 of Volume 4 of the ES provides details of the Historic Landscape Character designations on the Bridgwater C site and describes the historic landscape of the study area, extending up to 1km from the site boundary.</p>
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The lack of assessment of impacts upon historic landscape character	89364-1169-6898	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The impact upon setting of heritage features has not been completed, is a significant omission, and must be addressed as a matter of urgency.	89364-1169-6991		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The report states that the assessment of impacts upon the setting of heritage assets will be reviewed once plans have been finalised. No assessment is presented with regards to impacts upon the historic landscape; the report states that this will be undertaken once landscape mitigation proposals have been finalised.	89364-1169-8341	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	In absence of the completed assessment of the residual effects on the historic landscape it is considered that it would be necessary to update the assessment once proposals have been finalised.	89364-1169-8662	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	It is considered that the background provided is accurate and sufficient to inform the assessment of impacts.	89364-1169-9213			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Sections 2.12.23 of the EnvApp describe the methodology adopted for the identification and assessment of impacts. It states that relevant Institute for Archaeologists and EH guidance has been followed in undertaking the surveys and assessment. In the absence of formal guidance for Heritage EIA, Volume 11 part 2 of the Design Manual for Roads and Bridges has been adopted for the assessment.	89364-1169-9348			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<p>Consultation has been undertaken with Somerset County Council Historic Environment Service and English Heritage.</p> <p>The consultees are as expected, however the document does not provide details of these discussions, the nature of comments received from the consultees or whether these comments have been clearly addressed in the assessment.</p>	89364-1177-7982	/			<p>Extensive consultation was undertaken throughout the project with Somerset County Council's Historic Environment Service, which acts as advisor to Sedgemoor District Council, and English Heritage to agree the scope of the assessment and requirements for baseline surveys.</p> <p>Details of consultations (formal and informal) can be found within the Consultation Report.</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	There is no discussion of cumulative impacts provided within Section 2.22 of Volume 2 to the EnvApp.	89364-1172-14666	/			<p>Following the Stage 2 Consultation, where Sedgemoor District Council stated that no discussion of cumulative impacts had been provided with respect to the Bridgwater C proposal and the historic environment, potential cumulative impacts on the historic environment have been assessed, and are now discussed within the Historic Environment Chapter (Chapter 16, Volume 4) of the Environmental Statement (ES).</p> <p>The Bridgwater C development will not result in any significant impacts to historic environment assets and therefore it is not anticipated that there will be any cumulative impacts to the historic environment as a result of the proposed Bridgwater C development.</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The EnvApp identifies the uncertainty associated with assessment of impacts as a result of the scheme and landscape mitigation designs not being finalised.	89364-1171-13395	/			The baseline assessment established that, due to previous disturbance, there is little or no potential for surviving archaeological remains on the Bridgwater C site. In addition, there are no impacts on the settings of designated heritage assets beyond the proposed Bridgwater C site boundary.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	In order for an accurate assessment of impacts to be made and to ensure that the proposed mitigation measures are appropriate, the assessment should be conducted once design and mitigation measures are both developed.	89364-1171-13554	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Residual effects during construction are described in paragraph 2.12.69, and with regard to specific assets in table 2.12.5. The initial assessment of residual effects appears reasonable based on known data, however this cannot be completed until mitigation has been agreed and impacts upon HLC have been assessed. Reference should also be made to the residual impact upon alluvial sequences.	89364-1171-13818	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The EnvApp should reference IfA and EH guidance clearly in the te/t. The bibliography does not refer to any EH or IfA guidance.	89364-1170-9744	/			<p>The scope and methodology for baseline studies and impact assessment were agreed with Somerset County Council's Historic Environment Service and English Heritage (EH) and are outlined in the Historic Environment Chapter (Chapter 16 of Volume 4) of the Environmental Statement (ES).</p> <p>The Desk-Based Assessment (DBA) was carried out in accordance with published standards and guidance, including Somerset County Council Heritage Service's <i>Archaeological Handbook</i> (2009) and the Institute for Archaeologists' (IfA) <i>Standards and Guidance for Desk-Based Assessment</i> (2008).</p>
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Although we accept that the DMRB approach in its prescribed form represents an appropriate impact assessment methodology, and represents best practice, Section 2.12.25 describes that the approach adopted is actually an adaptation of the DMRB methodology. While the adaptation of the DMRB approach is described, the reasons and justification for this are not addressed within the chapter. Furthermore, the particular effect of this deviation on the results of the overall assessment should also be illustrated. For instance, the DMRB 'very high' categorisation of importance is not used, placing Scheduled Monuments and Grade I and II* Listed Buildings in the highest category, rather than second tier according to DMRB (the first tier being reserved for sites of international importance); this may lead to a difference in the reporting of impacts, both adverse and beneficial, compared to DMRB in its original form.	89364-1170-9875	/			<p>In the absence of standards or guidance published by the IfA or EH specifically relating to Environmental Impact Assessments for the historic environment, guidance on assessing the effects of roads schemes on heritage, given in the Design Manual for Roads and Bridges (DMRB) published by the Department for Transport, Volume 11: Environmental Assessment, Section 3, Part 2, Cultural Heritage, has been adapted for Chapter 16 of Volume 4 of the ES. Comments received by Sedgemoor District Council (SDC) at Stage 2 with respect to the adapted DMRB methodology have been addressed in the Historic Environment Chapter, referenced above.</p>
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Table 2.12.1 shows the criteria used to determine 'importance', not 'sensitivity, as stated in the title (sensitivity of an asset is based on professional judgement).	89364-1170-10816	/			<p>Following Sedgemoor District councils' response to the Stage 2 Consultation Document, the methodology was clarified and the difference between "value" and "sensitivity" was clearly defined in Chapter 16 of Volume 4 of the ES.</p>
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	It is considered that the reasoning behind the assessment of operational effects appears to be sound.	89364-1170-12460			/	<p>The methodology applied to assess potential impacts arising from the construction and operation of the proposed accommodation campus at Bridgwater C on the settings of designated assets beyond the proposed development site boundary was carried out in accordance with English Heritage Draft Guidance on the Assessment of Settings issued for consultation in July 2010.</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Residual effects during construction are described in paragraph 2.12.69, and with regard to specific assets in table 2.12.5. The initial assessment of residual effects appears reasonable based on known data, however this cannot be completed until mitigation has been agreed and impacts upon HLC have been assessed. Reference should also be made to the residual impact upon alluvial sequences.	89364-1173-13818			/	No archaeological remains have been identified within the proposed Bridgwater C development site boundary, and there are no impacts on the settings of designated heritage assets within a study area extending 1km beyond the proposed development site boundary. Therefore, mitigation will not be required.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Operation The residual effects that would be realised during operation are considered in paragraph 2.12.75 and table 2.12.16. This identifies that the effect on the Sydenham Manor would be the same as during construction. The initial assessment of residual effects appears reasonable based on known data, however this cannot be completed until mitigation has been agreed and impacts upon HLC have been assessed	89364-1173-14217			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	The Geophysical Survey Reports have not been submitted with the Stage 2 consultation, so it is not possible to assess the full robustness of these surveys.	89239-1175-6037		/		<p>Stage 2 comments from Somerset County Council included a generic comment regarding the requirement for geophysical survey reports, which was repeated in relation to all of the Hinkley Point C associated development sites.</p> <p>Following receipt of the Stage 2 comments, discussions were held with Somerset County Council's Historic Environment Service, whose representatives agreed that a geophysical survey was not appropriate for the Bridgwater C site as the ground has previously been extensively disturbed.</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Both the Landscape and Visual baseline has been evaluated adequately in line with GLVIA guidance to a level that would be expected for a development of this size and extent of potential impact on both resources. The methods used to acquire the baseline data appear to be robust at this stage of the review process.	89364-1160-48			/	The Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (LVIA) and supporting studies and surveys for the proposed development were conducted for all phases of the proposed development. This was done in accordance with the principles set out by the Landscape Institute (LI) and Institute of Environmental Management Assessment (IEMA) in the Guidelines for LVIA (GLVIA) and guidance on Landscape Character Assessment from the Countryside Agency (now Natural England) and Scottish Natural Heritage.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Generally a robust evaluation of baseline characteristics has been given.	89364-1160-606			/	Following field surveys the study area for the LVIA was reduced to a 1.5km radius. During the baseline assessment, all landscape/townscape designations, relevant landscape/townscape features, and character areas within the study area were identified to fully understand how the landscape/townscape could be affected.

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 809	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? More information on replacing the ground required. could this be part of the new temporary site on the southern side so it can still be used by the local community as well.	9567-1168-5765		/		<p>At the time of the Stage 2 consultation landscape and visual assessment work was ongoing. Since then, work has been completed and the full results of this have been incorporated into the Landscape and Visual Chapter (Volume 4, Chapter 15) of the Environmental Statement which now presents a robust baseline on which to draw conclusions in the impact assessment, including the valuation of receptors that may be affected by the development proposals.</p> <p>Further consultation was not considered necessary for the associated developments due to their scale, their distance from the Area of Natural Beauty (AONB), and in most cases their temporary nature. Views from the AONB were obtained for each of the associated developments and potential impacts on landscape character, where appropriate, are assessed within individual associated development chapters. The methodology agreed through consultation for the Hinkley Point C (HPC) main site development has been used for the Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment of the associated developments.</p> <p>Comments received in respect of lighting for the HPC main site development were incorporated into the lighting strategies for the associated developments.</p> <p>Subject to planning consent, the development could be released for other use after the construction phase of Hinkley Point C is completed</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	In the EnvApp an overall assessment of potential cumulative impacts between off-site accommodation works and various other developments has been made, but no significant adverse landscape or visual impacts have been identified.	89364-1163-5681			/	The full results of the baseline survey provide a robust basis on which to assess the likely landscape and visual impacts of the proposed development, including those that may arise from cumulative interaction with other Hinkley Point C (HPC) and non-HPC developments. As a result of comments received from consultees at Stage 2 an updated assessment of cumulative landscape and visual impacts is presented in the Cumulative Effects Volume 11 of the Environmental Statement .

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	In respect of viewpoint photos there is a general comment that at A3 size 200mm viewing distance is difficult for some people to focus on. Guidance (Scottish Natural Heritage) available on viewing distance for photos and photomontages suggests minimum 300mm is comfortable.	89364-1167-1316	/			All supporting graphical material has been updated since the Stage 2 consultation and detailed drawings are included in the Landscape and Visual Chapter (Volume 4, Chapter 15) of the Environmental Statement (ES) which give a clearer indication of the impact of the proposals. These detailed drawings illustrate, using the arboricultural survey, all trees and hedges which would be removed or retained. A post-operational plan is also included.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	the photomontages provided as part of the Stage 2 documentation cannot be verified.	89364-1167-1786		/		The Landscape Institute issued 'Photography and photomontage in landscape and visual impact assessment', Advice Note 01/11 in March 2011. Following the issue of this guidance the viewpoint sheets have been amended. This fully endorses earlier guidance produced by Scottish Natural Heritage on the 'Visual Representation of Windfarms'. Viewpoint photos have been scaled to 300mm which at an A3 size is the recommended size. Photomontages of proposals are now provided for all associated developments.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The last item in the key Figure 2.11.15 (Ch2 Section 11) is not clear which states 'car park - asphalt with?' It is also unclear what is intended by the statement "footpaths bound gravel - resin bound or self-binding". In addition the existing trees and hedges to be retained are not indicated/in key on this figure.	89364-1167-4673			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	For Figure 2.1.2 the key is not clear.	89364-1167-4993	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	the judgement of significance is appropriate during construction and operation, however, the post removal / reinstatement effects are frequently judged as Beneficial which it is felt maybe a little optimistic, especially when the original judgements on landscape capacity are low and the development proposals are judged as incompatible.	89364-1162-1905			/	One consultee queried the impact assessment scores. The assessment of impacts now assumes a 'worst-case scenario', and as such no beneficial effects are recorded. All aspects of the assessment were revisited following confirmation of design and proposed mitigation and assessment scores were amended.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Potential inconsistencies in judgement of sensitivity of visual receptors warrants further clarification, for instance why some footpaths are judged to be low and why others are moderate.	89364-1162-3809	/			The implementation of landscape proposals would not be undertaken until the end of the construction period. Correspondingly the highest adverse impacts are recorded during the construction phase. During operation, mitigation measures would be in place and the use of semi-mature tree and shrub stock would ensure that mitigation measures were effective from the beginning of the operational phase, although over the period of operation the landscape scheme would mature and mitigation would become more effective. Impacts during the operational phase, after the implementation of mitigation, are considered to remain adverse in nature although generally of only a minor significance. The proposed development has been assessed under the assumption that, in consultation with stakeholders, the development would be used by the Bridgwater College once the operational phase was complete. Impacts in the post-operation phase would therefore remain the same as those assessed during operation.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Clarity is required on assessment score that indicates 'significant moderate beneficial residual effects' for the temporary works which are going to remain in part as a legacy.	89364-1162-4256	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	To confirm whether a Moderate Beneficial effect can be achieved it will be important to understand the time limits assumed to achieve the beneficial effect and an explanation of whether the effect is compatible with Landscape Character?	89364-1162-4433	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<p>Following GLVIA guidance each landscape and visual resource/receptor that has been identified in the baseline studies has been assigned a level of sensitivity; of high, medium or low. The anticipated construction or operational impacts of the power station on that resource have been assigned an impact magnitude of high, medium, low or negligible.</p> <p>This allows for an overall impact significance to be identified by combining magnitude and sensitivity.</p>	89364-1161-858			/	<p>The methodology for, and presentation of, the assessment of impacts described in Volume 4, Chapter 15 of the Environmental Statement has been further developed since the Stage 2 consultation.</p> <p>Changes were made to the methodology used for the Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment following comments made during consultation on the Hinkley Point C development and in response to comments made at the Stage 2 consultation.</p> <p>A more detailed landscape/townscape assessment has been carried out as well as a review of the local Townscape Character Assessment for the area. These have been used to inform the landscape strategy for the site which aims to provide a landscape setting to the proposals and some screening within the seven years that the site will be operational.</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Security fencing should be avoided as first stage, and effectively integrated with landscape proposals if no other solutions are possible	89360-1164-4430	/			A full review of the landscape design was carried out in relation to the landscape/townscape character of the area. This built on Sedgemoor District Council's Landscape Character Assessment and Countryside Design Summary and the principles outlined in the Bridgwater Vision document. Following the confirmation of the layout, landscaping along the perimeter of the site was integrated with the boundary treatment, to ensure that security provisions were arranged to avoid being unsightly and are screened by the proposed landscape.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	There needs to be a more robust and transparent explanation of where responses to the policies and planning guidance requirements will be met through the proposals and where they have been mitigated. At present it is not easy to see how the two have been considered, suggesting iterative mitigation in scheme development has not been optimised.	89364-1164-2268			/	Within the accommodation campuses amenity landscape proposals are considered inherent to good urban design. Landscape design proposed purely for its screening qualities or for integration into the local landscape character has been considered within the assessment as part of further mitigation. The assessment is organised such that the basic proposals are assessed, further mitigation measures are then described and residual impacts are assessed once further mitigation measures have been taken into account. Mitigation proposals are all within the red line boundary of the site. No off-site measures have been proposed
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Generally mitigation proposals attempt to address the impacts of the various schemes.	89364-1164-2616			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	BRI-C involves building on existing playing fields and displacing a rugby club. It is understood that the development will be conditioned to require the promoter to identify and secure an appropriate site for the relocation of the playing fields.	89364-1164-2705			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Mitigation is stated to be an inherent part of the scheme although it is unclear on the mitigation provided and the impacts that the mitigation is addressing.	89364-1164-2955	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Offsite mitigation measures should be investigated further to reduce (particularly) the visual effects of all the proposals.	89364-1164-3114		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The building designs needs to be developed further in a 360deg context exploring how massing / colouring of building and infrastructure has been considered as part wider visual mitigation measures integrated with Landscape ones.	89364-1164-3242			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	It is considered essential for detailed consultation to be undertaken throughout the development of the design and through the planning process especially to guide acceptable / reasonable mitigation measures.	89364-1164-3474			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	recommend that there is a 15 yr minimum management and maintenance agreement established.	89364-1164-3694			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	There is a contradiction between para 2.1.130 'avoid features within the developed area of the site which would attract wildlife' and 2.1.131 '...increase areas of shrub planting and grassland, which is likely to benefit a range of common urban fauna'.	89364-1164-4000			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Further details on the landscape and ecological mitigation and enhancement will be required.	89364-1164-5555			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	- Consider mitigation measures beyond the redline site boundary to more realistically inform EDF Energy's concept to 'embrace' the development in the wider landscape	89424-1164-14076		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	- Prepare a more transparent explanation of where the policies and planning guidance requirements will be met through the proposals and where they have been fully mitigated. At present it is not easy to see how the two have been considered suggesting an iterative process of mitigation in scheme development has not been optimised.	89425-1164-10342	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	- Revisit operational phase assessment using more detailed iterative mitigation in the design process for especially, but not exclusively, local Landscape and Visual components	89425-1164-10677			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	- Implement landscape strategy including planting, creation of public spaces improving access and screen planting around the site perimeter	89425-1164-11159	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	There is no information on monitoring which would be expected.	89364-1165-5933		/		Whilst monitoring is generally something undertaken within the discipline of ecology. However the maturing of the landscape could be monitored under a landscape management contract.

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Noise monitoring has been undertaken at a number of representative locations around the site. The methodology for the baseline monitoring appears acceptable, however there are a few anomalies in how this methodology has been applied	89361-1097-47			/	Baseline noise monitoring was undertaken following initial consultation with the relevant local authority Environmental Health Officers. The location and duration of monitoring was determined based on the proposals consulted on at Stage 1 consultation. Comments received from the Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council joint Council Response at the Stage 2 Consultation stated that the methodology for the baseline monitoring was acceptable, but that no evening measurements had been conducted along Fairfax Road.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	No evening measurements have been conducted along Fairfax Road to determine the quietest night time noise levels (set back from the A39) for the purposes of setting operational noise criteria.	89361-1097-557	/			Following Stage 2 consultation, as a result of proposed changes to HPC shift patterns for construction workers, further baseline noise monitoring was undertaken to cover all hours of proposed operation of the development, including late evening and early morning periods. Full details of the monitoring undertaken, including a graphical illustration of monitoring and assessment locations, has been included in Volume 4, Chapter 9 of the Environmental Statement.

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The assessment of noise impacts presented in Volume 3 of the EnvApp does not identify any cumulative noise and vibration effects with other elements of the proposed scheme	89361-1100-11804	/			<p>The Sedgemoor District council and West Somerset Council Joint Response at the Stage 2 Consultation stated that no cumulative noise and vibration effects were identified. The approach to assessing the cumulative impacts of noise and vibration associated with the Hinkley Point C (HPC) Project evolved following Stage 2 consultation. The cumulative impacts of the proposed HPC Project with other committed and proposed development projects is considered in Volume 11, Chapter 6 of the Environmental Statement (ES). Interactive cumulative impacts of noise and vibration with other environmental topics (e.g. dust, landscape) associated with the HPC Project on specific sensitive receptors (principally local residents) are also considered in Volume 11 of the ES.</p> <p>The in-combination cumulative impacts of noise and vibration from the proposed development on sensitive receptors are contained in Chapter 9, Volume 4 of the ES. For example, the assessment of recreational activities and fixed mechanical service plant has been undertaken in conjunction with vehicle movements on the proposed site.</p> <p>The assessment of traffic impacts on the wider highway network has been assessed for all traffic associated with the HPC Project. Therefore the assessment of road traffic noise includes cumulative impacts.</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 764	Public	Stage 2	<p>11. Any other ideas or comments?</p> <p>The Park and Ride and Freight Logistic Facilities at J.24 (Bridgwater) will have a detrimental affect on the residents of Stockmoor Village and Wilstock Village and on local wildlife. The proposed access from Stockmoor Drive will cause traffic chaos, the Huntworth roundabout already cannot cope with the high volumes of summer traffic entering the M5 services and normal commuter traffic regularly queues significantly in the immediate area of the proposed development. Noise pollution from the site will have a detrimental affect on residents of Stockmoor Village and noise and light pollution will affect wildlife. The area is a valuable habitat for bats, birds of prey and water voles.</p>	9522-1099-6227			/	<p>Volume 4, Chapter 9 of the Environmental Statement details the potential noise and vibration impacts associated with the proposed accommodation campus and facilities at Bridgwater C. The site assessed for the Development Consent Order application was the same as that presented at Stage 2 following consultation undertaken at Stage 1.</p> <p>Comments were received from the Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Response on the Stage 2 Consultation. Comments noted that there were discrepancies between noise level values presented within tables and those presented in appendices. Comments were also made on significance and impact magnitudes assigned to noise levels, as well as noting that no noise assessments had been carried out for noise from the restaurant, bar or sports activities. However, management measures will be used to ensure responsible and considerate behaviour in the use of these amenities. The assessment of impacts has evolved since Stage 2 consultation to quantify all activities associated with the operation of the proposed campus which have the potential to generate significant noise. These include on-site vehicle movements, outdoor recreation activities and fixed mechanical service plant.</p> <p>During occupation and use of the site, the assessment determined that noise impacts would not be significant at neighbouring residential properties. However, given the scale of the site and its proximity to existing residential dwellings, EDF Energy acknowledge the need to continually ensure users at the site, e.g. resident contractors and bus drivers, behave in a sensitive manner with respect to the local residents and the environment. The use of the proposed outdoor sports pitches will be restricted, with no access allowed after 22:00.</p> <p>The assessment of potential localised road traffic noise impacts during the early morning and late evening periods determined that, during some periods, the noise impact at residential premises adjacent to the A39 between West Street and the A38 would be significant.</p>
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	no assessment has been carried out at A/2. It is considered that this is not required since it is not representative of noise sensitive receptors, but this has not been discussed in the report	89361-1099-2110			/	<p>no assessment has been carried out at A/2. It is considered that this is not required since it is not representative of noise sensitive receptors, but this has not been discussed in the report</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	<p>Bridgwater C (Rugby Club Training Pitch)</p> <p>It is the view of SDC that there are some minor improvements to the proposals, with some evidence being provided that links between accommodation at Bridgwater A and C have been considered (e.g. shared communal canteen and bus stop provision). Notwithstanding this, there remains a concern about a lack of basic self-sufficiency in the Bridgwater C accommodation units, where standard kitchen provision should be provided for individual or small groups of bedrooms. This would enable those working shift patterns to have the ability to have basic provisions with a full range of services on Bridgwater A. If no facilities were provided there would seem to be a question over the accommodations ability to fulfil its intended legacy.. The Council also supports the development of this site as the only current proposal with a permanent legacy use, although importantly, there are still no proposals for replacement of the existing rugby facilities which generates an unnecessary policy objection. . Revisions to the siting of buildings align with design principles in the Draft HPC SPD, however there is considerable further scope for the design to contribute to a legible and enhanced public realm at the A39 entrance to Bridgwater College. SDC are concerned that the proposals may not be delivered due to the constraints imposed by the historic landfill operations within the site and are seeking environmental information that assesses the impact of building on a contaminated site, with mitigation solutions identified.</p> <p>New Housing</p> <p>The Councils welcome and support the statement by EDFE that they will explore the possibility of working with developers and the local authorities to facilitate housing development and property refurbishment. Both Councils would also wish to point out that recommendations made by them to date have been on the basis of delivering permanent build housing as part of a suite of accommodation solutions, rather than to completely replace campus facilities. As set out in the Draft HPC SPD, that remains the position to date with an emphasis on reducing the scale of both the main site and Bridgwater A campuses. Accommodation at the main site should be reduced to the minimum requirement and at Bridgwater A to a scale that enables provision of permanent housing and prevents purpose-built accommodation being concentrated in only one part of the town. The Councils require a greater degree of certainty about the delivery of new housing than that provided in the Proposed Changes document.</p> <p>The Councils would wish to ensure there are is a phasing plan in place associated with any planning consent for campus accommodation, related to the delivery of permanent housing solutions.</p>	89874-275-0			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<p>The calculations in the appendix indicate that these levels should be 80dB(AEQ,12hr) and 75dB(AEQ,12hr) respectively. This is significant since, at Fairfax Road, it represents an impact of high magnitude and therefore Major Adverse significance at this property, rather than Moderate Adverse significance as presented in the assessment.</p>	89361-1099-2648	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	A similar error has occurred for predicted noise from construction of site roads and parking bays at dwellings east of Bath Road. The table presents a noise level of 75dBL(AEQ,12hr) but the appendix indicates that this should be 76dBL(AEQ,12hr). Again, this is significant since it represents an impact of high magnitude and therefore Major Adverse significance at this location, rather than Moderate Adverse significance as presented in the assessment.	89361-1099-2990	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	It should be noted that the assessment is only valid for daytime working hours and no assessment has been carried out for evening and night time working. Therefore, the limit on working hours will need to be included in the Construction Environmental Management Plan.	89361-1099-3447		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	BS5228-2 also includes an empirical predictor for vibration from vibratory compaction, which is not used or mentioned. Based on a separation distance of 40m to the nearest receptor, it is possible that vibration from a vibratory roller may exceed 1 mm/s, which may be a Moderate Adverse impact. This has not been considered.	89361-1099-4069		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Paragraph 2.4.76 appears to imply a cosmetic building damage threshold of 5mm/s without reference to a source. This does not appear to have been referred to previously and no justification or reference is provided for its use. The report goes on to state (para. 2.4.78) that typical construction and demolition working routines are unlikely to generate levels of vibration at local receptors above which cosmetic damage would be expected to be sustained. Assuming this threshold is 5mm/s (which equates to an impact of medium magnitude) it is unclear how the impact can then be judged to be very low.	89361-1099-4395	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	It is assumed that the reference to a 6m distance between the nearest noise sensitive receptors and sources of vibration (para. 2.4.79) is a typographical error, since construction noise calculations have not been carried out for distance less than 35m. Vibration from a vibratory roller or piling at a distance of 6m would be likely to generate levels of vibration significantly higher than would be assessed as Minor Adverse significance.	89361-1099-5001	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The conclusion is that the overall impact will be Minor Adverse. The report does not make it clear how this conclusion is reached and may underestimate the impacts of some construction activities.	89361-1099-5445	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	For the assessment of operational noise on nearby receptors, paragraph 2.4.93 states that installed M&E plant is predicted to be no more than 5dB above the existing background noise level. However, no predictions have been carried out. There is no information on the design of the plant and therefore the impacts cannot be stated with certainty. However, on the basis that the required measures are incorporated into the design of the building, it is agreed that the impact is of minor adverse significance	89361-1099-5645	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Paragraph 2.4.94 states that a specific noise limit of 43dB(Aeq,T) for the sum of all M&E plant is proposed. In accordance with BS4142, this should be a rating noise limit of 43dB(Aeq,T) rather than a specific noise limit.	89361-1099-6156	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	No assessment has been carried out of noise from the restaurant, bar or sports activities. Depending on the site layout, some of these have the potential to generate noise levels that may cause a significant impact.	89361-1099-6381	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	It is noted that no background noise measurements have been carried out during the night at Fairfax Road and therefore it is not known whether the proposed limit of 43dB(Aeq,T) is sufficiently low at this location.	89361-1099-6600	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Paragraph 2.4.117 states that many of the operations involved in the reinstatement works would be similar in nature to the proposed construction operations. Therefore a significance of Moderate Adverse has been applied to noise impacts and Minor Adverse applied to vibration impacts. Since both of these may have been underestimated in the construction assessment, it is possible that they may also have been underestimated in the removal section.	89361-1099-7359		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	no assessment has been carried out of noise from the restaurant, bar or sports activities. As these have the potential to generate noise levels this needs to form part of the assessment.	89361-1099-9929	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	All residual operational impacts are deemed to be minor adverse. However, no assessment of activity noise from the restaurant, bar or sports facilities has been carried out and therefore these impacts could be greater.	89361-1099-11398	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	there is no evidence to underpin the assessment that noise from cars and shuttle buses using the site will be of Minor Adverse significance.	89361-1099-11631	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Residual impacts due to vibration from some construction equipment, particularly vibratory compaction, close to the receptors may have been understated.	89425-1099-3257		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	- At the accommodation sites in Bridgwater (A & C) residual noise impacts from cars and shuttle buses using the site, particularly in the early and late hours of the day, may have been underestimated as no evidence is provided to underpin the assessment.	89430-1099-4363	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	- At Bridgwater C the residual impacts from construction noise at Fairfax Road may have been underestimated because the level of attenuation expected from the site hoardings has not been quantified, it is felt the implied benefit may not be realistic (i.e. for the impact at Fairfax Road during earthworks and site preparation to be reduced from major adverse (80dBLAeq,12hr has been predicted) to minor adverse, the noise level would need to decrease by at least 15dB, it is considered it would be very difficult to achieve this level of attenuation from a site hoarding).	89430-1099-10296	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Residual noise impacts from cars and shuttle buses using the site, particularly in the early and late hours of the day, may also have been underestimated as no evidence is provided to underpin the assessment.	89425-1128-3045	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	- Noise modelling needs to be re-run for both Accommodation Campuses, particularly BRI-A, based on the correct proposed form, scale, layout and design of buildings due to the differences between layout as shown in the Masterplans - Accommodation Campuses document and the Environmental Appraisal: Volume 3, Chapter 2, Section 2.4, which may well cause different noise impacts.	89199-1098-2067	/			Comments were received from the Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council joint Response on the Stage 2 Consultation, in which noise modelling was requested to be re-run using the correct layout due to differences between layouts shown in the Masterplans - Accommodation Campuses documents and Environmental Appraisal. The general approach and methodology was identified as being acceptable with further comments identifying that only daytime working periods had been assessed and that no assessment of existing noise sources on the accommodation campus had been carried out.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Noise monitoring has been undertaken at a number of representative locations around the site. The methodology for the baseline monitoring appears acceptable, however there are a few anomalies in how this methodology has been applied	89361-1098-47			/	Following Stage 2 consultation, the masterplan for the proposed development was revised. The noise and vibration assessment detailed in Volume 4, Chapter 9 of the Environmental Statement has used the masterplan layout for the Development Consent Order application described in the accompanying Bridgwater C Design and Access Statement.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The general approach to assess and quantify significance from noise and vibration is acceptable, however there are some potential issues in how this approach has been applied.	89361-1098-776			/	Construction noise impacts have been assessed against the construction noise thresholds given in British Standard construction noise guidance (BS5228-1:2009). The assessment has been undertaken for day-time periods only as no construction activities are proposed during evening and night-time periods, Saturday afternoons or on Sundays and Bank Holidays.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	For construction noise, significance criteria are presented based on a 12 hour daytime working period. No significance criteria are presented for evening or night time working and therefore significance cannot be determined during these periods.	89361-1098-955		/		Due to the temporary nature of the accommodation campus, a noise assessment is not required to determine the suitability of the site for development for private residential use, in accordance with Planning Policy Guidance PPG24. An assessment of noise impacts from the operation of the proposed development on existing sensitive receptors has been carried out.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	No assessment has been made of noise from the existing sources (such as the railway) on the accommodation campus.	89361-1098-1458		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The proposed site has planning consent for a mixed use development (including residential) but noise impacts depend on distance from the noise source, layout of site etc. No reference is made to either an assessment of noise from existing sources on the accommodation or to a comparison against previously consented schemes in terms of layout, distance from noise sources etc.	89361-1098-1572		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	A 2.4m site hoarding is proposed for the construction works. The report does not quantify the level of attenuation likely to be provided by the hoarding to enable the significance of the construction noise effects to be determined.	89361-1101-7831	/			<p>Prior to the start of any construction works on the proposed Bridgwater C development, an Environmental Management and Monitoring Plan (EMMP) will be put in place. The EMMP will include site specific measures contained in Volume 4, Chapter 9 of the Environmental Statement for noise and vibration along with general control measures which define Best Practicable Means.</p> <p>Measures will include (among other things) locating loading/ unloading activities away from residential properties as far as practicable, minimising requirements for vehicles reversing on site and using electrical rather than diesel plant where practicable. A similar EMMP will also be put in place prior to works associated with the post-operation phase commencing on the proposed Bridgwater C development.</p>
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The report states that site specific mitigation measures for construction noise and vibration may be agreed in advance with the local authority and emphasises the importance of community relations and the effective use of an Environmental Mitigation and Monitoring Plan. These measures, and any exceptions to the local authority construction policies, must be agreed in advance with the Local Authority (for example through an agreement in accordance with Section 61 of the Control of Pollution Act 1974).	89361-1101-8066			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The report also gives restricted construction working hours to help mitigate the impact of construction noise. However, since the significance criteria are based on daytime working (and therefore impacts have only been assessed for daytime working) it is not clear how the imposition of these restrictions will mitigate the impact.	89361-1101-8575	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	the assessment may underestimate the impact of vibration from some construction activities and no specific mitigation is proposed.	89361-1101-9017		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	For operational activities, a number of best practice management tools are identified to minimise the potential for noise nuisance. These include education of resident contract staff to reduce loud radios, revving of engines, use of horns, etc. These measures appear to be difficult to manage but the document does suggest the use of a formal complaints procedure through the Site Manager. This information must be included in the Environmental Mitigation and Monitoring Plan.	89361-1101-9151		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	For the impact at Fairfax Road during earthworks and site preparation to be reduced from major adverse (80dBLAeq12hr has been predicted) to Minor Adverse, the noise level would need to decrease by at least 15dB. The level of attenuation expected has not been detailed but it is considered difficult to	89361-1101-10319	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2						

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset Council Joint Council Response	interest in land (Sedgemoor)		achieve this level of attenuation from a site hoarding. Therefore, the basis to the determination of significance is not clear.					
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Mitigation measures also include the control of working hours. Since the assessment was effectively undertaken with these measures in place, the residual impact cannot be reduced from the initial pre-mitigation impact through these measures.	89361-1101-10752		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	it is considered that the residual construction noise impacts have been underestimated.	89361-1101-11007		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	For construction vibration, no specific mitigation measures have been proposed and therefore the residual impacts for both are determined to be minor adverse. The residual impact may be higher if vibration from certain construction activities (such as vibratory compaction) is taken into account	89361-1101-11098		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	At BRI-C, the residual impacts from construction noise at Fairfax Road may have been underestimated because the level of attenuation expected from the site hoardings has not been quantified and the implied benefit may not be realistic.	89425-1101-2809	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Residual noise impacts from cars and shuttle buses using the site, particularly in the early and late hours of the day, may also have been underestimated as no evidence is provided to underpin the assessment.	89425-1101-3045		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	A monitoring programme should be undertaken to understand the effectiveness of the management tools during the operational phase of the development.	89361-1102-12118		/		<p>A comment from the Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Response stated that a monitoring programme should be undertaken to understand the effectiveness of the management tools during the operational phase of the development. The potential noise and vibration impacts resulting from the construction and operation of the proposed Bridgwater C development will be controlled through an Environmental Management and Monitoring Plan (EMMP). EDF Energy will ensure the appointed contractor(s) have in place appropriate environmental management procedures for the construction and operation of the proposed development.</p> <p>The EMMP will include site-specific measures contained in Volume 4, Chapter 9 of the Environmental Statement for noise and vibration along with general control measures which define Best Practicable Means.</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	no reference is made to magnitudes of vibration given in BS5228-2, particularly for piling.	89361-1103-3974	/			<p>Bridgwater C – Noise and Vibration – Supporting Technical Documentation</p> <p>Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council’s Joint Response commented that no reference was made to magnitudes of vibration given in BS5228-2 as well as requesting further evidence to back up the assessment that noise from vehicles using BRI-C will be on Minor Adverse significance at Fairfax Road. Throughout the pre-application process, the level of detail available on which to base the noise and vibration assessment has increased. The assessment reported on in Volume 4, Chapter 9 of the Environmental Statement submitted with the Development Consent Order application is therefore more robust and comprehensive than the assessment undertaken and reported on in the Environmental Appraisal at Stage 2 consultation. All aspects of the proposed development were defined in sufficient detail and included in the assessment of noise and vibration impact. These aspects included the required construction techniques, hours of operation, the predicted flows and movements of vehicles both on and off the Bridgwater C site, and any fixed mechanical service plant to be used on the site.</p>
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	there is no evidence to back up the assessment that noise from vehicles (including the shuttle bus service) using BRI-C will be on Minor Adverse significance at Fairfax Road.	89361-1103-7181	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - Local Authority, Statutory Consultee and Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 1	1.44. BRI-C Search Area (Figure 4.16) - There are various issues associated with the existing access; this will need to be modelled and a redesign proposed. This would potentially affect Crandon Bridge and Cross Rifles junctions.	88010-1077-3848		/		<p>Comments were received from Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council in response to the Stage 2 and Stage 2 Update consultations requesting further information and engagement in relation to the design of the proposals for the site. Comments were also received from landowners with an interest in the site seeking further engagement on the proposals.</p> <p>EDF Energy began informal engagement with key statutory consultees, landowners, other interested parties and the local community at the beginning of 2008, supported by formal consultation since 2009. The consultation process has provided EDF Energy with valuable feedback on its proposals, highlighted key issues and options to be considered and has helped refine its proposals, including those in connection with the Bridgwater C accommodation campus.</p> <p>The Stage 1 consultation was launched on EDF Energy's 'Initial Proposals and Options' between November 2009 and January 2010. At that stage the proposals were necessarily broad in order to provide consultees with an opportunity to influence the proposals.</p> <p>In accordance with government guidance, the Stage 1 consultation document was sent to relevant landowners. During this period a number of parties with an interest in land contacted EDF Energy to discuss the proposals; and a number of informal meetings were held.</p> <p>The Stage 2 consultation took place between July 2010 and October 2010 and gave statutory consultees, other relevant stakeholders, the local community and the general public the opportunity to comment on the more specific and detailed proposals. At that stage the Bridgwater C site was identified as one of the two preferred locations for an accommodation campus in Bridgwater.</p> <p>EDF Energy produced a suite of consultation documents as part of the Stage 2 consultation and these documents were sent directly to all statutory consultees and other relevant stakeholders. Additionally, information was also made available through newsletters, the dedicated EDF Energy HPC website, media and advertising and meetings with the local community and stakeholders, including a public exchange held at Bridgwater North in July 2010 and a stakeholder workshop in Bridgwater in September 2010.</p>
Tractivity 701	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Presumably Bridgwater College stand to gain in the future?	9461-1077-5888			/	
Tractivity 812	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? we would be interested in operating the facilities	9570-1077-4836		/		
Tractivity 824	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Same problem. As above.	9582-1077-5293		/		
Tractivity 839	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Where are the rugby club planning to relocate? Is this being suggested merely to suit Bridgwater College?!!	9597-1077-5926		/		
Tractivity 844	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? I think that this is a matter for the rugger club and college to make a comment on as well as local residents.	9602-1077-6342		/		
Tractivity 908	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? As for 9i	9666-1077-4974		/		
Tractivity 1136	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Providing the club agrees to the scale of the plan.	9894-1077-5113		/		
Tractivity 1171	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Ditto - as above	9929-1077-4528		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 245	Public	Stage 1	<p>12. Do you have any other comments about EDF Energy's initial proposals for the development of a new nuclear power station at Hinkley Point?</p> <p>Hinkley Point C Pre-Application Consultation Stage 1</p> <p>Reference the above proposals I wish to register my extreme dismay and concern. I appreciate that EDF is a commercial concern whose prime motivation is profit, and this is understandable. However, I believe that, in this case, consideration of cost reduction has been totally one sided in favour of EDF. They have chosen the cheapest option with no thought or concern shown towards the cost inflicted on the residents of Cannington, Comwich and Williton villages or the town of Bridgwater. I believe the impact, particularly on the villages, will be devastating. I believe the cost to human suffering and disruption to be far in excess of the cost of routing access across Dunball Wharf and providing accommodation, storage, parking etc. etc. on the Hinkley site itself.</p>	9341-1077-4805		/		<p>Details of the proposed development were further refined in response to the feedback received on the Stage 2 consultation and EDF Energy decided to consult on these changes in the Stage 2 Update consultation to give consultees an opportunity to make their views known.</p> <p>The amendments made to the Bridgwater C proposal at the Stage 2 Update consultation were in response to the comments EDF Energy received during the Stage 2 consultation including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the removal of ancillary facilities to maximise operational efficiency and minimise development areas through the sharing of facilities with those on the Bridgwater A accommodation campus;
British Telecommunications (BT)	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	<p>Openreach apparatus will be affected within your areas of interest. Openreach records indicate that a substantial amount of our apparatus exists near to the areas of your proposed works, which will need to be diverted.</p> <p>Please note that no site survey's have yet been carried out at this stage and will be chargeable, and therefore can you please contact us directly so that we can provide you with the necessary estimate of costs to provide survey's and any subsequent alteration/diversion. Plans of at least 1:500 will be required.</p>	10200-1077-180			/	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the creation of a new access road off College Way; the inclusion of the existing bus stop to the north-west of the site, just off the A39 (Bath Road), to enable workers to be picked up to go to the HPC development site; and alteration to the site boundary to reflect the changes to the proposal. <p>Several consultees requested information regarding the post-operational use of the site in connection with Bridgwater College. EDF Energy has been committed to working with Bridgwater College and has designed the Bridgwater C accommodation campus so that it can subsequently be used as student accommodation or another educational use, subject to a separate planning permission being granted. Full details are provided in the post-operational strategy appended to the Planning Statement.</p>
Landowner - Bridgwater Town Football Club	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	<p>Hinkley C Stage 2 Consultation on Preferred Proposals Bridgwater Town (1984) Football Club and Bridgwater C Accommodation Campus</p> <p>This representation is on behalf of BT (1984) FC and relates solely to interest in the Fairfax Park football ground and access road identified within the associated development Bridgwater C accommodation.</p> <p>This follows my meeting on site with (Personal information removed) when we were able to discuss the proposals as published in the round. This has been recorded in (personal details removed) e-mail of 01 October 2010.</p>	10247-1077-0		/		<p>Consultees also raised concern that specific information or documents were missing from the consultation documents, including environmental information and cumulative assessments. As part of the Stage 2 consultation, EDF Energy published an Environmental Appraisal which provided information to enable consultees to give an informed response to the environmental effects of the HPC Project from information compiled by EDF Energy at that stage. For a full assessment of the cumulative impacts of the Bridgwater developments, please refer to Volume 11 of the Environmental Statement.</p>
Landowner - Bridgwater Town Football Club	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	<p>Clearly the football club relies heavily upon various sources of revenue income and capital and will therefore be prepared to enter into a commercial negotiation should these Stage 2 proposals go forward as shown in the documentation. The Football Club have a long-term lease with security but the view expressed above recognizes that nothing is out of the question and everything is open to offer and negotiation.</p>	10247-1077-1477			/	<p>Several consultees raised concerns regarding the potential relocation of the Bridgwater and Albion Rugby Football Club as a result of the proposed</p>
Landowner - Bridgwater Town Football Club	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	<p>I trust this puts the Club's position in perspective.</p>	10247-1077-1892		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset Wildlife Trust	Non-Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	b) Bridgwater and Albion Rugby Club site Insufficient ecological information has been provided against which to appraise these plans, and so we must object.	10263-1077-17286		/		development. It is important to note that the Club and their first team pitch would remain, as it is only proposed to locate the accommodation campus on the Clubs' second team training pitch. However, following discussions and agreement, EDF Energy is supporting Bridgwater and Albion Rugby Football Club with its search for replacement facilities in Bridgwater, to ensure that there would be no net loss of facilities as a result of the proposed development.
Sedgemoor District Council, West Somerset Council and Somerset County Council Joint Councils Response	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee (Somerset) and consultee with an interest in land (Somerset and Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	we wish to draw your attention to a number of documents which we believe are missing from the Stage 2 consultation. Specifically, the documents that are missing are: Thematic Vision Next Steps Document Freight Management Strategy Updated Saturn Forecasting Report Supporting Traffic Flow data Paramics Forecasting Report Local Model Validation Report (Saturn and Paramics) Draft Transport Assessment Legacy plans for both the proposed M5 Park & Ride sites Visitor Management Strategy Site Waste Management Plan Integrated Waste Strategy Construction Management Plan Environmental Management and Monitoring Plan Detailed 1:500 drawings of Masterplans Overarching Accommodation Strategy including location of temporary accommodation, permanent and affordable housing, housing sector mitigation and details of management systems to be employed Community Safety and Wellbeing Plan Procurement Strategy and Contract Implementation Strategy Operations Workforce Development Strategy Lighting Strategy Delivery Plan for the Low Carbon Business Cluster Fire and Rescue Resourcing Strategy Ambulance Resourcing Strategy Security Management Strategy Incident Management Plan Archaeology - Written Scheme of Investigation, Amec 2009 'Cultural Heritage Desk-Based Assessment, Hinkley Point Hinkley Point Foreshore Survey, Gloucester CC Archaeology Service Intertidal and offshore Archaeology at Hinkley Point Cannington Bypass - Geophysical Survey Junction 24 P and R - Geophysical Survey Junction 23 P and R - Geophysical Survey Williton - Geophysical Survey Combwich - Geophysical Survey	10275-1077-836			/	At Stage 2, EDF Energy presented the 'Preferred Proposals' and at this stage provided an environmental appraisal which drew upon the design and environmental baseline and assessment work undertaken. Some of this information forms the basis for the Environmental Statement which is submitted with this application for Development Consent Order. EDF Energy consider that an appropriate level of information has been provided for consultees to determine the key impacts of the HPC Project for the purposes of their consultation responses. A detailed summary of the final design of the proposed Bridgwater C accommodation campus can be found within the Bridgwater C Accommodation Campus Design and Assess Statement. One consultee urged EDF Energy to work closely with Somerset County Council to maximise opportunities to improve pedestrian and cycle facilities. Walking and cycling forms an important element of the transport strategy for construction workers and, as part of the pre-application consultation, EDF Energy, in conjunction with Somerset County Council (Highways Authority), undertook an audit of relevant cycling and walking routes and improved facilities have been developed. Further details are provided within the Transport Assessment. Finally, one consultee queried the planning requirements and obligations proposed to address the impacts of the HPC Project. EDF Energy consulted on a suggested approach to the principal requirements and obligations that may be relevant to HPC as part of its Stage 2 consultation and suggested further changes as part of its Stage 2 Update consultation. Since that time, EDF Energy has continued to develop its proposals for development consent obligations. A systematic approach has been taken to address all potential impacts of the development, to avoid and reduce them where possible and to mitigate them where significant residual impacts remain. Please refer to the draft Section 106 Agreement appended to the Planning Statement for details.

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
			<p>Integrated Land Management Plan</p> <p>Site Drainage Management Scheme</p> <p>Soil Management Plan</p> <p>Ecology Surveys Findings</p> <p>BEEMS 2010. Impact of new nuclear build at Hinkley Point on intertidal food availability for birds.</p> <p>BEEMS 2009. The combined effects of Hinkley B + C and refuelling scenarios.</p> <p>BEEMS 2010. Coralline alga thermal sensitivity report.</p> <p>BEEMS 2010 Hinkley Jetty Scour Assessment</p> <p>Amec 2010 Environmental Impact Assessment. Technical Note Radiological (CIDEN-002). Issue 04 - Preliminary. March 2010</p> <p>Details of the Contractor's Charitable Trust</p>					
Quantock Hills AONB Service	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	- The AONB Service is very concerned that it has not been consulted in respect of LVIA for any of the ADS. This is inadequate given the proximity and visibility of locations from the AONB (including at night).	89122-1077-1798			/	
Landowner - Bridgwater Gateway Limited (Miller Turner Investment Management Ltd)	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	- In the absence of information in relation to the design of the buildings and how they will respond to the context of the site it is difficult to provide comments which will inform the consultation process.	89433-1077-5145			/	
Stop Hinkley	Non-Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	3) Lack of engagement with the population of Bridgwater, with virtually no public meetings.	89452-1077-2974		/		
Hallam Land Management	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	it is imperative that the proposals for Hinkley Point and in particular the proposals for an accommodation campus at Bridgwater Innovia (Bridgwater-A) and at the Rugby Ground (Bridgwater-C), and for a Park and Ride close to Junction 23 take full account of the development proposals now underway at North East Bridgwater.	89454-1077-1966		/		
Hallam Land Management	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	There is no systematic assessment of the cumulative impact of the Bridgwater-A and Bridgwater-C and park and ride proposals, alongside the North East Bridgwater proposals, to make sure that the North East Bridgwater proposals in their entirety are not compromised or negatively impacted upon in any way.	89454-1077-2994	/			
Hallam Land	Consultee with an	Stage 2	The Hinkley Point proposals will require a comprehensive package of planning requirements and obligations to address the impact of the Hinkley	89456-1077-	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Management	Interest in Land		Point proposals (including the off site developments). That package of measures must ensure that the impacts of EDFs proposals are fully mitigated and fully compensated. It is not acceptable for the development of the accommodation campus at Bridgwater-A or Bridgwater-C or the park and ride facilities to proceed on the basis of the investment made through section 106 contributions made by Hallam Land Management and other sources to secure the North East Bridgwater development.	3410				
NHS Somerset Primary Care Trust	Non-Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	The NHS is keen to encourage active travel, and would expect the developer to work closely with the County Council to maximise opportunities to improve pedestrian and cycle facilities to enable workers to commute, for work and leisure, between their accommodation, park and ride sites and work sites including the main campus.	89460-1077-7545	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Authorities position May 2010 Advance proposals in the context of the college proposals for development Update August 2010 How the proposals relate to the College's plans and reference to discussions and agreement with the College is absent from the documentation.	89328-1077-10032		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Have detailed discussions taken place with the college to discuss legacy uses?	89360-1077-1014		/		
Hallam Land Management	Consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	2) The documentation must be developed to demonstrate that the capacity of North East Bridgwater's infrastructure is safeguarded on and off site	89772-1077-6389			/	
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	We do not consider that the material presented in this consultation addresses all of the Councils' previous comments and we are frustrated by the lack of detailed direct engagement with local planning authorities on associated development proposals.	89873-1077-980	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	Given the reduced resolution of masterplan images it is not entirely possible to be certain about the detail of changes between the Stage 2 proposals and what is presented now.	89887-1077-8529	/			
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	The District Council, as planning authority, would wish to be further consulted on the detailed plans for this site, with a view to refining the design, and to ensure full support can be given.	89887-1077-13611	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 1220	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Destruction of the last green patch between Sydenham and town centre. Shameful. Why these built to BREEM standard.	9978-1078-6083			/	During the consultation exercise, EDF Energy has received a number of consultation responses relating to sustainability. This has included comments in relation to the sustainability measures proposed at specific sites, however comments regarding the sustainability of these sites in general were also received. This section provides a response to the site specific consultation comments received for Bridgwater C (BRI-C). Many of these points are explained more broadly within the consultation responses relating to the 'Sustainability Strategy' and 'Sustainability Evaluation'.
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Associated development, particularly accommodation provision built on sustainable principles, acting as a show case for sustainable construction, including use of low carbon energy sources. Link to sustainable construction knowledge and expertise at Somerset College to stimulate knowledge transfer and best practice. Provides a show piece for image of the county and of EDF as a business	89215-1078-3136			/	For BRI-C, comments were received which relate to specifically to sustainable design and construction, as well as broader comments relating to the principle of sustainable communities. Accommodation campuses are being taken forward under the Building Research Establishment Environmental Assessment Method (BREEAM) multi-residential criteria, which is an appropriate standard to apply to this type of development. This has been agreed via a scoping exercise with the Building Research Establishment (BRE). The choice to adopt BREEAM as a building sustainability standard was taken early in the design process. The level to which BREEAM is to be achieved is dependent on the nature of the developments legacy; permanent buildings will achieve higher levels of BREEAM than temporary buildings. It is for this reason that BRI-C will achieve BREEAM 'Excellent'.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The ability for campus-based accommodation and the segregation associated with it, to contribute to a sustainable community is questioned. Recognising the commitment to well serviced campus accommodation, ambiguity remains associated with the accessibility to leisure and health services provided by campus accommodation,	89412-1078-4273	/			The ability for campus-based accommodation to contribute to a sustainable community was questioned during Stage 2 consultation. The community impacts were highlighted in the SoCC. There are also a number of leisure services, which will be accessible to the public. This commitment was considered vague at Stage 2, however the proposals have developed and firm commitments are made in the Development Consent Order (DCO) application; the sports facilities shared by Bridgwater A (BRI-A) and BRI-C will be accessible to the public.
Tractivity 1011	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Your intention to remove the practise rugby pitch a vital green space for the community, and replace it with accomodation is undesirable. Why is it that here, where you only intend to build a fraction of the number of homes you have planned for the old innovia site, you intend to build to BREEMs excellent standard? Could it be that you are very cosy in bed with Bridgwater College? Is it a coincidence that this is also the site where there are plans to train the next generation of nuclear scientists? Do not the future residents of the old Innovia site deserve to live in homes that are built to the excellent BREEM standard? When you consider the future use of all of the accommodations it would make more sense to build the Innovia Site to excellent standards and the college campus accomodation to the satisfactory standard given that the future use of this accomodationn would be for students and not permanent dwelling for people?	9769-401-9154			/	It was noted that EDF Energy should utilise the sustainable construction knowledge and expertise at Somerset College. EDF Energy is investing in local colleges, including £1.6 million in West Somerset Community College on a range of measures, including re-aligning some of the College's facilities at Minehead so that they are better able to offer training to match the needs of the project. In addition EDF Energy is taking forward a programme of activity within schools to raise the aspirations (vocational and academic) of school pupils in Somerset to develop a large pool of school leavers who are able to pursue a career via our apprenticeship or graduate schemes. This is supported with the commitment to source approximately 200 of the 300 trained technicians needed for the operation of Hinkley Point C through EDF Energy's four-year apprenticeship programme.

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - Local Authority, Statutory Consultee and Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 1	In planning terms, the sites should ideally be considered through the development plan process and be included as appropriate sites for development. EDF need to fully consider how the development of these sites will be brought forward effectively and how it links to the planning process.	87920-1075-4929	/			Comments from Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council identified a number of different policies and documents to be considered as part of the development of the HPC Project. The Planning Statement details how the Hinkley Point C (HPC) Project, to which the Bridgwater C accommodation campus (the proposed development) forms part, has been informed by and responds to planning policy and other relevant guidance.
Sedgemoor District Council & West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - Local Authority and Consultee with an Interest in Land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 1	Proposals to provide residential development in Bridgwater benefit from strong policy support. Core Strategy Preferred Option SS1 states that Bridgwater will be the focus for the District's housing and employment growth that will contribute to the delivery of the transformational town wide regeneration strategy. Preferred Option BW1 confirms the status of the 'Bridgwater Vision' as a material planning document.	88420-1075-2967		/		The Overarching National Policy Statement (NPS) for Energy (NPS EN-1), when combined with the NPS for Nuclear Power Generation (NPS EN-6), provides the primary basis for decisions by the Infrastructure Planning Commission (IPC) on applications for nuclear power generation developments that fall within the scope of the NPSs. Notwithstanding this, the IPC may consider other matters that are both important and relevant to its decision-making. This could include Planning Policy Statements (PPSs), Planning Policy Guidance Notes (PPGs), regional and local policy documents. However, if there is a conflict between these and the NPS, the NPS prevails for the purposes of IPC decision making.
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	- Development of the sites proposed would seem to be compliant with existing policy emphasis on use of brownfield land before greenfield;	89203-1075-8434			/	Further, the Planning Act 2008 provides that the IPC must, in making its decision on an application, have regard to any Local Impact Report (LIR) prepared by relevant local authorities. It is anticipated that the LIRs will rely, in part, on PPSs, PPGs, regional and local policy to provide a context for their assessment. On this basis, regard has been given to these documents (where relevant to the technical assessment) since they are likely to inform the LIRs prepared by the relevant consultees.
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	- The policy framework would seem to provide a suitable framework for the development of these accommodation sites for EDF's purposes.	89203-1075-8575			/	As explained in the Planning Statement , Sedgemoor Council's emerging Core Strategy was submitted to the Secretary of State on 1 March 2011. Preferred Option SS1 has become Vision 1 (A Spatial Vision for Sedgemoor) and states: <i>"To create the most sustainable form of growth for Sedgemoor, Bridgwater will be the focus for the District's housing and employment growth. As the principal town in the District it will accommodate the majority of new development within its urban area through the provision of a strategic urban extension, brownfield sites and at other well related Greenfield locations."</i>
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	- An assessment of how the proposals accord with the Bridgwater Vision should be provided.	89203-1075-10056		/		The proposed Bridgwater C development is located within Bridgwater and therefore reflects the aims of

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The masterplan for NE Bridgwater and the Chilton Trinity and East Bridgwater secondary school projects are identified in the assessment of 'local cumulative effects'. However, this information has not been used to inform consideration of the sports and leisure needs of the construction workforce, or the implications of the Hinkley Point C project on the current level of provision in Bridgwater.	89365-1075-9306		/		Vision 1 of the Core Strategy. Somerset County Council has stated that the proposed development is compliant with existing policy emphasis on the use of a brownfield land. In their joint response to the Stage 2 and Stage 2 Update consultations, Sedgemoor District Council (SDC) and West Somerset Council (WSC) expressed concern that the proposed development would result in the loss of the Bridgwater and Albion Rugby Football Club's second team training pitch. EDF Energy is supporting Bridgwater and Albion Rugby Football Club with its search for replacement facilities in Bridgwater, to ensure that there would be no net loss of facilities as a result of the proposed development.
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	Sedgemoor District Council policies for protecting amenity and recreational space (H2) and community facilities (PC S7) apply to any proposed development on this site and must be a consideration for EDFE in developing their proposals. Any development on this site must be accompanied by proposals to replace lost recreation facilities. Any development on this site should also take account of Bridgwater Vision and the North East Bridgwater design principles, in particular where this development is to be considered as a part of the wider campus site.	89887-1075-9394	/			SDC and WSC also raised concerns that the North East Bridgwater development, Chilton Trinity and East Bridgwater secondary school projects have not been used to inform consideration of the sports and leisure needs of the construction workforce, or the implications of the HPC Project on the current level of provision in Bridgwater. However, EDF Energy's socio-economic assessment, (see the Environmental Statement, volume 2, chapter 9) provides a comprehensive audit of facilities and reference to the Building Schools for the Future (BSF) programme, which includes sports facilities. EDF Energy has also met with leisure providers in the area to discuss potential impacts and any mitigation. Public access would also be permitted to the football pitches at the accommodation campuses during occupation by EDF Energy.

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - Local Authority, Statutory Consultee and Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 1	In planning terms, the sites should ideally be considered through the development plan process and be included as appropriate sites for development. EDF need to fully consider how the development of these sites will be brought forward effectively and how it links to the planning process.	87920-1076-4929		/		<p>A number of responses to the Stage 2 consultation supported the principle of providing temporary accommodation at Bridgwater C. Others felt that the site was not suitable because of its location, and raised concerns about the access arrangements and road safety. Throughout the pre-application consultation phase for the Hinkley Point C (HPC) Project the design and scope of the Bridgwater C accommodation campus has been refined, albeit the principle of the proposed development has remained the same. EDF Energy intends to locate a 150-bed accommodation campus to provide living and sleeping accommodation and a 5-a-side football pitch. Other amenities would be provided at the Bridgwater A site. The proposed development would house non-home-based workers of all grades during the construction phase of the HPC Project. In developing its proposals, EDF Energy has had regard to consultation feedback.</p> <p>The key reasons for choosing this site are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the site is previously developed land within the Bridgwater settlement boundary; - the site is of a sufficient size to accommodate the size of accommodation required and level of ancillary development required; - locating workers within Bridgwater would allow access to services and facilities, particularly encouraging movement by sustainable modes of transport; and - the site's proximity to Bridgwater College means that the proposed development could be transferred to a third party for use as student accommodation or other educational use once the accommodation campus is no longer required by EDF Energy. <p>Generally consultees supported the location and overall principle of the development. However, a proportion of respondents disagreed to the principle of development in this location. As explained in the Accommodation Strategy, EDF Energy considers that there is a clear requirement to provide additional accommodation during the construction phase to accommodate non-home-based workers. This would not only mitigate against the risks of negative local impacts, but would also deliver significant operational benefits for EDF Energy in terms of management of the workforce to meet the programme. The proposed development would assist EDF Energy in</p>
Tractivity 714	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Good financial business for a cash strapped Rugby Club. Shame that another sporting facility is lost to community	9472-1076-5563			/	
Tractivity 716	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Should be for visiting professionals/consultants etc.	9474-1076-4838		/		
Tractivity 784	Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments? definitely NO to all of the above. bridgwater is to far from the hinkley site think of the carbon footprint save a lot of money by not building the power station	9542-1076-1732		/		
Tractivity 830	Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments? The plans are partially satisfactory only:- 1) Campus within close proximity to Hinkley C is an excellent, totally logical and cost efficient proposition. Good reason for a new road to connect with the M5 at Dunball. 2) Bridgwater A - Former Innovia site is an acceptable if not ideal concept from the point of view of traffic on the A39 (street to Bridgwater Road). Damage to roads bridges and utilities to be considered. 3) Bridgwater C - Very concerned indeed about hte traffic complications. Sources: College, Rugby club, Innovia, freight from the M5 and traffic generated the length of the A39. Damage to roads, bridges and utilities to be carefully considered. Financial liabilities. It may be questionable as to whether this site is suitable for its intended use (e.g. Methane, flooding in winter) and what can be done to enable post site use in 5 years time on completion of the contrat work.	9588-1076-2829		/		
Tractivity 891	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Good idea to use Bridgwater college	9649-1076-4177			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 898	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Very good	9656-1076-4979			/	accommodating the peak workforce during the construction phase, as an essential component of the HPC Project.
Tractivity 908	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? As for 9i	9666-1076-4974			/	One consultee raised concerns regarding the possibility of flooding at the site. The Bridgwater C Flood Risk Assessment demonstrates that the site would remain dry under most flood risk scenarios. It is however acknowledged that during an extreme event the surrounding area could be flooded and therefore mitigation measures, including the setting of minimum finished floor levels and the use of sustainable drainage systems (SuDS) have been incorporated into the proposed development.
Tractivity 912	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Very good	9670-1076-4059			/	
Tractivity 940	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? As above	9698-1076-5538		/		A small number of respondents requested that all campus accommodation in Bridgwater to be located on the Bridgwater A site, with the accommodation left in situ to support residential use. The Bridgwater campuses are not suitable for conversion into permanent residential development because the needs of temporary construction workers are very different from the needs of families living in housing as their main residence on a long-term basis. However, they would be suitable for students, whose requirements for accommodation are in many ways similar to those of construction workers, in terms of living together in hotel-style rooms with shared amenities. For this reason, it is proposed that the development would be retained for use in connection with Bridgwater College. EDF Energy has worked closely with Bridgwater College on the design of the proposed development to better understand the post-operational requirements and help to maximise the opportunities to leave a lasting benefit for the College and Bridgwater. Please refer to the Post-Operational Strategy for further details.
Tractivity 980	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? A smaller number of 150 is still too many new people to place in one area especially when match days see a congestion of traffic in that area.	9738-1076-6938		/		
Tractivity 993	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Again a brown field site in a town	9751-1076-4992			/	
Tractivity 1002	Public	Stage 2	9a. Any other ideas or comments? Bridgwater has the infrastructure to cope with the additional populous unlike the Hinkley Point hostel proposal. 9b. Bridgwater and Albion Rugby Football Club site, College Way (site BRI-C) for up to 150 places? Box ticked: Satisfactory 9b. Any other ideas or comments? as above..	9760-1076-4546		/		Finally, many consultees queried whether the local road network would be able to support the extra traffic associated with the proposed development. As explained within the Transport Assessment , all work

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 1011	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Your intention to remove the practise rugby pitch a vital green space for the community, and replace it with accomodation is undesirable. Why is it that here, where you only intend to build a fraction of the number of homes you have planned for the old innovia site, you intend to build to BREEMs excellent standard? Could it be that you are very cosy in bed with Bridgwater College? Is it a coincidence that this is also the site where there are plans to train the next generation of nuclear scientists? Do not the future residents of the old Innovia site deserve to live in homes that are built to the excellent BREEM standard? When you consider the future use of all of the accommodations it would make more sense to build the Innovia Site to excellent standards and the college campus accomodation to the satisfactory standard given that the future use of this accomodationn would be for students and not permanent dwelling for people?	9769-1076-9154		/		related travel to and from the HPC development site would be undertaken by direct bus thereby minimising any impact on the highway network. The proposed Bridgwater A and C accommodation campuses would provide many of the facilities that workers may require outside of their working hours, such as a canteen, laundrette, gym, lounge bar, sports pitches, internet access and shop facilities. This therefore reduces the need for non-work trips off-site. An assessment has been undertaken for off-site non-work trips in the local area and this has shown that the level of car trips associated with these movements would be low. The analysis also takes account of existing travel patterns for non-work trips in the Bridgwater and wider Somerset areas. The Transport Assessment identifies the proposed development would add just 10 additional car trips per hour on average along the A39 (Bath Road) which is negligible compared to existing traffic flows.
Tractivity 1031	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Bridgwater will be able to absorb the increased numbers.	9789-1076-5372			/	For those travelling to Bridgwater A and C from elsewhere in the UK, trips are only likely occur once a fortnight at most. In terms of impact upon the A39 (Bath Road), none of the trips to and from elsewhere in the UK to the campus sites are expected to happen during peak hours and as such the impact upon the A39 (Bath Road) is likely to be negligible. A campus travel plan would be implemented setting out a series of measures aimed at further reducing travel to campus sites by car and to encourage travel by more sustainable modes such as long distance coach and rail services, which will further reduce any impact upon the A39 (Bath Road).
Tractivity 1059	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Mainly as above.	9817-1076-5160			/	The proposed development is an important element of the HPC Project accommodation strategy and the Bridgwater C campus would be the first of the accommodation campuses to be occupied. The proposed development would also provide a lasting benefit for Bridgwater College; and Bridgwater and Albion Rugby Football Club through the re-
Tractivity 1087	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Pointless - see above	9845-1076-4965		/		
Tractivity 1091	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? I believe this is a suitable amount of workers gathered in one place. A bus service regularly serves the college so the safety of stopping etc is established. It is also allowing a purposeful legacy, to offer student accommodation for Bridgwater College in the fullness of time. It will be to a higher build standard and thus offer some enhancement to the area.	9849-1076-9972			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 1105	Public	Stage 2	Your intention to remove the practise rugby pitch a vital green space for the community, and replace it with accomodation is undesirable. Why is it that here, where you only intend to build a fraction of the number of homes you have planned for the old innovia site, you intend to build to BREEMs excellent standard? Could it be that you are very cosy in bed with Bridgwater College? Is it a coincidence that this is also the site where there are plans to train the next generation of nuclear scientists? Do not the future residents of the old Innovia site deserve to live in homes that are built to the excellent BREEM standard? When you consider the future use of all of the accomodations it would make more sense to build the Innovia Site to excellent standards and the college campus accomodation to the satisfactory standard given that the future use of this accomodationn would be for students and not permanent dwelling for peopl	9863-1076-9146		/		provision of facilities elsewhere in Bridgwater.
Tractivity 1108	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Smaller therefore more bearable for the local population, re integration.	9866-1076-5005			/	
Tractivity 1136	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Providing the club agrees to the scale of the plan.	9894-1076-5113			/	
Tractivity 1172	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? as above.	9930-1076-4961		/		
Tractivity 1182	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? NO accomodation should be built on this site so near to a college, a high potential for distraction. This site is also a traffic problem area. Access to A39 IS dangerous.	9940-1076-5492		/		
Tractivity 1190	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? I cannot see any advantage to thsi site. Innovia covers a huge area, put them all there.	9948-1076-5876		/		
Tractivity 1196	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? See comment 9i	9954-1076-7008		/		
Tractivity 1210	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Not necessary	9968-1076-4382		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 245	Public	Stage 1	1. Any other ideas or comments? I am totally opposed to the proposals put forward affecting Cannington, Comwich, Williton & Bridgwater. I believe the proposals have been made as the cheapest cost to EDF without any consideration of the cost to the residents & damage done to their villages & way of life.	9341-1076-359		/		
Tractivity 245	Public	Stage 1	12. Do you have any other comments about EDF Energy's initial proposals for the development of a new nuclear power station at Hinkley Point? Hinkley Point C Pre-Application Consultation Stage 1 Reference the above proposals I wish to register my extreme dismay and concern. I appreciate that EDF is a commercial concern whose prime motivation is profit, and this is understandable. However, I believe that, in this case, consideration of cost reduction has been totally one sided in favour of EDF. They have chosen the cheapest option with no thought or concern shown towards the cost inflicted on the residents of Cannington, Comwich and Williton villages or the town of Bridgwater. I believe the impact, particularly on the villages, will be devastating. I believe the cost to human suffering and disruption to be far in excess of the cost of routing access across Dunball Wharf and providing accommodation, storage, parking etc. etc. on the Hinkley site itself.	9341-1076-4805		/		
Tractivity 432	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 1	8. What do you think of our proposals for the use of Combwich Wharf? I think that both Bridgwater sites should be used in order to capture traffic leaving the motorway at either junction rather than a choice between them. If a site was chosen either in Bridgwater or closer to Bridgwater than Cannington, such as on the straight piece of A39 as already suggested for a Park and Ride neither CAN A or CAN B would be required. I have a particular problem with the siting of this facility at CAN B due to my house (personal details removed) being at the centre of this land - see the bo outlined on the map for CAN B. Our quality of life would be disturbed from rural view to that of a transport depot with the associated noise, exhaust and lighting pollution.	9352-1076-6377		/		
Tractivity 596	Public	Stage 1	4. Any other ideas or comments? If the direct route from Dunball as suggested in comment 5 was adopted temporary accommodation could be provided along its route at sensible locations this would remove the need to impact the area around Cannington any more than was absolutely necessary. The campus accommodation at Bridgwater may cause some initial concerns but when shown the financial benefits of the as money would inevitably flow into the local economy.	9262-1076-1317		/		
Tractivity 62543	Public	Stage 2	We have no objections to the building of the power station but totally reject the proposals for Cannington and surrounding villages and Bridgwater.	10108-1076-174		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 62573	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9ii. Comment OK - although the junction from the College Road to Bath Road is very congested - dangerous for pedestrians and traffic.	10124-1076-5747			/	
Tractivity 62582	Public	Stage 2	Q9 i The Innovia site is a good site and well positioned, but a larger one to accommodate all the workers would be better. Bridgwater would benefit from this as the local people could use the new facilities that would be provided for the workers, for example a sports centre and swimming pool would be wonderful for Bridgwater. ii Same as above	10133-1076-6864		/		
Somerset Councils and SNEG	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	- There are serious objections to the associated development proposals.	10240-1076-1901			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Whilst the Bridgwater C site is significantly smaller, it is located immediately to the south of the Bridgwater A site and has limited value in terms of supporting the Councils preference to disperse development across a wider area.	89358-1076-11110			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	this site was identified by the Council as a preferred location for construction worker accommodation during the Stage 1 consultation and therefore the advancement of this site is welcomed.	89358-1076-11357			/	
Hallam Land Management	Consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	The North East Bridgwater scheme forms a central part of the development and regeneration strategy for Bridgwater as set out in the Bridgwater Vision agreed by all key stakeholders. It is of critical importance that the proposals for Hinkley Point and specifically the off site proposals for an accommodation campus on the site of the North East Bridgwater development (Bridgwater A campus), and for a Freight Logistics Facility and Park and Ride facility close to Junction 23 of the M5, do not result in any detrimental impacts upon the North East Bridgwater proposal and its early implementation.	89772-1076-3921		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	<p>As at Stage 2, the Councils support the principle of development of worker accommodation on the former Rugby Club site on the basis that a legacy use of the worker accommodation is provided for. From this perspective, as pointed out by EDFE, it will be necessary to secure a planning consent for the long term use of the site.</p> <p>The authorities would welcome submission of this application ahead of submission of the DCO application in order to ensure that what is delivered in the short term will be fit for purpose in the longer term.</p> <p>Further to this, given the possible risk that it will not be appropriate to deliver accommodation on this site due to load bearing capacity, the Councils would welcome EDFE confirmation that the student accommodation or alternative longer term legacy could be delivered on the adjoining Bridgwater A site, again subject the principle of securing planning consent for this development.</p>	89887-1076-9981		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	The Council has noted that the Bridgwater C campus is a former landfill site and that further surveys will be undertaken over the coming months to confirm whether it has the load bearing capacity to enable it to be used for construction.	89859-1073-1020			/	Comments from the local authorities were received regarding the fact that the Bridgwater C accommodation campus is located upon a historic landfill site. Desk studies and extensive site investigations have been undertaken to determine the geotechnical properties of the soils and underlying strata and to identify possible contamination contained within the areas of landfill. In order to conform with the Environment Agency's guidance and legislation the a phased site investigation has been carried out.
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	3.2. The Council has noted that the Bridgwater C campus is a former landfill site and that further surveys will be undertaken over the coming months to confirm whether it has the load bearing capacity to enable it to be used for construction.	89867-1073-1852			/	The information obtained from the site investigations has been used to develop the design of the sub-structure, foundations and contamination protection measures. Deep piles founded upon the underlying bedrock would be required to support the buildings (Please refer to the Environmental Statement, Volume 4, Chapter 2 for further details).

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 1037	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Linked with 9i it is again too great a concentration of numbers in the particular area of the town, greatly increased traffic flow in an already congested area with students attending Bridgwater College. WITHOUT 9i it would be an acceptable proposition.	9795-1069-5533			/	<p>Few specific comments were made at any stage of the consultation on design alternatives for the Bridgwater C accommodation campus. Sedgemoor District Council (SDC) and West Somerset Council (WSC) made a number of proposals including co-locating additional facilities such as an EDF Energy reception centre or centre of excellence for nuclear sector research and development at Bridgwater C. WSC and SDC also proposed that links were made with the Bridgwater A site and the Energy Skills Centre.</p> <p>EDF Energy considers that 150 would be a suitable number of workers to locate upon the Bridgwater C accommodation campus. The movement of the occupants between the Hinkley Point (HPC) development site and the proposed development would be effectively managed across the whole of the HPC Project in order for any transport impacts to be minimised. In addition, the proposed campus site would be located within easy walking and cycling distance of the town centre and would provide considerable potential for re-use of the proposed site. Further details can be found in the Transport Assessment.</p> <p>Due to the proposed development's proximity to the Bridgwater A accommodation campus, facilities would be shared, enabling a reduction in the size of site required. Therefore, use of the existing car park to the north of the existing playing pitch is not required to deliver the proposed development, other than during the construction phase. Further information on the rationale and design of the accommodation campuses is given in the Accommodation Strategy which is a supporting document to the application for development consent.</p> <p>The buildings have been designed to facilitate adaptation for future re-use (e.g. by Bridgwater College) of the development following cessation of use by EDF Energy, subject to obtaining planning consent. Refer to the Bridgwater C Design and Access Statement for further details. There is no project requirement for an associated development which provides a centre of excellence for nuclear sector research and development, and the site near Junction 23 of the M5 is a more suitable location for an induction centre for construction workers</p>
Tractivity 1194	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? As above	9952-1069-5951			/	
David Wilson Homes	Consultee with an Interest in Land at Stage 1 only	Stage 2	1.7 DWH consider that in omitting the cattle market site from Stage 2 there is a missed opportunity to bring forward regeneration of the area linked to the Hinkley proposals. 1.8 This decision should in DWH's view be re-examined as detailed below.	10273-1069-1534		/		
Tractivity 62469	Public	Stage 2	aa) Sites taken over for campuses deny Bridgwater sites that could otherwise be developed for business/residential purposes that would offer real variety and range of opportunities for both genders. As it is proposed, women will lose out in a big way for years	89470-1069-11079		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Authorities position May 2010 Make connections to Bridgwater A and the Energy Skills Centre Update August 2010 No specific references have been made.	89328-1069-10572		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Authorities position May 2010 Integrate with proposals for the adjacent Performing Arts Centre Update August 2010 No specific references have been made.	89328-1069-10734		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Authorities position May 2010 Create landmark building at northern end of the site to serve as the corporate centre for the business supply chain, including a centre of excellence for the nuclear sector Update August 2010 No proposal included.	89328-1069-11315		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Bridgwater C could host a centre of excellence for the nuclear sector in terms of commissioning and host research and development functions for the supply chain, which could be linked to the training and skills programme, and a business enterprise and innovation centre.	89360-1069-450		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Could an EDF Energy 'reception centre' be located here?	89360-1069-953		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	Further to this, the Councils would seek to ensure that EDFE's proposals for car parking are minimised as much as possible and effectively screened given their highly visible location adjacent to the Rugby Club pitch.	89887-1070-13390	/			<p>The landscape proposals set out in Volume 4 Chapter 15 of the Environmental Statement have been designed to produce the most appropriate landscape setting and screening of the proposed development, whilst respecting the ecological objectives of the area and enabling the ecological mitigation requirements of the site.</p> <p>At Stage 2 of the consultation process consultees sought to ensure that car parking within the proposed development would be minimised and effectively screened. All car parking proposed within the site is now located to the west of the site, where they will be screened from the road by the accommodation buildings and landscape proposals, and screened from the remaining rugby club stadium by screening vegetation.</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council & West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - Local Authority and Consultee with an Interest in Land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 1	- The inclusion of search area BRI-C is supported, though any building or structure should be adaptable to allow for future legacy benefits potentially in connection with the adjacent college. Search area BRI-C is currently occupied by playing fields that are well located in terms of accessibility for Bridgwater College and Bridgwater residents. If this site were to be selected by EDF Energy it will be necessary to demonstrate that replacement facilities of equal quality can be provided.	88430-1071-448	/			In general respondents supported the proposal for the retention and future use of Bridgwater C accommodation campus once the facility is no longer required by EDF Energy. Particularly at the Stage 2 consultation, respondents supported the proposal to make the proposed development available to Bridgwater College. Comments from Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council expressed concern that insufficient consideration had been given to legacy use.
Bridgwater College	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 1	The College is supportive of the proposal to locate some accommodation on the current Rugby Club land, on the assumption that this meets the needs of the Rugby Club for a re-located facility with additional playing fields. This would be subject to the following considerations: - This is an important location strategically for the College. We have already been in discussion with the Rugby Club and District Council about potential future College use of the land in the event of the Rugby Club's re-location. The location would act as the frontispiece for the College and as such its appearance and the quality of any building there would be very important. - To have legacy value for the College the scale of the development would need not to exceed about 120 ensuite accommodation, with appropriate landscaping and parking.	8774-1071-8694		/		EDF Energy has designed the buildings to be flexible and to enable them to be modified internally in order to secure a lasting benefit. Modular buildings are proposed, which only require relatively modest internal remodelling to facilitate future re-use and adaptability. Further information on EDF Energy's approach to post-operational uses and the mechanisms for achieving this are detailed in the Post-Operational Strategy , appended to the Planning Statement . Whilst EDF Energy's overriding objective is to deliver accommodation temporarily for non home-based construction workers in an efficient and effective manner, EDF Energy has also sought to identify ways to meet broader aspirations for legacy development outside of the application. As part of the Stage 2 Update consultation, EDF Energy explained that it would provide additional support for housing in the local area by establishing a Housing Fund. This Housing Fund would provide financial support to a range of initiatives to boost the housing market, for example by improving the existing housing stock, bringing vacant properties back into use and facilitating the delivery of new housing, including affordable housing Some consultees requested EDF Energy provides more information on the commercial arrangements for the post-operational phase use. Commercial discussions have taken place and EDF Energy has secured sufficient interest in the land to be able to implement and control any post-operational use. However due to a number of uncertainties, including the proposed timeframe of approximately 10 years before the proposed development would be available for the post-operational phase, EDF Energy is not in a position at this stage to enter into any commercial arrangement.
Bridgwater College	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 1	- The College and other parts of the community make significant use of the Rugby Club house, although these facilities now require considerable refurbishment. As part of this development the College would like to propose the development of a conference facility, which could complement the auditorium plans for the theatre on the opposite side of the road. The facility could provide conference space for approximately 100 participants in refurbished facilities with some seminar spaces in addition. Depending on the budget available, it would also be possible to develop Catering facilities which could be managed by the College in part as a College training facility.	8774-1071-9527		/		Several consultees raised concern regarding the loss of Bridgwater and Albion Rugby Club's second team training pitch. Please See the Bridgwater C - Planning Assessment – Policy topic response for further for detail on this subject
Tractivity 742	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Should be temporary at this location	9500-1071-4516		/		
Tractivity 763	Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments? What long-term legacy benefit will Bridgwater get? How will you support local health, police and education facilities? VERY VAGUE STATEMENTS! This is a huge amount of people to swamp an area with. Local accommodation to rent is already hard to find. What in real terms do you plan to do for our community (see questions above)? People in this area already have a shortage of facilities (i.e. no swimming pool etc) People might feel more at ease with the scheme if they felt it was give and take	9521-1071-1810	/			
Tractivity 830	Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments?	9588-1071-		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
			<p>The plans are partially satisfactory only:-</p> <p>1) Campus within close proximity to Hinkley C is an excellent, totally logical and cost efficient proposition. Good reason for a new road to connect with the M5 at Dunball.</p> <p>2) Bridgwater A - Former Innovia site is an acceptable if not ideal concept from the point of view of traffic on the A39 (street to Bridgwater Road). Damage to roads bridges and utilities to be considered.</p> <p>3) Bridgwater C - Very concerned indeed about hte traffic complications. Sources: College, Rugby club, Innovia, freight from the M5 and traffic generated the length of the A39. Damage to roads, bridges and utilities to be carefully considered. Financial liabilities. It may be questionable as to whether this site is suitable for its intended use (e.g. Methane, flooding in winter) and what can be done to enable post site use in 5 years time on completion of the contrat work.</p>	2829				
Tractivity 865	Public	Stage 2	<p>9b. Any other ideas or comments?</p> <p>Would you build permanent accomodation that could be used by Bridgwater College for student accomodation?</p>	9623-1071-4541			/	
Tractivity 913	Public	Stage 2	<p>- Bridgwater C - would these facilities be ?given? as a goodwill gesture to the ever-growing college? Junction 23/M5 - Here?s hoping the surface water drainage measures are adequate as a former houseowner of (personal details removed), we know how the surrounding areas do flood -</p>	9671-1071-4964		/		
Tractivity 927	Public	Stage 2	<p>9b. Any other ideas or comments?</p> <p>You are planning to build cheap temporary buildings that can be torn down when you no longer needed them.</p> <p>Why not buld structures which would have a life afterwards as light industrial / office / retail units which would bring jobs to the area. After all, 5000 jobs will be going from the area once the power station has been built.</p>	9685-1071-5477		/		
Tractivity 1062	Public	Stage 2	<p>9b. Any other ideas or comments?</p> <p>Perfect opportunity to build university halls that can be used by Bridgwater College.</p>	9820-1071-4951			/	
Tractivity 1091	Public	Stage 2	<p>9b. Any other ideas or comments?</p> <p>I believe this is a suitable amount of workers gathered in one place. A bus service regularly serves the college so the safety of stopping etc is established. It is also allowing a purposeful legacy, to offer student accommodation for Bridgwater College in the fullness of time. It will be to a higher build standard and thus offer some enhancement to the area.</p>	9849-1071-9972			/	
Tractivity 1107	Public	Stage 2	<p>9b. Any other ideas or comments?</p> <p>I like the legacy aspect to Bridgwater College</p>	9865-1071-			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
				5431				
Tractivity 1194	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? As above	9952-1071-5951		/		
Tractivity 1195	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? As 9 Above	9953-1071-7233		/		
Tractivity 1221	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? As above. More accommodation and permanent. Leave a legacy of cheaper homes for locals afterwards.	9979-1071-5664			/	
Tractivity 1286	Public	Stage 2 Update	Q2 Do you have any comments on our updated accommodation proposals? If you do build campus ?Bridgwater C? after use you could hand it over to Bridgwater College as student accomodation to be used or students from overseas or nationally who will be coming to Bridgwater to study at the new Energy Skills Centre. A huge asset to Bridgwater.	89552-1071-159		/		
Tractivity 322	Public	Stage 1	7. Do you think it would benefit the local community for these park and ride facilities to continue to operate once construction is complete and, if so, on which sites? Q7b & 7c more than one option ticked. Any accomodation/park and ride should be concentrated to N2W of Bridgwater I do not consider they would benefit local community when construction os over.	9010-1071-3414		/		
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Bridgwater C As a legacy development this site will rely on the Parrett Barrier for its safety over its lifetime. We would recommend you discuss this with Sedgemoor District Council the requirement to pay contributions in accordance with the Bridgwater Strategic Flood Defence Supplementary Planning Document, as the intention is to retain the existing buildings for use as student accommodation.	89089-1071-1296	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	- Lack of clarity regarding the design, appearance and longevity of BRI-C built development (Bridgwater Albion Rugby Club site accommodation campus).	89200-1071-4848	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	9. The proposed Legacy Plans for the accommodation and park & ride/freight sites should set out, prior to the DCO submission, how they will provide long term economic/tourism mitigation, compensation and legacy for Somerset communities through entrepreneurial approaches, such as joint ventures, and contribute to the low carbon Unique Selling Proposition of Somerset.	89211-1071-4136		/		
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	There is no real legacy attached to the accommodation apart from the possibility of one of the Bridgwater sites being adopted by Bridgwater College.	89218-1071-6240			/	
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Reducing the visual impact is limited to some landscaping at the site and associated sites but has given little regard to the county's green infrastructure and how any mitigations or legacies would contribute to the overall strategy for the county and its positive image and branding as a visitor destination.	89218-1071-6389		/		
Landowner - Bridgwater College	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	<p>- The current proposal for accommodation blocks on the second pitch could have potential as future accommodation for College students. However, as EDF are already aware we are concerned as to the procedure by which the College can access this legacy, given that the land is currently owned by the Rugby Club and not the College. For this to be useful to the College, it really needs to be owned by the College and flexible in design to enable adjustments to be made to meet whatever the accommodation need is at the time. This might be for student accommodation but could also potentially be for other curriculum related activity. We would be very concerned about the impact on the College's future development needs if these facilities were seen to be owned by another party for commercial use.</p> <p>- The proposal to build a gym as part of this development would be a valuable legacy if it were positioned on the site as close to the College as possible. It could then provide a much needed additional gym space for future students. Ideally it would be available during the period of construction and not just at the point of legacy.</p>	89437-1071-7383			/	
Landowner - Bridgwater	Consultee with an Interest in	Stage 2	- Similarly the proposal to develop leisure and sports facilities on the Bridgwater A development would have much greater legacy value if this were to be positioned closer to Bath Road - indeed potentially on the site of	89437-1071-		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
College	Land		the current Sports and Social facilities - and thus be accessible to future students as well as the local community	8520				
Landowner - Bridgwater College	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	- The College would be interested to explore with EDF the extent to which the Rugby site (Bridgwater C) could potentially be the site for a proposed Research and Development Centre. This would meet the objectives of both College and Somerset County Council to raise the profile of University level provision in Somerset through the development of University Centres linked to College provision. This could be incorporated either into the existing proposals for Bridgwater C or incorporated into the College's plans for the Theatre development on the opposite side of College Way. The theatre has been designed to enable a second stage development on the site, and this could be adjusted to meet the research and development needs or indeed the proposal to introduce an EDF Corporate/Training Centre, into which the theatre could be linked.	89437-1071-8860			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	No legacy strategy has yet been provided and the approach of EDF Energy is that legacy uses can be determined and agreed post submission and determination of a DCO application. The local authorities disagree with this approach and believe that agreement to potential legacy uses for the associated development sites should be the starting point for considering the design and layout of the sites.	89325-1071-2240		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Have detailed discussions taken place with the college to discuss legacy uses?	89360-1071-1014			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Further work on the layout will be required to ensure there can be a seamless transition to a legacy use	89360-1071-1964			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	The local authorities consider that the agreement to legacy plans for Bridgwater A and Bridgwater C twelve months prior to the end of operation of these facilities, as set out at paragraphs 14.7 and 14.8, is totally unacceptable. Legacy plans should inform the design and operation of the accommodation proposals for these sites and therefore should be agreed prior to the submission of a DCO application.	89421-1071-1503		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Response	(Sedgemoor)							
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	No assessment is made of the legacy use of Bridgwater C as student accommodation.	89425-1071-1867		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	There is also a lack of clarity of the legacy to be provided generally by the scheme and specifically in communities such as Bridgwater.	89430-1071-13267	/			
Bridgwater Town Council	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	The legacy of Bridgwater C for future College use is supported.	89746-1071-3447			/	
Bridgwater College	Consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	- The proposed design for the C site accommodation needs to be considered with the potential legacy value for the College. The rooms and facilities proposed for the workers may not be suitable for what our students might require in 6-7 years' time. We would therefore suggest that the design allows for inexpensive internal remodelling to allow for future flexibility of use of these buildings.	89765-1071-5227		/		
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	there remains a concern about a lack of basic self- sufficiency in the Bridgwater C accommodation units, where standard kitchen provision should be provided for individual or small groups of bedrooms. This would enable those working shift patterns to have the ability to have basic provisions with a full range of services on Bridgwater A. If no facilities were provided there would seem to be a question over the accommodations ability to fulfil its intended legacy.. The Council also supports the development of this site as the only current proposal with a permanent legacy use, although importantly, there are still no proposals for replacement of the existing rugby facilities which generates an unnecessary policy objection.	89874-1071-310		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	<p>As at Stage 2, the Councils support the principle of development of worker accommodation on the former Rugby Club site on the basis that a legacy use of the worker accommodation is provided for. From this perspective, as pointed out by EDFE, it will be necessary to secure a planning consent for the long term use of the site.</p> <p>The authorities would welcome submission of this application ahead of submission of the DCO application in order to ensure that what is delivered in the short term will be fit for purpose in the longer term.</p> <p>Further to this, given the possible risk that it will not be appropriate to deliver accommodation on this site due to load bearing capacity, the Councils would welcome EDFE confirmation that the student accommodation or alternative longer term legacy could be delivered on the adjoining Bridgwater A site, again subject the principle of securing planning consent for this development.</p>	89887-1071-9981		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Bridgwater College	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 1	The College is supportive of the proposal to locate some accommodation on the current Rugby Club land, on the assumption that this meets the needs of the Rugby Club for a re-located facility with additional playing fields. This would be subject to the following considerations: - This is an important location strategically for the College. We have already been in discussion with the Rugby Club and District Council about potential future College use of the land in the event of the Rugby Club's re-location. The location would act as the frontispiece for the College and as such its appearance and the quality of any building there would be very important. - To have legacy value for the College the scale of the development would need not to exceed about 120 ensuite accommodation, with appropriate landscaping and parking.	8774-1072-8694	/			At Stage 2 of the consultation, a number of comments were made by the local authorities and local landowners in relation to the masterplan and layout of the site in the context of existing facilities, Bridgwater College and the relationship with Bridgwater A. The Bridgwater C accommodation campus (proposed development) would be sited on the existing Bridgwater Rugby Club training pitch. The proposed development has been selected for its proximity to the proposed Bridgwater A accommodation campus in order to enable the sharing of resources and reduction of the amount of overall development across the two campus sites. In addition, the proposed development would be located within easy walking and cycling distance of the town centre. Following consultation with statutory consultees, the local community and the general public, the size of the proposals at Bridgwater C campus have been reduced and now propose to provide for four worker accommodation blocks and services compounds. No further permanent development would be provided, instead workers would share resources located at the Bridgwater A accommodation campus.
Bridgwater College	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 1	- The College would not want to lose the use of at least one of the playing fields as these are of a high quality and would allow the College to transfer some of its sports training to this location, freeing up poorer quality playing fields behind the College for development use assuming that the District Council was in agreement from a planning point of view.	8774-1072-10620			/	EDF Energy considers that 150 workers would be a suitable number of workers to locate upon the proposed development. The movement of these workers to and from the campus site would be effectively managed so that there would be minimal impacts upon the existing road networks. Workers that would be located at the proposed development would travel to work using the proposed bus transport system to be provided by EDF Energy in order to minimise car journeys.
Tractivity 709	Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments? Not two in Bridgwater See answer to Q2	9467-1072-1806		/		Following consultation with statutory consultees the local community and the general public the design of the proposed development was amended so that there would no longer be a requirement to use the College grounds for bus turning nor bus stops are now located along College Way. Instead proposed development design allow for two bus stops at the junction with College Way and the A39 Bath Road, although it is expected that most Bridgwater C workers would use the facilities at the Bridgwater A accommodation campus.
Tractivity 865	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Would you build permanent accommodation that could be used by Bridgwater College for student accommodation?	9623-1072-4541	/			Cycle parking would be provided on the proposed development to promote the use of cycles. The on-site campus would be a discrete, secure site and a safe environment for workers. Workers would
Tractivity 1037	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Linked with 9i it is again too great a concentration of numbers in the particular area of the town, greatly increased traffic flow in an already congested area with students attending Bridgwater College. WITHOUT 9i it would be an acceptable proposition.	9795-1072-5533			/	
Tractivity 1166	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Make larger to carry the overspill from Bath Road site.	9924-1072-5103		/		
Tractivity 1194	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? As above	9952-1072-5951		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 62582	Public	Stage 2	Q9 i The Innovia site is a good site and well positioned, but a larger one to accommodate all the workers would be better. Bridgwater would benefit from this as the local people could use the new facilities that would be provided for the workers, for example a sports centre and swimming pool would be wonderful for Bridgwater. ii Same as above	10133-1072-6864	/			use College Way as a pedestrian route to and from the Bridgwater A accommodation campus in order to use the amenity, welfare and recreational facilities there. EDF Energy would implement a strict code of conduct to ensure that an appropriate level of behaviour would be maintained among the workforce on all the EDF Energy campus sites.
Wessex Water	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	The redevelopment of this area of Bridgwater is recognised, and is included in our strategic planning arrangements, we will continue to work with EDF's consultants to understand the foul drainage and water supply requirements of the resultant accommodation.	10199-1072-2171			/	There would be no interaction between workers on the proposed development and the Bridgwater College during the operational use of the campus site by EDF Energy, however the development of the Bridgwater C campus would provide considerable potential for re-use on the proposed site. The buildings at the proposed development would be designed to enable possible re-use following following operational use by EDF Energy. The buildings would, for example, be capable of re-use for student accommodation or other similar uses by others, provided the necessary planning permission had been obtained.
Landowner - Bridgwater Town Football Club	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	Other concerns in relation to the 'C' proposal relates to the need for a high standard of design on the buildings, appropriate boundary treatment which respects and replicates that of the college and football club gates, the position of these gates and the length of access road the EDF proposal covers and location of any pedestrian gate(s).	10247-1072-817	/			EDF Energy believes that any connections between the College and the proposed development would be made after the cessation of operational use of the campus site by EDF Energy. The campus masterplan would be arranged to enable future links to be made outside of the campus site.
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	2.36Sustainable transport linkages (i.e. walking and cycling) between campus and P&R sites and town centre facilities appear to have been omitted. We are concerned that the design does not support the principle of sustainable communities and is likely to result in unnecessary short car trips.	89222-1072-12782	/			The proposed development would be of high quality design and arranged in line with the existing College Way that would improve the site frontage and the public realm along College Way. The scale of the design would be appropriate to the site and its context. The four campus accommodation buildings would also be designed to meet Building Research Establishment Environmental Assessment Methodology (BREEAM) 'Excellent' designation. The campus design would include suitable drainage and water supply provisions and EDF Energy would continue to work with the relevant authorities to ensure that the services would be satisfactorily implemented.
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	The County Council asked for AD masterplans to encourage sustainable communities, with well-connected links with surrounding landuses, however this has not been accommodated in the current proposals (with specific reference to Bridgwater accommodation centres and Cannington P&R);	89226-1072-6323	/			The northern end of the proposed campus site is a car park that is currently utilised by Bridgwater College and the design of the proposed development would

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	<p>2. Bridgwater Campus C.</p> <p>- Flooding. The facility is located on the Bridgwater Zone 3 & Zone 2 floodplain. In the event of a major flood incident there would be a requirement to evacuate/relocate the workers if the facility was flooded. What measures has EDF considered to improve the flood resilience of the accommodation? What arrangements will EDF make to evacuate workers and relocate them to other accommodation? Note: Bridgwater Campus A accommodation may also be affected by the same flood situation and therefore not available as temporary accommodation.</p> <p>- Other Emergencies. Detail is required to show what arrangements will be made by EDF for the temporary accommodation of displaced workers in the event of the loss of the facility.</p>	89243-1072-14689	/			<p>not include the development of this area.</p> <p>A full description and explanation of the campus siting and design can be found within the within the Bridgwater C Campus Design and Access Statement.</p>
Landowner - Bridgwater College	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	- There are potential safeguarding risks in locating workforce accommodation close to a College with a large number of young students. The proposal to establish a bus pick-up point on College Way, would mean that workforce and students could not be kept separate, which is an important objective for the College in order to meet its safeguarding responsibilities.	89437-1072-4693			/	
Landowner - Bridgwater College	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	- The proposal to turn buses in the College grounds is not viable given that the College locks its gates at 10.00 pm and at weekends.	89437-1072-5383	/			
Landowner - Bridgwater College	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	- Whatever happens at the Bridgwater C is very important to the College in terms of its frontage to the main Bath Road, which currently does not do justice to the excellent reputation of the College. We would be anxious to discuss in detail the nature and quality of the buildings and the extent to which they are sympathetic to the Theatre development on the opposite side of the road.	89437-1072-9703	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<p>Authorities position May 2010</p> <p>Explanation for how site relates to an integrates with its surroundings requested</p> <p>Update August 2010</p> <p>Information on integration has been provided as part of the Stage 2 Masterplan and the Council welcomes measures to scale the massing of the site in relation to neighbouring residential properties. However further visualisations of the scale and massing would be welcomed.</p>	89328-1072-10899	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Authorities position May 2010 North eastern block could be relocated to create a landmark building Update August 2010 This does not appear to have been considered.	89328-1072-11571		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Authorities position May 2010 Suggestion that a shared facility for Bridgwater A and C be considered Update August 2010 No proposals made.	89329-1072-1208	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The principle of development is supported, providing the AD plans are advanced within the context of a masterplan for the College grounds, including consideration of the visibility/"signposting" of the College from the A39 and improvement of the environment for walkers and cyclists. However, the 'red line' area is limited, providing no opportunities to connect and integrate to the Bridgwater A site, or indeed the proposed Energy Skills Centre. It is disappointing that the development proposals are limited in scope and lacking in vision, with no connection to adjoining sites. No proposals are contained for the 'Corporate Centre' or the gateway to the college campus, providing a high quality environment for students and corporate bodies who are part of the supply chain or energy and related sectors.	89358-1072-11621		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Therefore whilst the principle remains acceptable, there is much still to be developed to properly shape and integrate the scheme into the wider college campus and town	89358-1072-12436	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Recognition of grading building mass east to west on the site in response to the neighbouring residential properties is welcomed	89360-1072-315			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Little consideration has been given to the design of the gateway/entrance to the site. Neither has much thought been given to the potential for integration with proposals on the adjacent site for a Performing Arts Centre	89360-1072-726	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The bus terminus appears to be a large scale structure, suitable to accommodate up to 150 workers at any one time. Further information on the appearance of this building will be important given its position on College Way.	89360-1072-1098	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Key facilities including a gym and canteen are located away from the northern site entrance indicating that public access will be discouraged but could be linked to the college as part of longer term legacy. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Given the small scale of this site and the layout presented is relatively simplistic. Stepping of buildings on to College Way is welcomed, however the internal layout appears to offer limited value in terms of interest and creative use of space. Given the indication to create long term buildings on this site, further details on how the layout will create a high quality campus site should be provided. Further work on the layout will be required to ensure there can be a seamless transition to a legacy use. 	89360-1072-1342	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The scales indicated appear to reflect the wider context of the college site and it is indicated that heights respond to the neighbouring residential properties. However, should a permanent use be proposed for this site, further information on scale and massing will need to be provided to enable proper commentary to be made.	89360-1072-2090			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	References to using materials that are 'typical' for buildings of a similar typology and which relate to the site's context are insufficient to enable a view to be taken on the appropriateness of materials and detailing provided for this site. This is a particular concern where proposals may have a long term legacy for Bridgwater.	89360-1072-2934			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	For Bridgwater C it is suggested that the bus pick up point could be located in a more convenient location for bus routing, closer to the access from the A38. It will however be important to retain and improve the quality of the entrance to the college through any development proposals on this site.	89360-1072-3300	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The AD proposals for Bridgwater C will result in the loss of car parking capacity at Bridgwater Rugby Club. An assessment of the impacts of this change will be required, with appropriate replacement parking or other measures set out.	89360-1072-3606	/			
Tractivity 63027	Public	Stage 2 Update	I'm looking at the sketch map on the front page of the latest newsletter and I note something you label accommodation "campus" and park & ride. Is that what you refer to inside the newsletter as Bridgwater C? If it is, let me point out that it appears to lie within the parish of North Petherton (so why call it Bridgwater?). It looks as though it is where the present Dawes Farm is situated. Am I right? If so, can you please tell me what, precisely, is planned for that site? You talk about a 'campus' - I suspect that what you actually mean is 'hostel'. Campus is a term (American in origin) that refers to the grounds occupied by a college or university.	89701-1072-223		/		
Bridgwater College	Consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	- With regards to the proposed plans, we have, however, serious concerns with regard to the proposal not to locate any catering and sporting facilities on the C site. We consider that many workers will choose not to walk across to the A site for their meals resulting in many having microwaves, toasters etc. in their rooms. If workers do use the A site facilities this will result in considerably more foot traffic across Bath Road resulting in further traffic congestion, additional risk to pedestrians as well as increased contact with our students on the various pavements and crossings in this area. From a safeguarding point of view this is a concern to us.	89765-1072-4349		/		
Bridgwater College	Consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	There is a real opportunity to add value to facilities already existing at both the College and East Bridgwater Community School, which should be considered in determining the nature and location of these facilities.	89765-1072-5692			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	2.122 The interaction between campuses should be considered. EDF must assess whether the existing zebra crossing on the A39 is adequate to accommodate the likely levels of use or should it be upgraded to maintain a reasonable flow of traffic on the main route	89848-1072-3053		/		
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	It is the view of SDC that there are some minor improvements to the proposals, with some evidence being provided that links between accommodation at Bridgwater A and C have been considered (e.g. shared communal canteen and bus stop provision). Notwithstanding this, there remains a concern about a lack of basic self-sufficiency in the Bridgwater C accommodation units, where standard kitchen provision should be provided for individual or small groups of bedrooms. This would enable those working shift patterns to have the ability to have basic provisions with a full range of services on Bridgwater A.	89874-1072-44		/		
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	Revisions to the siting of buildings align with design principles in the Draft HPC SPD, however there is considerable further scope for the design to contribute to a legible and enhanced public realm at the A39 entrance to Bridgwater College.	89874-1072-1043		/		
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	As with Bridgwater A, without details on design solutions, it is particularly difficult for the Councils to have confidence that the design solution for the Bridgwater C site will be of an appropriate standard to compliment urban form and make a positive contribution to the aims and objective of Bridgwater Vision. Currently the Bridgwater C proposal gives little indication of how the site, if developed for worker accommodation would integrate with Bridgwater College. In line with the Councils' draft SPD, EDFE would be expected to demonstrate evidence that a high quality built frontage is created that creates a gateway to the College and make explicit how connections between the sites can be achieved to establish the area as a focus of activity.	89887-1072-10952	/			
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	- Buildings at Bridgwater C should be of a durable design, that enable conversion to accommodation and/or teaching space. - There is a concern about the lack of self-sufficiency of the Bridgwater C accommodation units, where basic kitchen provision should be provided for individual or small groups of bedrooms.	89887-1072-12170		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	<p>In keeping with the principles described in the Councils' SPD and reflecting once again on the issues set out in the Councils' Stage 2 response in October 2010, the Councils would expect EDFE to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Optimise the use of the land between College Way and the A39 to create a high quality gateway structure on this key site; - Create a legible route from the accommodation site to the College to encourage the long term integration of the two sites; and - Set out how the site can incorporate signposting to the college through public realm and built environment solutions. 	89887-1072-12806		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 1279	Public	Stage 2 Update	Q4 Do you have any comments on our working hours proposals? I think our roads especially Bath Road will not be able to stand the extra volume of traffic with the two proposed workers accomodations.	89545-1762-715		/		During the Stage 2 consultation, Somerset County Council queried the business continuity arrangements and emergency evacuation procedure for the Bridgwater C accommodation campus and associated facilities in the event of flooding or other emergency during the operational phase.
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	45. With regard to the temporary accommodation proposed in Bridgwater, the proposals do not identify the business continuity arrangements in the event of flooding or other emergency causing a loss of temporary accommodation for workers. Moreover, there are no proposals identified to mitigate the effects of such an emergency, which would lead to the loss of use of the accommodation. Similarly, business continuity arrangements are not specified for other off-site developments.	89193-1762-4006	/			It is however acknowledged that under certain scenarios, local flooding may occur and evacuation of the site may be required to ensure an additional burden is not placed upon the police and emergency services. EDF Energy has created a flood emergency plan for the proposed development for such events. Please refer to the Bridgwater C Flood Risk Assessment for full details. In addition to the flood emergency plan, EDF Energy has taken steps to improve the flood resilience of the proposed development by ensuring that the finished floor levels are set at a minimum of 7.5m AOD or at least 150mm above existing ground levels, to allow for overland flow under extreme conditions. In order to help improve the flood defences for the wider area, EDF Energy will contribute to the delivery of the Parrett Flood Defence barrier. Please refer to the draft Section 106 Agreement appended to the Planning Statement for further details of this proposal. Further details of the operational requirements and processes for the proposed development are set out within Volume 4, Chapter 4 of the Environmental Statement .
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	2. Bridgwater Campus C. Flooding: The facility is located on the Bridgwater Zone 3 & Zone 2 floodplain. In the event of a major flood incident there would be a requirement to evacuate/relocate the workers if the facility was flooded. What measures has EDF considered to improve the flood resilience of the accommodation? What arrangements will EDF make to evacuate workers and relocate them to other accommodation? Note: Bridgwater Campus A accommodation may also be affected by the same flood situation and therefore not available as temporary accommodation. Other Emergencies: Detail is required to show what arrangements will be made by EDF for the temporary accommodation of displaced workers in the event of the loss of the facility	89243-1762-14689	/			In response to the Stage 2 Update consultation, Bridgwater College raised concerns over the loss of amenity facilities at the proposed development site and the reliance on the Bridgwater A accommodation campus for these. In direct response to this comment, some small provision for tea/coffee making has been allowed for in the entrances to each accommodation building.
Bridgwater College	Consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	With regards to the proposed plans, we have, however, serious concerns with regard to the proposal not to locate any catering and sporting facilities on the C site. We consider that many workers will choose not to walk across to the A site for their meals resulting in many having microwaves, toasters etc. in their rooms. If workers do use the A site facilities this will result in considerably more foot traffic across Bath Road resulting in further traffic congestion, additional risk to pedestrians as well as increased contact with our students on the various pavements and crossings in this area. From a safeguarding point of view this is a concern to us.	89765-1762-4349		/		Finally, a number of consultees queried whether the local road network would be able to support the extra traffic associated with the proposed development. As explained within the Transport Assessment , all work related travel to and from the HPC development site would be undertaken by direct bus thereby minimising any impact on the highway network. The proposed Bridgwater A and C accommodation campuses would provide many of the facilities that workers may require outside of their working hours, such as the canteen, laundrette, gym, lounge bar, sports pitches, internet access and shop facilities. This reduces the need for non-work trips off-site. An assessment has been undertaken for off-site non work trips in the local area and this has shown that the level of car trips associated with these movements would be low. The analysis takes account of existing travel patterns for non-work trips in the Bridgwater and wider Somerset areas.
Bridgwater College	Consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	We have concerns that it is proposed to have some night shifts that start between 8.30pm to 10.00pm and finishing between 6.00am to 8.00am. The concern lies with the potential 8.00am finish on site that would entail buses returning into the Bridgwater A and C sites at around the same times as a majority of our students and buses would be entering the College site.	89765-1762-7575		/		For those travelling to Bridgwater A and C from elsewhere in the UK, trips are only likely occur once a fortnight at most. Further, only a proportion of workers would travel by car on these journeys and it is expected that many would use long distance coach services or rail services. In terms of impact upon the A39 (Bath Road), none of the trips to and from elsewhere in the UK to the accommodation campus sites are expected to happen during peak hours and as such the impact upon the A39 (Bath Road) is likely to be negligible.

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 696	Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments? I agree with the plan to use the innovia site on Bath Rd; for accommodation. but if traffic problems are to avoided access/exit too/from M5 should be provided at the existing Bath Rd;/M5 flyover.. Providing accommodation for 150 persons at the Bridgwater Rugby Club is inadequate and wrongly located.. Traffic problems coming from/too the college adjacent already exist..I am in favour of park-a-ride facilities but fear for the traffic problems which already exist at J24 and J23 of the M5	9456-1074-1552		/		A variety of comments were received during the Stage 1 and 2 consultations regarding the general siting of the Bridgwater C accommodation campus (the proposed development). A number of consultees supported locating the siting of an accommodation campus on the Bridgwater and Albion Rugby Football Club's second team training pitch; however others disagreed with the siting entirely. Some consultees also raised concerns specifically regarding its siting on a former landfill site and its proximity to Bridgwater College.
Tractivity 779	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Traffic is already bad in this area already with the college. I believe this area to be unsuitable.	9537-1074-4035		/		At the Stage 1 consultation, land around Bridgwater was considered an appropriate location for accommodation to house up to 500 construction workers in one or more campuses. Land around Bridgwater was considered an appropriate location for campus accommodation given its close proximity to the strategic and local highway network to facilitate the movement of workers to and from the Hinkley Point C (HPC) development site. Bridgwater is considered to be a key town within the South West, capable of accommodating significant levels of growth in both housing and employment as part of regeneration proposals.
Tractivity 799	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Much less people which is good but still could be put on site.	9557-1074-6448		/		At that stage, EDF Energy identified four search areas to accommodate (in whole or part) these non-home-based workers in accommodation campuses, including the land referred to by EDF Energy as the 'BRI-C search area' (of which the site forms part). The search areas were identified on the basis of their availability and suitability, having regard to the aspirations of Sedgemoor District Council (SDC) and other stakeholders.
Tractivity 803	Public	Stage 2	2. Any other ideas or comments? We in Bridgwater will have the problem	9561-1074-393			/	Land on the Bridgwater and Albion Rugby Football Club's second team practice pitch (the BRI-C site) was identified as one of two preferred sites for an accommodation campus in Bridgwater, and design proposals were progressed and consulted on at EDF Energy's Stage 2 consultation.
Tractivity 830	Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments? The plans are partially satisfactory only:- 1) Campus within close proximity to Hinkley C is an excellent, totally logical and cost efficient proposition. Good reason for a new road to connect with the M5 at Dunball. 2) Bridgwater A - Former Innovia site is an acceptable if not ideal concept from the point of view of traffic on the A39 (street to Bridgwater Road). Damage to roads bridges and utilities to be considered. 3) Bridgwater C - Very concerned indeed about hte traffic complications. Sources: College, Rugby club, Innovia, freight from the M5 and traffic generated the length of the A39. Damage to roads, bridges and utilities to be carefully considered. Financial liabilities. It may be questionable as to whether this site is suitable for its intended use (e.g. Methane, flooding in winter) and what can be done to enable post site use in 5 years time on completion of the contrat work.	9588-1074-2829		/		The size and layout of a master plan was consulted on, having regard to matters including: access arrangements, operational requirements, amount of land available, environmental considerations and design restrictions.
Tractivity 830	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? 1) The land in question resembles a bog at some points of the year and is located on a former waste landfill site. Subject to the methane and flood risks, the suggested use of this land is a little surprising as it is very wet and muddy in winter.	9588-1074-8530		/		The size and location of the proposed development remained unchanged at the Stage 2 Update consultation. However, the form of the proposed

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 866	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? The situation of the campus is wrong	9624-1074-4450		/		developments altered as some of the recreational and amenity facilities were removed in order to facilitate sharing of those facilities provided on the Bridgwater A accommodation campus, including the bus stop, canteen and sports facilities.
Tractivity 881	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Wrong place for accommodation of this type.	9639-1074-5154		/		Comments received principally raised concerns about the potential for anti-social behaviour of occupants of the proposed development and the potential impact on Bridgwater College. To address these concerns EDF Energy developed a Worker Code of Conduct. It has been written to communicate the behaviour expected of workers and outline the means by which the Code will be communicated to all occupants of the proposed accommodation campuses, outline the role of employers, outline the monitoring mechanism and inform the community of the standard of behaviour they should expect.
Tractivity 913	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? A good place to site workers on site.	9671-1074-6247		/		It is also proposed that a Community Liaison Officer would be appointed whose responsibility (amongst other things) would be to monitor comments and complaints from the local community and take necessary action.
Tractivity 919	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? A good location plenty of infrastructure	9677-1074-4269		/		Consultees also raised concerns regarding the possibility of land contamination at the site and therefore the suitability of the site for accommodation. EDF Energy has undertaken a thorough assessment of the ground and water contamination levels of all off-site associated development sites as part of the environmental impact assessment. With specific regard to the proposed development, the environmental baseline information has shown that the site was previously utilised for clay extraction and the resulting voids were subsequently infilled with waste. As part of the Environmental Statement, EDF Energy monitored the presence of methane and concluded that whilst hazardous ground gases are present, they are not sufficient to render the site unsuitable for the proposed development provided appropriate gas protection measures are incorporated into the design, construction and operation of the buildings. Further information on the monitoring and mitigation of ground contamination is detailed in Volume 4, Chapter 12 of the Environmental Statement.
Tractivity 937	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? This site is totally unacceptable, our village and hamlets will be at the mercy of crowds of men who, understandably, want a ?good-time? - we fear burglaries, fights. racing motors through the lanes, our young women will not be safe. Too many men in a small area is a recipe for trouble. We will be the ?Wild West? again.	9695-1074-6726		/		Consultees also raised concern that the site could be at risk of flooding. EDF Energy has undertaken a
Tractivity 979	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Why is this different to above - it is virtually adjacent to the Innovia site?	9737-1074-5738			/	
Tractivity 1069	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Too close to Bridgwater college.	9827-1074-5174		/		
Tractivity 1087	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Pointless - see above	9845-1074-4965		/		
Tractivity 1119	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Bridgwater a better idea than Williton, as more facilities	9877-1074-4491			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 1146	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? The lack of a local workforce, as evidenced by the need for the associated development sites, is indicative of the fact that this is the wrong location for this development.	9904-1074-5817		/		<p>Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) for each of the off-site associated development sites proposed in support of the HPC Project. The Bridgwater C Flood Risk Assessment considers potential flood risks, using current best practice methodologies. It concludes that the probability of flooding arising from these sources is low. An assessment of the residual risk also identified that the site is unlikely to be flooded in the event of overtopping or breaching of the River Parrett's flood defences. Therefore, as explained in the Bridgwater C Flood Risk Assessment, the accommodation campus would be safe from flooding and would not increase flood risk elsewhere.</p> <p>Finally, many consultees queried whether the local road network would be able to support the extra traffic associated with the proposed development. As explained within the Transport Assessment, all work related travel to and from the HPC development site would be undertaken by direct bus thereby minimising any impact on the highway network. The proposed Bridgwater A and C accommodation campuses would provide many of the facilities that workers may require outside of their working hours, such as the canteen, laundrette, gym, lounge bar, sports pitches, internet access and shop facilities. This reduces the need for non-work trips off-site. An assessment has been undertaken for off-site non-work trips in the local area and this has shown that the level of car trips associated with these movements would be low.</p> <p>For those travelling to Bridgwater A and C from elsewhere in the UK, it is expected that many would use long distance coach services or rail services. In terms of impact upon the A39 (Bath Road), none of the trips to and from elsewhere in the UK to the campus sites are expected to happen during peak hours and as such the impact upon the A39 (Bath Road) is likely to be negligible.</p> <p>During the Stage 2 consultation, some stakeholders sought a larger number of more dispersed and smaller sites within Bridgwater. EDF Energy's Alternative Site Assessment document details the options considered in selecting the sites and rationale of the siting. This should be read in conjunction with the Accommodation Strategy which explains the need for consolidated campus provision rather than dispersed provision from an operational perspective.</p>
Tractivity 1148	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? See previous comment	9906-1074-6368		/		
Tractivity 1182	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? NO accomodation should be built on this site so near to a college, a high potential for distraction. This site is also a traffic problem area. Access to A39 IS dangerous.	9940-1074-5492		/		
Tractivity 1186	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? You should NOT be siting a shift based campus adjacent to a college campus. Interaction could be damaging. The college junction onto the A39 is a traffic blackspot now. Further traffic must be avoided at all costs.	9944-1074-5384		/		
Tractivity 1196	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? See comment 9i	9954-1074-7008		/		
Tractivity 315	Public	Stage 1	12. Do you have any other comments about EDF Energy's initial proposals for the development of a new nuclear power station at Hinkley Point? I feel EDF have been very heavy handed in demanding two green field sites in Williton. SUREly there are plenty of brown sites eg in the Birdgwater area.	9003-1074-4088			/	
Tractivity 358	Public	Stage 1	4. Any other ideas or comments? green sites should not be used, there are empty redundant brown sites in Bridgwater	9046-1074-929		/		
Tractivity 405	Public	Stage 1	4. Any other ideas or comments? Brown sites for accommodation/transport should be used i.e in Bridgwater.	9088-1074-971			/	
Tractivity 490	Public	Stage 1	4. Any other ideas or comments? All Park and Ride, Freight handling and worker accommodation should be sited on brownfield sites or land already earmarked for industrial development, i.e north of Bridgwater. Certainly not in a rural location such as Cannington.	9164-1074-936	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 490	Public	Stage 1	<p>9. What are your views on EDF Energy's general approach to community benefits and do you have any specific suggestions about what should be included in the package?</p> <p>Cannington is a peaceful rural community and the building work you are proposing in this area is to the detriment of the village community and, therefore, NO AMOUNT of benefits from EDF would replace this. Bridgwater is already industrialised and, therefore, it makes sense for the park and ride, freight consolidation, construction worker's accommodation, road network, etc., to be built there.</p>	9164-1074-3946	/			
Tractivity 62227	Public	Stage 1	<p>Bridgwater (map Appendix 10) (Editor's note: pdf does not include appendices)</p> <p>In light of the fact that Bridgwater to Cannington is 3.1 miles (5 minutes) we wish to ask why you do not plan more development on brown field sites in Bridgwater - the old British Cellophane site is in urgent need of regeneration and is close to the centre of Bridgwater and the college. This site could provide a campus facility big enough to house all your workers and long term could then provide good facilities to the people of Bridgwater and is close enough to the college to provide benefit there as well</p>	9436-1074-8436	/			
Tractivity 62631	Public	Stage 2	<p>Campus B seems to be placed on the site of an old rubbish dump. Originally buildings for habitation were not allowed on this site because of the problem of methane gas from the old rubbish tip, hence it was used as a training rugby pitch.</p>	10175-1074-7229			/	
Nether Stowey Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	<p>2.2 It is noted that in the Stage 2 "Preferred Proposals" documents the scale and location of much of the associated (off site) developments has changed from those outlined at Stage 1. Whilst some of these changes may reflect comments made at Stage 1 it is notable that on some issues, despite local opposition, EDF Energy has not amended its position.</p>	10226-1074-6461	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<p>The authorities require an up to date and comprehensive assessment of alternative sites to justify the sites selected as presented at both Stage 1 and Stage 2.</p>	89324-1074-4313		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<p>The authorities however continue to have concerns regarding the appropriateness of the associated development proposals and whether or not what is presented does represent the optimum location.</p>	89325-1074-5299			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 889	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? What are the rugby club going to do?	9647-1186-4958		/		Consultees queried what compensatory recreation/amenity space would be provided for that lost during construction and operation of the accommodation campuses. EDF Energy has consulted with appropriate statutory consultees and other relevant stakeholders, including Somerset County Council's Rights of Way Team, and local sports and recreation clubs that may be affected by, or have an interest in, the proposed development (see Chapter 17, Volume 4 of the Environmental Statement (ES)).
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	- Further work must be undertaken to establish what compensatory recreation/amenity space would be provided for that lost during construction and operation of the Accommodation Campuses, particularly the BRI-C site. The proposals must be consulted upon from the outset of the consultation on any planning application for the redevelopment of the Albion RFC site or part thereof, or ideally as part of a further community engagement/stakeholder consultation exercise prior to the submission of such a planning application.	89199-1186-2447			/	Details of planning obligations, including contributions to mitigate impacts on sports and leisure activities are given in the appendix to the Planning Statement .
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Following consultation for the Rights of Way Improvement Plan, a local resident submitted the proposal to improve the road crossing to the north east of this site (Improvement 771).	89238-1186-12682			/	In addition, public access would be permitted to a five-a-side football pitch to on the Bridgwater C development site during the Project construction phase.

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council & West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - Local Authority and Consultee with an Interest in Land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 1	- Search area BRI-C currently comprises sports pitches used by Bridgwater Rugby Club, Bridgwater College and Bridgwater Town Football Club. Policy RLT1 states that 'development that would result in the loss of recreational open space will not be permitted unless a replacement facility of equivalent sports and/or recreation benefit is made available.	88420-1180-2287			/	The Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council's response to Stage 2 Consultation notes that, in relation to the loss of sports facilities, their local policy requires that ' <i>development that would result in the loss of recreational open space will not be permitted unless a replacement facility of equivalent sports and/or recreation benefit is made available</i> '. Chapter 17 of Volume 4 of the Environmental Statement (ES) gives details of the locations of the sports and recreation facilities within the study area (i.e. within 1km of the proposed Bridgwater C development). One existing facility is located partially within the footprint of the development; namely the Bridgwater and Albion Rugby Football Club. The proposed development would encompass the Club's training pitch. It should be noted that public access would be provided to the 5-a-side pitch created as part of the Bridgwater C development until such time as the sports facilities at Bridgwater A are constructed. At that time a full-size outdoor football pitch and two all-weather outdoor 5-a-side football pitches would be available for booking and use by the public. Planning obligations, including a contribution to sports and leisure facilities are included in the appendix to the Planning Statement . The Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council's Response expressed concern that the proposals (at that stage) would result in the obstruction of walking and cycling routes through the North East Bridgwater Development site. However, Public Rights of Way in the study area for the Bridgwater C development site are considered to be sufficiently distant and screened by built development, or by significant background noise sources (such as the A38), such that no significant impact would arise as a result of construction, operation or post-operation phase impacts (noise, air quality and visual disturbance) from the development site.
Tractivity 925	Public	Stage 2	Comments as above - What is going to happen to Bridgwater Rugby club facilities ?	9683-1180-5342			/	
Tractivity 1035	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? As above. Bridgwater does not have enough facilities and resources for its own population	9793-1180-4115			/	
Tractivity 1070	Public	Stage 2	The proposed development incorporating new social facilities would appear totally insufficient to accommodate the needs of such a large increase in population.	9828-1180-5300			/	
Tractivity 1070	Public	Stage 2	Although a smaller facility than that proposed for the BRI-A site similar problems will occur.	9828-1180-5698			/	
Tractivity 1105	Public	Stage 2	Your intention to remove the practise rugby pitch a vital green space for the community, and replace it with accommodation is undesirable. Why is it that here, where you only intend to build a fraction of the number of homes you have planned for the old Innovia site, you intend to build to BREEMs excellent standard? Could it be that you are very cosy in bed with Bridgwater College? Is it a coincidence that this is also the site where there are plans to train the next generation of nuclear scientists? Do not the future residents of the old Innovia site deserve to live in homes that are built to the excellent BREEM standard? When you consider the future use of all of the accommodations it would make more sense to build the Innovia Site to excellent standards and the college campus accommodation to the satisfactory standard given that the future use of this accommodation would be for students and not permanent dwelling for people.	9863-1180-9146			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The EnvApp states that there are no PRoW within or directly adjacent to either of the sites. On the basis that the nearest PRoW are some distance away and located within an urban setting, it is concluded that there would be no impact. It is the opinion that the proposals would, as currently proposed, result in the obstruction of walking and cycling routes through the NE Bridgwater development site, parts of which are expected to come forward for development during the timeframe that the campus would be in place. This impact is not currently assessed.	89365-1180-5845			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The assessment of impacts on leisure and recreation facilities is based on the loss of the Sports and Social Club and Rugby Football Club. The loss of these facilities is identified as a high magnitude effect on a resource of low (local) importance, leading to the identification of a Moderate Adverse impact. It is the view of the Council that the methodology should be based on a more thorough assessment of the availability and quality of similar facilities elsewhere in Bridgwater, before identifying it as being of low importance.	89365-1180-6408			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	It is concluded that, given the urban setting, there will be no impact on other leisure and recreation facilities. This is a reasonable assumption, but one that should be backed up through cross-reference to other relevant sections of the EnvApp.	89365-1180-7072			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The methodology therefore considers a range of factors, although it is considered that these are not always been consistently applied in terms of gauging the significance of impacts at different projects stages.	89365-1179-4100			/	Comments were received from Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council on the consistency of the methodology applied in terms of gauging the significance of impacts at different project stages. Further detail on the methodology used to assess the sensitivity and magnitude of potential impacts of the Bridgwater C development site on amenity and recreation is provided below.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Conclusions on the magnitude of disturbance impacts appear to result from qualitative judgements. In many cases these are considered reasonable, but it is considered that the assessments of disturbance impacts should be cross-referenced with relevant sections of EnvApp (landscape and visual, noise, air quality etc.).	89365-1179-4315			/	All of the amenity and recreation receptors (i.e. primarily people and facilities) that may be impacted by the Bridgwater C development have been assigned a level of importance in accordance with the Institute of Environmental Management and Assessment guidelines. The value or potential value of a receptor is a function of a variety of factors (e.g. community value) and can be determined within a defined geographical context. Determination of the magnitude of an impact or disturbance on an amenity or recreational resource that could be affected by the Bridgwater C development is based on the consequences of the proposed development in terms of high, medium, low and very low. Magnitude refers to the 'size' or 'amount' of an impact and is a function of other aspects such as the impact's extent, duration, likelihood and reversibility. In order to help define the level of magnitude of an impact on an amenity or recreational resource, guidance has been used and presented in Chapter 17, Volume 4, of the Environmental Statement (ES) .
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Will construction workers wish to use existing facilities and, if so, what are the potential impacts;	89365-1179-4757			/	In assessing the potential disturbance of the construction, operation and post-operation phases for the Bridgwater C development on amenity and recreation, the methodology takes into consideration the impacts of noise, air quality and visual disturbance.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	If leisure and recreation facilities are provided specifically for construction workers, how could this affect provision in the area in the long term? There is potential for positive effects to be demonstrated if facilities can be retained for legacy use by the community in an appropriate location.	89365-1179-4864			/	Any cumulative impacts of closures and diversions of Public Rights of Way associated with the Bridgwater C development site and other elements of the Hinkley Point C Project are ident
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	It is noted that a series of sports and leisure facilities are proposed as part of the Main Site, Bridgwater A and Bridgwater C campuses, but it is not clear how the type and level of provision proposed has been assessed by EDF Energy. The Councils have undertaken an independent analysis of the sports and leisure requirements of the construction workforce, which has been used to inform the Stage 2 Response. This adopted a standards based approach that relies upon the application of national planning and benchmark standards, such as those contained within the Sports England Sports Facility Calculator and FIT Benchmark Standards for open space.	89365-1179-5168			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The assessment of impacts on leisure and recreation facilities is based on the loss of the Sports and Social Club and Rugby Football Club. The loss of these facilities is identified as a high magnitude effect on a resource of low (local) importance, leading to the identification of a Moderate Adverse impact. It is the view of the Council that the methodology should be based on a more thorough assessment of the availability and quality of similar facilities elsewhere in Bridgwater, before identifying it as being of low importance.	89365-1179-6408			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The magnitude of disturbance impacts (such as visual impact, noise and air pollution), assigned by EDF Energy are based on qualitative judgements. In the majority of cases these are consistent and reasonable, although there are discrepancies between sites and across phases. Disturbance impacts relating to recreation and amenity assets should be cross-referenced with other relevant sections of the EnvApp in order to demonstrate that significance ratings are reasonable.	89425-1179-14457			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<p>The EnvApp does not consider the effects on recreation assets, beneficial or adverse, of accommodating 150 construction workers on the site and 1,075 workers on the adjacent site at Bridgwater A. For instance, it is not clear how the amount of on-site sports facilities was assessed.</p> <p>There are no clear proposals for the reprovision of the Bridgwater and Albion Rigby Football Club training pitch, which would be lost if the Bridgwater C Campus was developed.</p> <p>Mitigation measures are restricted to an EMMP. There is potential for enhancements to the wider network of paths and cycle routes in Bridgwater, providing improved connectivity and environment/public realm for walkers and cyclists as mitigation and compensation.</p>	89425-1179-14933			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council & West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - Local Authority and Consultee with an Interest in Land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 1	- The inclusion of search area BRI-C is supported, though any building or structure should be adaptable to allow for future legacy benefits potentially in connection with the adjacent college. Search area BRI-C is currently occupied by playing fields that are well located in terms of accessibility for Bridgwater College and Bridgwater residents. If this site were to be selected by EDF Energy it will be necessary to demonstrate that replacement facilities of equal quality can be provided.	88430-1182-448			/	<p>A contribution to sports and leisure provisions is included in the planning obligations appended to the Planning Statement.</p> <p>In addition, public access would be provided to the 5-a-side pitch created as part of the Bridgwater C development until such time as the sports facilities at Bridgwater A are constructed, At this time, public access to the full-size outdoor football pitch and two all-weather outdoor 5-a-side football pitches being created at Bridgwater A would be available for booking and use by the public.</p> <p>No further mitigation measures in relation to amenity and recreation assets have been identified for the Bridgwater C associated development site (see Chapter 17, Volume 4 of the ES).</p>
Bridgwater College	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 1	- The College is in the process of planning a theatre construction on the opposite side of the road. This would provide a state of the art performance space for both College and community and could also offer an additional recreational/cultural facility for EDF workforce. It would be important for the developments on both sides of the road to complement each other, in order to complete the overall feel of the campus.	8774-1182-10198			/	
Tractivity 750	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Sporting facilities should be available here.	9508-1182-5808			/	
Tractivity 809	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? More information on replacing the ground required. could this be part of the new temporary site on the southern side so it can still be used by the local community as well.	9567-1182-5765	/			
Tractivity 925	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Comments as above - What is going to happen to Bridgwater Rugby club facilities ?	9683-1182-5306			/	
Tractivity 1187	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Subject to answers in 4 above	9945-1182-4764			/	
Tractivity 1194	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? As above	9952-1182-5951			/	
Tractivity 1195	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? As 9 Above	9953-1182-7233			/	
Tractivity 566	Public	Stage 1	Accommodation in Bridgwater should not be confined to one site. Legacy benefit if student accommodation residential home, hotel or affordable housing. Should be accompanied by leisure and social facilities	9235-1182-2062	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 62582	Public	Stage 2	<p>Q9 i</p> <p>The Innovia site is a good site and well positioned, but a larger one to accommodate all the workers would be better. Bridgwater would benefit from this as the local people could use the new facilities that would be provided for the workers, for example a sports centre and swimming pool would be wonderful for Bridgwater.</p> <p>ii</p> <p>Same as above</p>	10133-1182-6864			/	
Tractivity 62630	Public	Stage 2	<p>With reference to our telephone conversation last week concerning the town's hope that EDF will be willing to help with the cost of re-instating town centre swimming as part of a community fund. I wish to draw to your attention a letter, from EDF to Sedgemoor District Council dated 8th July 2010 copy enclosed (Editor's note: letter not included in pdf), which states that it would seem that leisure facilities such as a pool would be candidate scheme for investment. Although I realise that no certainty of funding was given, and that EDF are not in business to plug gaps in council deficits, they do have a duty of mitigation which they themselves have recognised.</p> <p>As you are probably aware Sedgemoor District Council have embarked on a course of action which if concluded will see a school based swimming pool built out of town and a Tesco store being built on the Northgate site. The building of yet another supermarket so close to the town centre is the last thing people in Bridgwater want. A petition against the closure of the previous pool was signed by 5,000 people and submitted to the council by the campaign group Bridgwater Forward, along with a further petition signed by 2,000 people and submitted to the council by our local MP. Since its demolition another petition of 1,000 signatures for a town centre pool was obtained within 3 hours at Wembdon fete alone, and the number of signatures is growing by the day.</p>	10174-1182-0			/	
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Loss of recreational and amenity land due to the accommodation development in Bridgwater is noted but not mitigated against. Legacy proposals from the actual development of the sites is limited.	89200-1182-2445	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	- Impact on residential amenities, particularly during Hinkley Point C construction, due to the construction and operation of the Accommodation Campuses (BRI-A & BRI-C), and the operation of the Combwich Wharf Freight Logistics Facility particularly in respect of the HGV movements to and from the site through the village.	89200-1182-5276			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	- More detail (e.g. the lack of proposals for compensating for the loss of recreational and amenity space due to the accommodation campuses at BRI-A & BRI-C during construction and operation phases) would have strengthened the consultation.	89203-1182-8713			/	
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	- There is going to be an impact on residential amenities particularly during HPC construction and the construction and operation of the Accommodation Campuses (BRI-A & BRI-C). The mitigation measures need to be clearly stated to provide a robust response.	89203-1182-9638			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Authorities position May 2010 Requested a commitment from EDF Energy to replace the recreational open space in a suitable alternative location Update August 2010 No information provided.	89329-1182-1009			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The EnvApp states that suitable provision of alternative arrangements for the loss of the Sports and Social Club and Rugby Football Club premises is considered practicable, and that proposals will come forward as part of the Development Consent Order. Sedgemoor District Council's basic planning policy position is that the loss of recreational open space will not be permitted unless a replacement facility of equivalent sports and/or recreation benefit is made available. The Council is willing to engage with EDF Energy and the two organisations affected to help facilitate an appropriate solution.	89365-1182-7616			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Similarly, proposals to provide sports and recreation facilities for construction workers are welcomed by the Council, and further engagement on the nature of facilities to be provided and their location is encouraged.	89365-1182-8221	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	EMMP measures to be sufficient to downgrade the anticipated minor adverse impact experienced by the Bridgwater and Albion Rugby Football Club, Bridgwater Football Club and Bridgwater College during the construction phase to Negligible Adverse	89365-1182-8476			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The identification of alternative replacement provision for the Sports and Social Club and Rugby Football Club remains an important area of uncertainty.	89365-1182-8777			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	It will be necessary to cross-reference with other sections of the Environmental Appraisal to ensure that, where appropriate, PRoW, sports and leisure facilities and open space are identified as sensitive receptors where monitoring is undertaken.	89365-1183-9728			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Monitoring, enforcement and governance measures will be required to ensure that replacement facilities are provided over a suitable timeframe to mitigate the loss of the Bridgwater Sports and Social Club and Bridgwater and Albion Rugby Football Club sports facilities.	89365-1183-9978			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	2.13.11 Change paragraph on Rights of Way Improvement Plan (RoWIP) as set out before.	89238-1184-12593			/	<p>The only comments received on this issue came during the Stage 2 Consultation from Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council, who commented that the assumption that there will be no impact on other leisure and recreation facilities should be backed up through cross-reference to other relevant sections.</p> <p>The Amenity and Recreation Chapter (Volume 4, Chapter 17) of the Environmental Statement (ES) cross-refers to other sections of the ES to summarise the disturbance effects on amenity and recreation facilities.</p>
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	It is concluded that, given the urban setting, there will be no impact on other leisure and recreation facilities. This is a reasonable assumption, but one that should be backed up through cross-reference to other relevant sections of the EnvApp.	89365-1184-7072	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Bridgwater Town Council	Dual - Statutory Consultee and Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 1	Clearly Bridgwater has by far the biggest population (roughly 40,000) and is crucial to linkages to Hinkley and at the heart of the major associated development and must be recognised and, indeed, rewarded accordingly. It is astonishing that Bridgwater and this town council was an omission in Para. 1.3.3 Table 1.1. Burnham-on-Sea and Highbridge Town Council may feel similarly aggrieved.	8745-1086-675			/	<p>EDF Energy's proposals for Bridgwater C have evolved considerably between the original Stage 1 options, and the proposals contained in the final submission Chapter 2 of Volume 4 of the Environmental Statement.</p> <p>Consultation responses at the Stage 1, Stage 2 and Stage 2 Update consultations refer to the need for community benefit to mitigate potential adverse impacts associated with the resident workforce on local community cohesion, crime and safety, and the capacity of local community facilities.</p> <p>An assessment has been made of the potential impacts on public services and community safety in Bridgwater, addressed in Chapter 7 of Volume 4 of the Environmental Statement, with mitigation measures recommended where appropriate.</p> <p>EDF Energy is proposing to make contributions to local emergency services and other community provision to mitigate potential impacts from an influx of workers on the wider area. A Community Fund would be established by EDF Energy which would be available to support relevant projects in Bridgwater.</p> <p>Consultees expressed concern that the proposals would mean the loss of playing pitches at Bridgwater and Albion rugby club. Following comments received at consultation, EDF Energy reviewed proposals regarding the provision of community sports facilities on-site. Sports pitches at the Bridgwater A and Bridgwater C campus would be available for public use.</p>
Bridgwater Town Council	Dual - Statutory Consultee and Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 1	Bridgwater can be separated from the smaller communities in terms of likely socio- economic impact and effects during the build period through accessibility / accommodation and facilities and should be considered as a priority for support through community benefit.	8745-1086-1068	/			
Tractivity 809	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? More information on replacing the ground required. could this be part of the new temporary site on the southern side so it can still be used by the local community as well.	9567-1086-5765	/			
Tractivity 1004	Public	Stage 2	13. Please let us have your overall views on our proposals and any other general comments in the box below In any other country this scheme would be welcome! The benefits to Bridgwater/local villages is too great.	9762-1086-5850			/	
Tractivity 191	Public	Stage 1	7. Do you think it would benefit the local community for these park and ride facilities to continue to operate once construction is complete and, if so, on which sites? Best use at Bridgwater so could be used for outages in the future and could also be used for park and rides locally to other towns.	8906-1086-4337			/	
Tractivity 451	Public	Stage 1	6. Please give comments on your preferences and any suggestions about the future use of these facilities. Bridgwater and Cannington have always accepted gladly the benefits of construction for both previous power stations. Bridgwater in particular is now in need of revitalisation and would benefit from the influx of the bulk of migrant workers. I am strongly against a campus at Williton as this is on the wrong side of the Hinkley Point road. This would only add to the problems of this narrow and at times congested stretch of the A39.	9129-1086-3165			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 451	Public	Stage 1	12. Do you have any other comments about EDF Energy's initial proposals for the development of a new nuclear power station at Hinkley Point? Bridgwater and the surrounding area must surely benefit financially from EDF's proposal as long as the opinions and wishes of the inhabitants affected are taken into account and respected.	9129-1086-6087			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Socio-economic effects are identified in relation to the Bridgwater campuses and Junction 23 developments. The assessment notes that the combined development of the Hallam Masterplan and these developments could have beneficial effects with respect to socio- economics.	89343-1086-1970			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Highways Agency	Statutory Consultee	Stage 1	<p>(Editor's note: pdf contains 2 submissions)</p> <p>(Editor's note: first submission. Text from letter received 30/12/2009)</p> <p>CONSULTATION ON INITIAL PROPOSALS AND OPTIONS - HINKLEY POINT C</p> <p>Thank you for providing the Highways Agency with the opportunity to comment on the above document. The Agency acknowledges that it is stage one of a two part consultation process with the second public consultation due to take place in March 2010.</p> <p>The Agency has been involved in pre-application discussions concerning the proposals at Hinkley Point C and the associated development since December 2008. In that time, we have informed EDF Energy of the requirement for a full and robust evidence base in order to develop a comprehensive suite of transportation documents, however, to date, insufficient information has been provided to enable the Agency to assess the potential impact on the strategic road network (SRN). The Agency requires all transport related documents produced to be in accordance with the DfT. 'Guidance on Transport Assessment and the Circular 02/2007 'Planning and the Strategic Road Network' The Travel Plan should be in line with the DfT 'Good Practice Guidelines: Delivering Travel Plans through the Planning Process'.</p> <p>In responding to the consultation document, the Agency will refer to each relevant section in turn:</p> <p>Section 1 - Introduction</p> <p>In reviewing the development objectives identified in paragraph 1.1.8, the Agency supports the objective which seeks to minimise as far as reasonably practicable any negative environmental impacts. However, given the importance of transport and the potential impact on local and strategic networks during the construction period, we would expect an objective dedicated to minimising any detrimental impact on the local and strategic road network.</p> <p>With regards to the summary of development proposals and specifically paragraph 1.1.12, the Agency would like to be updated on the Infrastructure Planning Commission's (IPC) confirmation of whether all 'Associated Development' can form part of the main application to the IPC or whether such development will need to be applied for separately under the Town & Country Planning Act 1990.</p>	88860-1080-0	/			<p>Comments were received at Stage of the consultation from the Highways Agency seeking further clarification of the transport planning objectives, and requesting further information regarding how the accommodation campus would be consented. A consultee also noted that it would be important that local views were taken into account.</p> <p>EDF Energy has continued to engage in detailed discussions with the Highways Authorities since the Stage 1 consultation, and these have informed the Transport Assessment. It has been confirmed that Bridgwater C would be included in the application for development consent to the IPC. Local views have been considered carefully through further consultation stages and in the proposed mitigations against any adversesocio-economic impacts.</p>
Tractivity 451	Public	Stage 1	<p>12. Do you have any other comments about EDF Energy's initial proposals for the development of a new nuclear power station at Hinkley Point?</p> <p>Bridgwater and the surrounding area must surely benefit financially from EDF's proposal as long as the opinions and wishes of the inhabitants affected are taken into account and respected.</p>	9129-1080-6087			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 714	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Good financial business for a cash strapped Rugby Club. Shame that another sporting facility is lost to community	9472-1082-5563	/			<p>Comments were made mainly in repose to the Stage 2 and Stage 2 Update consultations regarding the potential impact of non-home based workers in Bridgwater and the pressures this may bring to the amenities and public services in the local area. Comments from the consultation process have also shown there is a concern that there may be higher levels of community tension and potential rise in crime. Questions were also raised regarding the future of existing sports pitches at Bridgwater C.</p> <p>An assessment of socio-economic impacts is included in Chapter 7, Volume 4 of the Environmental Statement. In order to strengthen the approach to managing impacts on local communities and through consultation with local authorities and emergency service providers, a community safety management plan has been developed which details how potential impacts would be identified, monitored and where appropriate addressed. It confirms the role of the EDF Energy Community Liaison Officer (CLO) who would build community cohesion, monitor worker conduct and respond to community concerns.</p> <p>Sports pitches would be provided at both Bridgwater A and Bridgwater C for workers on campuses and these will also be available for use by local residents. Further details are provided in the Amenity and Recreation Chapter (Chapter 17, Volume 4) of the Environmental Statement.</p>
Tractivity 726	Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments? An optimistic view to take would be possible revenue for Bridgwater traders - maybe long term possibility of more shops opening in the town	9484-1082-1601			/	
Tractivity 763	Public	Stage 2	This is a huge amount of people to swamp an area with.	9521-1082-1987			/	
Tractivity 764	Public	Stage 2	11. Any other ideas or comments? The Park and Ride and Freight Logistic Facilities at J.24 (Bridgwater) will have a detrimental affect on the residents of Stockmoor Village and Wilstock Village and on local wildlife. The proposed access from Stockmoor Drive will cause traffic chaos, the Huntworth roundabout already cannot cope with the high volumes of summer traffic entering the M5 services and normal commuter traffic regularly queues significantly in the immediate area of the proposed development. Noise pollution from the site will have a detrimental affect on residents of Stockmoor Village and noise and light pollution will affect wildlife. The area is a valuable habitat for bats, birds of prey and water voles.	9522-1082-6227			/	
Tractivity 766	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Same thoughts as above.	9524-1082-4762			/	
Tractivity 830	Public	Stage 2	5. Any other ideas or comments? The period of time involved will be 5/6 years minimum. Without an independant road to connect J23/M5 at Dunball to the Cannington/Hinkley link road these plans are likely to bring misery and distress arising from additional noise and traffic to the town. It will also enhance the levels of damage to the roads, bridges, footpaths and utilities (water, sewerage , gas and electricity). Bearing in mind that a considerable amount of traffic will locate to the Bristol Road and that massive changes have taken place to the Bridgwater environment/infrastructure since the construction of Hinkley A and B. With the building of Hinkley C and subsequently D plus decommissioning both A and B living in Bridgwater appears likely to become hell on earth in reality. See alternative solution to disaster - Contact Alan Beasley on 01278652812	9588-1082-4161			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 853	Public	Stage 2	5. Any other ideas or comments? Residential areas of Bridgwater should not have to suffer the imposition of the park and ride and freight logistics facilities, in particular at J24. The land is a green field site and the additional traffic on both the A38 and on the Stockmoor/Wilstock access road would be unacceptably high. The recommendations of the 1990 Barnes report should be implemented and a bypass built from Dunball to the main site.	9611-1082-1933			/	
Tractivity 864	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? As above. the carpark is used for other things at present eg dropoff point for frozen food (Janes the Fish Ltd) Hope this wont be affected?	9622-1082-4536			/	
Tractivity 937	Public	Stage 2	9c. Any other ideas or comments? This site is totally unacceptable, our village and hamlets will be at the mercy of crowds of men who, understandably, want a ?good-time? - we fear burglaries, fights. racing motors through the lanes, our young women will not be safe. Too many men in a small area is a recipe for trouble. We will be the ?Wild West? again.	9695-1082-6726			/	
Tractivity 945	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Local residents	9703-1082-4548			/	
Tractivity 1145	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Have you done research into the social problems of Bridgwater to know that such an influx of temporary and itinerant workers would not have a devastating effect.	9903-1082-5665	/			
Tractivity 1147	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Have would this effect teams that play there?	9905-1082-4257	/			
Tractivity 596	Public	Stage 1	he Bridgwater accommodation would provide the best solution to the issue as Bridgwater would absorb the influx more easily than the surrounding villages such as Cannington and Williton but even Bridgwater would struggle with an additional 500 people without some extra leisure facilities that would assist in the integration of the construction workers as they would be living here for up to ten years and could not be left isolated for that period o	9262-1082-3251	/			
Tractivity 62508	Public	Stage 2	One hostel site is proposed for an area of social and educational deprivation,	10098-1082-5593			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	- There is potential adverse effect on economic viability and vitality of parts of the locality around the accommodation campuses due to the possible provision of services and facilities.	89203-1082-9209	/			
Hallam Land Management	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	The social and community safety impacts of the Bridgwater-A and Bridgwater-C campuses also need further consideration to ensure that there are no negative social or community impacts on the North East Bridgwater development and its attractiveness to potential or future residents.	89456-1082-1042	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Socio-economic effects are identified in relation to the Bridgwater campuses and Junction 23 developments. The assessment notes that the combined development of the Hallam Masterplan and these developments could have beneficial effects with respect to socio- economics.	89343-1082-1970			/	
Tractivity 63027	Public	Stage 2 Update	I am the neighbourhood watch (personal details removed) for (personal details removed) (the nearest bit of residential N P to Junct 24) in North Petherton and am, therefore, interested in anything that could impact upon our safety and security. I'm looking at the sketch map on the front page of the latest newsletter and I note something you label accommodation "campus" and park & ride. Is that what you refer to inside the newsletter as Bridgwater C?	89701-1082-0		/		
Wembdon Parish Council	statutory consultee	Stage 2 Update	The changes proposed between the Stage 1 and Stage 2 consultations will have a greater and even more negative effect upon the Wembdon village community. The changes proposed in this update to Stage 2 do not significantly address any of the issues raised in our earlier responses and our earlier objections still remain.	89758-1082-4318			/	
Sedgemoor District Labour Group	Non-statutory consultee	Stage 2 Update	2. Do you have any comments on our updated accommodation proposals? - The proposed campus proposals for Bridgwater cause us significant concern as we believe that they campuses will have a detrimental impact on the adjacent communities and will potentially encourage conflict between the two communities.	89779-1082-2275	/			
Sedgemoor District Labour Group	Non-statutory consultee	Stage 2 Update	- We favour an integrated approach, whereby temporary labour would be accommodated within the community	89779-1082-2584		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Labour Group	Non-statutory consultee	Stage 2 Update	- Having large numbers of mainly male younger workers who will be paid 70% over the local median wages levels has the potential to cause conflict with local communities.	89779-1082-2923	/			
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	As a final note, whilst the scale of this site is considered to be more appropriate by the Councils, given the proximity to Bridgwater A and the intention to more fully integrate the two sites, concerns set out above in relation to community cohesion and impacts apply to the Bridgwater C site.	89887-1082-12488	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council	Dual - Local Authority and Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 1	4.6 The response raises the concern that there is a significant risk that the project may not create the level of outcomes likely to make serious in-roads to achieving regeneration and place shaping objectives, particularly in Bridgwater, and in achieving LAA targets and a concern about a lack of analysis in the document on EDF's approach to "training and employment" and raising educational achievement. It is recommended that EDF seek to understand the economic impacts of the project, particularly on the local labour content of the project, through a full assessment of the likely supply chain relationships drawing where relevant from international data on the economic impact of similar projects (with similar reactor technologies).	88890-1083-24805			/	Comments were made at Stage 1 regarding the baseline assessment of the socio-economics of the. At Stage 2 one consultee asked about the cumulative effects of the Bridgwater C development with other proposed developments in the area, and another consultee asked if EDF Energy had done research into the impacts of an influx of workers. The assessment methodology is described in Volume 7 of Chapter 4 of the Environmental Statement. This included a baseline assessment using the best available data on the socio-economics of the area. Cumulative effects are considered in Volume 11 of the Environmental Statement.
Sedgemoor District Council & West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - Local Authority and Consultee with an Interest in Land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 1	- Further information is required on the demographic make up of households to be located in Bridgwater, so that health, education and other community infrastructure requirements can be assessed;	88430-1083-2568	/			Several of the consultee comments are generic across topic areas and have been addressed in the socio-economic consultation response themes.
Tractivity 1145	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Have you done research into the social problems of Bridgwater to know that such an influx of temporary and itinerant workers would not have a devastating effect.	9903-1083-5665			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Socio-economic effects are identified in relation to the Bridgwater campuses and Junction 23 developments. The assessment notes that the combined development of the Hallam Masterplan and these developments could have beneficial effects with respect to socio- economics.	89343-1083-1970			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 880	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Subject to comments at 4 above	9638-1084-4754			/	Comments were received with regard to post-operational uses of the Bridgwater C accommodation campus, and in relation to potential adverse impacts on the local community from an influx of workers.
Tractivity 927	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? You are planning to build cheap temporary buildings that can be torn down when you no longer needed them. Why not build structures which would have a life afterwards as light industrial / office / retail units which would bring jobs to the area. After all, 5000 jobs will be going from the area once the power station has been built.	9685-1084-5477	/			EDF Energy would ensure that the site is operated considerably with 24 hour security and effective management of worker behaviour. EDF Energy would also introduce a worker Code of Conduct to ensure that workers behave appropriately in the local area. The design of the Bridgwater C accommodation campus would include security measures including external lighting and CCTV. It is anticipated that the sports pitch at Bridgwater C would be publicly-accessible
Tractivity 1169	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Bridgwater college gets too much of their own way especially principle. All done with one thing in mind on their part.	9927-1084-4794			/	EDF Energy is proposing to make contributions to local emergency services and other community provision to ensure that there is no detrimental impact from workers on the wider area. The proposed Community Fund to be established by EDF Energy has been increased to £20 million following consultation at Stage 2, part of which is likely to be spent on projects in Sedgemoor, specifically in Bridgwater given the proportion of non-home based workers expected here. Details are given in the draft obligations appended to the Planning Statement .
Tractivity 1187	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Subject to answers in 4 above	9945-1084-4764			/	Following cessation of its use by EDF Energy as worker accommodation, it is envisaged that the development would be transferred to a third party for use as student accommodation or other alternative educational uses in connection with Bridgwater College. This would require a planning application to be made to the local planning authority at an appropriate time, to facilitate the continued use of the proposed development and any subsequent changes to the development. The accommodation buildings have been designed to allow flexibility in the future to support this legacy usage.
Tractivity 1194	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? As above	9952-1084-5951			/	
Tractivity 411	Public	Stage 1	9. What are your views on EDF Energy's general approach to community benefits and do you have any specific suggestions about what should be included in the package? A new swimming pool and sports centre could be provided for Bridgwater.	9093-1084-3656			/	
Tractivity 596	Public	Stage 1	6. Please give comments on your preferences and any suggestions about the future use of these facilities. The Bridgwater accommodation would provide the best solution to the issue as Bridgwater would absorb the influx more easily than the surrounding villages such as Cannington and Williton but even Bridgwater would struggle with an additional 500 people without some extra leisure facilities that would assist in the integration of the construction workers as they would be living here for up to ten years and could not be left isolated for that period of time.	9262-1084-3141			/	
Tractivity 596	Public	Stage 1	10. Do you have any comments on our proposals in relation to training and business opportunities? Bridgwater has lost a large number of jobs over the last few years so any facilities that will get back to work with real jobs and the chance of training and business opportunities would be welcome but while it would not be the responsibility of EDF what would happen to these jobs and businesses after construction.	9262-1084-5394	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Avon and Somerset Constabulary	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	The proposed accommodation is at 3 sites, one next to Hinkley and the other two within Bridgwater town. The two within the town both boarder the Sydenham estate. Consequently there will be policing concerns over the night time economy and the impact of around a 1,000, mainly males, entering into the night life of Bridgwater.	89054-1084-2091	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	- Community segregation and potential negative impact within the NE part of Bridgwater due to the accommodation campuses if not properly integrated into the community through transport corridors and provision of shared use of on-and off- site facilities.	89200-1084-4414	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	As per Bridgwater A, security arrangements are proposed for the site only and not in the context of management of the wider area. The Council will need to see a strategy for wider security and management to ensure that implications for the wider community and the town centre are effectively anticipated and mitigated.	89360-1084-4106	/			
Sedgemoor District Labour Group	Non-statutory consultee	Stage 2 Update	- We would prefer to see investment in existing facilities within the locality to sustain valued, local, services.	89779-1084-3094			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The assumption made in paragraph 2.6.6, that natural soils are unlikely to be present at the Bridgwater sites, excluding the southern third of BRI-A, appears to be accurate based on the historic and current land use and physical disturbance.	89362-1115-951			/	<p>EDF Energy has acknowledged the comment made by Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council during the Stage 2 Consultation regarding the presence of natural soils at the proposed Bridgwater C site.</p> <p>Based on historic and current land use and the degree of past physical disturbance, it is considered that natural soils are not present within the Bridgwater C site. No agricultural land classification (ALC) or soil survey is therefore required at this site.</p> <p>Further baseline information is however provided in the Soils and Land Use chapter (Chapter 11, Volume 4) of the Environmental Statement.</p>

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The assessment provides no specific discussion of with regards to cumulative impacts. Cumulative effects with regards to soils and landuse are considered exclusively within Volume 4 of the EnvApp.	89362-1118-2140	/			A single comment was made at Stage 2 of the consultation querying where cumulative impacts would be addressed. Cumulative Impacts are addressed in Volume 11 of the Environmental Statement.

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	No mitigation proposals have been discussed, as no significant impacts on soils and land use is expected for the Bridgwater sites.	89362-1119-1709		/		EDF Energy has noted the comment made by Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council during the Stage 2 Consultation. In agreement with the comment made and based on historic land use, current land use and the degree of past physical disturbance, it is considered that natural soils are not present within the Bridgwater C site. As a result no specific mitigation for agricultural land or soils is required.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	No residual effect have been considered, as no significant impacts on soils and land use is expected for the Bridgwater sites	89362-1119-1981		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Parrett Internal Drainage Board	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	Any change to the drainage network in these areas will require Land Drainage Consent from the Board. Any work or features proposed within 9m of any watercourse will require Land Drainage Consent from the Board.	10189-1142-4010			/	The baseline surface water consultation comments cover a wide range of issues around drainage, flood risk, hydrology and receptor and water quality. The following statement considers how these consultation comments have been addressed within the updated Environmental Statement (ES) Volume 4, Chapter 13 submitted with this application for development consent.
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	It is also stated that surface water will be directed to a sewer. This is considered a 'last resort' option by PPS25 which states that open watercourses must be considered (after infiltration techniques which may not be viable at this location due to underlying waste and soil conditions in this area). No evidence have been provided that open watercourses have been considered.	89083-1142-3533	/			A number of consultation comments concerned the extent and scope of the drainage assessment included in the Stage 2 documentation. To address these concerns, a detailed drainage strategy including detailed drainage calculations was developed for the Bridgwater C site. The full strategy is included within the Bridgwater C Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) . Details on the existing on-site surface water drainage regime is provided within the baseline section of Volume 4, Chapter 13 of the ES .
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	his section of the appraisal report is extensive and demonstrates that a significant amount of work has been undertaken in order to describe all the water related features. In some ways there is too much irrelevant information.	89363-1142-223			/	The drainage strategy has been designed to control discharges from the Bridgwater C site at levels consistent with current runoff rates. This has been achieved using a variety of Sustainable Drainage System (SuDS) management techniques as requested by a number of consultees.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The section should include the sub section that accounts for all data sources	89363-1142-454			/	These techniques include the use of permeable paving materials on sections of the site; the use of a controlled drainage system; and the use of an on-site attenuation tank to control discharges from the site. This final feature was adopted due to lack of on-site space for surface balancing ponds or detention basin features; and the limited infiltration potential on the Bridgwater C site.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The operation of these ponds and whether they receive existing surface water discharges from the site is not fully described. They are described as low sensitivity based on the lack of water quality data and not supplying any existing abstractions.	89363-1142-876			/	The feasibility of this solution for the proposed lifetime of the Bridgwater C site is part of on-going dialogue with Wessex Water and a maximum allowable site run-off limit (combined surface water and foul drainage) has been agreed for the site. The drainage strategy for the site has also been designed to ensure that the residual risks arising from the surface run-off are also adequately mitigated. The management plan includes the following actions to

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	It is acknowledged that they are a County Wildlife Site but their ecological function is discounted and a low sensitivity is assigned to them, except if hydrocarbon pollution is a possibility. This method of assigning sensitivity does not adopt a precautionary principle.	89363-1142-1128	/			mitigate residual risks for the site: appropriate operational plans will be put in place for managing surface run-off to ensure that nearby roads and pavements will not flood during extreme surface water events; roadside interceptors and gullies will be cleaned regularly; and
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The most likely receptor for Bridgwater C is not identified until Section 2.9.115. It is then identified as a drain approximately 20m to the north. This is assigned a very low sensitivity	89363-1142-1403	/			an appropriate maintenance plan will be established to ensure the effectiveness of the SuDS measures over the life of the Bridgwater C facility. This will be agreed with the Environment Agency and Sedgemoor District Council at detailed design phase in advance of the commencement of any works at the Bridgwater C site, and will be developed in accordance with latest national guidance on the design of SuDS systems. This overall approach has been reviewed and broadly accepted by the Environment Agency during development of the Bridgwater C FRA .
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Details of existing on site surface water drainage are not provided for either site.	89363-1142-1798	/			A number of consultation comments received during stage 2 also requested further information regarding the potential of the Bridgwater C site to be flooded. The updated version of Volume 4 Chapter 13 of the ES highlights that the site is unlikely to flood due to its elevated position and the terms used to express flood risk potential have been fully aligned with the more detailed site-specific FRA.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	surface water discharges from the site will either be infiltrated (only if appropriate or feasible) or flow to the River Parrett either directly or indirectly. Therefore the quality of the River Parrett is of key significance. This is not made clear in the text.	89363-1142-1998			/	The baseline section in Volume 4 Chapter 13 of the ES includes a detailed list of the key data sources, and references throughout the Environmental Statement are supported by corresponding reference lists. A full description of those potential receptors that may be affected by the proposed development is provided after the baseline environment has been described. The value/sensitivity of these receptors is described and justified within the 'Baseline Characteristics' section of Volume 4, Chapter 13 of the ES .
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	as part of the Water Framework Directive (WFD) River Basin Management Plan the Parrett has been assigned a grade of 'moderate potential' and has been assigned high sensitivity to arsenic and copper. It is assumed that this must be related to previous or current discharges making the river sensitive to these pollutants. However there is no context stated.	89363-1142-2480			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	In the event of the flood defences being overtopped flooding will occur to a significant depth at Bridgwater Accommodation A. However, the data presented is very confusing and it is not clear if Bridgwater Accommodation C will be inundated or not.	89363-1142-3219			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	A number of different data sources are described in terms of flood risk, breach analysis and overtopping. Although it is useful to account for different data sources that describe the same thing, it is also necessary to identify which data source is going to be taken forward into the assessment and why. However, this is not done.	89363-1142-3634			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	There is no description of the area in terms of the water resource situation of surface waters in the area.	89363-1142-4099			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Parrett Internal Drainage Board	statutory consultee	Stage 2 Update	2. Bridgwater C - Bridgwater College site located in Board area currently no detailed discussions held with Board, drainage master plan required highlighting strategy. Details of works must adhere to Board's Byelaws.	89717-1150-4066			/	Discussions were held with Parrett Internal Drainage Board (PIDB) on the Flood Risk Study submitted at the Stage 2 consultation. The relevant site-specific Flood Risk Assessments (including a detailed drainage strategy and plan) were provided to the Parrett Internal Drainage Board for comment. Comments received from the PIDB indicated that there was little connection with the surface water rhyme network drainage system under their control, as surface drainage from the existing and proposed development was discharged primarily to the combined surface water sewer. Clarification was required from PIDB on the design standards used in terms of the flood risk assessment and development of the site drainage strategy. Responses to these comments were reflected in the final versions of Volume 4 Chapter 13 of the ES and the Bridgwater C Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) .

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The significance assessment for each effect is due to the very low importance assigned to the drainage ditch located approximately 20m to the north of the site, which is assumed to be the receiving watercourse. The reasoning behind the sensitivity assigned to this drainage ditch is not evidence based and so is not robust. Therefore, the assessment is unable to withstand scrutiny.	89363-1144-6002	/			<p>One of the consultation responses received requested additional information regarding the evaluation of the value/sensitivity of each of the receptors considered in the impact assessment. These details are provided in a table in the updated Surface Water Chapter (Volume 4, Chapter 13) of the Environmental Statement (ES) submitted with this application for development consent, and are outlined for both water quality and hydrology related receptors. Details have been provided for both direct surface water and indirect population receptors which could be affected by the proposed development at Bridgwater C. As part of the consideration of baseline characteristics and receptor sensitivities the water resources function of surface waters has been taken into consideration.</p> <p>The Surface Water Chapter (Volume 4, Chapter 13) of the ES has also been updated to provide additional information regarding individual impacts, any additional mitigation actions which are not directly considered in the design of the site and remaining residual risks. This additional information was added in response to comments received consultation received during Stage 2 from Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council. The assessment has shown there are no moderate or major surface water related impacts for the proposed development at Bridgwater C. As a consequence, no specific additional mitigation is required. It should also be noted that best practice measures, good construction methodologies, pollution prevention guidance and maintenance regimes will be adopted throughout all phases of development and use of the site by EDF (and these have been taken into account within the assessment of potential impacts).</p> <p>One consultee also requested further details regarding the legacy and reinstatement risks associated with the proposed development at Bridgwater C. The current planned legacy for the Bridgwater C site (see Volume 4, Chapter 5 of the ES for further details) is that ownership of the site in its current form will transfer to another owner beyond the cessation of use of the site by EDF Energy. As a consequence, reinstatement impacts for this site have not been assessed.</p>
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The effect of surface water discharges from the site is based on no site disturbance. If this is true there will not be any change to discharges.	89363-1144-8316	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The assessment does not consider the water resources function of surface waters in any way.	89363-1144-8465			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The residual impacts of the operational and reinstatement phases are not clearly described	89363-1144-10864	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Bridgwater A and C sites are of key concern due to the proposed discharge to existing public combined sewerage systems.	89423-1144-7345			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The assessment methodology provided within Section 2.9 is incomplete as it only provides tables that describe 'sensitivity of receptor' and 'magnitude of effect'. It is assumed that the combination of sensitivity and magnitude required to inform an assessment of impact significance is informed through use of Table 5.4.4 in Volume 1 of the EnvApp, although this is not explicitly stated.	89363-1143-4232		/		A number of comments were provided in response to the Stage 2 consultation regarding the extent and scope of the methods used to develop Volume 4, Chapter 13 of the Environmental Statement (ES) . One comment concerned the lack of a full description of the sensitivity and magnitude of each receptor concerned in the assessment. This information is now included in the Surface Water chapter and common terminology is used throughout the impact assessment.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The assessment of surface water quality is based on the assumption that all discharges will be made to into sewers, including surface waters. This is against current best practice for surface water management as described in Planning Policy Statement 25 (PPS25), CIRIA guidance on sustainable drainage techniques and the Flood and Water Management Act. A discharge to a combined sewer will require a demonstration that all alternative discharge alternatives have been investigated and a severe flow rate will be imposed on the discharge.	89363-1143-6642			/	A number of comments were made regarding the appropriateness of the drainage strategy, that is described within the Bridgwater C Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) document, on directing surface water from the Bridgwater C site to the nearby combined sewer and also the need to consider fully appropriate Sustainable Drainage System (SuDS) methods within the drainage design.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The assessment of flood risk posed by surface water a discharge is based on a reduction in impermeable area at Bridgwater A and the adoption of unspecified flow reduction measures at Bridgwater C. Again this does not take account of current best practice of surface water management in relation to surface water management. For a redeveloped site, the regulatory bodies are trying to achieve discharges from all sites that mimic natural systems. Reducing the impermeable area of a developable area may improve the situation but does not remove the significant flood risk posed by poor surface water management.	89363-1143-7183			/	As outlined in the response to consultation comments received on the baseline assessment, a sustainable drainage strategy has been developed for the Bridgwater C site. The strategy has been designed to control discharges from the site at levels consistent with current run-off rates. This has been achieved using a variety of SuDS management techniques as advocated by a number of consultees. These techniques include the use of permeable paving materials on sections of the site; the use of a controlled drainage system; and the use of an on-site attenuation tank to control discharges from the site.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	A detailed study will be required to investigate infiltration potential, natural surface water discharge points. Only after these have been discounted can the combined sewer be considered. However, the rate of discharge is likely to be very strictly controlled if this is the case.	89425-1143-7618			/	This final feature was adopted due to lack of on-site space for surface balancing ponds or detention basin features, and the limited infiltration potential on the site. The full strategy is included within the Bridgwater C FRA .
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	In the case of the three campus sites and J23 Park and Ride facility, more detail is required about how surface water will be managed now that the proposals for these sites have changed.	89864-1143-1912			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Parrett Internal Drainage Board	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	These sites are outside of the Boards area. However surface water from these sites currently enters the Boards area. Should the development proceed to the next stage we would wish for suitable surface water strategies to be developed to ensure that land in and adjacent to these areas can continue to drain to a standard at least as good as that which exists currently and that no additional burden is placed upon adjacent drainage systems from increased runoff and volumes from the sites. This will require surface water run off to be managed and for drainage features to be maintainable.	10189-1146-2881			/	A significant proportion of the comments, raised during the Stage 2 consultation process with regard to mitigation for the Bridgwater C development, were concerns with the potential impacts (and need for mitigation) of uncontrolled surface water discharges from the site. A drainage strategy, detailed in the Bridgwater C Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) is an integral part of the Bridgwater C site design and has been designed to control discharges from the site at levels consistent with current runoff rates. A summary of this strategy was provided in the response to consultation comments on the baseline assessment for Volume 4, Chapter 13 of the Environmental Statement and full details are also provided in the Bridgwater C Flood Risk Assessment . The effective implementation of this strategy is the key mitigation approach for drainage and is reflected in the assessment of impacts for this site.
Landowner - Bridgwater Town Football Club	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	The football club also have a concern about the additional hard surface the development will bring in addition to the significant build and hard surface already in the area and the effects of run-off and drainage on the water table particularly in so far as this may affect the drainage of the Fairfax Park pitch.	10247-1146-1160			/	The assessment of water quality construction impacts has assumed that good construction site practice will be adopted. Due regard for the relevant Environment Agency Pollution Prevention Guidelines (PPG) will be made throughout all development phases for the Bridgwater C site. A water and sediment management plan will detail measures which will ensure the careful management and monitoring of construction practices at the Bridgwater C development site, with respect to surface water and sediment control. Measures will include the provision of facilities for the appropriate storage of oils and fuels. Such measures will ensure that discharges from the site will be managed in such a way that there will be no deleterious impact on receiving watercourses and that discharge requirements (applied as Environmental Permit or other planning conditions) are met in terms of quality and discharge rate.
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Section 6.1.1 - discusses surface water management at this site and lists the options available to this site. Option 1 is our preferred proposal due to the discharge into a surface water rhyme, increasing the opportunity for water quality improvement, infiltration and wildlife enhancement. Due to the exemplar drainage system proposed and excellent BREEAM rating, we would strongly recommend that surface water is carried to this rhyme through smaller drain/conveyance channels and attenuation is provided in overland rhynes and not underground tanks which provide little environmental benefits and are not considered sustainable. We are not satisfied with the proposal for aqua cells in this area without sufficient employment of sustainable drainage methods. Tanked areas underneath permeable paving/ surfaces to contain the water before discharge at greenfield run-off rate are not considered a sustainable form of drainage unless infiltration can be achieved. As a legacy site we would recommend a better Sustainable Drainage scheme on this site which is in line with PPS25's, which gives priority of SuDs. It needs to be clear whether infiltration techniques can be accepted at this site or not.	89083-1146-3915	/			Consultation responses from the Environment Agency during the Stage 2 consultation process advised that in order to prevent pollution of the water environment there should be no discharge of foul or contaminated drainage to groundwaters, via soakaways for example. Foul drainage from the Bridgwater C
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	The surface water management strategy requires re-evaluating to ensure a sustainable approach is carried out.	89083-1146-5131	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Comment: Table.2.9.9 shows that there will be no impact during the operational stage of BRI-C to increased flow and volume of surface water and increased flood risk. This is incorrect. The introduction of hard standing increases the flood risk from surface water. Mitigation measures are required to ensure run-off does not exceed existing greenfield run-off rates over the developments lifetime. Action: Assessments of surface water drainage needs to take increased flows into account and incorporate appropriate mitigation into proposals.	89083-1146-5344	/			proposed development would be collected and discharged to the existing combined surface water sewer. If during development, contamination not previously identified is found to be present at the site then, in order to prevent pollution to the water environment, no further development (unless otherwise agreed with the Local Planning Authority) will be carried out until EDF Energy obtained approval from the Local Planning Authority for an amendment to the remediation strategy, detailing how this unsuspected contamination will be dealt with.
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	No infiltration of surface water drainage into the ground is permitted other than with the express written consent of the Planning Authority, which may be given for those parts of the site where it has been demonstrated that there is no resultant unacceptable risk to controlled waters. The development shall be carried out in accordance with the approval details.	89091-1146-7832			/	
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	To ensure that the proposed development does not cause pollution of controlled waters. CONDITION: During construction No development approved by this permission shall be commenced until a scheme for prevention of pollution during the construction phase has been approved by the Local Planning Authority.	89091-1146-8801			/	
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	No development approved by this subsequent permissions shall be commenced until the Local Planning Authority is satisfied that adequate sewerage infrastructure will be in place to receive foul water discharges from the site.	89091-1146-9180			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The generic good practice offered to prevent pollution to existing surface water features is considered appropriate.	89363-1146-8909			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	utilising existing sewers for surface water discharges is against PPS25, CIRIA guidance on sustainable drainage techniques and the Flood and Water Management Act.	89363-1146-9127			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	No operational phase mitigation is described. Surface water management will be key and details need to be provided for this assessment to be anywhere near adequate.	89363-1146-9638	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	in the absence of detailed proposals for surface water management or the EMMP, the level of detail regarding proposed mitigation measures is generally insufficient.	89363-1146-10696			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The residual construction impacts are highly dependent on the effective implementation of the Environmental Management and Monitoring Plan. This will have to be developed to a detailed level, approved by the EA and adhered to by the contactors to be valid.	89425-1146-6504			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The mitigation strategy is largely based on discharging surface and foul water discharges to the public water combined sewerage system. This solution extends to the operational (and the removal stage for Bridgwater A) phases. This is an inappropriate mitigation strategy as it is not in accordance with current best practice or policies such as Planning Policy Statement 25, CIRIA sustainable drainage guidance or the Flood and Water Management. A contemporarily designed surface water management strategy will be key to maintaining a healthy surface water environment. In the first instance surface water flows from the site will have to be strictly controlled. The water will have to be attenuated and probably undergo some form of pre-treatment using either a proprietary system or natural vegetation and then discharged to a suitable receptor.	89425-1146-6764	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Parrett Internal Drainage Board	statutory consultee	Stage 2 Update	Clearly within the development proposals there are a number of important issues which need to be resolved before any development or works commence on site. The details will need to set out and establish an effective surface water disposal strategy on each of the separate proposals and if appropriate consent applied for and is issued by the Board before any works commences on site.	89717-1146-5685			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Environment Agency	Dual - statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	To ensure that the proposed development does not cause pollution of Controlled Waters and that development complies with approved details in the interests of protection of Controlled Waters. CONDITION: No infiltration of surface water drainage into the ground is permitted other than with the express written consent of the Planning Authority, which may be given for those parts of the site where it has been demonstrated that there is no resultant unacceptable risk to controlled waters. The development shall be carried out in accordance with the approval details.	89091-1147-7628			/	Comments were received during the Stage 2 consultation process indicating that there was no commitment to monitoring of surface waters during all development phases of the Bridgwater C site. Monitoring of the discharges made to surface waters will take place, as will monitoring of construction procedures and practices. Details regarding the surface water monitoring programmes and responsibilities will be contained within the site specific water and sediment management plan, which will form part of the Environmental Management and Monitoring Plan. These site specific plans will be developed in advance of any works commencing on the Bridgwater C site.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	No specific commitment to monitoring is provided. It is expected that this will be addressed within the EMMP.	89363-1147-11166	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset Wildlife Trust	Non-Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	Insufficient ecological information has been provided against which to appraise these plans, and so we must object.	10263-1151-16660	/			A desk study (including a request to Somerset Environmental Records Centre in 2010 for records of both legally protected and otherwise notable species) and an extended Phase 1 habitat survey were undertaken for the Bridgwater C site as the first stage in establishing a robust ecological baseline, in line with the Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management's Ecological Impact Assessment guidelines (2006). The extended Phase 1 habitat survey (which was repeated on 23 June 2010) found that the habitats on site are of limited value so that no further species-specific survey work was required. The baseline presented for this site at Stage 2 is therefore sufficiently robust to inform the design of the development proposals and to assess the impacts of the proposed development.
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	"No work appears to have been done by EDF so far on the ecological impacts of utilising the Search Areas. SCC ecological specialists are aware of problems that have arisen with developing the BRI-A site due to the presence of Great Crested Newts and other legally protected species. There seem to be less obvious ecological constraints affecting BRI-B and BRI-C, although foraging bats may use both sites. BRI-D is immediately adjoining the Bridgwater-Taunton Canal CWS, which is known to support Daubenton's Bats, Otters and Water Voles - all EPS.	89258-1151-1091			/	
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	The EclA does make use of data obtained from SERC, but it is not clear whether SERC was asked for any species data other than that concerning legally protected species. It is possible that data has not been obtained concerning those Priority Species without statutory protection.	89258-1151-7070			/	
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Paragraphs 2.10.4 to 3.10.39 inclusive identify the main sources of legislative and policy issues that are pertinent to this development. However, much of the detailed discussion of the subject matter in these paragraphs addresses issues of limited relevance to the specific development under consideration.	89258-1151-8333	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	A future iteration of the EclA should make use of the information gathered from the further surveys that are planned to refine the baseline.	89258-1151-11623			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	- All ecological surveys required to inform baseline may not be done in time for the information to be considered properly in the decision making process;	89258-1151-16796			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The baseline data for the sites is incomplete (surveys - i.e. reptiles still ongoing) and relies on an initial Phase 1 Habitat Survey undertaken out of season and without full access to the site (for BRI-A).	89363-1151-11510	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	There is enough information to characterise the general ecological status of the selected locations, although further survey work is recognised as being required.	89363-1151-11718			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The validity of the evidence base produced by EDF Energy for terrestrial ecology for the Bridgwater sites is on the whole considered sound enough to come to an initial evaluation.	89363-1151-11884			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The approach for the assessment does follow best practice guidance (IEEM 2006) and list the appropriate legislation and policy framework. The desk-based baseline data collection is also comprehensive.	89363-1151-12089			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	No ecological information was presented during the Stage 1 consultations for the associated development sites and the baseline is still incomplete. A significant range of protected species surveys are still ongoing. It is not clear how these surveys will influence the design which is already at an advanced stage.	89425-1151-8145			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	- The baseline data for the sites is incomplete and relies on an initial Phase 1 habitat survey undertaken out of season.	89425-1151-8970	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - Local Authority, Statutory Consultee and Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 1	20. Bridgwater - No work appears to have been done by EDF so far on the ecological impacts of utilising the Search Areas. SCC ecological specialists are aware of problems that have arisen with developing the BRI-A site due to the presence of Great Crested Newts and other legally protected species. There seem to be less obvious ecological constraints affecting BRI-B and BRI-C, although foraging bats may use both sites. BRI-D is immediately adjoining the Bridgwater-Taunton Canal CWS, which is known to support Daubenton's Bats, Otters and Water Voles - all EPS.	87980-1159-2628			/	A desk study (including a request to Somerset Environmental Records Centre in 2010 for records of both legally protected and otherwise notable species) and an extended Phase 1 habitat survey were undertaken for the Bridgwater C site as the first stage in establishing a robust ecological baseline. This was done in line with the Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management's Ecological Impact Assessment guidelines (2006). The extended Phase 1 habitat survey (which was repeated on 23 June 2010) found that the habitats on site are of limited value so that no further species-specific survey work was required. The baseline presented for this site at Stage 2 is therefore sufficiently robust to inform the design of the development proposals and to assess the impacts of the proposed development. The methodology for, and presentation of, the assessment of impacts in the Environmental Statement (Volume 4, Chapter 14) has, however, been further developed since the Stage 2 consultation; for example, geographical terms of evaluation are no longer used and the chapter has been updated to address comments made by consultees during the consultation process.
Somerset Wildlife Trust	Non-Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	Secondly, a lack of information at this stage prevents meaningful consultation. Pre-application consultation should be mutually beneficial: as an exercise in transparency, it should empower local communities to become involved in the plans that shape the places they live; equally, it should provide an opportunity for EDF to benefit from the specialist local knowledge held by statutory consultees, technical experts and the wider community. Such an exercise can only fulfil its potential if stakeholders are presented with a reasonably comprehensive and contextualised suite of relevant data. In the case of ecology, substantial information gaps still exist for the foreshore/coastal and marine environments of the Severn Estuary, and the terrestrial environments of sites at Bridgwater, Cannington, Coombwich, Junction 23, Junction 24, and Williton. In the absence of survey data and interpretation upon which to base impact predictions, it is not possible to fully analyse or assess the implications of this development for Somerset's wildlife. Given the number of ancillary development sites for which statutorily protected and priority species and habitat survey data has still not been obtained, analysed, or presented for consideration, the Trust questions the usefulness of this consultation exercise. It is hard to see what benefit public consultation over plans based around half-formed evidence bases brings to either the local community or EDF.	10263-1159-11483			/	
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	It is suggested that the use of geographical terms of evaluation be dropped (e.g. parish, county, etc.) as this is not understood by a general reader and has led to misinterpretation in the past. The evaluation of impacts in terms of rarity in the local area/Somerset and the magnitude of effects on local population viability/habitat extent are more useful, and can also be taken into the regional/national context. This may require consultation by the developer's ecologist with SCC.	89258-1159-10576	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	The proponents of the development have held a number of consultation meetings with Natural England and have met twice with representatives of SCC as summarised in Table 2.10.3. In addition they have approached a number of organisations for data and these are listed in paragraph 2.10.47. However, it is not clear from this paragraph whether the organisations have been asked for their views regarding the proposed development.	89258-1159-12566			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	- The ecological appraisal of the impacts of the whole development package (i.e. power station plus all associated development) is done in a piecemeal fashion without due and proper consideration of 'in combination' and 'cumulative' effects.	89258-1154-16956	/			The full results of the baseline survey provide a robust basis on which to assess the likely impacts of the proposed development on ecological receptors, including those that may arise from cumulative interaction with other Hinkley Point C (HPC) and non-HPC developments. An updated assessment of cumulative impacts on terrestrial ecology and ornithology receptors, including bats and other UK Biodiversity Action Plan species referenced during the Stage 2 consultation, is presented in Volume 11 of the Environmental Statement.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The development on these BRI-A and BRI-C sites are assessed appropriately against other 'local cumulative developments. The key one is probably Hallam Masterplan site directly north of BRI-A where it is understood there are protected species issues.	89363-1154-15478			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	The notional zone of influence is not explicitly defined in this section. Figures show the areas surveyed for various species and habitats in relation to the Search Areas.	89258-1158-9727	/			The assessment methodology and all supporting graphical material have been updated since the Stage 2 consultation, and the extent of surveys shown is based on the scoping assessment.

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - Local Authority, Statutory Consultee and Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 1	20. Bridgwater - No work appears to have been done by EDF so far on the ecological impacts of utilising the Search Areas. SCC ecological specialists are aware of problems that have arisen with developing the BRI-A site due to the presence of Great Crested Newts and other legally protected species. There seem to be less obvious ecological constraints affecting BRI-B and BRI-C, although foraging bats may use both sites. BRI-D is immediately adjoining the Bridgwater-Taunton Canal CWS, which is known to support Daubenton's Bats, Otters and Water Voles - all EPS.	87980-1153-2628			/	<p>A desk study (including a request to Somerset Environmental Records Centre in 2010 for records of both legally protected and otherwise notable species) and an extended Phase 1 habitat survey were undertaken for the Bridgwater C site as the first stage in establishing a robust ecological baseline. This was done in line with the Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management's Ecological Impact Assessment guidelines (2006). The extended Phase 1 habitat survey (which was repeated on 23 June 2010) found that the habitats on site are of limited value so that no further species-specific survey work was required. The baseline presented for this site at Stage 2 is therefore sufficiently robust to inform the design of the development proposals and to assess the impacts of the proposed development.</p> <p>The methodology for, and presentation of, the assessment of impacts in the Chapter 14, Volume 4, Environmental Statement has, been further developed since the Stage 2 consultation.</p>
Somerset Wildlife Trust	Non-Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	Due to the dearth of information pertaining specifically to the terrestrial and marine ecology at the ancillary infrastructure development sites, the suite of potential impacts of the entire project upon species, habitats, and protected sites remains unquantified in the Environmental Appraisal. Whilst ecological information for the HPC site itself is comprehensive, survey data for off-site locations has not been presented to consultees; rather, unsubstantiated estimates of potential ecological impacts and mitigation requirements at these ancillary sites have been presented through the Environmental Appraisal. These considerations of impact, based purely upon the opinion of consultants, are unconvincing, and deeply worry the Trust on three fronts. Firstly, in the case of protected and priority species and sites, there is a need for all direct and indirect, mitigated and residual impacts to be quantified and understood before informed comment can be passed by consultees such as Natural England and the local authorities, who have a statutory responsibility for protecting important wildlife. At this stage in the process a "best guess" on ecological impacts is simply unacceptable.	10263-1153-10285	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Paragraphs 2.10.4 to 3.10.39 inclusive identify the main sources of legislative and policy issues that are pertinent to this development. However, much of the detailed discussion of the subject matter in these paragraphs addresses issues of limited relevance to the specific development under consideration.	89258-1153-8333	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Expanded sections examining possible impacts upon each receptor in detail.	89258-1153-9566			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Section 2.10 appears to assume that the terrestrial environment around Bridgwater will remain broadly the same between the present time and the point when the development takes place.	89258-1153-10184			/	
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	An indication in Table 2.10.7 of those impact assessments (i.e. the ones involving reptiles) that may be affected by the results of survey work that is yet to be completed and reported.	89258-1153-13760			/	
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Table 2.10.7, in which many of the assessments are presented provides only a cursory explanation in many instances about how judgements have been reached, so it is difficult to comment on the robustness of the overall assessment. In addition, there is a lack of detail concerning the precise mitigation that will be employed to minimise some impacts.	89258-1153-13978	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	More information on how assessments have been made.	89258-1153-14361			/	
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Sections that specifically identify and assess potential impacts on UK and Somerset BAP Priority Species not addressed as legally protected species;	89259-1153-8638	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	SCC considers that the main likely impacts have been identified in very general terms. However, this section suffers from the lack of detailed systematic analysis of the nature of likely impacts or of the likely magnitude of such impacts before mitigation on each of the ecological receptors identified.	89259-1153-9783	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Text explaining the assumptions that have been made regarding trends in terrestrial ecology affecting habitats and species within the zone of influence of the development.	89259-1153-10956	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	it is not possible for the County Council to agree at this stage with the proponent's conclusion that residual effects will be 'minor' or 'negligible' in most cases.	89259-1153-18254			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	the evaluation of the value of receptors and the assigning of magnitude to potential effects is considered robust and consistent subject to the further survey work to be carried out. For these two associated development sites there is not a difference in evaluation between EDF Energy and the Council on the significance of the effect.	89363-1153-12803			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - Local Authority, Statutory Consultee and Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 1	20. Bridgwater - No work appears to have been done by EDF so far on the ecological impacts of utilising the Search Areas. SCC ecological specialists are aware of problems that have arisen with developing the BRI-A site due to the presence of Great Crested Newts and other legally protected species. There seem to be less obvious ecological constraints affecting BRI-B and BRI-C, although foraging bats may use both sites. BRI-D is immediately adjoining the Bridgwater-Taunton Canal CWS, which is known to support Daubenton's Bats, Otters and Water Voles - all EPS.	87980-1152-2628			/	A desk study (including a request to Somerset Environmental Records Centre in 2010 for records of both legally protected and otherwise notable species) and an extended Phase 1 habitat survey were undertaken for the Bridgwater C site as the first stage in establishing a robust ecological baseline, in line with the Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management's Ecological Impact Assessment guidelines (2006). The extended Phase 1 habitat survey (which was repeated on 23 June 2010) found that the habitats on site are of limited value so that no further species-specific survey work was required. The baseline presented for this site at Stage 2 is therefore sufficiently robust to inform the design of the development proposals and to assess the impacts of the proposed development. The methodology for, and presentation of, the assessment of impacts in the Environmental Statement Chapter (Volume 4, Chapter 14) has, been further developed since the Stage 2 consultation.
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	SCC considers that the main likely impacts have been identified in very general terms. However, this section suffers from the lack of detailed systematic analysis of the nature of likely impacts or of the likely magnitude of such impacts before mitigation on each of the ecological receptors identified.	89258-1152-9228	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Table 2.10.1 outlines the criteria to be used to evaluate ecological receptors. Apart from the use of terms such as 'parish' and 'district' that ought to be avoided in case they cause confusion, the criteria seem reasonably fair.	89258-1152-13498	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Analysis of positive and negative contributions that the proposed development will make to the achievement of policy objectives (e.g. amount of Priority Habitat lost/gained/retained compared with BAP targets).	89259-1152-9326			/	
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Text explaining the basis of the estimates of the zone of influence for each type of potential impact and receptor.	89259-1152-10486			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The approach for the assessment does follow best practice guidance (IEEM 2006) and list the appropriate legislation and policy framework. The desk-based baseline data collection is also comprehensive.	89363-1152-12087			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	a summer survey for habitats and a reptile survey should be completed.	89363-1152-12466			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Overall, the assessment methodology is considered adequate once gaps in the baseline are dealt with.	89363-1152-12665			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Environment Agency	Dual - Statutory Consultee and Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 1	Within each development enhanced biodiversity measures/ green infrastructure should be incorporated where practicable. Among other benefits this will enhance the environment in which the local community live in and provide a valuable resource to local residence. This is also in line with Sedgemoors Core Strategy preferred option Policy DW12 which includes the requirements for developers to protect and enhance the natural environment.	88830-1155-26395	/			<p>The baseline presented for the Bridgwater C site at the Stage 2 consultation is sufficiently robust to inform the design of the development proposals, to assess the impacts of the proposed development and, where necessary, define mitigation measures for any unavoidable ecological impacts.</p> <p>However, since the Stage 2 consultation an outline ecological mitigation and habitat management plan has been prepared. The detailed mitigation plan would form an integral part of the site Environmental Management and Monitoring Plan, which would be agreed in accordance with planning requirements.</p>
Somerset Wildlife Trust	Non-Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	Thirdly, given the timescale for submitting an application to the IPC, the Trust would expect all ecological data to have been gathered, assessed, and interpreted at this stage in order that the sequential principles of avoidance, mitigation and compensation for impacts can be applied to the project design process, to ensure true sustainability. Ecological information should be informing the finalisation of project plans for submission to the IPC, rather than being treated as a separate set of "issues" to be tacked on and addressed at a later date. As ecological issues have not been integrated into the development of this project, nor efforts made to generate a net gain for biodiversity, it is hard to see how the proposed associated developments meet the criteria of "sustainable development". It is going to be hard for EDF to convince stakeholders that this development will generate benefits to local wildlife if they are not seen to be having due regard for ecology.	10263-1155-12944			/	
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	The vast majority of ecological surveys for associated site proposals have not yet been completed, and documentation submitted at Stage 2 may not be fit for purpose as the results may not be available in time to adequately inform the decision making process. A lack of evidence base to support proposals raises concern about the suitability of mitigation measures proposed, and the level of impact that may be caused.	89251-1155-185	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	It would be helpful if the Ecological Impact Assessment has a summary at the front of the report setting out what mitigation is required and some sort of timetable as to when it is to be carried out. This may bring up some conflicts and may dictate the window when work on site can begin. There is concern that the usual urgency to start construction on site may contravene what is needed to comply with wildlife legislation and/or good practice.	89258-1155-4590			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Generic mitigation measures are proposed in Table 2.10.7, but, as indicated above, it is difficult to judge their potential effectiveness and deliverability given a lack of detail concerning the precise nature of some of the measures.	89258-1155-14434	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	The Masterplan for BRI-A & BRI-C contains no tangible additional information concerning ecology or mitigation.	89258-1155-14672	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	More information about the mitigation measures to be employed. Give further evidence for the statements in the developer's consultant's reports, including the requirements to maintain populations at favourable conservation status. At present the report's statements appear very subjective.	89258-1155-15483	/			
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	For reasons given above, it is not possible for the County Council to agree at this stage with the proponent's conclusion that residual effects will be 'minor' or 'negligible' in most cases.	89258-1155-15952			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	It is proposed to retain trees and hedges where possible however where these are in poor condition a plan to improve and manage planting should be provided.	89360-1155-2493	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Mitigation such as the provision of tree planting, other habitat provision and species specific measures (e.g. bat boxes etc) should be provided as a firm commitment once the sites baseline is completed and designs finalised (ref Masterplan - 6.4.5). These measures including the provision of Environmental Management & Monitoring Plan (EMMP) should assist in the avoidance of potential impacts from the construction.	89363-1155-13163	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Despite the lack of significant ecological receptors on site, the landscape and ecological plans should aim to maintain and enhance the local environment. Where appropriate the local ecological (green infrastructure) context should inform the proposals on site. On this basis we would recommend habitat creation that includes more than just hedgerow and tree planting. The removal of created habitats and other landscape features on BRI-A should be avoided where possible within the removal phase	89363-1155-13582			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	There are opportunities at both sites to provide an ecological benefit as a lasting legacy from the scheme. Given the current low level of ecological interest on site, even minor improvements will be locally significant. However, at present there is little evidence of legacy elements being incorporated into the design process.	89363-1155-14085			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The legacy strategy and the ecological element of this do not address the wider context of the proposed sites. The final confirmation of site selection, design and legacy issues should take into account the ecological context and reference the evolving Green Infrastructure Strategy. It is appropriate to build in at least a small amount of ecological benefit arising from retained habitat and other mitigation/enhancement. Currently, the overall effect of the sites is Neutral.	89363-1155-14948	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Mitigation would need to be incorporated for impacts that cannot be designed out late on in the process. The legacy elements for the associated development are still to be finalised but appear at present to provide little 'legacy' beyond a few ponds and hedgerow planting. Other aspects are mitigation not legacy. Reference to the evolving Green Infrastructure Strategy would provide a clearer indication of what could be achieved.	89425-1155-8463			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	- Mitigation should be provided as a firm commitment once sites baseline is completed.	89425-1155-9095	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	- The landscape and ecological plans should aim to maintain and enhance the local environment, using the local ecological (green infrastructure) context.	89425-1155-9185			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	- The landscape and ecological plans should aim to maintain and enhance the local environment, using the local ecological (green infrastructure) context.	89427-1155-2640	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Specific Heads of terms of the EMMP to be developed in relation to aspects of terrestrial ecology to be monitored and the methodology to be employed.	89258-1156-16587			/	Proposals for monitoring the impacts of the proposed development at the Bridgwater C site are set out in the outline ecological mitigation and habitat management plan for the site, which is included with the Environmental Statement and would form an integral part of the site Environmental Management and Monitoring Plan.
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Any commitment to monitoring has not been incorporated into the assessment. Our evaluation is that unless significant interest is encountered during the summer 2010 surveys that possibly monitoring may not be required for these sites.	89363-1156-15900			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	Text to explain the implications for the specific proposed development of legislation and policy.	89259-1157-9225			/	A request was made at Stage 2 consultation for additional information on the implications of legislation and policy on the proposed development. Details of relevant policy and legislation were included the Stage 2 consultation documentation and covered: International Legislation, European Legislation, National Legislation and Policy Documents relevant to the proposal. Since Stage 2 these have been updated and expanded upon and can be found within Volume 4, Chapter 14 of the Environmental Statement.

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 779	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Traffic is already bad in this area already with the college. I believe this area to be unsuitable.	9537-1088-4035		/		Comments raised under this heading related to specific transport issues which are addressed under the appropriate topic headings within the Bridgwater C theme. The Transport -Transport Assessment - Baseline topic responses address consultee comments raised about the wider consultation process in relation to transport.
Tractivity 811	Public	Stage 2	1. What are your views on the proposed arrangement and landscaping of the Hinkley Point C site? Box ticked: Unsatisfactory 1. Any other ideas or comments? No comment 2. We have reduced the amount of land to be used during construction in the southern part of the site in response to concerns from local residents. What are your views on this proposal? Box ticked: Unsatisfactory 2. Any other ideas or comments? No comment 3. In order to speed up the process of building the new power station, and enable us to finish work earlier, we intend to apply this summer to undertake preliminary works to prepare the main site and build a temporary jetty for the delivery of bulk materials. If permission for the power station is not obtained, we will be required to reinstate this land. What are your views on our plans for Preliminary Works? Box ticked: Unsatisfactory 3. Any other ideas or comments? No comment	9569-1088-0		/		
Tractivity 811	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? This is used by the Rugby Club, the Football field already suffers with flooding and the traffic would then travel all through Bridgwater	9569-1088-4774			/	
Tractivity 1031	Public	Stage 2	11. Any other ideas or comments? Road structure not adequate through Bridgwater.	9789-1088-6940	/			
Tractivity 1167	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Takes away parking and access to Bridgwater college - already major disruption at rush hour. Can take over an hour to get to college from Huntworth and get home from at peak times without the other proposed developments for this area.	9925-1088-5660			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 1175	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Satisfactory, only if accompanied by a viable transport scheme - Park and Ride! Taunton Road (Bridgwater) is severely congested throughout the day now!	9933-1088-6043			/	
Tractivity 62573	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	5. Comment You still have not solved the problem of heavy vehicles moving freight coming through Bridgwater. Due to the river, there are few roads through the town which can cope with these lorries (Editor's note: illegible word) bus movements.	10124-1088-2541			/	
Tractivity 62573	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9ii. Comment OK - although the junction from the College Road to Bath Road is very congested - dangerous for pedestrians and traffic.	10124-1088-5747		/		
Fiddington Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	to acknowledge that this summers' road gridlock situation within Bridgwater will be further exacerbated by your J23, J24 and other Bridgwater encampments, as well as other site traffic travelling through the town.	10223-1088-1310			/	
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	- The level of detail relating to traffic generation of these sites, in conjunction with others affecting the transport network in Bridgwater, is limited.	89203-1088-9898			/	
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	1.14 We have concerns that the proposed level of car parking at the HPC site and at AD sites is too high and has not been justified in terms of demand and sustainable transport objectives.	89220-1088-5056		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	For the campuses, consideration should be made to workers using cycles for leisure purposes, and the proposed cycle pool is a positive enabler of casual cycle use for workers who have not brought a cycle with them.	89233-1088-6528		/		
Landowner - Bridgwater College	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	At the key arrival and departure times, College Way and the stretch of road down to the Cross Rifles roundabout can become very congested. Sometimes, especially if there are other obstructions, this congestion can extend along Bristol Road as far as the Exchange Park.	89437-1088-1309		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Bridgwater C contains 60 parking spaces, a ratio of one space per 2.5 residents. Parking for campus staff will be at the same ratio, though numbers are not known. No justification is given for this ratio and it is unclear why this should be different from the ratio at the adjacent Bridgwater A.	89360-1088-18258		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	There is uncertainty at the numbers of workers staying in the accommodation campuses. This will knock on to the transport aspects of the appraisal which are based on a fixed accommodation strategy.	89360-1088-18962			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 726	Public	Stage 2	5. Any other ideas or comments? Bridgwater is a difficult town to negotiate. Obviously strategies will be discussed with local council to make best use of infrastructure. One such the proposed new route from A38 across the Coltey lane industrial site to alleviate the situation for Taunton Road.	9484-1096-2169			/	Consultees requested further clarification on the justification for and scale of the proposed Bridgwater C accommodation campus.
Tractivity 771	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Again on same road as above - same issues as above.	9529-1096-4562			/	The construction of Hinkley Point C (HPC) would employ up to 5,600 workers at the peak of activity. Socio-economic studies undertaken by EDF Energy examined the capacity of the local labour market to meet the construction demands. These studies concluded that 66% (3,700) of the workforce are expected to be non-home based. This means that these workforce members would move to the area for the period of their employment on the HPC Project, and would require temporary accommodation in the local area.
Tractivity 811	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? This is used by the Rugby Club, the Football field already suffers with flooding and the traffic would then travel all through Bridgwater	9569-1096-4774		/		A strategy of providing campus accommodation to supplement existing local accommodation provision was developed based upon best practice from other construction projects. At the Stage 1 consultation four sites were identified in Bridgwater capable of accommodating the anticipated size of campus development required to support the construction of HPC. The sites were chosen based upon availability, suitability to accommodate a campus, and potential legacy uses, having regard to the aspirations of Sedgemoor District Council in its 'Bridgwater Vision' document.
Tractivity 915	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? See comment above	9673-1096-5017			/	Bridgwater was chosen as it is the principal urban area closest to Hinkley Point and therefore within proximity of a range of local services and with good transport links. Following the Stage 1 consultation, two sites, Bridgwater A and Bridgwater C, were identified as being the preferred locations for worker accommodation and presented as part of the Preferred Proposals.
Tractivity 1044	Public	Stage 2	13. Please let us have your overall views on our proposals and any other general comments in the box below I feel EDF have totally ignored the problem of traffic in Bridgwater and should be working with governemnt agencies to address the problem.	9802-1096-6550			/	At the Stage 2 consultation the proposed Bridgwater C site was identified as being suitable to house up to 150 employees, subject to survey work. Bus transport for workers using the Bridgwater C site would be provided from the nearby Bridgwater A site to the Hinkley Point C development site.
Tractivity 1216	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Traffic problems, a new road needed!	9974-1096-4963			/	In addition to the formal consultation, extensive discussion has taken place with the local authorities and Highways Agency in relation to transport impacts associated with the construction and use of the Bridgwater A and C sites.
Tractivity 1218	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Traffic Concerns	9976-1096-6195			/	
Tractivity 343	Public	Stage 1	6. Please give comments on your preferences and any suggestions about the future use of these facilities. We must minimise traffic going through Bridgwater.	9031-1096-2401			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Bridgwater College	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 1	Traffic approaching Bridgwater from the M5 is already very challenging especially at rush hour in the morning. Traffic can be congested right back to the Exchange Park. Some thought needs to be given to how this might be alleviated, and in addition how the potential additional numbers exiting onto Bath Road from the proposed accommodation on College Way might be managed.	8774-1091-2217	/			<p>Comments raised under this heading related to specific transport issues which are addressed under the appropriate topic headings within the Bridgwater C theme.</p> <p>The Transport - Transport Strategy - Cumulative Impacts topic response addresses consultee comments raised about the transport-related cumulative impacts of the Hinkley Point C Project.</p>
Tractivity 830	Public	Stage 2	<p>9b. Any other ideas or comments?</p> <p>1) The land in question resembles a bog at some points of the year and is located on a former waste landfill site. Subject to the methane and flood risks, the suggested use of this land is a little surprising as it is very wet and muddy in winter.</p> <p>2) The traffic flow is continuous between the College and the A39 reaching peaks at several times of the day. This includes both double decker and single decker buses in addition to a constant flow of student and tutor owned vehicles and lorries/vans on business at the college.</p> <p>3) The traffic on the A39 will increase with the construction of the West of England depot by the WM Morrison Group although hopefully not via the town. The construction and decontamination of the Innovia site - will add to the problem as will traffic to and from the new hospital at the Bower lane site.</p>	9588-1091-8530			/	
Tractivity 931	Public	Stage 2	<p>9b. Any other ideas or comments?</p> <p>Access to rugby club and Bridgwater college already congested at peak times. This development will exacerbate the situation.</p>	9689-1091-4973		/		
Fiddington Parish Council	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	to acknowledge that this summers' road gridlock situation within Bridgwater will be further exacerbated by your J23, J24 and other Bridgwater encampments, as well as other site traffic travelling through the town.	10223-1091-1310	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Cumulative impacts of other developments are addressed, albeit on the basis of traffic movements using an incorrect 24 hour assessment period	89360-1091-19536			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Cumulative impacts are inherently assessed where the traffic data includes all elements of the development. There is no cumulative assessment or discussion of other potential cumulative effects (e.g. operational traffic plus demolition/ redevelopment of construction worker sites plus operational emissions from the Main Site).	89361-1091-14736			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Highways Agency	Statutory Consultee	Stage 1	In terms of the construction period for the employee accommodation, it is noted that this is due to commence in 2011. The Agency seeks further clarification as to any potential cumulative impact with the development proposed at J23, J24 and the wider Cannington proposals.	88860-1090-18194	/			<p>Consultees raised concerns about the operation and construction of a campus facility and the movements associated with residents' leisure time. Concerns were also expressed that the servicing arrangements for the facilities could lead to a detrimental impact upon the highway network, both in capacity and maintenance terms, and consultees wished to see that the impacts of all the trips were assessed.</p> <p>The Bridgwater C proposal was incorporated in the impact assessment analysis, and the existing highway access on to Bath Road has been assessed to ensure that it meets current design standards, and has sufficient capacity to operate effectively. The interaction of the proposed new junction with the surrounding highway network has also been assessed to ensure the network could continue to operate effectively.</p> <p>Locating up to 150 employees within a campus would have positive traffic reduction benefits relative to the use of more dispersed accommodation in Bridgwater. In particular, it would reduce the daily impact of an additional 150 employees travelling to and from the park and ride sites as the resident employees would travel to by shuttle buses direct to the Hinkley Point C (HPC) site.</p> <p>Car traffic generated by the campuses would also be restricted through the provision of parking spaces at a ratio of 1 space per 2.5 beds. The majority of trips off-site taken by resident employees would be recreational, with employees generally only returning home and back to work at weekends. Residents would have access to the comprehensive amenities located at Bridgwater A within easy walking distance.</p> <p>Consultees also expressed concerns that the proposed campus would be located on the rugby club car park which is currently utilised by Bridgwater College as an overflow car park. Discussions are ongoing between EDF Energy and Bridgwater College as how to the overflow parking might be accommodated within the proposals.</p> <p>Consultees requested clarity on the route the shuttle bus from the campus site would take. The shuttle bus would utilise the existing bus lay-by off Bath Road, would depart from Bridgwater A on to Bath Road and make its way to the HPC site along the A38 and then A39 until Cannington where it would use the new bypass (when complete) and continue to site along the C182.</p>
Tractivity 696	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Traffic problems already exist at this site. I am quite sure an alternative site for 150 persons could be found	9456-1090-4838		/		
Tractivity 722	Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments? Traffic chaos in Bridgwater would be greatly increased	9480-1090-1486		/		
Tractivity 725	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? The traffic is very heavy for the college so better traffic systems will have to be put in	9483-1090-4792		/		
Tractivity 764	Public	Stage 2	5. Any other ideas or comments? The Park and Ride and Freight Logistic Facilities at J.24 (Bridgwater) will have a detrimental affect on the residents of Stockmoor Village and Wilstock Village and on local wildlife. The proposed access from Stockmoor Drive will cause traffic chaos, the Huntworth roundabout already cannot cope with the high volumes of summer traffic entering the M5 services and normal commuter traffic regularly queues significantly in the immediate area of the proposed development. Noise pollution from the site will have a detrimental affect on residents of Stockmoor Village and noise and light pollution will affect wildlife. The area is a valuable habitat for bats, birds of prey and water voles.	9522-1090-1925			/	
Tractivity 764	Public	Stage 2	11. Any other ideas or comments? The Park and Ride and Freight Logistic Facilities at J.24 (Bridgwater) will have a detrimental affect on the residents of Stockmoor Village and Wilstock Village and on local wildlife. The proposed access from Stockmoor Drive will cause traffic chaos, the Huntworth roundabout already cannot cope with the high volumes of summer traffic entering the M5 services and normal commuter traffic regularly queues significantly in the immediate area of the proposed development. Noise pollution from the site will have a detrimental affect on residents of Stockmoor Village and noise and light pollution will affect wildlife. The area is a valuable habitat for bats, birds of prey and water voles.	9522-1090-6227			/	
Tractivity 772	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? traffic caos	9530-1090-4482			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 803	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Traffic issues	9561-1090-4520			/	EDF Energy would ensure compliance with these route requirements as part of the bus service procurement process.
Tractivity 807	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	1. Any other ideas or comments? Bridgwater is not the place for this, it is rural, there is not the road network to cope with the influx of road traffic	9565-1090-129		/		Consultees expressed concerns relating to a deterioration of the highway and associated structures. EDF Energy surveyed the existing routes and structures and a programme of enhancements has been agreed with Somerset County Council to ensure that the highway would be able to cope with the additional loads.
Tractivity 830	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? 1) The land in question resembles a bog at some points of the year and is located on a former waste landfill site. Subject to the methane and flood risks, the suggested use of this land is a little surprising as it is very wet and muddy in winter. 2) The traffic flow is continuous between the College and the A39 reaching peaks at several times of the day. This includes both double decker and single decker buses in addition to a constant flow of student and tutor owned vehicles and lorries/vans on business at the college. 3) The traffic on the A39 will increase with the construction of the West of England depot by the WM Morrison Group although hopefully not via the town. The construction and decontamination of the Innovia site - will add to the problem as will traffic to and from the new hospital at the Bower lane site.	9588-1090-8530			/	In addition to the proposed improvement works EDF Energy is also committed to regularly surveying the highway quality during and after the completion of the works. This is in order to identify and rectify issues that may be a consequence of the HPC works.
Tractivity 847	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? See question 4 answer. More traffic chaos	9605-1090-4248			/	Concerns were expressed by consultees about HPC associated traffic impacting upon congestion in the event of an incident on the local highway network. In the event of a major incident buses would be held at the HPC main site or at the campus to avoid further congesting the network until the incident had been cleared. A traffic incident management plan will be produced detailing the procedures.
Tractivity 877	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Access is poor	9635-1090-4652			/	Consultees expressed concerns in relation to the movement of staff to and from Bridgwater C, in conjunction with the existing movements of staff and students to and from Bridgwater College. There is potential for the movement of students and workers during the change over to coincide with the end of the college day. However Bridgwater C would only house up to a maximum of 150 employees and this would result in very few bus trips that would interact with the end of the college day.
Tractivity 915	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? See comment above	9673-1090-5017			/	The maximum of 60 cars at Bridgwater C would be expected to leave and return to the campus mainly at weekends and or late Friday night. This would mean their departure and arrival times are likely to be spread out, therefore avoiding the college start and finish periods.
Tractivity 921	Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments? The key issue here is transportation through Bridgwater and the affected villages. See comments to Q5.	9679-1090-1486		/		The safety record of the existing junction of Bath Road and College Way was examined as part of a road safety study. This study examined the personal injury collision data provided by Somerset County Council for the urban roads within the study area (those within Bridgwater). This showed that seven locations were identified as collision clusters as defined by Somerset Road Safety Partnership and in need of further
Tractivity 925	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Comments as above - What is going to happen to Bridgwater Rugby club facilities ?	9683-1090-5306			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 946	Public	Stage 2	13. Please let us have your overall views on our proposals and any other general comments in the box below I feel the proposal on the whole is good, but you are in danger of making living and moving in and out of Bridgwater and North Petherton on the A38 going south and the A39 going east almost impossible for the duration.	9704-1090-6026			/	investigation. The junction of Bath Road and College Way was not identified as having an existing road safety problem.
Tractivity 955	Public	Stage 2	5. Any other ideas or comments? We are concerned as Bridgwater at the present state is already congested with extra movement of traffic we believe will cause a major concern of the extra capacity.	9713-1090-1929	/			
Tractivity 980	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? A smaller number of 150 is still too many new people to place in one area especially when match days see a congestion of traffic in that area.	9738-1090-6938		/		
Tractivity 1001	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? As above	9759-1090-4652			/	
Tractivity 1006	Public	Stage 2	5. Any other ideas or comments? Present proposals require all traffic from the M5 to travel through Bridgwater, which will add to the pressure on the existing Road Network. An accident on the A39 between Cannington and Bridgwater would effectively prevent most road traffic from accessing Hinkley point.	9764-1090-2300		/		
Tractivity 1010	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? more traffic congestion in Bridgwater!	9768-1090-4250			/	
Tractivity 1037	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Linked with 9i it is again too great a concentration of numbers in the particular area of the town, greatly increased traffic flow in an already congested area with students attending Bridgwater College. WITHOUT 9i it would be an acceptable proposition.	9795-1090-5533		/		
Tractivity 1044	Public	Stage 2	4. Any other ideas or comments? Housing people in Bridgwater will increase congestion as they make their way to/from park and ride facilities.	9802-1090-1632		/		
Tractivity 1070	Public	Stage 2	Although a smaller facility than that proposed for the BRI-A site similar problems will occur.	9828-1090-5698			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 1083	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? the same problems as No 9 above, and getting caught up with the college traffic.	9841-1090-5744			/	
Tractivity 1102	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Wil make traffic on A39 and Bridgwater College unacceptable	9860-1090-4726		/		
Tractivity 1124	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? How are these people to get to work if there is no dedicated road north of Bridgwater?	9882-1090-5415			/	
Tractivity 1142	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Same as above for traffic	9900-1090-5897			/	
Tractivity 1145	Public	Stage 2	5. Any other ideas or comments? I cannot see how this would work. Bridgewater is already a bottleneck. the whole area between Junctions 23 and 24 and Hinckley would become gridlocked.	9903-1090-2682		/		
Tractivity 1173	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? As both this site and the above site need access onto the Bath road, I feel it could cause major problems for traffic congestion on that side of Bridgwater.	9931-1090-5824		/		
Tractivity 1182	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? NO accomodation should be built on this site so near to a college, a high potential for distraction. This site is also a traffic problem area. Access to A39 IS dangerous.	9940-1090-5492		/		
Tractivity 1186	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? You should NOT be siting a shift based campus adjacent to a college campus. Interaction could be damaging. The college junction onto the A39 is a traffic blackspot now. Further traffic must be avoided at all costs.	9944-1090-5384		/		
Tractivity 1199	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Entrance also used by kids going to college, its a nightmare getting out as it is.	9957-1090-4782		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 496	Public	Stage 1	4. Any other ideas or comments? Any other ideas or comments? Concerned that traffic through and around Bridgwater will be too much.	9169-1090-1011		/		
Tractivity 62456	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Again, there is no link road to Combwich. Traffic will have to negotiate Bridgwater. Bath Road needs a link to Bristol Road.	10080-1090-5003			/	
Tractivity 62508	Public	Stage 2	Both sites proposed for Bridgwater have access issues. One hostel site is proposed for an area of social and educational deprivation, the other on an area already proposed for residential development. Both hostel sites access the same road.	10098-1090-5539		/		
Tractivity 62573	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9ii. Comment OK - although the junction from the College Road to Bath Road is very congested - dangerous for pedestrians and traffic.	10124-1090-5747		/		
Somerset County Council	Dual - local authority, statutory consultee and consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2	No analysis has yet been undertaken for non-work related travel by workers living in the three campuses. There will need to be evening and weekend bus links from the on-site campus to Bridgwater for leisure purposes and for onward bus and rail connections for weekend trips to and from home. This is recognised in paragraph 6.3.9 and 6.3.10 of the Transport Appraisal, but no firm proposals are presented.	89227-1090-7037	/			
Landowner - Bridgwater College	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	Car parking is provided on the College's own car parks which are quite extensive but also on land rented from the Football Club and Rugby Club, for both of whom this is an important income stream. The College and Football car parks fill up very quickly and the Rugby Club carpark is an important overflow which is used quite extensively by students and staff particularly those who arrive later in the day. The College is sensitive to the fact that residents become irritated when students park in nearby roads and thus encourage staff and students to use the car parks provided.	89437-1090-727		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Car parking at the college is already an issue with an overflow into adjacent residential areas. Consideration should be given on how this is managed down to avoid further escalation.	89360-1090-3845		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	The significance tests are invalid as they relate to 24 hour flows rather than the hours of most impact as required by guidance.	89360-1090-18581			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	It is understood that Bridgwater C will remain as student accommodation but a transport appraisal of this longer term use has not been carried out.	89360-1090-19356		/		
Tractivity 62998	Public	Stage 2 Update	Taunton Road and Bristol Road will be further overloaded and traffic jams will be more frequent and time consuming pumping carbon monoxide into Bridgwater 24/7.	89692-1090-4742		/		
Bridgwater College	Consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	- There are concerns that it is proposed that many workers would also have to access the buses transiting to and from the Hinkley site from the proposed A site and this will compound the issues raised above.	89765-1090-5016		/		
Bridgwater College	Consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	s per comments above regarding Cannington, we have major concerns regarding the potential traffic problems on Bath Road and the surrounding area that will worsen as various developments take place.	89765-1090-9605		/		
Bridgwater College	Consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	- Initially, the development works for Bridgwater A and C will cause potentially significant disruption on both Bath Road and College Way if not managed correctly (i.e. deliveries being made during the start and end of the College day with lorries being parked on College Way).	89765-1090-9806			/	
Bridgwater College	Consultee with an interest in land	Stage 2 Update	- Once the A and C sites are occupied, together with the other major developments on the Innovia site, the amount of additional traffic is likely to be significant.	89765-1090-10087			/	
Sustrans	Non-statutory consultee	Stage 2 Update	We suggest however that Bridgwater will nevertheless suffer significant traffic impacts which will exacerbate an already congested town road network.	89781-1090-1570			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 1175	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Satisfactory, only if accompanied by a viable transport scheme - Park and Ride! Taunton Road (Bridgwater) is severely congested throughout the day now! Serious traffic surveys, in conjunction with the local councils should be carried out which appears no to have been done.	9933-1089-6043			/	<p>Consultees queried whether the park & ride facilities and rail network could be utilised by employees travelling to the Bridgwater C campus.</p> <p>The use of the park and ride sites for campus commuting was discounted, as it was considered that direct shuttle buses would be the most appropriate method to transport employees. This would minimise the impacts on Bridgwater, by removing the need to use private cars to reach the Junction 23 and 24 park and ride sites.</p> <p>The use of rail for travel to and from the campuses via Bridgwater Railway station would be possible due to the proximity of the railway station to the campus.</p> <p>Consultees expressed concerns that the construction of the campus could lead to a safety issue with the interaction between Bridgwater College students, staff and construction vehicles. Furthermore the possibility of construction works being undertaken during the school holidays was raised. The works are expected to take up to 18 months and therefore could not practicably be programmed to avoid term times. Construction traffic and personnel would access the site from a new access point in place of the existing northern access to the rugby club. This would reduce the interaction between students and construction traffic.</p>
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	the assessment methodology is totally inadequate and no reliance can be placed on the assessment of impacts or their significance.	89360-1089-16048	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	As the appraisal does not correctly assess the transport impact of the Bridgwater accommodation campuses it is impossible to determine if there are residual effects.	89360-1089-19190	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Bridgwater College	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 1	Traffic approaching Bridgwater from the M5 is already very challenging especially at rush hour in the morning. Traffic can be congested right back to the Exchange Park. Some thought needs to be given to how this might be alleviated, and in addition how the potential additional numbers exiting onto Bath Road from the proposed accommodation on College Way might be managed.	8774-1092-2217	/			<p>Concerns were raised by some consultees regarding the number of trips that would be made by employees outside of working hours for social reasons.</p> <p>The campus would share facilities with the nearby Bridgwater A campus. This would provide employees with access to a canteen and dining facilities, a lounge and bars, a clubhouse with changing facilities and showers, and external recreational facilities including a single large sports pitch and two 5-a-side pitches. This strategy to provide dedicated facilities within easy walking and cycling distances would reduce the need for employees to travel by car off-site, however it is still recognised that there will be some off-site travel.</p> <p>To reduce the impact of off-site travel, EDF Energy is committed to encouraging walking and cycling for local trips. Walking and cycling will be promoted by EDF Energy through the Framework Travel Plan. This includes measures such as providing pool bikes, information on cycle routes, and secure parking. The proposed campus is located relatively close to many local amenities and facilities in central Bridgwater which would enhance the prospects that many of these journeys would be made via walking and cycling.</p> <p>A further benefit to reducing non work related trips is the proximity of the Bridgwater C campus to the Bridgwater A campus, which enhances the ability to provide efficient bus services to serve both sites.</p> <p>Consultees expressed concerns that the campus site could be used as an unofficial park and ride site. Abuse of the free bus from the campus to the Hinkley Point C (HPC) site would be monitored.</p> <p>Consultees commented on the legacy for the site and in particular the potential future use by the college and the lack of planning for this future use. Once the campus is no longer needed for use by the HPC workers, following the completion of the construction phase, it could be made available for student accommodation or other facilities in connection with Bridgwater College. This would be subject to a separate planning application.</p>
Tractivity 725	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? The traffic is very heavy for the college so better traffic systems will have to be put in	9483-1092-4792			/	
Tractivity 1044	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Road systems need improving.	9802-1092-4684	/			
Tractivity 1063	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Unsatisfactory with existing road networks	9821-1092-5257	/			
Tractivity 1140	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? College already have a problem	9898-1092-4223			/	
Tractivity 1167	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Takes away parking and access to Bridgwater college - already major disruption at rush hour. Can take over an hour to get to college from Huntworth and get home from at peak times without the other proposed developments for this area.	9925-1092-5660			/	
Tractivity 1175	Dual - Consultee with an Interest in Land and Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? Satisfactory, only if accompanied by a viable transport scheme - Park and Ride!	9933-1092-6043	/			
Tractivity 1186	Public	Stage 2	9b. Any other ideas or comments? You should NOT be siting a shift based campus adjacent to a college campus. Interaction could be damaging. The college junction onto the A39 is a traffic blackspot now. Further traffic must be avoided at all costs.	9944-1092-5384			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Tractivity 343	Public	Stage 1	6. Please give comments on your preferences and any suggestions about the future use of these facilities. We must minimise traffic going through Bridgwater.	9031-1092-2401			/	
Royal Mail	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	It is also noted that the construction will result in between c1,000 and 2,000 non local workers being housed in short term accommodation in the Bridgwater area, which will increase the number of delivery/collection runs by 4 necessitating at least two additional vehicles.	10198-1092-2601			/	
Landowner - Bridgwater Town Football Club	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	Our concerns are based on the effect of site access and road and transport links and in particular the southern access route which impacts on our regularly (daily) used access road and associated echelon parking area. This provides an invaluable source of revenue to the football club.	10247-1092-531			/	
Avon and Somerset Constabulary	Statutory Consultee	Stage 2	the highway infrastructure to support such proposals should be robust, not introduce conflict with existing highway use and satisfy the requirements of the local highway authority in terms of mitigating congestion and addressing potential road safety issues.	89054-1092-5866			/	
Landowner - Bridgwater College	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	- The loss of the Rugby Club car parking will have a negative impact on students and staff. Car parking is already an area of frustration for students arriving later in the day or staff who have to go off-site and then attempt to return. The proposal as currently outlined would also mean the loss of the Football Club road as College car-parking which would exacerbate this problem. - The loss of car parking will also inevitably create tensions with local neighbours who will be likely to experience more off-site car parking by students and staff. - Whilst the College does encourage staff and students to car-share, the nature of our rural location means that for many students and staff travel to College by public transport is not viable so the loss of spaces will inevitably mean disruption to local residents by increased student parking on the Sydenham estate.	89437-1092-3636			/	
Landowner - Bridgwater College	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	- The proposal to use College Way for vehicle access to the Bridgwater C development is a cause of real concern to the College. This is already an area of intense activity, and more traffic on College Way would result in inevitable congestion.	89437-1092-5060		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Landowner - Bridgwater College	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	- We are concerned that residential accommodation in the town, currently accessed by automotive and overseas students as well as potential additional apprenticeships arising from the Hinkley construction project could become very difficult to access as a result of competing demands from EDF and supply chain workforce.	89437-1092-5520			/	
Landowner - Bridgwater College	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	- Ensuring the replacement of the Rugby Club second pitch in a suitable easily accessible location, which could be accessed by College students without too great an impact on the student timetable.	89437-1092-6862			/	
Landowner - Bridgwater College	Consultee with an Interest in Land	Stage 2	- Improved access to the railway station.	89437-1092-7215		/		
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Authorities position May 2010 Include options for signposting the college from A39 and create Gateway building for the college campus - integrate proposals to improve the gyratory road network Update August 2010 No specific references have been made.	89328-1092-10309			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Authorities position May 2010 Pedestrian and cycle links through the site should be identified and linkages with the surrounding area made Update August 2010 Routes internally are shown but no connectivity shown.	89329-1092-166		/		

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<p>Authorities position May 2010</p> <p>Provision for replacement parking requested</p> <p>Update August 2010</p> <p>No information provided.</p> <p>Authorities position May 2010</p> <p>Provision of 0.5 parking spaces per worker needs to be explained</p> <p>Update August 2010</p> <p>Noted in the Masterplan that due to the constrained nature of the site, parking provision on the basis of 2.5 persons per car is not possible. The focus on bus services is referenced to support this position.</p>	89329-1092-391			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<p>Pedestrian links are only proposed to enable access to buses. Further measures need to be considered however to ensure that connections to other campus sites, to local facilities in Sydenham and to the town centre are enhanced.</p>	89360-1092-2655			/	
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<p>Other than the measures in the transport strategy, in particular the dedicated bus services to Hinkley C from the Bridgwater accommodation campuses, no other specific mitigation measures are identified.</p>	89360-1092-18734	/			
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	<p>Paragraph 5.7.30 states that the introduction of a large male population into the local community has the potential to lead to poor social integration and unrest. This issue should be explored in more depth within the appraisal and the potential effects on the health and quality of life for local residents more fully described. The likely residual effects following the implementation of the mitigation described in the Health Action Plan should then be appraised.</p>	89414-1092-16219			/	

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	Further mitigation is likely to be necessary in relation to the campuses, particularly within Bridgwater in line with the transport strategy for the town.	89425-1092-2121	/			
WSC & SDC Joint Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor only)	Stage 2 Update	- Careful consideration needs to be given to the movement of workers and students. Timing of bus movements, locations of stops and design of routes through the site could help to reduce congestion at peak times and excessive inter-mingling of groups that could be a cause for concern.	89887-1092-11882	/			

Respondent Reference	Respondent Type	Consultation Stage	Comment	Comment ID	Change	No Change	Noted	EDF Energy Response (Begins at first page of Topic)
Sedgemoor District Council and West Somerset Council Joint Council Response	Dual - local authority and consultee with an interest in land (Sedgemoor)	Stage 2	monitoring during the construction period has been assessed to be inadequate.	89360-1093-19717	/			<p>Consultees expressed concerns that transport monitoring was inadequate.</p> <p>The Framework Travel Plan sets out a programme for monitoring that would include a baseline survey after first occupation and then each year thereafter until decommissioning of the campus.</p>